THE STATE OF ARIZONA

INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

## REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF VIDEOCONFERENCE PUBLIC MEETING

Via GoogleMeets January 21, 2022

9:02 a.m.

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC PO Box 513, Litchfield Park, AZ 85340 (P) 623-975-7472 (F) 623-975-7462 www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com

Reported By: Angela Furniss Miller, RPR Certified Reporter (AZ 50127)

1	<u>index</u>	
2	AGENDA ITEM:	PAGE
3	ITEM NO. I ITEM NO. I(A)	4 4
4	ITEM NO. I(B) ITEM NO. II	5 6
5	MOTION TO APPROVE THE MINUTES VOTE	6 6
6	ITEM NO. III ITEM NO. IV	7 7
7	ITEM NO. V ITEM NO. V(A) - CONGRESSIONAL MAP	7 7
8	DISCUSSION MOTION TO REAFFIRM THE VOTE ON FINAL	8 9
9	CONGRESSIONAL MAP VOTE	10
10	ITEM NO. V(B) - LEGISLATIVE MAP DISCUSSION	10 10
11	MOTION TO APPROVE THE LEGISLATIVE MAPS AS FINAL	11
12	VOTE	12 26
13	ITEM NO. V(C) MOTION TO APPROVE THE COMMISSION REPORT	26
-	DISCUSSION VOTE	26 27
14	ITEM NO. V(D) MOTION TO AUTHORIZE TRANSMITTAL OF THE MAPS	28 28
15	AND REPORT TO THE ARIZONA SECRETARY OF STATE VOTE	29
16	ITEM NO. VI ITEM NO. VII	29 29
17	ITEM NO. VIII ITEM NO. IX	30 30
18	MOTION TO ADJOURN VOTE	30 31
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 PUBLIC MEETING, BEFORE THE INDEPENDENT 2 REDISTRICTING COMMISSION, convened at 9:02 a.m. on 3 January 21, 2022, via GoogleMeets, Arizona, in the presence 4 of the following Commissioners: 5 Ms. Erika Neuberg, Chairperson Mr. Derrick Watchman, Vice Chairman Mr. David Mehl 6 Ms. Shereen Lerner 7 Mr. Douglas York 8 OTHERS PRESENT: 9 Mr. Brian Schmitt, Executive Director Ms. Loriandra Van Haren, Deputy Director 10 Ms. Valerie Neumann, Executive Assistant Ms. Michele Crank, Public Information Officer 11 Mr. Roy Herrera, Herrera Arellano 12 Mr. Daniel Arellano, Herrera Arellano Mr. Brett Johnson, Snell & Wilmer 13 Mr. Mark Flahan, Timmons Group 14 Mr. Brian Kingery, Timmons Group Mr. Randy Trott, Timmons Group 15 Mr. Douglas Johnson, National Demographics Corp. Ms. Ivy Beller Sakansky, National Demographics, 16 Corp. 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

 $\underline{P} \quad \underline{R} \quad \underline{O} \quad \underline{C} \quad \underline{E} \quad \underline{E} \quad \underline{D} \quad \underline{I} \quad \underline{N} \quad \underline{G}$ 1 2 3 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay everyone, first I would just like to apologize for the abrupt interruption on 4 5 Tuesday. I had a medical incident beyond my control, and I look forward to getting our work done today. 6 7 Agenda Item I, call to order and roll call. 8 I(A), call for quorum. It is 9:02 a.m. on Tuesday, 9 January 21, 2022. I call this meeting of the Independent 10 Redistricting Commission to order. 11 For the record, the executive assistant Valerie Neumann will be taking roll. When your name is called, 12 13 please indicate you are present. If you are unable to 14 respond verbally, we ask that you please type your name. 15 Val. 16 MS. NEUMANN: Thank you, Madam Chair. 17 Commissioner Lerner. 18 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Present. 19 MS. NEUMANN: Commissioner Mehl. 20 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Present. 21 MS. NEUMANN: Commissioner York. 22 COMMISSIONER YORK: Present. 23 MS. NEUMANN: Thank you. 24 Chairperson Neuberg. 25 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Present.

