THE STATE OF ARIZONA INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF VIDEOCONFERENCE PUBLIC MEETING

Via GoogleMeets
April 27, 2021
9:05 a.m.

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC PO Box 513, Litchfield Park, AZ 85340 (P) 623-975-7472 (F) 623-975-7462 www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com

Reported By: Angela Furniss Miller, RPR Certified Reporter (AZ 50127)

| 1 | I N D E X | |
|----|--|---------------|
| 2 | PROCEEDING: ITEM NO. I | PAGE |
| 3 | ITEM NO. I (A) ITEM NO. I (B) | 4 5 |
| 4 | ITEM NO. I(B) ITEM NO. II ITEM NO. III | 5 6 8 |
| 5 | ITEM NO. IV | ° 9 11 |
| 6 | ITEM NO. V ITEM NO. V EXECUTIVE SESSION | 15 |
| 7 | ITEM NO. VI ITEM NO. VII | 17 22 |
| 8 | ITEM NO. VIII ITEM NO. VIII EXECUTIVE SESSION | 36 & 47 39 |
| 9 | ITEM NO. IX ITEM NO. IX EXECUTIVE SESSION | 44 46 |
| 10 | ITEM NO. X ITEM NO. XI | 47 & 50 50 |
| 11 | ITEM NO. XII ITEM NO. XIII | 53 54 |
| 12 | ITEM NO. XIII(A) ITEM NO. XIV | 5 4 5 9 |
| 13 | ITEM NO. XV ITEM NO. XVI | 5 9 5 9 |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| | | |

| 1 | PUBLIC MEETING, BEFORE THE INDEPENDENT |
|----|--|
| 2 | REDISTRICTING COMMISSION, convened at 9:05 a.m. on |
| 3 | April 27, 2021, via GoogleMeets, Arizona, in the presence of |
| 4 | the following Commissioners: |
| 5 | Ms. Erika Neuberg, Chairperson |
| 6 | Mr. Derrick Watchman, Vice Chairman Mr. David Mehle Ms. Shereen Lerner Mr. Douglas York |
| 7 | |
| 8 | OTHERS PRESENT: |
| 9 | Mr. Brian Schmitt, Executive Director |
| 10 | Ms. Yasmin Ramos, Administrative Assistant Mr. Roy Herrera, Ballard Spahr |
| 11 | Mr. Eric Spencer, Snell & Wilmer Mr. Daniel Arellano, Ballard Spahr |
| 12 | Mr. Dennis Burke, Ballard Spahr Ms. Nicole Sornsin, General Counsel, Arizona |
| 13 | Department of Administration Ms. Jessica Klein, Assistant Attorney General |
| 14 | Mr. Michael Hillebrand, Arizona State Procurement Office |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

2.3

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I am going to call this meeting to order. Agenda Item I(A), call for quorum. It is 9:05 a.m. on Tuesday, April 27th, 2021.

I call this meeting of the Independent

Redistricting Commission to order. For the record, the administrative assistant will be taking roll.

When your name is called, please indicate you are present. If you're unable to respond verbally, we ask that you please type your name.

Yasmin, please.

MS. RAMOS: Thank you, Madam Chair.

Vice Chair Watchman.

I see him, but I'm not sure...

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: He's having technology problems. Yeah, there's -- there a way -- Vice Chair Watchman, if you can hear us, if there's a way you can text us or in writing some way, let us know that you are processing this.

MS. RAMOS: Commissioner Lerner.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Here.

MS. RAMOS: Commissioner York.

COMMISSIONER YORK: Here.

VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Can you hear me?

1 MS. RAMOS: Yes, we can hear you. Commissioner Mehl. 2 3 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Present. 4 MS. RAMOS: Chair Neuberg. 5 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Present. 6 MS. RAMOS: And for the record, we also have Brian 7 Schmitt, Executive Director for the Independent Redistricting Commission; we have Brett Johnson, Eric 8 9 Spencer from Snell & Wilmer; Roy Herrera, Dennis Burke, 10 Daniel Arellano from Ballard Spahr, legal counsel for the 11 IRC; and from ADOA we have Michael Hillebrand, Nicole 12 Sornsin, Jessica Klein from ADOA; and we have Angela Miller, 13 she will be transcribing for us today. 14 VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Good morning. 15 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: And, Angela, did you get the 16 "present" from Vice Chair Watchman for the -- for the 17 transcript? THE COURT REPORTER: I did. Thank you, Chair. 18 19 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. Wonderful. With that, 20 please note a quorum is present. 21 Agenda Item I(B), call for notice. Yasmin, was the 22 notice and agenda for the Commission meeting properly posted 2.3 48 hours in advance for today's meeting? 24 MS. RAMOS: Yes, Madam Chair. 25 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Thank you very much.

Agenda Item II, approval of the minutes from 1 April 20th, 2021; Item (A) and (B), the general session and 2 3 executive session minutes. As a reminder, video recording fulfills statutory 4 5 requirements. Is there any discussion regarding the public and 6 7 executive session recording/minutes from last week? If there's no discussion, I'll entertain a motion 8 9 to approve the general session and executive session minutes 10 from April 20th, 2021. 11 COMMISSIONER MEHL: This is Commissioner Mehl, I --12 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Commissioner Lerner, so 13 moved. 14 COMMISSIONER MEHL: -- I move we approve the 15 minutes. COMMISSIONER LERNER: I'll second. 16 17 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. Commissioner Mehl puts forward the motion; Commissioner Lerner seconds it. 18 19 further discussion? 20 A vote. Vice Chair Watchman. 21 You know what, do you want to do a thumbs up or 22 some- -- I can't hear you. 2.3 COMMISSIONER YORK: Vice Chair Watchman. 24 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. He's -- I'll come back 25 to him.

| 1 | We'll come back to him. Commissioner Mehl. | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2 | COMMISSIONER MEHL: Aye. | | |
| 3 | CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Lerner. | | |
| 4 | COMMISSIONER LERNER: Aye. | | |
| 5 | CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner York. | | |
| 6 | COMMISSIONER YORK: Aye. | | |
| 7 | CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Neuberg is an | | |
| 8 | aye. | | |
| 9 | Commissioner Watchman, you are the last vote for | | |
| 10 | our minutes. Are you able to give us any indication of your | | |
| 11 | vote, aye or nay? Thumbs up thumbs down? | | |
| 12 | We're having problems. | | |
| 13 | I'll ask the counsel here, giving that four out of | | |
| 14 | the five have approved, is it something yeah, any | | |
| 15 | guidance here about waiting for official votes versus just | | |
| 16 | going on the record with a 4-0 vote in approval. | | |
| 17 | Commissioner Watchman, can you is there okay. | | |
| 18 | MR. HERRERA: Madam Chairwoman, it's our view at a | | |
| 19 | 4-0 vote that it is approved, and you do not need to wait | | |
| 20 | for the fifth vote. | | |
| 21 | CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. For the record, let's | | |
| 22 | note that the minutes are approved with a 4-0 vote with Vice | | |
| 23 | Chair Watchman having technological challenges. | | |
| 24 | With that | | |
| 25 | VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Can you hear me? Good | | |

morning.

2.3

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. Now we can hear you.

VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Yes, we can hear you.

VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Yeah?

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Yes. We just approved the minutes without you.

VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: For some reason my computer is acting all wacky today.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Yeah, we are now on Agenda Item No. III, and I am so glad that you are live.