And for the record also in attendance 1 MS. NEUMANN: is Executive Director Brian Schmitt, Deputy Director Lori 2 3 Van Haren, Public Information Officer Michele Crank; from our legal team we've got Brett Johnson from Snell & Wilmer, 4 5 Roy Herrera and Daniel Arellano from Herrera Arellano; and 6 our mapping consultant we've got Mark Flahan and Randy 7 Trott, Brian Kingery all from Timmons, and Doug Johnson and 8 Ivy Beller Sakansky from NDC Research; and Angela Miller our 9 transcriptionist. That's everyone, Madam Chair. 10 11 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. Thank you. Please note for the minutes that a quorum is present. 12 13 Agenda Item I(B), call for notice. 14 Val, was the notice and agenda for the Commissioner 15 meeting properly posted 48 hours in advance of today's 16 meeting? Yes, it was, Madam Chair. 17 MS. NEUMANN: 18 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. Thank you. 19 With that, it is 9:04, we will -- let's take a 20 ten-minute recess hope that Vice Chair Watchman will be on 21 by then. 22 Thank you. 23 (Recess taken from 9:04 a.m. to 9:15 a.m.) 24 25 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Please note for the minutes,

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC

Val, that Vice Chair Watchman joined us at 9:15. 1 2 We will return to our agenda; we are on Agenda 3 Item II, approval of minutes from January 18th; we have (A), the general session, we have (B) the executive session for a 4 5 legal review of our final maps. Is there any discussion on the minutes from 6 7 January 18th? 8 If there's no discussion, I'll entertain a motion 9 to approve the general session and executive session minutes 10 from January 18th. 11 COMMISSIONER YORK: I make a motion to approve the 12 minutes from January 18th. 13 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Do I have a second? 14 VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Commissioner Watchman 15 seconds. 16 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: If no further discussion, Vice Chair Watchman. 17 18 VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Ave. 19 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Mehl. 20 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Aye. Commissioner Lerner. 21 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: 22 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Aye. 23 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner York. 24 COMMISSIONER YORK: Aye. 25 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Chairwoman Neuberg is an aye.

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC

1 And with that, the minutes are approved. 2 We'll move to Agenda Item No. III, opportunity for 3 public comments. Public comment will now open for a minimum of 4 5 30 minutes and remain open until the adjournment of the meeting. Comments will only be accepted electronically in 6 7 writing on the link provided in the notice and agenda for 8 this public meeting and will be limited to 3,000 characters. 9 Please note members of the Commission may not 10 discuss items that are not specifically identified on the 11 agenda. Therefore, pursuant to A.R.S. 38-431.01(H), action 12 taken as a result of public comment will be limited to 13 directing staff to study the matter, responding to any 14 criticism, or scheduling the matter for further 15 consideration and decision at a later date. 16 With that we move to Agenda Item No. IV, discussion 17 on comments received prior to today's meeting. 18 Does anybody have anything to add? 19 Nor do I, so with that we will move to Agenda 20 Item No. V, discussion and final map vote and certification 21 transmittal to the Arizona Secretary of State. 22 Given the interruption on Tuesday, we will -- on 23 V(A) open it up if there's any additional congressional map 24 discussion; and, if not, we'll take a vote to reaffirm the 25 final congressional map.

1 Any discussion? If there's no discussion, I'll entertain --2 VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Madam Chair, point of order. 3 Didn't we already vote for Item VIII(A), so... 4 5 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Yes. Yes, we did, 6 Vice Chair Watchman, but just out of abundance of caution 7 just given that, you know, I wasn't feeling that well, just 8 out of, you know, again just caution, we would like to reaffirm the final vote. 9 10 VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Is that --11 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Procedural --12 VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: I'm sorry, Madam Chair. Is 13 that from legal counsel? 14 MR. B. JOHNSON: It is. 15 VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Because we already voted, so 16 we've already spent too much time on it, so the vote is 17 vote. 18 MR. B. JOHNSON: Commissioner Watchman, this is 19 Brett. The vote is the vote and nobody needs to actually 20 speak again unless they have something additional. You can 21 refer back to your previous comments and then vote appropriately as you -- as you deem fit. We do recommend 22 23 just making sure that the record is clear on any votes. 24 VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Well, then, if I could, 25 Madam Chair, just another point of order, we only took the