Agenda Item No. III, opportunity for public comment. Public comment will open for a minimum of 30 minutes and remain open until the adjournment of the meeting. Comments will only be accepted electronically in writing on the link provided in the notice and agenda for this public meeting, and will be limited to 3,000 characters.

Please note, members of the Commission may not discuss items that are not specifically identified on the agenda. Therefore, pursuant to A.R.S. 38-431.01(H), action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing staff, study the matter, responding to any criticism, or scheduling the matter for further consideration and decision at a later date.

With that, we will move on to Agenda Item No. IV, which is discussion on public comments received prior to today's meeting.

Fellow Commissioners, reactions? Thoughts?

Comments?

2.3

COMMISSIONER MEHL: This is Commissioner Mehl. We continue to get very robust comments from the public, which I appreciate greatly; I read each and every one of them as I know all of us are. And I think it is very helpful to have comments from the public, and I want to thank the public for participating.

COMMISSIONER YORK: This is Commissioner York. I echo Commissioner Mehl's comment.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: This is Commissioner Lerner.

I also echo those comments. I just want to encourage the public to continue to submit comments, there's great feedback and saw some good suggestions of things that we can potentially do in the future as well. And, you know, there's also comments that can be quite insightful that we have been seeing.

So I just want to say I also been reading all of them, and we all appreciate the amount of time that the public is putting in to participating in the process.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: You know, we live in a robust democracy and Arizona has a lot of independent,

strong-minded people, and we're hearing from the community.

2.3

You know, as we alluded to I think several weeks ago, when you have such a unique niche of something like mapping that only happens once every ten years, you're not going to get a lot of options with true experts. And -- and given the realities we got, you know, three firms which was more -- more than a decade ago; there's no perfect -- just like there's no perfect Commissioner, there's no perfect mapping firm either.

Thank you for this robust discussion, and all we could say is we're doing our due diligence; we're -- we're reading all of them, we're studying everything.

What gives me comfort and, you know, you'll see through I think the rest of the meeting, we're building, you know, really I think a sound competent team that in and of itself is going to provide checks and balances and will mitigate concerns that we collectively have; we'll flesh that out as a team. But we hear you and, you know, let -- let's try to also just have a little bit of trust and open mindedness.

And, with that, that's really all I have to say about public comments.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: This is Commissioner Mehl. I would like to add that I'm disappointed that we were not able to do the interviews of the three firms in public

because the three firms really interviewed quite well; they answered questions very well, the interviews were very detailed, and as a Commission we learned a lot from doing the interviews, and I'm sorry the public was not able to observe that.

2.3

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: In addition, you know, the way our State does it and there's value to it, you know, there's a responsibility to protect the integrity of the procurement process, and, you know, you have to follow the letter of the law; but you're right, other -- other states do it differently. And had -- I regret our public can't read the proposals. All, you know, each one that's a hundred-plus pages of sophisticated information.

I -- I would love that. But there's a reason why it's not available and, again, I mean, we -- we can provide expert witness, you know, our -- our procurement experts here, the way we do it protects our State and protects the competitiveness of the process. But I agree with you, the public maybe wouldn't be as concerned if they could have access to the information we have.

Okay. With that, if there's no further discussion, we will move on to Agenda Item No. V, discussion and possible action on legal counsel briefing.

I'd like to welcome again, this actually is our first meeting where we have our full counsel together. And

-- and, with that, there are some things that we collectively really need to talk about, hear from our counsel about, and begin to think about some decisions.

2.3

There are four areas in particular that Counsel would like to brief us on. They relate to just some initial research that they're doing and wanting direction about, you know, the appropriateness of that; they want to discuss their ideas for policies, procedures, and training, IT issues, and the potential for hiring expert consultants and witnesses.

I'm going to suggest that the Commission vote to go into executive session which will not be open to the public for the purpose of obtaining this type of legal advice to further implement and/or advance these legal issues pursuant to A.R.S. 38-431.03(A)(3).

This is our first opportunity to really as a team get legal advice and I think for, you know, protecting our attorney-client privilege, we'll have the -- the most useful conversation.

Any discussion?

COMMISSIONER LERNER: This is Commissioner Lerner.

I'm not clear on -- on why we need to go into executive session, I'm sorry if I'm misunderstanding. I thought they were maybe going to give us an overview. Are they giving us some additional advice that needs to be...

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I think that for us to be able to initially flesh out where, you know, the needs are, I think as all complex legal challenges, having the opportunity to discuss with your attorney in private allows for a more thorough basis.

2.3

You know, I'm not sure the other -- you know, I've had the pleasure now of a few calls with the attorneys. I don't know if the other Commissioners have had the pleasure yet of asking tough, hard questions. And -- and so I think -- you know, and I -- and I'd like to turn it over to, you know, Roy and Brett. You know, a Commissioner is asking a question validly, what is your recommendation and why?

MR. HERRERA: Our recommendation is to go into executive session because the discussion may include legal advice and we want to have, you know, a robust discussion about that, especially now given it's the first opportunity that both lead counsels are here to give some information to the Commission, things that the Commission could consider; obviously later on, the Commission will receive some -- some training on open meeting laws. But for purposes of this agenda item, the discussion may include legal advice, and that's why executive session would be advisable.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: And, as usual, there's no action that is taken in executive session, and there will be

a detailed, thorough accounting and report of -- of what was 1 done without violating, obviously, the confidentiality of --2 3 of what transpires. 4 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Thank you. 5 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Thank you. 6 COMMISSIONER YORK: I move that -- this is 7 Commissioner York. I move that we go into executive session 8 for further legal discussion. CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Is there a second? 9 10 VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Commissioner Watchman seconds 11 the motion. 12 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Any further discussion? 13 Okay. Commissioner Watchman. 14 VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Aye. 15 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Mehl. 16 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Aye. 17 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Lerner. 18 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Aye. 19 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner York. 20 COMMISSIONER YORK: Aye. 21 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Neuberg is an 22 aye. 2.3 And, with that, we are going to move into executive 24 session in order to obtain legal advice to further implement 25 and advance the legal issues that I identified.

I do not have an estimate of the amount of time; I 1 apologize. It depends on our attorneys and how talkative 2 3 they are. All right. Please exit this link -- oh. We need, 4 5 I always forget to do this, we need a motion to go in -- oh. Commissioner Lerner left. It's my fault, we need a motion 6 7 to go into executive session --COMMISSIONER MEHL: Didn't we just do that? 8 COMMISSIONER YORK: We just did that. 9 10 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Oh. I'm sorry. Okay. Wе 11 will see you in executive session. Thank you. Bye. 12 (Whereupon the proceeding is in executive session from 9:25 a.m. until 10:03 a.m.) 13 14 15 16 17 (Whereupon the proceeding resumes in general 18 session.) 19 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Welcome back partners and 20 public. I'm not sure Commissioner -- Vice Chair Watchman is 21 here. 22 Are we fully staffed and ready to go? I do see our 2.3 counsel. 24 Okay. Is everybody on board? I believe I see our 25 team.