final vote for congressional, so the agenda is wrong, should 1 2 be reaffirm the vote for Item (A). 3 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: That's what it is --VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: No, it's not. 4 5 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: -- it's congressional --6 excuse me? 7 VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: I'm sorry, Madam Chair. It 8 says "final map vote." We already took the final map vote 9 on the congressional maps and so the agenda is not written --10 11 MR. B. JOHNSON: It does say, Chair -- Vice Chair 12 Watchman, it does say "congressional map discussion and 13 reaffirmation." 14 VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Okay. All right. 15 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Yes. This is -- is just 16 again we're on V(A), and it is just a reaffirmation of -- of our vote; and if there's -- is there any discussion? 17 18 And if not, I'll entertain a motion to reaffirm --19 do -- legal counsel, can I take a vote on the map or do we 20 actually need a motion to reaffirm it? MR. B. JOHNSON: Just a motion to reaffirm the vote 21 22 from January 20th -- or January 18th, in regards to the 23 congressional map. 24 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. I will entertain a 25 motion to reaffirm the vote on our final congressional map.

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC

COMMISSIONER YORK: So moved --1 2 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Do I have a second? 3 COMMISSIONER YORK: -- Commissioner York. COMMISSIONER MEHL: Commissioner Mehl seconds. 4 5 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: If no further discussion, Vice Chair Watchman. 6 7 VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: I vote no. 8 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Mehl. 9 COMMISSIONER MEHL: I reaffirm all the comments I 10 made at our last meeting; and I vote yes. CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Lerner. 11 12 COMMISSIONER LERNER: I will follow Commissioner 13 Mehl's way of saying it; I reaffirm my comments and I vote 14 no. 15 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner York. 16 COMMISSIONER YORK: I vote yes. 17 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: And Chairperson Neuberg I 18 also reaffirm my comments and vote yes. 19 And so with that, the final congressional map is 20 reaffirmed and approved with a 3-2 vote. Agenda Item No. V(B), legislative map discussion 21 22 and approval. 23 Is there any -- before we take a vote on the final 24 legislative map, is there any discussion that anybody would 25 like to add to our conversation from Tuesday?

1 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Chairwoman, I don't have any specific on the legislative, but I would like to respond to 2 3 respond to some comments from Tuesday, if that would be 4 okay. 5 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Of course. 6 COMMISSIONER LERNER: All right. 7 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: You're welcome to respond at 8 whatever points in these items as you'd like. 9 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Well, I just wanted to be 10 sure it was the appropriate time. 11 MR. B. JOHNSON: Commissioner Lerner, you could do 12 it now -- just so process-wise, you can do it now, it's 13 called for discussion, or you explain your vote at the time 14 you vote, however -- whichever way you prefer. 15 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Oh. Whatever is easiest, 16 doesn't matter to me. MR. B. JOHNSON: Your discretion. 17 18 COMMISSIONER LERNER: I'll wait until my vote then, 19 maybe that will be faster. 20 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. So -- so if there's no 21 further discussion at this point, I will entertain a motion to approve the legislative maps as our final draft. 22 23 COMMISSIONER MEHL: This is Commissioner Mehl, I 24 make a motion that we reapprove -- that we approve our 25 legislative map as our final map.