Okay. With that, thank you for everybody's patience with our executive session. As we discussed earlier that that attorney advice is really essential to help us make the kinds of decisions to protect, you know, our -- our broader project.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

We discussed a few things in particular and of course no action was taken. We discussed the value of looking into hiring expert witnesses and the need to do that promptly given that unique specialties are in high demand and we want to lock them in; things like potentially experts in the Voting Rights Act, statisticians, historians; we also discussed the importance of us to not only learn more about the State guidelines, but for us to collectively, you know, agree on policies as it relates to open meetings and -- and all of that; we discussed the importance of respecting our IT needs as it relates to hiring staff and -- and maybe most importantly with, unfortunately, the likelihood of litigation, making sure that we protect ourselves such that, you know, we can provide the information that's being asked of us in a timely manner so that our maps are not delayed; and as it relates to the expert witnesses to protect ourselves to defend whatever kinds of complaints that might happen.

So with that I thought it was excellent, you know -- an excellent opportunity to learn.

Given that there's no action related to that item, we are going to move on to Agenda Item No. VI, which is the Executive Director's report and discussion thereof.

2.3

And I will note there is a chance we will go into executive session. If we choose to do so which would be in regard to discuss budgeting issues, will we do so, and I will explain that rationale then.

With that, Brian, please. You're on.

DIRECTOR SCHMITT: Thank you, Chairwoman Neuberg.

Yasmin, would you mind putting the presentation up, please.

Sort of a quick update I'll start off with on the office front. Our current space is highlighted down there in the blue; we have to move sometime this summer, either June 1st or mid- -- middle of August, still working through that. The potential new space that ADOA identified for us is in green above. It's about this point the same square footage but a little cheaper.

The -- the green office part at the top is where the main office is and cubicles would be, and then the other rectangle one a little further down is -- would be a conference room and storage area.

We're still working out logistics and making sure we'd all fit in there and that it works, so should have more updates at future meetings on that.

Next please, Yasmin.

2.3

All right. So our current budget, like we spoke about before, is 500,000 and the fiscal year ends at the end of June. The last Commission went through their 500,000 the first year between investing in technology, attorneys, and starting public meetings; they did not have a problem going through that money.

So what I did want to discuss was making sure that before we run out of time, I've been talking to IT about getting the offices set up and computers and ordering them; it is towards the end of the year and other departments and agencies are also looking to purchase new equipment before the end of the fiscal year, so I want to make sure we get our offices outfitted with technology by the end of the year and also technology that we can use on the road for budget hearings.

For next year's budget, I've been in contact with the Governor's team. They are open to our proposal; they're advocating for it. It's in the \$6- to \$8 million range.

It's all very fluid; we're in a holding pattern right now.

One of the things that I was very concerned about was making the funds nonlapsing so that we can continue to use them even if we don't go through them, and -- and they were very open to that.

The other item for next year's budget was the

number of full-time equivalent positions; they had three, and I asked for at least five or six. This year we only have three so if we do make hires outside of the PIO, we will have to petition to get those positions, which is not a difficult process from my understanding.

But that's where I'm at with the budget right now and if anybody has any questions, I'll be happy to answer them.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Brian, this may bleed into the next agenda item and so it's really your choice, but you talked about the number of hires that you feel the Commission needs.

DIRECTOR SCHMITT: Sure.

2.3

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Do you want to discuss that now or would you prefer to discuss that in the -- in this next agenda item? I think -- okay.

DIRECTOR SCHMITT: We can talk touch on it here and then discuss it in more detail next.

The previous Commission had five full-time employees and then four or five part-time community outreach folks to help with the public hearings; some days they did multiple in different cities, so they needed help setting up and reaching out to different groups.

I thought a potential for us could be bringing a outreach person on full-time that can work in coordination

with the PIO, and so -- so that's how I got to the five or six.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Thank you.

Please.

2.3

DIRECTOR SCHMITT: So the new executive assistant starts next week, and so hopefully in the future we're going to have written meetings for you -- written minutes for you all and then start to provide daily news clips; if the Commission is mentioned or there's any pertinent article, getting them to you guys so you can kind of review them and see what people are saying out there.

Website, hoping to add an educational component shortly. I want to start adding more of the documents to the meeting agenda just so that the public can also have some background on what we're discussing; we also, I think, we're going to talk at a later agenda item, about having a section where we can put the, like, open meeting law complaints so the public can go on there and see them; and then just an updated contact form.

But also if the public has any additional requests or things they'd like to see on the website, they can go to our "Contact Us," and we'll definitely look into it.

And then just a last quick couple items. The Board and Commissioner's training is something the Commissioners will have to do. I will send out the link to that and if

you all can just let me know when it's completed, I'd appreciate that.

And then I have your phones, so I will get them to delivered as soon as possible.

That's all I have for now.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Questions of our Director?

COMMISSIONER YORK: Maybe I didn't see, at what point are we going to talk about org chart, the

organizational chart?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

DIRECTOR SCHMITT: In the hiring item that's next.

COMMISSIONER YORK: Okay. I'm sorry.

DIRECTOR SCHMITT: Oh, no.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: They bleed into each other.

It's one goes into the other.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Brian, thank you for your report.

I just have a question. Once you have staff and are able to do this, if we can get a better way to start receiving the public input, that would be helpful. But I know you need to get your staff on board first, but if that can be something that you can -- can work with them on, that would be appreciated.

DIRECTOR SCHMITT: Definitely. That will be a priority.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. Thank you.

And, with that, I think it just naturally blends right in to Agenda Item No. VII, discussion and possible action on public information officer and other potential hires and duties of all IRC staff positions.

2.3

I, again, want to alert the public we may need to go into executive session for the purpose of obtaining legal advice with respect to staffing issues. I will further clarify that rationale and the codes if we choose to do so.

So, with that, Brian please begin in public session.

DIRECTOR SCHMITT: Sure. So the one position that we currently have out there is the public information officer. I interviewed them on Friday, there was some great -- great candidates; narrowed it down, we're still going through the background check process, so I can't publicly announce it right now. There's no official offer that has been made yet and we're still kind of negotiating, but hoping to get them on board as soon as possible.

And if you want to talk about the candidate in further detail, e-session may be more appropriate just because where we are at with the hiring process.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. If there are further questions -- and before we go to e-session if it's necessary -- I prefer to have a conversation in public session about the other issues as well.

But -- but, you know what, I would love -- I know
Brian that -- that you are not making this recommendation in
isolation, meaning that you've been in conversation with
Commissioners and other people. Do -- I don't know if the
other Commissioners want to comment at all about your
supervisory role or what your feeling is about this
recommended hire.

2.3

Okay. It sounds like nobody has anything in mind. So, Brian, anything you want to discuss in terms of, you know, flushing out the organizational chart?

DIRECTOR SCHMITT: Sure. And I can give you a quick -- I'm trying to share it.

All right. Sorry about that, it's not -- sharing is not working.

But I'll just quickly run through what the previous two Commissions had and then my idea for what our org chart might look like.

So the 2001 Commission, their executive director had an executive assistant, an executive administrative assistant, a receptionist, and a press secretary that were direct reports; and then they had an administrative office -- officer, contract banking management specialist, GIS analyst and demographer, and outreach coordinator that reported to the executive assistant.

In 2011 they had an executive director, and the

deputy executive director and public information officer both reported to him; the deputy executive director oversaw the technology and other executive assistant and outreach representatives.

2.3

So what I was thinking for our org chart would be the executive assistant would report to both the Executive Director and create a deputy director/public records manager. With that position, I think it would be a good mix of -- at first we aren't going to have a ton of public records requests or data to deal with, it's more setting up the system, but we are going to have to be focused on the public hearings and the meetings, and making sure that we're adequately staffed so if we did want to do two or three different meetings throughout the state in a day, that we have ability to do so.