1 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Do I have a second? COMMISSIONER YORK: Commissioner York seconds. 2 3 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Discussion -- well, we'll --4 we'll take a vote and people can add their comments at that 5 point. Commissioner Mehl. 6 7 COMMISSIONER MEHL: I reaffirm the comments I made 8 at our January 18th meeting; and I vote yes. 9 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Thank you. Commissioner Lerner. 10 11 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Chairwoman, I have a few 12 comments to make on this at this time. 13 So I -- I just want to say that I was really taken 14 aback on the personal attacks that I received on Tuesday. I 15 have abs- -- we have disagreements, we have differences of 16 opinions on how we see the maps; but it was the -- and my 17 comments have really tried to focus most on the 18 constitutional issues, but I feel they were personal attacks 19 on my integrity. 20 We're colleagues and I feel we should be respectful 21 to each other. But in the time on this Commission I feel we 22 had been, but the personal attacks accusing me of things 23 that were -- I will review a few of those today, I feel was 24 inappropriate. 25 Differences of opinion are just that. We have

differences of way -- we may see the world differently, but I don't feel that's a reason for some of the accusations and what I see as inappropriate language.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

This process is not personal. It was to develop the best maps for the State and my comments on the district and maps were focused on my view of their constitutionality and why I felt they could be approved.

I did not tack -- attack either Commissioner Mehl or Chairwoman Neuberg for the difference of opinions or your views. The fact that you made so many, I feel warranted (verbatim) accusations, to justify the maps from your perspectives I feel is wrong.

Commissioner Mehl, I've already responded to many of the comments that you made on the congressional, many of them overlap with the comments on the legislative; there were a number of things that you said that I feel were incorrect in your comments. For the sake of time, I'm not going to go through all of them at this point. I just want to make the point that there were a number of things that you commented on that were not true in terms of things that I said or did and things that you said or did as the way that you presented it.

The one that I will mention because it was part of our last deliberation on the legislative maps was District 2. During the District 2 discussion, I did everything I could to try to find a compromise. I asked for four alternatives. I spoke to my Republican colleagues about options during breaks. I tried to do everything I could from the perspective of communities of interest; and instead I kept getting an absolute no from my Republican colleagues with threats to change District 13, knowing that that was a district that had been adjusted and that the Chairwoman liked. So instead of actually having a negotiation, having a discussion, it was a threat to blow up the maps. All over one district that had been changed two days prior significantly.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

25

13 That's an example from my perspective of how I 14 reached out, tried to compromise, tried to find some balance 15 and, instead, was rebuffed on every front. And so when the 16 discussion about me trying to do things unilaterally or not 17 making adjustments, not compromising is completely incorrect 18 just based on our last day of meetings as an example; but 19 that happened time and again where I tried to find 20 compromise. And it would not lead -- those compromises that 21 I tried to find time and again were not to lead to ultimate Democratic districts, they -- just as with District 2, it 22 23 would have remained a Republican district, but I was looking 24 at it from a community of interest.

Chairwoman Neuberg, I was really surprised that you

went back to the beginning of our time together a year ago on the votes that we had for Executive Director, the mapping team, attorneys all of which were early in the process to try to discredit me. I don't disagree that I voted no on a lot of those things, the record speaks for itself. But if you follow the record, you would see that since that time I have praised all of those individuals and companies as we moved forward; and those things can happen. You can change your perspective as you -- as things go forward.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

I regularly let the mapping team know I trusted them and I often asked them to see what they could do with the request I had because I didn't have ideas. If I didn't trust them, I wouldn't have done that. You can ask Doug Johnson and Mark Flahan, I thanked each of them for their integrity and the work they did. I have repeatedly, publicly and privately, thanked our entire staff.

There was never a question that I was concerned about competitive districts; that has been something I said from the beginning. I expressed an interest in having as many competitive as possible and to imply that I was inconsistent is incorrect. I've always wanted the most competitive districts possible.

The issues I raised in my comments on both legislative and congressional districts were consistent with comments I made throughout the process. You probably can tell that there was some districts I never discussed. When you talked about locking in districts, there were a number of them I never felt I had comments on, but there were others that I mentioned that I repeatedly went back to because I felt that we had not yet addressed all of the constitutional criteria.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

I did not say we had a 50/50 state in terms of partisanship. I consistently said we were 30-30-30 state, which is why I believed we needed to have a balance in our representation.

And I know there are more Republicans registered than Democrats, I know it's not exactly 30-30-30, but it's approximate in that way.