So under the deputy, I would have a public information officer, a community outreach coordinator, and then the information technology officer; and that's the full-time staff that I would recommend.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: So it sounds like in addition to what we have already outstanding, the positions that you would recommend -- and it sounds consistent with what legal counsel suggests -- would be a, you know, deputy director/public records expert who would handle the bulk of the logistical legal aspects of what we're doing; and it

sounds like community outreach in addition to a PIO, which I think is very consistent with -- with meeting our obligations to involving the state; and IT.

DIRECTOR SCHMITT: Yes.

2.3

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: And it -- and it sounds like that given, again, it's a crescendo with everything building, all merging on the same point, that there's probably a time-sensitive nature of getting, you know, job openings up and out there.

DIRECTOR SCHMITT: There is. And even with the public information officer, we're still two to three weeks out from her or him officially being on board.

And then I also think when we're talking through this technology piece, I know technology but not -- not that well; I'm not an IT specialist. So having someone on the team who can help build that system up, I think would be beneficial and would be a good next hire for us.

I'm talking to the State's Chief Information

Officer later today, so I should be able to get a better

grasp on what the State can provide for us and help us out
with.

Other than that, I think -- yeah. Like, yesterday when -- I don't think anyone was expecting the apportionment numbers to come out yesterday. It was kind of a surprise, and I don't want to be caught off guard if what if the

census numbers do come in a little earlier. I think it's important to get the team up and going as quickly as possible so we're not surprised and we can get going if we get the information earlier than expected.

2.3

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: So what do you need from us,

Brian? What -- what -- are there specific positions that

you're asking to post? And please suggest next steps and -
and we will entertain that.

DIRECTOR SCHMITT: Sure. I think posting -- I'll have to petition for the FTE positions, but then posting the three new positions would be the next step; I don't know if I would put a time frame on it like two weeks or do it until filled, just so we can get the team up and going.

So you got those three positions are important next step.

Yes, Commissioner Lerner.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: So it would be helpful for me -- sorry. Am I getting feedback? I'm not sure.

You said this and I know that it's difficult to present it, but the flowchart -- the organizations chart from the past two Commissions, I didn't see one for this, like how you are proposing it now. And it would be helpful -- I know some of these positions are needed, absolutely, and I don't want to hold you up, but I really don't have a good feel what we will be needing or advertising.

Would it be possible for you to provide us with an organizational chart as you would envision it, and then the job descriptions for each of the positions that you're talking about, 'cause you have to do that anyway prior to advertising, and I would like to see those before you actually get them out there. And perhaps we can also agree to review those and provide you with quick feedback because we always want to get things turned around.

2.3

But I'm having a little bit of a hard time envisioning it, because I -- I know we saw the previous ones, but the flow chart, I know you are unable to present.

DIRECTOR SCHMITT: Sure. And that, I've been working with HR and I was hopeful to have the job descriptions completed by today's meeting; we just ran out of time and -- but I should have them today or tomorrow. I'd be surprised if I don't have them by the time the meeting is over. So I will get you that and the org chart as well.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: And then if we have -- I guess I'm wondering, are we approving all of these positions now or -- because I'm a little bit concerned about approving them without having all that information in advance and having us have that discussion that we -- we need that. I'm not sure of the next steps for that.

Because I would like to see all of that information

prior to just approving several new positions versus one or two that we've been talking about.

2.3

DIRECTOR SCHMITT: And I -- I'll get descriptions this afternoon hopefully and more than happy to talk through it after that as well.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I also -- I -- I just want to mention that I want us to be careful that we don't cause delay; we have a lot of work to do, and we are woefully understaffed. And the three positions that our Executive Director has identified, I'm not sure, you know, how much deliberation we do, I mean, I think they're fundamental; we're going to need a deputy director/public records person, I mean, I -- you know, we are going to need an IT person.

And I would argue, and I mean this, I guess, is open for debate, I would say we need community -- community outreach person, just with the volume of community outreach we're already getting. And so we can deliberate on all of this, but I'd like to at least be able to move forward with giving Brian authority to get going, you know, with these hires; because if we don't, the -- if quality of our product is going to be hampered in my opinion.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Chair Neuberg, I'm not trying to prevent that, but I also want to make sure I'm understanding the full range of staffing that's going to be needed. Is this all of it? Are we going to be needing two

or three people later on?

2.3

That's why I'd like to see the flow chart and get a handle from our Director of what he believes his full needs are before we just sort of -- before we do sort of a one-at-a-time kind of approach. That's why I'm asking to get a better...

COMMISSIONER YORK: This is Commissioner York.

With respect to Commissioner Neuberg and Lerner, I kind

of -- I agree with Commissioner Neuberg to an extent, we did

already have discussions around two of those positions, so

maybe we give Brian the go-ahead to start recruiting those

jobs as he receives with job descriptions for the rest and

the org chart so we don't delay him, at least on technology

and public records.

VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: This is Vice Chair Watchman. At the very least, I'd like to see the org chart, you know, that way it outlines, you know, it looks like our Director had some time to put together the org chart for the last two, so he should be done with proposing an org chart. So if with could see that today, that would be very beneficial.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: That's always in some ways, though, our responsibility to give feedback to Brian. He shared with us the org charts from the first Commission, the second Commission, he's made a proposal for the org chart now -- I know we visually don't have it.

I -- I know we all like things, you know, upfront to know and I'm not sure we know some of the -- the more supplemental hires. Regardless of what ultimate org chart is presented, I think we're going to need these three positions; but what I'd like most is to find consensus with a way to expedite the process that everybody is comfortable with.

2.3

So what do my fellow Commissioners need to see?

And then, Counsel, are we allowed to move forward with posting this?

My concern is if we don't publicly approve these positions today, regardless of what due diligence you do in the next week, we probably can't approve these positions 'til next week and then post; and then you hire them in two weeks and then you give two-weeks' notice, I just want to let you know you're looking then at about five weeks from now.

VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Well, this is

Commissioner Watchman, at least the org chart, you know,

because I think it behooves us to put things in writing;

yes, it's recorded. But, you know, a picture -- a picture

does show a thousand words. And so in support of it and to

add to what Commissioner Lerner is saying, the very least

the org chart, I would like to see that. Because that way,

I understand, the public understands kind of how we're

thinking this through.

2.3

And so my point is that we had a nice Executive Director report, so some time spent on regurgitating that, so it seems like it's a ten-minute task to get to us, you know, a proposed org chart.

So I'll do it, you know, to get it done so that we have something to approve. I'd like to have something in writing. It behooves us to do that too.

Yes, we definitely have to be expedient, yes, we got -- you know, we got a big chore ahead of us, but we also have to document for the record vis-à-vis, you know, reports, I think it's in our best interest to do that, so.

DIRECTOR SCHMITT: And I am -- I just sent it to Yasmin to see if her sharing might work.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Yes, that would be so helpful. Thank you. \\$

DIRECTOR SCHMITT: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Can I ask the legal counsel while we're doing this, if we don't approve the posting of these positions, you know, no matter what due diligence we do, that nothing can be done until next week; am I correct?

MR. HERRERA: Well, my view would be that it would be in your best interest to approve these positions before moving forward. So that means reviewing the organizational chart this meeting, and then approving next meeting or doing

it all today, that's really up to the Commission.

2.3

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay, and while we have our counsel then responding, can -- can I ask: Is there concern about a delay about creating an IT team and a team that can handle public records?

So we're balancing a lot of needs right now. What -- what are the concerns about a delay in further staffing?

MR. JOHNSON: Well, I would revert back to some of the legal discussion that we had in executive session about the importance of these positions to some of the legal issues that we provided recommendations on in executive session; I don't want to go on to here. But I will -- I will reiterate that these positions are vital to get into place as soon as possible to ensure that we're in a position to be able to meet the demands of what the census department and the map consultant and everything else that's going to have to take place.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. But with that, we have our technology -- see, if we had an IT person.

MR. JOHNSON: Right.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: But we don't. But here's -- Yasmin is our IT person. Thank you, Yasmin. We have our proposed org chart.

Brian, please.

DIRECTOR SCHMITT: Sure. So as you can see, the executive assistant would report to the both the deputy and Executive Director; and then underneath them would be the IT officer, public information officer, and community outreach coordinator.

2.3

It also -- why I built it out like this was I thought we could, for the listening tour, we could break up into teams and try and hit two or three cities or places at once that are in one day; and so the PIO and deputy and IT could go to one spot, community outreach and Executive Director and executive assistant could do another spot, and just kind of ping-pong back and forth but lean on each other's strengths, so. My thoughts behind it.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: This is Commissioner Lerner. Thank you. This is what we were hoping to see. So I appreciate that.

So I -- I can easily support moving forward immediately with the information technology officer and the public records manager.

From my perspective, I'd like you to think through a little bit with number of different ways, you know, with maybe bringing in some people on a part-time basis, that's the one I think I would just like to have you think through and come back to us a little bit more detail about that —that particular position.

But I could support the IT and public records immediately to move forward based on -- on the -- what have you described it and what we've been talking about in terms of our reviewing it.

2.3

DIRECTOR SCHMITT: Okay. Thank you. And, yeah, I'm more than happy to share the job descriptions once I have them.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: This is Commissioner Mehl.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: I --

would therefore make a motion that we approve the position of deputy director/public records manager and the IT officer for Brian to move forward and to post those two jobs, and ask him to circulate job descriptions to each of the Commissioners for comment prior to posting the description, but for him to have the authority to move forward with that.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: This is Commissioner Lerner.

I'll second that motion.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Any further discussion?

Do -- do we need to clarify an end date of posting this job, any further direction about time frame?

You know, Brian, I mean, I defer to you, actually.

If we can keep it open ended provided that we're not legally then, I mean, what if we find the ideal person early, you know, then how do we close it?

I mean, you know, so I love it being open-ended, 1 2 but I don't want to hurt ourselves in the process. 3 DIRECTOR SCHMITT: Sure, and I think we're able 4 to -- I would want to double-check with HR and Legal, but 5 that we could post it and have it up until position is 6 filled. 7 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. Excellent. 8 So, with that, we have a motion to approve a deputy 9 director/public records person and an IT staff member. 10 further discussion? 11 Oh. Yes, Commissioner Lerner. 12 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Just a quick question. 13 Brian, can you do a minimum of something like that 14 to say open a minimum of two weeks and then open until 15 filled, or is there no minimum required? 16 DIRECTOR SCHMITT: I will find out; I don't know. 17 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. Is that going to affect our motion? Do -- do we give discretion for Brian to 18 19 post it with, you know, broad authority regarding the end 20 date? 21 COMMISSIONER MEHL: My motion did give him broad 22 authority, and we'll let him figure it out. 2.3 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. Okay. So do we need any clarification on that? 24

25

Who seconded?

COMMISSIONER LERNER: I did. 1 2 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Lerner seconded. 3 Any further conversation? With that, we'll do a vote to approve the posting 4 5 of two positions, the deputy director/public records and IT 6 position. 7 Commissioner Watchman. 8 VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Aye. CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Mehl. 9 10 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Aye. 11 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Lerner. 12 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Aye. 13 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner York. 14 COMMISSIONER YORK: Aye. Commissioner Neuberg is 15 an aye. 16 With that 5-0, we will post those two positions, 17 and Brian will come back to us to further discuss additional 18 community outreach positions. 19 With that, we will move to Agenda Item No. VIII, 20 discussion and possible action on options for mapping 21 consultants. 22 We have two options laid out in the agenda: 2.3 the scoring and evaluation of mapping consultant applicants; 24 or (B), the option for opportunity of offerers to provide clarification information. 25

Right now, in essence, we could move forward with the ranking and decision-making process; or, given the volume of public comments and the issues that are being raised, we can provide further opportunity for the offerers to clarify their positions.

2.3

I -- I'd say that there are two issues that we ought be thinking about as we think about this: One is for us to do due diligence in making the best decision possible with mapping consultants, so if is clarification we need, let's think about that; and the other is a responsibility to ensure that the procurement process is done with integrity and confidentiality.

And, with that, given I think the sensitivity of procurement and the decision that's ahead of us, I would suggest that the Commission vote to go into executive session.

And if somebody does so move, it will be for the purpose of obtaining legal advice pursuant to A.R.S. 38-431.03(A)(3), as well as for the purpose of discussion or consideration of records exempt by law from public inspection, including the receipt and discussion of information or testimony that is specifically required to be maintained as confidential by state or federal law A.R.S. 38-431.03(A)(2), pursuant to A.R.S. 41-2534(D), the content of proposal submitted under the State request for proposal

procurement process is confidential until contract award so as to avoid disclosure of content prejudicial to competing offers during the process of negotiation.

I know that's a lot of legalese. With that, I will entertain a motion to go into executive session to get guidance from our attorneys or procurement and these legal questions.

MR. JOHNSON: Chairman Neuberg, before you get that motion, I just want to make sure that the motion also includes inviting the technical representatives from ADOA and Attorney General's Office into executive session to help provide that guidance.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Absolutely. The -- the staff with procurement has -- as we've done, is necessary, absolutely, for this process.

With that, I'll entertain a motion to go into executive session.

COMMISSIONER YORK: This is Commissioner York. I so move that the Commission go into executive session.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Do I have a second?

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Commissioner Lerner. I

22 second.

23 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Any further discussion?

24 Commissioner Watchman.

VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Aye.

| 1 | CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Mehl. |
|----|---|
| 2 | COMMISSIONER MEHL: Aye. |
| 3 | CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Lerner. |
| 4 | COMMISSIONER LERNER: Aye. |
| 5 | CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner York. |
| 6 | COMMISSIONER YORK: Aye. |
| 7 | CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Neuberg is an |
| 8 | aye. |
| 9 | And, with that, we are going to go into executive |
| 10 | session and with no estimated time of when we're going to |
| 11 | come back, I apologize. |
| 12 | (Whereupon the proceeding is in executive session |
| 13 | from 10:43 a.m. until 11:01 a.m.) |
| 14 | |
| 15 | * * * * |
| 16 | |
| 17 | (Whereupon the proceeding resumes in general |
| 18 | session.) |
| 19 | CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. Looks like we have our |
| 20 | legal counsel and our Commissioners and our |
| 21 | transcriptionist; and, with that, we will get right back to |
| 22 | our public meeting. |
| 23 | We are still on Agenda Item No. VIII, thank you for |
| 24 | your patience while we were able to convene with our |
| 25 | counsel. |
| J | |

You know, based on, you know, learning, you know, our responsibilities and thinking through the options, we have two options: One was to move forward with the scoring and evaluation of the mapping firms we interviewed last week; alternatively, we explored the possibility of offering the offerers, those who were applying, the opportunity to provide clarifying information based on the volume and intensity of public comments that we've received.