And yes, I did say as you noted, Chairwoman, that I wanted a 15/15 legislature, I don't deny that comment that I said that. It was counter to -- and it may have been the wrong thing to say at the time; I don't disagree with that. It -- Commissioner Mehl and I had had a previous discussion where he had been telling me he wanted 17/13 or 18/12 and that was part of why the 15/15 was on my mind, so just to give that context.

And despite what you said, I do not believe it is a weakness to be aware of the past. Of course it's my background and it's my degree, my academic degree. It is useful to understand the past so we understand what worked

<sup>16</sup> 

1 and what did not work.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

22

23

24

25

The decision to throw out anything that was done before rather than consider if it's not broken, don't fix it was limiting. And of course we have to look forward, of course we start with a clean slate and a blank state, but you can't do that without understanding where we've been.

Now to one of the bigger comments that you made that I really object to. I've never been directed by anyone as both you and Commissioner Mehl accused me. And, in fact, I want to be honest here, you said I was being directed by Democrats and there was a sixth person in the room. Let's add the seventh and eighth person.

If you're being completely upfront and if Commissioner Mehl is being Commissioner upfront, you would admit that the Republicans were also on their phones during our meetings constantly with the Arizona and national Republicans, as well as making calls at every break.

18 The eighth person is our Executive Director who you 19 were meeting with and receiving messages from during 20 meetings.

So let's be honest, all of us be honest, we all had people talking to us. It's our decision on who we listen to and who we don't, but don't accuse me of something that you and the Republicans were also doing.

What's most striking is that you didn't acknowledge

how many times I compromised and worked to find agreement. There were numerous times I didn't like what we were doing but for the sake of moving forward -- which I often would state -- I would vote yes. If I disagree, I'm allowed to vote no. A no vote is not against you, Chair Neuberg, that's not what that is implying. A no vote is not personal, it's just about our own views.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

In addition, during breaks I would often speak with my Republican colleagues to find -- to see if we could find a compromise. Time and again I went over to them looking for things that we could do together; and I think you could -- you even commented on how pleased you were to see us working together, that we were speaking together and working together. That's not the sign of somebody who is not trying to find compromise, that's the sign of somebody who is reaching out, which is what I did over and over again.

18 So I will comment on the fact that you only thanked 19 the Republicans for their integrity and support, which I 20 find ironic because many of the reasons I can't support the 21 map has to do with things that were done that were so 22 clearly for partisan gain that they violated my sense of 23 fairness. Moving lines for sitting legislators, drawing 24 lines to increase vote spreads, selectively ignoring 25 communities of interest that didn't fit the lines that were

wanted and more; and that happened time and again. That's not what I believe in.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I have the same interest as you, Chairwoman. I want to get rid of the toxicity of mistrust and tribalism and do what's right for our state and country. The personal attacks on me violates what you said you wanted. And please remember, I defended you, your interest in doing the right thing for the state, your integrity when others were not and when you were being attacked. In contrast you chose to attack me personally as we include our time together.

We all come to the table with our own worldviews and what we think is best. You said that you did things that made sense to you. Well, so did I. And I shouldn't be condemned for having that different perspective.

Time after time I wanted you to express your views so we would better understand the direction you wanted, most of the time you said I want to hear from everybody else first before I express mine. So to me it's disingenuous now that you're upset if I disagreed with something when it wasn't always clear what you wanted.

There are many things, other things that you said were absolutely untrue, but I'm not going to go through them. Suffice to say I'm just disappointed that this became personal, that it was a personal attack on my integrity because of the disagreements on the map. It's been an honor for me to work on the Independent Redistricting Commission. I thank the public for their engagement in the process; I thank the staff and all of our consultants for their hard work and responsiveness to our requests.