2.3

It sounds like the best sweet spot for us may be to provide these firms an opportunity to respond to the complaints such that we can ensure we have a process of fairness and integrity with the firms in addition to ensuring our ability to do due diligence and do our research.

With that, one possible compromise and idea for us to consider that was raised would be for us to keep open public comments until Thursday at 5:00 p.m., at which we would close ourselves, the five Commissioners -- not the public but just the five Commissioners -- to a blackout where we would not allow ourselves to see any additional public comment; we will provide and -- and make available the public comments to the three firms that have submitted proposals, allow them to provide written clarification without the ability to alter their proposals, but provide a written clarification to the public comments by Monday, May

-- is that 3? -- I'm sorry I'm looking at my dates here -- yes, May 3rd; and then for us to be able on May 4th to be able to move forward to all information available to us.

2.3

So, with that, I open it up to comments, thoughts of the Commissioners, questions on your mind before we pose a motion. I would like to catch the public up to speed.

Okay. If nobody has comments, it means it was a consensus. It feels collectively we felt it was balancing the pros and cons we'd like to give the firms an opportunity to clarify their positions and do due diligence and ensure that legally we're honoring the integrity of -- of the procurement process.

With that, I will entertain a motion to, I guess, extend our exposure to public comments 'til Thursday at 5:00 p.m., at which point there will be a moratorium where the five of us would agree to not read or -- or, you know, expose ourselves to public comments; the firms will then be given the opportunity at the close of public comment to respond to us through written format, we will have that on Monday -- and, to be honest, I need to ask what time of day, we should clarify that.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: I would suggest noon.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Brett, did -- did you, I'm sorry, you kind of the cut out?

MR. JOHNSON: Sorry, Eric wasn't doing his job.

We recommended 8:00 a.m. We do think we appreciate the truncated process of -- but that this is normal in procurement and legal matters about that short time frame, it gives them three calendar days to put together the clarification; and the reason being that if it's received by 8:00 a.m., Yasmin needs the opportunity to collect all of those and get them out to the Commissioners and allow you at least a 24-hour period to -- to review those clarifications before coming back to session.

2.3

point.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I -- I like that 8:00 a.m. deadline. I believe all the firms are -- their eyes are watching, that -- that they're already involved, and they'll be able to honor this timeline; and -- and with all due respect, it gives us the time to read and study and do what we need to do so that by Tuesday's meeting we have what we need, you know, in our hands.

VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: So, Madam Chair, this is

Vice Chair Watchman. I so move with the motion basically
with what you indicated.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. Do we have a second?

Any further discussion with that?

Okay. With that, we're making a motion -
COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, I have a discussion

VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Who was the --

COMMISSIONER YORK: I'd like to add that we follow 1 2 the recommendation of Agenda Item VIII(B) also to the 3 motion. CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Yes. 4 5 COMMISSIONER YORK: The motion was around the time 6 frame, but that's what we're doing. 7 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Exactly. We are approving Item VIII(B), the opportunity for three mapping firms to 8 9 have access to public comments which are a matter of public 10 records anyway, and respond by 8:00 a.m. on Monday for the 11 Commissioners to have 24 hours to review in advance of our 12 meeting on Tuesday. COMMISSIONER YORK: Correct. 13 14 VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Correct. That's my motion, 15 Madam Chair. 16 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: And who -- and who is 17 seconding? COMMISSIONER YORK: Commissioner York. 18 19 Any further discussion? 20 Okay. Commissioner Watchman. 21 VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Aye. 22 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Mehl. 2.3 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Aye. 24 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Lerner. COMMISSIONER LERNER: Aye. 25

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner York.

COMMISSIONER YORK: Aye.

2.3

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Neuberg is an aye.

And, with that, the motion passes, and we will move on to Agenda Item No. IX, open meeting law training and discussion.

This is an incredibly important topic, open meeting laws tend to be the area in which Commissions like ours get in trouble.

I want to suggest that the Commission go into executive session which will not be open to the public for the purpose of obtaining legal advice with respect to open meeting law compliance pursuant to A.R.S. 38-431.03(A)(3).

I understand, again, there will be a frustration with the executive session. The intent of this is to provide us to have that attorney-client opportunity to understand the boundaries and to ask the sensitive questions; and for us to collectively come back and report on that, and literally memorialize or come to agreement with what our collective understanding of the open meeting law is and what we agree to comply to.

Given, again, the sensitivity and the importance of this, I think we will be well served to take advantage of the opportunity to consult with our attorneys in executive

session. 1 2 MR. JOHNSON: And real quick, Chairman --Chairwoman Neuberg, if I may, I just want to make sure that 3 it's clear that for this portion we do not need the 4 5 procurement officer and Jessica Klein, and that they can be 6 released from this meeting. 7 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: We'll miss them. Okay. Anything else? 8 Do I have a motion? 9 10 COMMISSIONER YORK: This is Commissioner York. 11 would like to motion that we go into executive session. 12 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Do I have a second? 13 COMMISSIONER LERNER: This is Commissioner Lerner. 14 I second that motion. 15 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Any further discussion? 16 Okay. With that, Vice Chair Watchman. 17 VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Aye. CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Mehl. 18 19 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Aye. 20 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Lerner. 21 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Aye. CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner York. 22 2.3 COMMISSIONER YORK: Aye. 24 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Neuberg is an 25 aye.

And, with that, I do expect this to be, you know, what do we say -- no time frame, but it will be lengthy.

Maybe an hour?

Up until Commissioner Vice Chair Watchman's recess.

Anyway, all right, we'll see you in executive session.

Thank you.

(Whereupon the proceeding is in executive session from 11:11 a.m. until 12:26 p.m.)

* * * *

1.3

2.3

(Whereupon the proceeding resumes in general session.)

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: With that, I think we can reconvene our public session.

Thank you for everybody's patience; we were discussing Agenda Item No. IX in executive session. We had the opportunity to receive advanced training from our legal counsel on open meeting laws.

The good news is the public, everything you missed you'll -- you will get to see hopefully next week; it was a thorough presentation of the nuances of the -- the requirements. Our counsel is going to come back and present a synthesis of what we collectively have talked about with what is comfortable and legally sound with regard to open

meeting, and we will present that to the Commission and to the public next week.

And, with that, unless there's any further clarification from our counsel or Commission members, we will move to Agenda Item No. X, discussion and possible action on census data and delay and ways to mitigate its disruption.

Yes, please.

2.3

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Just at some point, I don't know if this is possible or not, can we return to Item No.

VIII for clarification on a point that I thought about while we were having our previous meeting?

While we were in executive session something occurred to me. Is it possible?

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Is that something that relates to private legal counsel that we need to return to executive session for?

COMMISSIONER LERNER: No. It's just for clarification, it is not --

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. We have the authority to move back and forth to agenda items, so let's revert back to Agenda Item No. VIII.

Commissioner Lerner, please.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: So what occurred to me as we were -- is that maybe we can just share with the public

exactly the process that they need to follow for item (B), VIII(B). What is -- what happens are there -- there's going be a public comment period that we have, if people have already submitted public comments should they resubmit public comments? Should they be -- I guess it's a just a clarification on how with the public comment portal remaining open, I just wanted to make sure it's clear on if people have submitted, do they submit now between now and Thursday, or will all of those be compiled for the past week or so?

2.3

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Right. I mean, you know, my understanding, I mean, it's everybody is entitled to do what -- what they would like to do; we have collected public comments. We will continue to receive them until 5:00 p.m. on Thursday.

In terms of other legal questions if they're allowed to submit multiple ones or how to sift through that, I defer to our legal counsel.

MR. JOHNSON: Right, just for clarification. So the offerers -- the three offerers will receive an e-mail from the procurement officer from ADE SPO and who will lay out kind of what the requirements are underneath the procurement code. In regard to the public, the public can continue to make comments as they choose, if somebody wants to repeat their comments, obviously, that's allowed.

The public comment on the website will continue even past Thursday, what we want to make sure everybody understands is is that past 5 o'clock on Thursday, those comments will not be provided to the Commissioners for review as they're normally done, I understand from Yasmin, on a daily basis. Okay?

2.3

So if they -- if a person from the public wants to come on at 5:05 and make a public comment, that will still be posted; the Commissioners will just not receive that until after the evaluation process and after the mapping consultant is chosen. At that time, everybody will receive that.

And that that also provides an opportunity for what we call a blackout period between 5 o'clock on Thursday through the evaluation process, and allows the offerer on Monday at 8:00 a.m. to provide a clarification as to whatever they want, but they're not able to change their offer for consideration by the Commission during the evaluation process according to the evaluation criteria.

But, as always, encourage the public to comment as much as possible; but because we have a hybrid meeting law issue as well as procurement issue, we want to make sure that we are meeting our obligations underneath the procurement code and going into that blackout period.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Thank you.

That helps me too. Thank you.

2.3

That was all I needed Chair Neuberg. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Yeah, excellent

clarification.

Okay. With that, Agenda Item No. X, the issue of census data delay and ways to mitigate its disruption.

I have nothing to report. Anything from my colleagues?

Okay. With that, we'll move to Agenda Item No. XI, update, discussion, and possible action on Guzman complaint provided by legal counsel, including written response to the investigation inquiry.

The Commission may vote to go into executive session. If we do, I will give that rationale.

But to update the public and the Commission, when our attorneys were doing their due diligence to finalize the Howard complaint, we did discover that there was a third complaint by Ms. Guzman, it was filed on March 26th; it was dismissed on April 13th.

The complaint alleged that the AIRC violated open meeting laws and equal protection clause of the Constitution by appointing Brian Schmitt as our Executive Director; and, in essence, it was questioning for the justification for going into executive session.

The dismissal clarified that the Complainant failed

to state a claim upon which an open meeting law violation occurred and, in essence, was more about expressing frustration with the hire.

2.3

With that, in addition to notifying everybody about this complaint and its quick dismissal, I think it might be helpful for us to think about posting these complaints online along with the legal disposition of it, both for transparency purposes so everybody can be aware of these kinds of complaints. I think it's also an educational tool for understanding open meeting law and the rationale for going into executive session.

We're under a lot of scrutiny, as our public is thinking about our responsibility and whether or not they -- they -- you know, feel that we're violating a law, they could go through these complaints that have already been adjudicated, look through the rationale and reasoning, and determine upon themselves whether or not the time investment makes sense.

And so, with that, I open it up to questions about the complaint, about the dismissal, and about a policy of posting the complaints, redacting any -- I mean, the complaints -- the complaints are public record, the names are public, but we would go above and beyond to redact any kind of identifying address or anything that -- that would be problematic for the Complainant.

We're usually not this quiet. Nobody has an opinion.

2.3

I mean, so -- so generally, I mean, do you think it's a good thing? Does anybody have a problem with posting it online? Does anybody have a question of legal counsel with pros/cons, if it can get into any hot water?

I don't know if Legal actually wants to chime in while we're in public session just if you have thoughts about this.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: This is Commissioner Lerner.

I'm fine with posting it online. I think that way people

are aware of what's going on. I think it's a great idea

and...

COMMISSIONER YORK: This is Commissioner York. I think that we can only do it once -- once the compliant has been settled, though.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: It has been; it has been dismissed.

COMMISSIONER YORK: No, I understand that. But in the future, if there's future complaints.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: That's what I was thinking as well.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: If there's no further discussions or questions, if -- if somebody would like to entertain a motion to post the complaint and the

| 1 | adjudication, the response from the attorneys online, I will |
|----|--|
| 2 | entertain that motion. |
| 3 | COMMISSIONER LERNER: This is Commissioner Lerner. |
| 4 | I move so moved. |
| 5 | CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. Do I have a second? |
| 6 | COMMISSIONER YORK: This is Commissioner York. I |
| 7 | second. |
| 8 | CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. Any further |
| 9 | discussion? |
| 10 | Okay. We'll do a vote to post the complaints and |
| 11 | the responses online. |
| 12 | Vice Chair Watchman. |
| 13 | VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Aye. |
| 14 | CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Mehl. |
| 15 | COMMISSIONER MEHL: Aye. |
| 16 | CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Lerner. |
| 17 | COMMISSIONER LERNER: Aye. |
| 18 | CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner York. |
| 19 | COMMISSIONER YORK: Aye. |
| 20 | CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Neuberg is an |
| 21 | aye. |
| 22 | And, with that, the motion passes. |
| 23 | We will now move to Agenda Item No. XII, discussion |
| 24 | of future agenda item requests. |
| 25 | In addition to what has already been brought up |
| | |

today and referenced as a topic that we need to continue to debate and discuss, are there any other agenda items that anybody would like to propose?

2.3

Okay. As always, if in the next 48 hours -- you know, we like to get these agendas up early or before the deadline -- sometime on Thursday, please feel free to share.

With that, we will move to Agenda Item No. XIII, discussion and possible action on the scheduling of future meetings.

I think there are two items here. Number (A) is just travel schedule.

If there's anything that Brian has in mind. I know we're -- we're building a team and we're waiting a little bit on our IT and public information officer to truly flesh out a broader travel schedule.

But in addition to that, I would like to also bring up May 11th. We convene every Tuesday. On May 11th, I believe that Commissioner York has a hard stop at 1:00 p.m. We are in the midst of I think, you know, just, you know, a lot of work coming up, and it's possible that we -- we may need, and I don't know if we need to do a recess from noon to 1:00, so it's possible we would only have three hours to do work that morning --

MS. RAMOS: Chairwoman?

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Yes.

MS. RAMOS: This is Yasmin.

2.3

I believe it is 11:00 a.m. Is that not the case, Commissioner York? I think I read 11:00 a.m. instead of 1:00 p.m.

COMMISSIONER YORK: Well -- this is Commissioner

York. I mean, our meetings originally were scheduled from

9:00 to 12:00. I depart to go out of town at 1 o'clock; I

can go all the way to 1 o'clock on the 11th.

MS. RAMOS: Thank you for clarifying.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. So -- so -- with sometimes needing a recess at noon, I think we can reliably count on the 9:00 to noon hour on the 11th. My question is, I'm concerned there may be additional business that we may have; and if we don't plan for it now, it's very difficult to make happen both with our schedules and getting the 48-hour notice of agenda items.