1

2

3

4

5

And finally, I thank all of our Commissioners, all 6 7 of my colleagues. In particular my Democratic colleague 8 Vice Chair Watchman, but I do thank all of you for the 9 incredible time commitment and dedication to the process. 10 We all came into this wanting to do the best for the state. 11 We came in with different perspectives, different 12 worldviews, different ways of accomplishing it, but it 13 doesn't mean that we came into this without the same goals 14 of trying to do the right thing, and for that, I thank you. 15 I thank each of you. 16 That concludes my comments, Madam Chair. 17 And with that, then I vote no on the maps. 18 Thank you. 19 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Thank you very much. 20 Commissioner York. MR. B. JOHNSON: Commissioner York you're on mute. 21 22 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: We still can't hear you. 23 COMMISSIONER YORK: I unmuted. 24 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Now we can hear you. 25 COMMISSIONER YORK: All right. I vote aye.

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: And do you -- would you like any further discussion?

COMMISSIONER YORK: (No audible response.) CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. And I believe Vice Chair Watchman, we started with you, correct?

VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Just make sure that we --

VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: I haven't voted yet, but I just wanted to, if I could, Madam Chair and colleagues, just echo what my colleague Shereen Lerner has indicated.

I am troubled, however, you know, this is a process and I appreciate working with everybody. And I appreciate also the attention to our brothers and sisters who live on reservations here in the state. And so, you know, I was appointed as -- as an individual to represent the indigenous communities of the state because I went back and looked at my appointment letter. And so being -- being a member of the Navajo Nation myself, you know, I hold that in high regard.

And so that was my -- my primary mission to make sure that -- that there was a voice on the Commission for the 22 tribes here in the state. And so I'm -- I'm appreciative to you, Madam Chair and my colleagues, for, you know, for recognizing that. You know, obviously many of us in the community, many people of color have had, you know, trials and tribulations; but here in this state, Indian country, Native Americans have had, you know, long battles and long hauls, you know, and it was only recent that, you know, I -- I as an individual, I as a member of a tribe were able to vote, 1964 as an example. Even though the Navajo Reservation was created 1868, the state of Arizona was created 1912, Native Americans in this state were not allowed to vote until only a few years ago, so that's troubling in and of itself.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

20

21

22

But, you know, I -- I hold this position in high regard. Any time you serve the public, you know, you have to, you know, be honest and forthright and do the right thing.

I do believe in fairness. As I said before, you know, my -- my -- my role and one of my goals was to make sure that we had a fair and balanced, if you will, set of lines that represent, you know, the parties, the communities of interest, you know, things that -- that are spoken to in the constitution and, so.

But it is a process and sometimes, you know, things get personal which I don't think is the right thing to do. But, you know, I again appreciate the staff.

And yes, you know, we did vote like we did, but that was at the time, you know, and that's all right 'cause you vote the way we feel and, so. But I'll -- I'll conclude my short, brief statement here that it's been a pleasure working with everybody on this call, our lawyers, our consultants and the staff, and then representing each and every citizen of the state, the 7 million-plus.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You know, it's been an honor, you know, as -- as a member of -- of Northern Arizona, as a member of the Navajo Nation, and as someone that has worked very, very hard in my career to ensure, you know, that -- that the political process recognizes and includes Indian country. That's been my life-long work, to make sure Native American people -even though we're only a small percent of the community and for the most part even though we -- we have reservations, and I think it's a good thing, Indian reservations, some think to the contrary, but that's been my life-long work.

So with that, my vote is still a no, you know, for principal reasons, but I do appreciate the recognition and positive consideration for the tribes.

So thank you, Madam Chair.

Yá'át'ééh in Navajo. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: You're welcome.

Well, I too am greatly appreciative of all of the contributions and hard work and diligence and -- and, you know, study over the past year.

And, you know, I -- I am sorry if -- if my words

hurt anybody, I did try to avoid ad hominem attacks and really focus on facts and behavior that I felt were relevant to doing what I feel is my responsibility, which is to vigorously defend the legitimacy and constitutionality of our process and our maps.

1

2

3

4

5

6 So I felt that, you know, my comments were all 7 given with that intention in mind. I am aware, 8 Commissioner Lerner, that your alternatives were rebuffed on the legislative map. Quite honestly, you know, I -- I felt 9 10 that I needed to value and put the integrity of the maps 11 first above simply compromise and there wasn't an 12 alternative that I felt better captured our state. So I 13 felt that it was more important for me to honor what I feel 14 is right for Arizona than, you know, make compromises 15 that -- that maybe would make me feel good or look good or 16 whatever; and it was a very, very tough decision and I wish 17 I could have satisfied more people in our state. 18 And so with that, I vote yes. 19 And so the legislative maps are approved with a 3-2 20 vote. 21 We will move to Agend- -- Agenda Item Number --

22 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Madam Chair, could I just say
23 one thing?
24 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Uh-huh.
25 COMMISSIONER LERNER: I appreciate that, your

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC

comment about that, my only concern was that you weren't recognizing the attempt to compromise. So I certainly respect your decision; I don't question your decision, but your comments indicated -- seemed to indicate that that was not part of the practice that was working, so that was my focus.

I have no problem with you making decisions that you think are in the best -- that you feel are the best decisions.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: You know what, I -- I do not recall ever saying anything about a lack of compromise, I'll go back and read my -- my, you know, remarks, you know. But, yes, I think you've tried to hard to find different solutions along the way.

COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, we all did, I believe, tried to find compromise in a lot of areas.

17 I think the Princeton Project that we had talked to us for an hour and a half, they gave us a pretty high 19 remark. We didn't get an A, I believe, but I think we got a 20 B on their rating of how we did. I don't think they gave that rating to any other state, so I think we did a pretty 22 good job.

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

21

24

25

So with that.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: I agree there was a lot of efforts to compromise, my concern was only in the comments.

1 Thank you. Sorry to interrupt you. 2 COMMISSIONER YORK: No, no. We're good. 3 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: All right. Is everybody 4 ready to move to Agenda Item V(C)? 5 COMMISSIONER YORK: Yes. 6 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commission report discussion 7 and approval. We have a very comprehensive, I think, great 8 analysis of our process and our maps. 9 Is there any discussion we can now -- I'll 10 entertain a motion to approve the Commission report and then we can hold our discussion after we -- we do through the 11 12 motion if that works for all of you. 13 So I'll need a motion or entertain a motion to 14 approve the Commission report that you've all had to time to 15 review. 16 COMMISSIONER MEHL: This is Commissioner Mehl, I 17 move that we approve the -- the reports for both the 18 congressional and legislative map. 19 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Do I have a second? 20 COMMISSIONER YORK: Commissioner York seconds. 21 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. With that, I'll open it up to discussion. 22 23 Does anybody have any discussion? 24 VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: I do, Madam Chair. 25 It's -- it's a great report, lengthy report. Well,

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC

not as inclusive as I was thinking, but it does it hit the points and does speak to the responsibilities that we as a Commission are responsible to do. And so, you know, I guess for me a few additional thoughts would have been -- would have been appropriate for me but, you know, notwithstanding the timing and the work that went into it, it's a good report as I said.

I thought it was thorough enough to meet the comments of the constitution and what we as a Commission have to do. So great, great job. For the most part.

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Any other conversation?

COMMISSIONER LERNER: This is Commissioner Lerner, there were a few minor things that I saw that I didn't completely agree with, but nothing that's so striking that it shouldn't be moved forward.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. And I just, I believe everybody has had this, so we've all had opportunities to share feedback.

Okay. We'll take a vote.

Commissioner Mehl if I can -- oh. There you are. Commissioner Mehl -- oh. I'm starting wrong Vice Chair -see, I'm out of order today.

Vice Chair Watchman.

VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Thank you, Madam Chair. I

1 vote aye. 2 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Mehl. 3 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Aye. CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Lerner. 4 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Aye. 5 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner York. 6 7 COMMISSIONER YORK: Aye. 8 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Chairperson Neuberg is an 9 aye. 10 And with that the Commission report is approved 11 5-0. 12 We move to Agenda Item No. V(D), which is authorize 13 the Chair to submit -- to transmit the maps and report to 14 the Arizona Secretary of State. I'll entertain a motion to move and authorize 15 16 myself to transmit the maps and report to the Arizona 17 Secretary of State. 18 COMMISSIONER LERNER: This is Commissioner Lerner, 19 so move. 20 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Do I have a second? 21 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Commissioner Mehl seconds. 22 VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Vice Chair Watchman seconds. 23 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Just for the record, 24 Vice Chair Watchman, can you say it again? 25 VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: I'm sorry.

Vice Chair Watchman seconds that motion. 1 2 Thank you. 3 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Any further discussion? Vice Chair Watchman. 4 5 VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Aye. 6 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Mehl. 7 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Aye. 8 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Lerner. 9 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Aye. CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner York. 10 11 COMMISSIONER YORK: Aye. 12 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Chairperson Neuberg is an 13 aye. 14 And with that, we are authorized and will submit 15 the report and the maps to the Arizona Secretary of State. 16 And, you know, I just want to -- want to conclude 17 that section by saying that it is my sincere hope that these 18 maps will encourage the best and the brightest in our state 19 to run for these new districts and really serve Arizonans as 20 I believe they deserve. So we will move to Agenda Item No. VI, discussion 21 22 of future agenda item requests. 23 Given all the uncertainty, I'm not sure anybody 24 has -- would have anything to add at that point. 25 So we'll move to Agenda Item No. VII,

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

21

22

25

announcements.

I do not have any announcements. I don't know if staff or Legal, anybody have anything they would like to -to share?

Okay. Agenda Item No. VIII, next meeting date. To be determined. It's unclear what the needs will be from a budgetary perspective, litigation perspective. Just to give you a general sense of reference, I believe the previous Commission met every few months or so in that first year. But, again, to be determined and -- and I'm sure staff will be staying in touch.

We'll move to Agenda Item No. IX, closing of public comments.

Please note members of the Commission may not discuss items that are not specifically identified on the agenda. Therefore, pursuant to A.R.S. 38-431.01(H), action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing staff to study the matter, responding to any criticism, or scheduling the matter for further consideration or decision at a later date.

With that, we move to adjournment. I will open it up -- open up to a motion to adjourn.

23 VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Vice Chair motions to24 adjourn.

COMMISSIONER YORK: Commissioner York seconds.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: With no further discussion, 1 2 Vice Chair Watchman. 3 VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Aye. CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Mehl. 4 5 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Aye. CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Lerner. 6 7 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Aye. 8 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner York. COMMISSIONER YORK: Aye; and thank you, 9 Commissioner Neuberg. 10 11 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: And Chairperson Neuberg is an 12 aye. 13 And with that, with my greatest of gratitude and 14 appreciation for all of my colleagues, all of the staff and 15 consultants who I believe, you know, read the report, read 16 the transcripts, we've done a remarkable job and from the 17 bottom of my heart, I thank you. 18 So bye-bye and 'til next time. 19 (Whereupon the proceeding concludes at 9:49 a.m.) 20 21 22 "This transcript represents an unofficial record. 23 24 Please consult the accompanying video for the official 25 record of IRC proceedings."

1	<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>
2	
3	STATE OF ARIZONA )
4	) ss.
5	COUNTY OF MARICOPA )
6	
7	BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were
8	taken before me, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter No. 50127, all done to the best of my skill and ability; that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and
9	that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to print under my direction.
10	I CERTIFY that I am in no way related to any of the parties hereto nor am I in any way interested in the outcome
11	thereof.
12 13	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I have complied with the requirements set forth in ACJA 7-206. Dated at Litchfield Park, Arizona, this 24th of January, 2022.
14	$\bigcap p$
15	Angela Furniss Miller, RPR, CR CERTIFIED REPORTER (AZ50127)
16	CENTIFIED REFORTER (REJUIZI)
17	
18	I CERTIFY that Miller Certified Reporting, LLC, has complied with the requirements set forth in ACJA 7-201 and 7 206 Detected at LINCURFER DIPK. Detected this 24th of
19	7-206. Dated at LITCHFIELD PARK, Arizona, this 24th of January, 2022.
20	
21	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC
22	Arizona RRF No. R1058
23	
24	
25	