Do we want to look at our schedules for a possible backup in case we have urgent business that needs to get done that week?

And it's also possible, I know that we're in the midst of some legal training. You know, we could even earmark, you know, if we're doing an additional day, you know, potentially loading some of the training on that day.

I just want to make sure we're giving ourself option to not cause any unintentional delays.

So I'm really asking Commissioners and legal 1 2 counsel, Brian, Yasmin, I mean our entire team. 3 COMMISSIONER YORK: The only other day I have 4 available was Monday. 5 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: You're not -- you're not 6 available on the 13th or 14th, the Thursday or Friday? 7 COMMISSIONER YORK: No, I'm sorry, I'm not. CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. Okay. But you are 8 9 available on Monday the 10th. 10 COMMISSIONER YORK: (No audible response.) 11 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. 12 COMMISSIONER MEHL: And I am not available on 13 Monday the 10th. 14 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. Well, this makes it 15 easy. 16 COMMISSIONER LERNER: And I have not sent this in 17 yet because it was a little bit in advance, but I may have a similar hard stop on May 18th. 18 19 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. 20 COMMISSIONER LERNER: And so I do want to -- maybe 21 we can figure out -- if we can figure out an alternative day 22 that week, if it's enough time. Maybe where we -- if we 2.3 need an extra time for a couple of hours, but I do want to 24 mention that. 25 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: And what time on the 18th,

Commissioner Lerner, is your hard stop?

2.3

COMMISSIONER LERNER: I can do the three hours.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. Your hard stop is at noon, okay.

My suggestion with this is maybe we -- you know,
Brian reaches out to Commissioners and attorneys and staff
and we can flesh out. I do think within those two weeks,
having that very narrow opportunity on Tuesday that's going
be a challenge I think, and I do think we're going to have
to find an additional day.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: Should we look at starting at 8:30 on those two Tuesdays?

COMMISSIONER LERNER: I could easily do that.

COMMISSIONER YORK: We could add a day the week of the 4th.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I'm amenable to that if our staff is amenable to that. Unfortunately -- and maybe we can report back to you next week -- when we can really think through our agendas multiple weeks out.

I'm just not sure that the three-and-a-half-hour block two weeks in a row while we're hiring our -- a broad team, is just going to be -- and while we're still receiving training, is going to be sufficient time.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: So, Chair Neuberg, if Brian maybe sent out a Doodle to the Commission --

1 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Yeah.

2.3

COMMISSIONER LERNER: -- over that two-week period. Whatever you use, Brian, it's what I use. I don't know, whatever tool you use.

COMMISSIONER YORK: Doodle works.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: And then we can see over the next two weeks where we can fit in some other time.

DIRECTOR SCHMITT: Sure. I'll get that out.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: And I would like to point out that since we're taping these trainings that if only one of us is going to be gone, then -- then they can still commit to reviewing those trainings separately, and then we could still be moving on.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I think that's a great point, Commissioner Mehl. But, you know, if at the end of, you know, that May 11th week Commissioner York is the only one gone, if we could tape the training and find a way to -- to maximize our time, we'll look into this between now and next Tuesday.

MR. HERRERA: Madam Chairwoman, just if you guys are considering changing the meeting time, I would not worry about legal counsel in that, we will adjust to whatever you guys decide to do that works for the Commissioners. So you don't need to worry about us.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. Thank you.

Okay. So -- so as of now, we can count on our meeting next week, May 4th at 9 o'clock as usual, and we will come back to you next week with our proposal for additional time and fleshing out those dates.

Anything else on future meetings?

2.3

With that, we'll move to Agenda Item No. XIV, which we did the announcement of our next meeting date: Next Tuesday, May 4th, 9:00 a.m.

Agenda Item No. XV, closing of public comments.

Please note members of the Commission may not discuss items that are not specifically identified on the agenda. Therefore pursuant to A.R.S. 38-431.01(H), action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing staff to study the matter, responding to any criticism, or scheduling the matter for further consideration and decision at a later date.

With that, a reminder as of 5:00 p.m. on Thursday, the Commissioners will have a blackout to public comment as it relates to the mapping firms until there is a hire.

With that, we'll move to adjournment, Item No. XVI.

I will entertain a motion to adjourn if there are no other issues to bring up.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Chair Neuberg?

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Yes.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Was I -- did I understand

1 that next week we have our new assistant coming in does this 2 mean that this is Yasmin's last day with us? Or, Yasmin, 3 will you be here next week? MS. RAMOS: I'll probably sit in with her just to 4 5 kind of guide her on how to do this streaming. So you'll 6 still see me. 7 Thank you. I just wanted to COMMISSIONER LERNER: 8 check. Thank you. 9 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Oh. I think, Yasmin -- I 10 think Yasmin is with us to the end, right? 11 MS. RAMOS: No. 12 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: No? 13 MS. RAMOS: To the end of today or next meeting. 14 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I'm not sure, we're going to 15 have to talk about this. 16 But thank you, Commissioner Lerner. Yeah, let's --17 she'll be on the spot next week. 18 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Yeah. Thank you. 19 VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Motion to adjourn, 20 Madam Chair. 21 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. Motion to adjourn. 22 Do we have a second? 2.3 COMMISSIONER YORK: I second. 24 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. 25 Vice Chair Watchman.

| 1 | VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Aye. |
|----|---|
| 2 | COMMISSIONER YORK: Did we close public comments? |
| 3 | I think we missed that. |
| 4 | CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: We did; I just did that. But |
| 5 | I forget all the time whether I vote for executive session, |
| 6 | so. |
| 7 | So we're voting to adjourn. Vice Chair Watchman |
| 8 | was an eye. |
| 9 | Commissioner Mehl. |
| 10 | COMMISSIONER MEHL: Aye. |
| 11 | CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Lerner. |
| 12 | COMMISSIONER LERNER: Aye. |
| 13 | CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner York. |
| 14 | COMMISSIONER YORK: Aye. |
| 15 | CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Neuberg is an |
| 16 | aye. |
| 17 | And, with that, we adjourn this meeting. |
| 18 | Thank you so much for everybody's partnership, and |
| 19 | I look forward to seeing you next week. |
| 20 | (Whereupon the proceeding concludes at 12:48 p.m.). |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

| 1 | |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | STATE OF ARIZONA) |
| 4 |) ss. |
| 5 | COUNTY OF MARICOPA) |
| 6 | |
| 7 | BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were |
| 8 | taken before me, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter No. 50127, all done to the best of my skill and ability; |
| 9 | that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to print under my direction. |
| 10 | I CERTIFY that I am in no way related to any of the |
| 11 | parties hereto nor am I in any way interested in the outcome thereof. |
| 12 | I FURTHER CERTIFY that I have complied with the |
| 13 | requirements set forth in ACJA 7-206. Dated at Litchfield Park, Arizona, this 11th of May, 2021. |
| 14 | |
| 15 | Angela Furniss Miller, RPR, CR |
| 16 | CERTIFIED REPORTER (AZ50127) |
| 17 | * * * |
| 18 | I CERTIFY that Miller Certified Reporting, LLC, has |
| | complied with the requirements set forth in ACJA 7-201 and 7-206. Dated at LITCHFIELD PARK, Arizona, this 11th of |
| 19 | May, 2021. |
| 20 | MCR |
| 21 | Miller Certified Reporting, LLC Arizona RRF No. R1058 |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |