THE STATE OF ARIZONA INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF VIDEOCONFERENCE PUBLIC MEETING

Via GoogleMeets

June 8, 2021

8:00 a.m.

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC PO Box 513, Litchfield Park, AZ 85340 (P) 623-975-7472 (F) 623-975-7462 www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com

Reported By: Deborah L. Wilks, RPR Certified Reporter (AZ 50849)

1	<u>I N D E X</u>	
2	PROCEEDING:	<u>PAGE</u>
3	ITEM I ITEM I(A)	4
4	ITEM I(B) ITEM II	4
5	ITEM II(A) ITEM II(B)	5
6	ITEM II(B) ITEM IV	6 7
7	ITEM V	8
8	ITEM V(A) ITEM V(B)	8 9 9
9	ITEM V(C) ITEM V(D)	9
10	ITEM V(D)(1) ITEM V(D)(1)(a)	9 9 9
11	ITEM V(D)(1)(b) ITEM V(D)(1)(c)	9 39
12	ITEM V(D)(2) ITEM V(E)	10
13	ITEM V(F) ITEM V(G) ITEM V(H)	10 39
14	ITEM V(H) ITEM V(I) ITEM VI	3 9 3 9 4 0
15	ITEM VI ITEM VII ITEM VII(A)	42
16	ITEM VII(A) ITEM VII(B) ITEM VII(C)	42 42 42
17	EXECUTIVE SESSION ITEM VIII	48
18	ITEM VIII (A) ITEM VIII (B)	5 6 5 6
19	ITEM IX ITEM X	5 6 5 8
20	ITEM XI ITEM XI	5 9 5 9
21	ITEM XIII	5 9
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PUBLIC MEETING, BEFORE THE INDEPENDENT	
2	REDISTRICTING COMMISSION, convened at 8:00 a.m. on	
3	June 8, 2021, via GoogleMeets, Arizona, in the presence	
4	of the following Commissioners:	
5	Ms. Erika Neuberg, Chairperson Mr. Derrick Watchman, Vice Chairman	
6	Mr. Derlick watchman, vice challman Mr. David Mehl Ms. Shereen Lerner	
7	Mr. Douglas York	
8	OTHERS PRESENT:	
9	Mr. Brian Schmitt, Executive Director Ms. Michelle Crank, Public Information Officer	
10	Ms. Valerie Neumann, Administrative Assistant Mr. Roy Herrera, Ballard Spahr	
11	Ms. Jillian Andrews, Ballard Spahr Mr. Eric Spencer, Snell & Wilmer	
12	Mr. Brett Johnson, Snell & Wilmer	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

2.4

25

1

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I think we have our entire team together, and with that I think we can get going, and the most important item being just congratulating the Phoenix Suns for a fantastic win last night and for putting most of us, I would say, in a really good mood.

Anyway, with that, Agenda Item No. I, call to order and roll call, I(A), call for quorum. It is 8:00 on Tuesday, June 8th, 2021. I call this meeting of the Independent Redistricting Commission to order.

For the record the executive assistant,

Valerie Neumann, will be taking roll. When your name
is called please indicate you are present. If you are
unable to respond verbally we ask that you please type
your name.

Val.

MS. NEUMANN: Vice Chair Watchman.

VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Present.

MS. NEUMANN: Commissioner Lerner.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Present.

MS. NEUMANN: Commissioner Mehle.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: Present.

MS. NEUMANN: Commissioner York.

```
COMMISSIONER YORK: Present.
1
 2
               MS. NEUMANN: Chairperson Neuberg.
 3
               CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Present.
               MS. NEUMANN: And for the record we also have
 4
 5
      our executive director, Brian Schmitt; our public
      information officer, Michelle Crank. From Snell &
 6
 7
     Wilmer we have Brett Johnson and Eric Spencer, and from
 8
     Ballard Spahr we have Roy Herrera, Jillian Andrews.
     And we have our transcriptionist, Debbie Wilks.
9
10
               Thank you. Back to you.
11
               CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Thank you so much.
12
               And please note for the minutes a quorum is
1.3
     present.
               Agenda Item I(B), call for notice. Val, was
14
1.5
      the notice and agenda for the Commission meeting
16
     properly posted 48 hours in advance of today's meeting?
17
               MS. NEUMANN: Yes, it was.
18
               CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Thank you very much.
19
               Agenda Item No. II, approval of minutes from
20
      June 1st, 2021. We have just the general session
2.1
     minutes. Is there any discussion?
2.2
               I'll entertain a motion to approve the general
2.3
      session minutes from June 1st of 2021.
2.4
               COMMISSIONER MEHL: Commissioner Mehle.
                                                          Move
25
      to approve the minutes.
```

```
CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: A second?
1
2
               VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Vice Chair Watchman
 3
      seconds.
               CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Any further discussion?
 4
 5
               With that, a vote.
               Vice Chair Watchman.
 6
 7
               VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN:
                                     Aye.
 8
               CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Mehle.
 9
               COMMISSIONER MEHL: Aye.
               CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Lerner.
10
11
               COMMISSIONER LERNER: Aye.
12
               CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner York.
1.3
               COMMISSIONER YORK: Aye.
14
               CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Neuberg is
15
      an aye.
16
               And with that the minutes are approved 5-0.
17
               We will move to Agenda Item No. III,
18
      opportunity for public comments, which are now opening.
19
      Public comment will open for a minimum of 30 minutes
20
      and remain open until the adjournment of the meeting.
2.1
      Comments will only be accepted electronically in
2.2
      writing on the link provided in the notice and agenda
2.3
      for this public meeting and will be limited to 3,000
2.4
      characters. Please note members of the Commission may
25
      not discuss items that are not specifically identified
```

on the agenda. Therefore, pursuant to A.R.S. 38-431.01(H) action taken as a result of public comments will be limited to directing staff to study the matter, responding to any criticism, or scheduling the matter for further consideration and decision at a later date.

1.3

1.5

2.1

2.2

2.4

And with that we move to Agenda Item IV, discussion on public comments received prior to today's meeting. I open the floor first to my colleagues.

Well, I have, you know, a few thoughts.

Number one, we definitely heard people about concern about the short notice of Town Halls and our public hearings. We will talk more about that later in our agenda, but please know there will be many, many opportunities to be interacting with the Commission.

More details to follow.

There were also a lot of comments about the idea of inviting the majority and minority leaders in our state legislature, and that, too, we will discuss later in this agenda. And I think those were really probably the two items that were -- that spoke to the public the most.

And unless there is further discussion on that, we'll move to Agenda Item No. V, executive director's report and discussion and possible action

on -- thereof, and I turn it over to Director Schmitt.

MR. SCHMITT: Thank you, Madam Chair.

First of all, I would like to take a second just to let our public information officer, Michelle Crank, introduce herself to you all in the public.

So, Michelle, if you just want to say hi and give a quick intro.

I think she's on mute.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

2.4

25

MS. CRANK: I guess I am on mute.

Good morning, again, Commissioners, and the -and the general listening public. I am so looking
forward to working with each and every single one of
you throughout this process and have been working very
diligently with Brian on implementing some things that
we -- that you all had discussed previously, so, again,
I look forward to -- to working on this. I -- I come
to you with an extensive background in public
information with various different organizations and
entities, so, again, I look forward to working with
each of you.

Thank you, Brian.

MR. SCHMITT: Thank you, Michelle.

All right. So on the office our scheduled move date is still June 26th. Still working out all the small pieces, but we should be fully in our new

office just five doors down in the next few weeks.

1.3

1.5

2.1

2.2

2.4

The budget is still working its way through the legislature. They're negotiating. I'm sure you all have probably seen. In regards to our budget, I will have some year-end items for you all next week, some initial legal bills and mapping expenses before the end of the year.

The two -- two of the three positions have been posted online, the deputy and the outreach coordinator, so if anyone is interested in applying they are online.

In regards to the IT migration, I've been working with ASET, the Arizona Strategic Enterprise Technology folks at ADOA, on the migration project.

It's taken a little longer than expected. The vendor that they were hoping could be our vendor recently signed an exclusive vending deal with Google so they only migrated people to the Google platform and not away from it, so we're working to find some other vendors who we think will hopefully get on board sooner rather than later.

On the website, shortly we'll have the public records request form on the website so folks can just go online and fill out the public records request there.

And then so I think the biggest part of the report today was the tentative travel schedule. I thought it would be important just to put together somewhat of a framework to get a conversation going, and I know everyone is kind of anxious to get the dates nailed down and get out and speak to the public.

Commissioner Lerner?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Before we jump into that discussion, could I ask a question on the positions? What is the closing date of the positions?

MR. SCHMITT: They are open until filled. There is no set date on them.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. Do you anticipate having a certain point when you start to look at applications, just so the public understands the timing of this, if there is an interest?

MR. SCHMITT: Absolutely. Why I have it open until filled is just I want to see how many applications we get and -- and just see how the process works. I'll include you all along the way and send the applications and interview questions, like we've done with the previous positions.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: So I was just wondering are you going to wait one week to do that review? Are you going to review two weeks? I'm just kind of

curious when you'll start your review process.

1.5

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

MR. SCHMITT: One to two weeks. I think it depends on the applications we get.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: And then the other question -- thank you.

The other question I had before we jump into travel, which will be a longer discussion, is on the website is it possible for us to not only post the minutes but the transcripts as they are completed? I know that they're a little -- you know, they're not always -- they're not always ready at the same time, but I think it's helpful so that people don't have to go watch the YouTube videos if they're curious about something. Can we -- adding that to the website?

MR. SCHMITT: So that is something that I've discussed with Chairwoman Neuberg and the attorneys before. I believe they had some concerns, so if anyone from legal counsel would like to speak to posting the transcripts.

MR. JOHNSON: So this is Brett Johnson. Just so I -- last Commission definitely they posted the transcripts, but one thing that -- and a lot of times those were not timely, and that was definitely an issue. Definitely by the time the Commission was done with the substantive work it was there. The only --

it's not a concern, but just want to make sure the Commission is aware of is that as this becomes a public document when we are posting it and there could be inconsistencies with -- although our transcription person is awesome in every single regard, those still have to be reviewed for a legal review to ensure that they actually match up to what the public record is. We could enter in some sort of disclaimer on that and not to rely on the transcripts and you have to rely on the actual video, which is the true thing. But I think the only concern really was from a cost standpoint to ensure that there was consistency between the audio/video and the actual transcripts, which is what we do when we're in litigation purposes.

1.3

1.5

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. So if we put in a disclaimer --

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: That -- that was my concern, I mean, you know, the amount of people power that would be required to ensure that the transcripts perfectly married the video. You know, we would be legally obligated to vote to say that happened. I mean, and why would we subject ourselves to that, you know, if we don't have to. But it's up to legal in terms of a disclaimer. I mean, I don't know if the disclaimer would hold.

just -- I think it had been mentioned on one of the public comments and just the idea if somebody is wanting to try to look up something that happened. I'm not tied to it, but I think it is something we should take a close -- closer look at it. I agree we don't want the people power of having to be sure that it's exactly precise, but if a disclaimer would take care of some of that maybe we can do that. Maybe we can find out if that would work, and if it -- if it would then we could post them, and if not then maybe we rethink that.

1.3

2.1

2.2

2.4

MR. JOHNSON: Commissioner Lerner, this is Brett Johnson again, and let us take a look at that as to what a disclaimer would entail and what kind of protections -- obviously, as we talked about before, you can never eliminate the risk, but we can try to mitigate as best as possible, which so long as the public knows that it's the minutes of the meetings as well as the audio/video of the Commission that is controlling, then we're not going to get into -- no offense, again, to the recorder -- a typographical error if we have to get in front of a judge as to what was -- what was the meaning.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: That sounds great.

MR. HERRERA: The only -- this is Roy. 1 2 only alternative, I suppose, is that when we talk about 3 them posting them quickly after the meeting, you know, the longer time period, runway, we have for that the 4 more time we have to make sure that it is correct, 5 right, so I suppose there is a difference between 6 7 posting the minutes the day after or a week after, 8 right, so it could be posted, but it may take a little 9 bit of time, so that's something to consider as well, 10 because I think that's what happened the last 11 Commission. They were posted, but it wasn't immediately after the -- the actual meeting. 12 13 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Sure. T understand 14 If we can just look at it and if it works, it 1.5 does, and if it doesn't, that's fine, too. I would 16 appreciate it. Thank you. 17 Thank you. Those were my two questions. 18 MR. SCHMITT: Any other questions before we talk travel schedule? 19 20 All right. So with legal counsel and our 2.1 mapping consultants we put together a very tentative 2.2 schedule, and we're hoping to get some input today so 2.3 we could start finalizing some of these dates. 2.4 heard from a couple of the Commissioners about dates

that might not work or just individual requests, so if

25

there is anything else anyone has, I would appreciate some --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

2.4

25

VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Madam Chair, good morning. This is Vice Chair Watchman. For June 17th in Coconino County one of the Commissioners -- one of the supervisors called me and indicated that the county is not going to really be open for public meetings until July, July 1st, and so -- but the county is certainly willing and, in fact, you know, eager to be a part of it, and so the challenge for them is that I think July 1st is when public meetings can happen. Likewise with Navajo. You know, we have June 24th for Navajo County and Apache County. I think the last time they had a meeting in St. Michael's, which is near Window Rock. At this time the Navajo Nation is not open yet for public forums, and so I would really like to have a hearing on the reservation. The option right now is only off Navajo, which I guess would be, you know, Holbrook or St. Johns, so we may have to split that up. So I think June may be out of the question for these two meetings.

And so the other thing is just logistics. I'm sure you're reaching out to folks. It would be nice to have the actual location and the time. I don't know if we have a time. I saw in the last go-around that some

hearings are meetings in the afternoon and some were at night, and that some were on actually on a Saturday or Sunday, so I think we need to think about that as well, you know, what's convenient for the public to meet with us and to provide their comments. And so it looked to me the last time most of the bulk of the meetings were in the evening time so we need to -- we need to think about that. So my sense is we probably have to get an idea of the venue, where it is, what time, and then that will kind of dictate, you know, whether or not these -- these scheduled Thursdays are good and whether or not they are actually open for public meetings. so, you know, despite the governor's rulings, the tribes, for example, they have their own laws to follow. So Navajo, I think Hohokam and some of the tribes may not be open right now. So -- so lots to think about as we further refine this schedule. you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

2.4

25

COMMISSIONER LERNER: This is Commissioner

Lerner. I'm glad to see us putting together a schedule

and getting -- you know, we're all getting ready to get

started to go out there. I would like to just talk a

little bit also in similar vein with Vice Chair

Watchman, potentially condense this a little bit.

Instead of over two months, see if we could have more

meetings per week to try to condense the schedule. I did look last -- at the last time, and I'm not saying we should do the same as last time by any means, but they had 15 meetings in a matter of three weeks. They really condensed it as part of it, so then they were able to actually take some time afterwards to think about the comments. In this case we're stretching them out over about four and a half months with two per week, which may be okay, but I also think we might want to look at some Saturdays as well for people in terms of work schedule.

1.3

1.5

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

Also, travel schedule for some folks in the more rural areas, if they do want to attend they might have to drive an hour or two to come to a meeting, and if they are having to drive that it might be better on a Saturday for them rather than in the evening after a meeting ends at 8 or 9 or 10:00 than to have to drive home from that, if they want to attend in person.

I also think our technology is so much better than last time that we can do a lot to maybe have some opportunities, some ways for us to both have people come in person as well as call in or Zoom in in some way. In looking at last time I know they had some remote -- I don't know how that worked. I have no idea. But I noticed, for example, they had one in Yuma

and then it said Parker and San Luis remotely, so that people didn't have to drive. So if our technology is up to it, it would be nice if we could sort of condense, and that way we can actually increase the number of places for people.

1.3

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

And I think that's probably what you were thinking when you put things like Coconino, Northern Arizona, that you're actually thinking of grouping people. I would assume, Director Schmitt, that's part of what your logic was.

MR. SCHMITT: Yeah. This is a just a very bare bones, get-the-conversation-going kind of schedule, and then we can build from there.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: And so from my

perspective it might be easier for us as we jump into

this to start actually in either Pima or Maricopa

County because it's local and we're here. We can kind

of work out the bugs of how the system will work a

little bit before we do our -- start our travel in some

of those areas, just because of -- as we're working

through the process. That was -- these are just some

thoughts that I had as part of it.

On a bigger issue, because the order of things -- I mean, I can't make all of the days, either. There are some, but I know that we don't all have to be

at all of them, and maybe some of us could call in, Zoom in or something or -- I think it's important for us to talk about how we structure the meetings before we jump in next week to our first meeting, because we haven't really had that conversation, either, of how are we going to hold the meetings, what are we expecting in terms of the amount of time that somebody speaks, you know, things like that, the basic parameters for those public meetings. I think how should we -- how should the meetings be run as part of that, so -- and that's part of my thought, too, is that maybe this discussion can include sort of what's -what's a regular agenda for the meeting, what should the public expect if they attend in terms of what their opportunities to comment would be as well as what they expect from the Commissioners. These are just some initial thoughts.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

MR. SCHMITT: Thank you, Commissioner Lerner. And I think that can be part of this conversation and probably should be. Last time I know they had a presentation by the mapping firm at the start and then opened it up to the public. They had a little more data than we did at that point. So, yeah, I think it should be a little more structured, and we really let the public know what we're looking to hear.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: And our ideas from the Commissioners now about what you would like to see during this listening tour, because I do think it's a unique tour. We don't have data, and so, you know, I just want to reassure the public that as much as there will be a lot of focus and attention and, it's, you know, a great opportunity to communicate to the Commissioners over the summer, you know, much of this is going to be repeated when we have data, and we're going to come back to your communities with more specific data. And so in some ways we could almost see this as, you know, just additive in terms of data collection. But, yeah, I mean, let's have, you know, from my perspective, you know, public meetings over the summer, I'm particularly interested in hearing who feels that they're a community of interest, why are they a community of interest, how have the districts performed for them in the past, what do they feel has not, you know, worked for them. And so I do think it would be helpful to have a little guidance to, you know, be trying to find the information that would be helpful for us to do the end product. I know our mapping firm will, you know, like to work on some kind of stock presentation with the guidance of legal. So, yeah, I think it's exciting.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

2.4

25

1 What -- what other ideas do people have?

1.3

1.5

2.1

2.2

2.4

COMMISSIONER MEHL: This is Commissioner

Mehle. I strongly agree with the items that you
outlined, Chairwoman, and I think those -- the
communities of interest and how the current districts
have worked or not worked, people's comments about how
they're grouped with or not grouped in a way that they
think are important, since we don't have data those are
going to be by far the most important things that we're
going to hear from the public.

And I also agree with trying to condense and group our meetings more than what the schedule shows and still trying to get them in by that August 16th date so that when we do have data now we can really turn to that.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Can I just ask a question? And I don't mean anything by the question. I'm just curious: What's the value of condensing the schedule as opposed to spreading it out? You know, we have a broad team. We have the five Commissioners. We have an entire staff. We have, you know, legal counsel. We have now, you know, more and more people. What -- help me understand what we get out of a condensed schedule versus a, you know, more relaxed schedule.

I think we just heard from Commissioner Watchman some concerns on some of the June meetings up north, and I think we need to respect that. And then I do think if you can condense it somewhat that it may be easier for some of us to make several meetings back to back as opposed to having them all spread out, but I don't feel strongly on that.

1.3

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: So, actually, what you're saying is condensing it means somewhat postponing it a little bit to give us advance time to figure out what works for the communities that we're going out to visit with. Now I get it. Okay.

agree with everything you said in terms of the -- what we're looking for from the public. I was thinking, too, in addition to that guidance on, you know, do we give everybody two minutes, three minutes, four minutes, you know, some things like that so people come prepared, because if they know they have a three-minute speaking time they prepare for that. So there is little things like that that we could tweak as part of getting that organized.

But in terms of what we're hoping to hear, I agree with everything you've said with regard to

communities of interest and what has worked and what hasn't. We would also, I assume, want people to identify themselves with who they are, how they're related to the district that they're in or to the location that they're in, so to me the priority should be the people who reside in that general area for speaking versus people who are coming from outside of that. Not that they -- everybody shouldn't have an opportunity, but if we're in the Safford area I'd rather hear from people in that general region first before people who are coming from an outside area, just as a thought as part of that.

1.5

2.1

2.2

2.4

So and in terms of condensing, I think -- I do think we need to give some, yeah, some opportunities for these areas to also get the word out as part of it. For me, this is just my own thing, when I hear -- it's easier for me to kind of think about everything I've heard versus what I heard a month and a half ago in thinking about it, you know, that much later. If I hear things in a shorter amount of timeframe I can kind of do some comparisons and sort of see what patterns that we're hearing. I'm not tied to how we condense or any of that, but that's part of my -- why I was thinking of condensing it a little bit instead of spreading it out.

Mehle. I think one of the other dynamics that we can anticipate is that many people will share the comments. So someone will speak and hopefully speak well, and other people will agree with it. And I think we need a technical -- technological way for people to just let us know there is someone else who agrees with what was just said without making them come and repeat the whole thing again, so if there is a way we can handle that I think that would really be helpful.

1.5

2.1

2.2

2.4

MR. SCHMITT: That's a good idea, Commissioner Mehle.

One other item that I talked about with the mapping firm was they have the ability to put together a survey that we could give to people ahead of time, so they could circle the areas they're talking about so that it could be displayed on the screen when they get up to speak, so we're working through that piece. And I'll check with them to see if there is some way that we could integrate that as well.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: As another question just as we're thinking about this, have we looked into having things like ADA requirements, sign language, things like that as part of these?

MR. SCHMITT: Yes. We are looking into that, and thank you for your suggestion on that, Commissioner Lerner. We're looking into that and court reporters and translators and all of that.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Thank you.

1.5

2.1

2.2

2.4

MR. SCHMITT: That will be an element of it.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: And I'll just say I like the idea of having something that maybe, as
Commissioner Mehle said, if we have sort of a running list of maybe comments people have made that is on the screen somehow then somebody might sit back and go,
Well, everything I wanted to say is done. I don't need to come up and say that. They could maybe just submit a card that says agreed or something. I think that's a great idea as part of it, although I know a lot of people like -- would want to hear numbers, right.
Maybe at the end of the meeting there can be a little bit of a discussion of do most people agree with the following things, and that way instead of them coming up they can get a vote. I don't know. I don't know.
That's part of where I guess we need to think about it.

MR. SCHMITT: Absolutely. And with the survey, too, we could look into an after-meeting report that people could comment on something like that as well, so --

COMMISSIONER LERNER: That's a great idea.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: I agree people are going to want to make sure that we as Commissioners know there is another person who agrees with it, so they need to be able to register that agreement in some formal fashion.

1.5

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: And related to this, although it's slightly different, and this may be a question for Michelle, our public information officer, what is our strategy to ensure that we're tapping into -- to the whole state, not just people who know about us, but -- but for us going and finding them.

That -- that's my concern. My concern is understanding the people who would otherwise just fall under the radar. And so, you know, in our conversation, you know, Brian, Michelle, I would like to hear what kind of ideas we have to make sure we fully understand our state.

MR. SCHMITT: I think you're muted, Michelle.
MS. CRANK: Thank you, Commissioner Erika.

I think both Brian and I are looking at doing a number of things in making sure that we do reach the entire state and we do reach most of our, if not all of our public, and even concerned with the areas that Commissioner Watchman had -- had addressed earlier. We

know that there has not always been that broad overreach to the entire state of Arizona. There are some communities and some areas that we -- that have been missed in the past, and so I think we're going to utilize the aggressive approach of doing media releases, media alerts, public alerts, and making sure that we fall within the legal parameters when we do utilize our social media technology, whether it's Facebook, whether it's Twitter, or any of our social media technology. We need to make sure that we meet with our legal team and -- as individuals might comment on a lot of those social media aspects. We know that social media has gotten to be quite extensive over the pandemic, and virtual Zoom meetings, those types of meetings have been -- become an even greater part of our lives in reaching out to -- to the public and to the audience, so we will be exploring a lot of those -a lot of those pieces.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

2.4

25

And as you look at -- as you look at social media you can do a target audience. You can target certain areas within your social media parameters and get the information out to a certain segment, to a certain group of individuals, to certain demographics, and so we'll utilize a lot of those -- a lot of those pieces once we get, you know, the legal -- legal

opinion in making sure that we're staying within those parameters and not stepping outside of those parameters.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

2.4

25

And I think -- I've worked -- I mean, I worked with Director Brian in putting together a slide presentation, and we will have that slide presentation available, whether it's a video type presentation on our social media. So I do believe that within that slide presentation it outlines the parameters of our comments and what we're looking for from our -- from the communities that we will be visiting. And, again, looking at translators, bringing in translators and utilizing probably a lot of virtual-type situations for those communities that we cannot, you know, hold public meetings as of yet, like Commissioner Watchman had mentioned with some of the tribal communities. They're not allowing non -- non-tribal residents into those communities as of yet, so we'll be addressing some of those areas as well.

So I'm looking, you know, pretty diligently.

We've already drafted a press release, and I've given that to Director Brian so he can take a look at it, and we will utilize a lot of -- a lot of our social media once we get the approval from our legal team on the parameters.

So I hope -- I hope that kind of gives you a little bit of steps that we're looking at, Commissioner.

1.3

2.1

2.2

2.4

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: It does. Thank you. It gives me confidence. You know, I appreciate that your eyes are on that and there is a plan, so thank you.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Michelle, this is

Commissioner Lerner. Just I assume also you'll be

working closely with whatever -- wherever we are. So

if we're in Flagstaff, for example, you'd work more

closely with Flagstaff to publicize, you know, the

city, the county, and that area to help publicize those

things, as well any local organizations, the Chamber of

Commerce, and any others that would be interested in

this and getting the word out as well.

MS. CRANK: Yes, Commissioner Lerner. I'll be working with each of those communities before we go out to those communities and making sure that they have the ability to get our information out, whether it's via their local publication and/or their local media and/or their local social media. I think a lot of the communities have a social media platform, whether, like I said, it's Facebook or something of that nature, making sure that we get the information out to -- to those communities in advance, and they -- and they have

the ability to engage their communities.

1.3

1.5

2.1

2.2

2.4

MR. SCHMITT: I think it will be important to really tap into those systems that are already built and utilize them to get as many people notified and aware of what we're doing as possible.

One other thing that we could do is as we set up the listening tour we could reach out to local reporters and see if they would be interested in interviewing any of you ahead of time to maybe get some more coverage. Just another thought.

MS. CRANK: We'll be sending out those media alerts at least -- at least a week before -- before the meeting to let the communities know, and those media alerts will definitely go to the local publications, the local social media, so just to let you all know that the information will be -- will be presented at least -- at least a week before our meeting is scheduled.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: This is Commissioner

Lerner. Just as a suggestion that if we -- if we can

finalize this list and location and get that out to the

media early people can plan, because one week may be

difficult for people to plan ahead. They may need more

time than that, especially in the more rural areas

where it may take more of an effort to be able to

attend, so I think that's part of -- as much as I want to get on the road and listen like the rest of us, I think that's part of why I think we need to work a little bit more on the structure, getting things finalized, at least to have maybe the first three or four meetings finalized so we can -- a couple of weeks out so we can get that information out to people and have the schedule pretty well finalized of where we're going to be.

1.5

2.1

2.2

2.4

And I know there is a lot of work that has to be done for this in terms of finding location and working with local officials and local contents. It's a lot of work to put together a meeting schedule like this, and I appreciate all the hard work that you'll be doing for this. But that would be my suggestion is maybe we put off this for a couple of weeks to give you the chance to really work through those details and then we can set the meeting schedule.

And then as we're looking at the proposal for numbers of meetings, my only other suggestion would be to consider adding another meeting in the Phoenix area. We are a very large area, and we only have two meetings, and it might be helpful to have a third, whether it's doing it east, central, west, or however it is that you'd want to schedule it, but that might be

helpful to have another one because of the population size. And I see that there is one in Pima County, and I'm not sure if there is a second one, but, again, because Tucson is a very large area we want to be sure to have good coverage in the southern part as well. We want the coverage all over the state, but those high populations centers may need more than one or two in some way. We might want to just add one or two more.

2.1

2.2

2.4

MR. SCHMITT: Sure. I will definitely look into adding that. Mayor Gallego did reach out yesterday to offer the use of any city facilities for us to use for our meetings, so --

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Lerner -MR. SCHMITT: -- that will be helpful in
adding -- adding another one or two.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Lerner, I want to thank you for acknowledging the amount of work that has already gone into this. I know it's frustrating for people that, you know, there is not, you know, a lot of advance timing, but, you know, Michelle, what is this, your fifth day on board? And, you know, prior to Michelle on board, you know, we have Director Schmitt, you know, basically running from, you know, department to department to department, and -- but what's exciting is that we are kind of all coming

together. We have these additional hires coming on board, and, you know, I think it will be a little smoother moving forward, and it is exciting.

1.3

1.5

2.1

2.2

2.4

The other thing I just want to mention that I think this conversation also helps us understand the value of the preplanning, and given that we know now -- I don't want to say know. We can estimate when we're going to get that initial grid map. We can have more, you know, forethought about our travel schedule and alert the public well more in advance and have things a bit more organized in the fall.

VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Madam Chair, this is

Vice Chair Watchman. A couple other comments. I

notice that on some of our weekly meetings we're

combining it with a Town Hall. I'm inclined just to

have the meeting only and then look at the Thursday,

and maybe -- especially if we truncate our meetings in

July I think that's where we're headed. You know, we

look at our tour to start on Thursday and a Saturday,

and for the Thursdays I think preferably in the evening

is probably a good time. And then of course if we look

at a Saturday, which I think may have to happen midday,

so, but I would rather have our meetings just on

business at hand and separate that from the hearings

so -- because I know that we have like, for example, on

June -- what is it -- June 22nd it's combined,

July 13th and July 27th. So just a thought. I'd like
to have it separate so that we just have the meeting
only and get the business done, because we have to meet
obviously to decide -- approve different things like
expenditures and whatnot, so my thought is to keep
the -- again, the hearings separate from our -- our
weekly meetings and then look at Thursdays. Thursday
is a good time for me, especially in the evenings. And
if we do add a couple of more meetings for Maricopa
County, then a Saturday, likewise, in Tucson.

1.3

1.5

2.1

2.2

2.4

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: If we're going to be regularly scheduling weekend meetings, I think we may need to have occasionally Saturday, occasionally Sunday. You know, different religious groups observe Sabbath on different days. There is a lot of sensitivities there. So, you know, maybe we don't want it to be just every week a Thursday, Saturday, because somebody who works on Thursday and is Jewish, then, oops, you can't do that. So we'll take a look at maybe having enough flexibility to tap into, you know, the broader public.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: And if I could ask maybe on the Tuesdays, my -- and I may not have understand understood this correctly. I thought we were actually

going to have -- we would have a regular meeting, and then that evening there might be another meeting. We weren't combining them, right, or were we? Because that was my impression, so I may be misunderstanding how the schedule was reading, but I was thinking we would actually -- it was just we would do our regular meeting in the morning, or I guess we could do it in the afternoon, and then we would break and then have an evening meeting, but were you thinking of combining those?

1.3

1.5

2.1

2.2

2.4

MR. SCHMITT: Those proposed Town Halls -- oh, sorry. Yes. So those proposed Town Halls we're combining business and our regular meetings to give an online -- to give people the option to participate online with focuses on different regions. I know it makes it a little more difficult to do that being online, but it was just giving an option. But if the Commission would like to just do business meetings on Tuesday, that's definitely workable.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: It may be more -- if you think about, you know, I'm thinking in July how much regular business are we going to be talking about beyond the substance of what we're doing? And so if you have, let's say, just an hour and a half of business, if we're all blocking off our schedule anyway

and it's virtual, maybe it's more efficient to combine them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

COMMISSIONER LERNER: And, Chair Neuberg, that's what I -- I would be okay with that. potentially could move those business meetings into the afternoon instead of the morning if we have short ones and do something like from, you know, 3:30 to 5:00 for business, take a break, and then at 6:00 come back for evening meetings and then have the public meeting at that time if it's -- if it's virtual. Something like -- I don't know. I'm just throwing that out there as a possibility. As you say, we may not have as much business, but it might be an opportunity then for the public instead of dividing up our day morning and then evening, because I agree with Vice Chair Watchman that evening meetings would probably be better for people who work and can maybe attend at that time as well, so if we did it that way it might not be as difficult.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: I'm good with combining meetings, and if the timing that Commissioner Lerner just said works better for the public, then I'm fine with doing it that way.

MR. SCHMITT: Unless anyone else has anything, thank you for all your comments and thoughts on the tour. We'll work on putting together a little bit more

condensed schedule and adding some of those locations that you mentioned and come back with a full plan for what it would look like, timing, location, all those kinds of things, so we'll get working on it.

1.5

2.1

2.2

2.4

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Vice Chair Watchman, it looked like you may have had a comment.

VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: No. I was just going to comment that I agree with my fellow Commissioner Mehle and Lerner that, you know, for our Tuesday regular meetings move that to the afternoon and have regular meetings in the afternoon or vice versa. I think that will work. So combining a hearing with a regular meeting could get in the way, so if we can separate that and switch I'm okay with that. So I just want to make -- my point is that I would like to have a meeting just for business, you know, and then we separate that with another meeting that day and that that meeting is a hearing. So I'm agreeing with Commissioner Lerner and Commissioner Mehle. I think that will work for me.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: This is Commissioner

Mehle. Brian, I would suggest that if you put together
a revised version 2 of a possible meeting schedule that
you don't need to wait for next week fully. You could
circulate that to the Commissioners and start getting
feedback as soon as you're able to do that, and that

might help you then refine that prior to next week.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

2.4

25

MR. SCHMITT: Absolutely. We will start working on it once we're done with this meeting this morning and then start circulating it.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Again, this is

Commissioner Lerner. I do want to thank you all for your hard work on getting this started. It's been something we've been thinking about, so I appreciate the work you've put in.

And the other last piece -- last mention is that you might want to just think about since we're able to do this now more efficiently than in the past maybe having one or two that are just virtual meetings in general. That would allow people to attend, potentially adding that, but as you're thinking through this again. Thanks again for the work.

MR. SCHMITT: No problem.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: And also having hybrid events. I mean, you know, it doesn't have to be one or the other. I mean, you know, it's -- if you're going to go out into a rural community and you're physically there, you know, why not open it up to the residents who can't physically come in?

Thank you, Director Schmitt.

MR. SCHMITT: Thank you, Chairwoman.

1.5

2.2

2.3

2.4

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: And you have the -- so I think there is an Item H, discussion. Yes, you have a couple more items.

MR. SCHMITT: Okay. Thank you.

So speaking with our legal team they thought it would be a good idea to reach out to the state's chief information security officer to see if they would be willing to come and do a presentation for us to just kind of let us -- let us know what to look out for and the resources that they could provide to make sure once we have the data everything is secure and we're in a good spot, so I have an email into them asking them to join us for a presentation, so hopefully they will be able to in the next few weeks.

And then I think the only other item was the training of staff. Valerie and I have completed all of our training. Michelle is working through hers now so that should be done shortly.

I think that is all I have for today.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. And any updates on mapping, training the Commissioner, staff and legal counsel from --

MR. SCHMITT: Yes. I've been working with our mapping consultant to set up a training with ESRI for

you all in the public so that will be in the next couple of weeks.

1.3

2.1

2.2

2.4

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. Excellent.

And item -- in addition to the cyber security I think we also have to unfortunately be sensitive to physical security when we are out and about, and so, you know, we will look into what kind of precautions are necessary.

MR. SCHMITT: Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: All right. Thank you so much, Director Schmitt, for that report and for your hard work.

With that we will move to Agenda Item No. VI, discussion and possible action regarding invitation to legislative leaders to present on Constitutional criteria and role of legislature report. If necessary to go into executive session I'll explain and provide the rationale, but prior to that I'll open it up to discussion with my colleagues. I alluded earlier that that was a hot spot in the public comments. So updates, thoughts, reactions.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: This is Commissioner

Mehle. I agree with many of the public comments that

it's not a good idea to have the legislators present.

I think they have an official role to present after we

have draft maps, and I think to have them sooner than that is not -- is not wise.

1.3

2.2

2.3

2.4

VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: This is Vice Chair
Watchman. I agree with Commissioner Mehle. They have
their statutory role, just like us, and we need to
maintain our independentness, and so I would be
inclined, you know, not to move forward with this item,
and once the maps are done they certainly can offer
their comments.

Lerner. I also agree that it would not be the time to have them come in. They have a Constitutional time that they can, and I would like to keep it. But, you know, I invite anybody who wants to come to our public meetings to speak at our public meetings, and that would be the appropriate time for any of our elected officials to participate, just like the rest of the public.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. I think the community and the Commission has spoken. And so with that I don't think we need to have a vote because there is nothing that we're going to be doing on that item.

Thank you for -- everybody for your thoughtful

conversation. You know, it's -- you know, it's sad to me. You know, maybe in another era just, you know, the tradition of it would have, you know, been something nice to celebrate and feel. I'm sorry for, you know, our state, our country that we're not in this position to be able to feel good about that, but maybe with our work, you know, we'll help instill a little bit more trust and confidence.

1.2

2.1

2.2

2.4

So with that very quick discussion, we'll move to Agenda Item No. VII, discussion and possible action on legal counsel briefing. There are three aspects to this item. One is public records, records retention — that was posted as Attachment B — and open meeting law guidance, which was posted as Attachment C. I believe that we may be ready for approval for, you know, supporting that and putting it up for public posting. That's one item.

A second item is I don't think we're quite ready to have that agreement on ethics guidance. I know I personally have an additional question that I would like legal guidance and counsel on, and so for Item No. B I'm going to suggest that we have a vote to go into executive session. I will read the explanation when that is relevant.

And then Item C, the status of the stock IRC

presentation discussion and/or approval, as we alluded to earlier when we're on the road and we're having these public presentations what kind of presentation, you know, we would like to present at all our public meetings.

2.3

2.4

Second.

So maybe we can address the public items first regarding Agenda Item A. I believe that the Commissioners have had time to read the guidance on public records and records retention and open meeting law. Any conversation? Okay. I'm going -- you know what, I'm comfortable with it. I think it's the product of many, you know, sessions of training and thought. I would like to thank our counsel for their guidance and for their efforts in synthesizing the reflections of the Commissioners, and if there is no other, you know, comments I'll entertain a motion to approve the guidance in Attachment B and C on public records and open meeting laws and for us to post that publicly.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: This is Commissioner Mehl.

I move -- I move the above.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Do I have a second?

COMMISSIONER YORK: Commissioner York.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Any further

```
conversation? Okay. With that we have a motion to
1
2
      approve the quidance on public records and open meeting
 3
      law quidance.
               Vice Chair Watchman.
 4
 5
               VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Aye.
               CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Mehle.
 6
 7
               COMMISSIONER MEHL: Aye.
 8
               CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Lerner.
9
               COMMISSIONER LERNER: Aye.
               CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner York.
10
11
               COMMISSIONER YORK: Aye.
12
               CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Neuberg is
1.3
      an aye.
14
               And with that a 5-0 vote to approve these
      quidance ethics and put them online for public posting.
15
               I'm going to ask Counsel before we address
16
17
      Item No. B, which I'm going to suggest we go into
18
      executive session, is there anything you want to share
19
      with the public on Item C, status of stock IRC
20
     presentation discussion and/or approval?
2.1
               MR. HERRERA: Yes, Madam Chair.
                                                 This is Roy.
2.2
      And I guess I'll start with a question directed to
2.3
     Executive Director Schmitt: Was the stock presentation
2.4
      that we put together distributed to Commissioners?
                                                           Ιs
25
      that something that the Commissioners have seen?
```

MR. SCHMITT: It was.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

MR. HERRERA: Okay. So I think the purpose of the presentation, of course, is to provide when we go into public meetings and the Commissioners, you know, speak and receive comment, to provide some sort of opening presentation that informs the public about, you know, the nature of the Commission history, the history of the Commission, as well as the Constitutional requirements as far as the -- the factors that are listed in the Constitution. So it's basically in some ways designed to be an informational document, but then also sort of a conversation starter during the meetings, so I think that's what we tried to do with -with the presentation. But, of course, if the Commissioners have seen it and think that there is some information that maybe we need to add to it or change, you know, we can certainly do that for the Commissioners' consideration.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: I just have a question. This is Commissioner Lerner. Are we -- we received it I think last night. Correct? I think -- I know I did not have huge amount of time to -- I took a quick look at it this morning, but are we trying -- are we going to vote on this now, or are we just going to come back and review it and give feedback through the course of

this week? I just want to double check on what we are doing at this moment. I'm sorry if I'm --

2.1

2.2

2.4

MR. HERRERA: Thank you, Commissioner Lerner.

I think the idea is just to receive feedback this week
on the presentation, or throughout the week if you have
a chance to look at it, again, you know, if you have
comments or changes that you would like to make to it
before we sort of finalize it.

MR. JOHNSON: Commissioner Lerner, this is not something -- this is an administrative task. There does not need to be a vote agreeing to the stock presentation. It's just seeking feedback.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Thank you. Then if it's okay I would like to just take a little bit closer look at it and then provide feedback this week, if that's okay.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Yes. I thought it was a great first draft. I, too, didn't have sufficient time to really, you know, think not so much what was there but maybe what wasn't there, and along those lines of what wasn't there I just want to make sure that or ask is it appropriate that the mapping consultants also look at it or, you know, share. You know, the mapping consultants have done this a lot, and so just I want to make sure that you know as many eyes see it as is

appropriate.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Well, Madam Chair, this is Vice Chair Watchman. I think I heard earlier from Director Schmitt that maybe the mapping consultants would come up with their presentation, so if I'm not mistaken I think we should combine that so that way we have an overview of what the Commission is, what the Constitution says, you know, the plan. I think we need a schedule, a schedule for the entire term between now and December -- I didn't see that -- and then some data points which the mapping consultants would offer. think this is in line with what I was suggesting. so like you said, good start, but I think we need to have a stock or a canned presentation so that it's available publicly and we go through that at the opening of our meetings so that everybody in the public is on the same page while we're here on the job that we need to do, and then looking at some data points the consultants put on the presentation for us. So it's a good start. I think I would like spend some time this week to offer a more -- more comment to make the presentation even better. Thank you.

Agenda Item No. VII(C) I would like to entertain a

If nobody has any other further comments on

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Excellent.

```
1
     motion to go into executive session, which will not be
2
      open to the public, for the purpose of obtaining legal
 3
      advice to further implement and/or discuss legal issues
     pursuant to A.R.S. 38-431.03(A)(3).
 4
 5
               I have -- as the Commission is working through
      our final, you know, document about the ethics that we
 6
7
      are going to, you know, uphold, I think, at least I do,
 8
     maybe some of us have some additional legal questions
9
      that we would like to take advantage of the opportunity
      to ask our counsel.
10
               So if there is no other comments I'll
11
12
      entertain a motion to go into executive session.
1.3
               COMMISSIONER MEHL: So moved.
                                               And I would add
14
      a five-minute break to that.
               CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: You got it.
1.5
16
               VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: I'll second. Vice Chair
17
      Watchman seconds the motion.
18
               CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. Any further
      discussion?
19
20
               Vice Chair Watchman.
2.1
               VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Aye.
2.2
               CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Mehle.
23
               COMMISSIONER MEHL: Aye.
2.4
               CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Lerner.
25
               COMMISSIONER LERNER:
                                      Aye.
```

```
CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner York.
1
2
               COMMISSIONER YORK: Aye.
 3
               CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Neuberg is
 4
      an aye.
 5
               With that a 5-0 vote to have a five-minute
      break, and we'll see you in executive session at about,
 6
7
      what, 9:13.
8
                (Whereupon the proceeding is in executive
9
      session from 9:14 a.m. until 9:36 a.m.)
10
11
12
1.3
                (Whereupon the proceeding resumes in general
14
      session.)
15
               CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Welcome back, everybody.
16
17
      I want to make sure that Val is present and on the
18
      line, available for our minutes before we move forward
19
      with our agenda.
20
               MS. NEUMANN: We are live.
2.1
               CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. Excellent.
                                                          Thank
2.2
      you. I think we have our entire team.
2.3
               Thank you for the public's patience. We had,
2.4
      I thought, a very constructive conversation on, you
25
      know, the boundaries of the ethics guidance. At
```

minimum we have all agreed to adhere to the Arizona State House guidelines as a basis. We have been discussing adding additional ideas and just trying to understand how these guidelines translate into our daily lives. And so, you know, we appreciate the opportunity to, you know, ask our legal counsel some of these very detailed questions. We have some options.

1.3

1.5

2.1

2.2

2.4

MR. JOHNSON: Commissioner Neuberg, if I may,
Madam Chairwoman, just for clarification for the public
record there were -- there was no agreement in
executive session. All there was was just a discussion
around the legal points of clarification. Is that a
fair assessment as to what happened in executive
session?

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Absolutely. I'm sorry if I said agreement. I apologize. Just a great conversation. We're coming back to the public to synthesize what we've learned and to discuss next steps, and we have some options on the next steps. One option is to approve with some amendments that make the House legislative ethics guidelines, you know, unique to us. That's one option. We could table the conversation to next week and do additional study. We can entertain more debate in public right now.

And so I open it up to my colleagues to share

```
their thoughts and ideas on this, but I would like to
1
2
      just reassure the public that the questions we're
 3
      talking about are that we are adhering to the highest
 4
      ethics that we can apply to ourselves, which -- which,
 5
      you know, I commend my fellow Commissioners on.
               COMMISSIONER MEHL: This is Commissioner
 6
7
             I strongly think that we should be following
     Mehle.
 8
      the House rules and that those have been vetted and
     used for many, many years, so I would like to make a
9
     motion to redirect Counsel to conform the House rules
10
11
      to this Commission. And then as an aside I would
12
      invite any Commissioner that wants to present
13
      amendments to that to be prepared with amendments for
14
     next week. Thank you.
15
               CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Any other thoughts on
16
      that?
17
               COMMISSIONER MEHL: Do we have a second?
18
               CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. Do we have a
      second?
19
20
               COMMISSIONER YORK: Commissioner York.
21
      second.
2.2
               CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. Let's have
2.3
      discussion.
2.4
               COMMISSIONER LERNER: Commissioner Mehle, just
25
      for clarity can you sort of repeat again. You want to
```

have us follow the House rules. You want to just have us come back next week with fine-tuned documents. I just want to be sure I'm exactly understanding.

1.5

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

COMMISSIONER MEHL: Yes. I was asking Counsel to do a revised draft. The House rules has certain words in it that don't make any sense for our Commission. They refer to the House and not to our Commission is an obvious one. So I'm asking Counsel to rewrite the House rules to correct things that would make it make sense for our Commission linguistically and stylistically and just reference-wise, mostly, and that is the motion. And then certainly recognize that any of us -- I'll invite any of us that have additional things you would like to add to it to present that as potential amendments.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Just in question on this, the draft that we received has 1 through 3 that I think are taken from those House rules. Do those seem to be appropriate, or do they need to go back and revisit? Because as you said there is not everything in the House rules that we need to worry about, so I think that the 1 through 3 that we had as the draft documents followed some of that, but I just want to be clear, and legal can tell us if they took 1 through 3 from the House rules.

MR. JOHNSON: Sorry about that. Commissioner Lerner, I think on some of the draft that has been kicked around discusses some of that, and it will definitely be broad, but one thing for sure is we'll have to publish 1 through 3 of what legal counsel sent out previously as part of the record, and then that's what will be discussed next week. And that's what I think Commissioner Mehle is saying is we get that out there to the public, and then there will be debate and possible amendments for next week.

1.3

1.5

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

Am I missing that, Commissioner Mehle?

COMMISSIONER MEHL: That is correct to the extent that you -- that Counsel -- I guess we're also asking you to relook at it and does that restate the House rules for us in its fullness, and that's a question I have for you and I don't know the answer to.

MR. JOHNSON: Understood.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: What I'm hearing is the idea of adopting the base rule, which I think was, you know, encompassed in 1 through 3, and that if there are additional items that we would like to add to us uniquely that any Commissioner would like to propose that we come next week and recommend it as an amendment, and we'll publicly debate it. That's what I'm hearing.

1 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Ouestion for Counsel: 2 a Commissioner wants to do an amendment does that need to be on the agenda published, or is that something 3 4 that can be done at the meeting? 5 MR. JOHNSON: It can be -- Commissioner Mehle, this is Brett Johnson. It can be done at the meeting 6 7 where any wording can be changed as part of a 8 modification or an amendment or in addition to that. 9 It does not need to be published ahead of time. It 10 just needs to be something out there for purposes of 11 public comment and the Commissioners' consideration. 12 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Thank you. 13 MR. JOHNSON: Commissioner Mehle, very similar to the schedule that we just put out. Right? 14 15 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: So thoughts about next steps, you know, option of we could certainly, you 16 17 know, push a vote right now. We could, you know, push 18 it to next week. Thoughts. 19 VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Well, Madam Chair, this 20 is Vice Chair Watchman. There is already a motion on 21 and second on the table to send this back to legal 2.2 counsel to conform as much as possible to the House 2.3 rules and then we go from there, so --2.4 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: And are we comfortable

25

with this?

VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: I'm comfortable with 1 2 And if I need to or others need to make 3 amendments then we'll do so at that time. CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Unless there is further 4 5 discussion I'm comfortable with moving forward on a vote to support that we will have our counsel maintain 6 7 the essence of, you know, the House ethics rules and 8 come back, and we will debate as a Commission next week 9 if there are additional amendments, and so with that I 10 believe that we can open it up to a vote. 11 So Vice Chair Watchman. 12 VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Aye. 13 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Mehle. 14 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Aye. 15 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Lerner. COMMISSIONER LERNER: Aye. 16 17 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner York. 18 COMMISSIONER YORK: Aye. CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Neuberg is 19 20 an aye. 2.1 And with that Counsel will synthesize this 2.2 feedback, and we will work with the House rules as a 2.3 basic foundation and discuss -- discuss additional 2.4 amendments next week.

With that we move to Agenda Item No. VIII,

25

discussion and possible action on census data and delay and ways to mitigate its disruption. We have Agenda

Item A, status of state demographers presentation, and,

B, status of retaining Arizona professor to discuss impact of privacy differential action by U.S. Census on Arizona data.

2.1

2.2

2.3

2.4

With that, I will turn it to our Counsel and see if they have some updates to share with us.

MR. JOHNSON: Thank you, Commissioner -- or Chairwoman. As an update, the Arizona Commerce Authority, the official state demographer, has accepted to come present on June 22nd, and there will be a range of data that they are -- that he's going to be presenting.

And then in regard to the Point A -- or Point B, I apologize, under Roman Numeral VIII, we are in the process of finalizing the Arizona-based professor who is able to discuss this point, and we'll have more to report next week.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okav. Thank you.

If there is no further questions or discussion, we'll move to Agenda Item No. IX, discussion of future agenda item requests.

Anything on the minds of our Commissioners outside of follow-up of our earlier items?

COMMISSIONER LERNER: This is Commissioner 1 2 I'm curious when we're going to have the 3 mapping consultants back with us. I have a number of questions for them on how things are going to work, the 4 5 process, just a lot of different questions that I've thought about, and I would love to have the time for us 6 7 to have a dialogue with them, not only, you know, 8 presentation, but more where we can actually ask them some questions about how things are going to -- how 9 10 things are going to work, so if we could have them come 11 at some point for that dialogue that would be great. 12 MR. SCHMITT: Absolutely. I'll make sure that 1.3 they are on the agenda next week and ready to go. 14 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Thank you. It would 15 help as we're thinking through the public meetings to 16 have some of their feedback on how to think about some 17 of these things. 18 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I agree. I think it 19 would be nice to have, you know, them just also, you 20 know, around the tiles and participating. I think 2.1 that's a great suggestion. 2.2 Commissioner Mehle, are you talking to us or 23 somebody else? 2.4 COMMISSIONER MEHL: I'm talking to the wall. 25 I would encourage Director Schmitt -- you're

probably already doing this -- but as you're doing this 1 2 next draft of meetings to really engage the mapping consultants and all their experience and how they've 3 done meetings and what they recommend on timing and 4 spreading of meetings and doing things back to back or 5 not, and get their advice, because I think they 6 7 could -- that will be an invaluable resource to you. 8 MR. SCHMITT: Definitely will continue talking to them, especially after some of the changes we heard. 9 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Brian, how often are you 10 11 in touch with them, by the way? I mean, you know, the 1.2 rest of us haven't -- haven't had much interaction. 1.3 You know, what's your interaction with them like? 14 MR. SCHMITT: Usually every other day, every 15 day, every other day, just kind of depends on the week. 16 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. We look forward 17 to meeting them. 18 Anything else with future agenda items? 19 Okay. We'll move to Agenda Item No. X, 20 discussion of possible action on the scheduling of 2.1 future meetings. We are still planning every Tuesday 2.2 at 8:00 a.m., and our travel schedule, as everybody has 23 been informed, is in flux, and we will be working, you 2.4 know, diligently over the next few days to try to

solidify logistics and details. Other than that, is

25

```
there anything else on meetings for my Commissioners?
1
2
               Okay. Agenda Item No. XI. Next meeting date
 3
      will be next Tuesday, the 15th, at 8:00 a.m.
               And with that, Agenda Item No. XII, closing of
 4
     public comments. Please note members of the Commission
 5
     may not discuss items that are not specifically
 6
7
      identified on the agenda. Therefore, pursuant to
 8
     A.R.S. 38-431.01(H), action taken as a result of public
9
      comment will be limited to directing staff to study the
10
     matter, responding to any criticism, or scheduling the
     matter for further consideration and decision at a
11
12
      later date.
1.3
               And with that we move to Item XIII,
14
      adjournment. I will entertain a motion to adjourn.
1.5
               COMMISSIONER LERNER: This is Commissioner
16
      Lerner. So move.
17
               CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Second?
18
               COMMISSIONER YORK: Commissioner York seconds.
19
               CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. Vice Chair
20
      Watchman.
               VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Aye.
2.1
2.2
               CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Mehle.
2.3
               COMMISSIONER MEHL: Aye.
2.4
               CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Lerner.
25
               COMMISSIONER LERNER:
                                      Aye.
```

```
CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner York.
 1
 2
                COMMISSIONER YORK: Aye.
                CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Neuberg is
 3
 4
      an aye.
 5
                And with that we will adjourn, and I thank
      everybody for your participation, and I look forward to
 6
 7
      seeing everybody next week at 8:00 a.m.
 8
                (The proceedings concluded at 9:53 a.m.)
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF ARIZONA)
4) ss.
5	COUNTY OF MARICOPA)
6	
7 8 9	BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were taken before me, Deborah L. Wilks, Certified Reporter No. 50849, all done to the best of my skill and ability; that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to print under my direction.
10 11 12	I CERTIFY that I am in no way related to any of the parties hereto nor am I in any way interested in the outcome thereof.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I have complied with the requirements set forth in ACJA 7-206.
14 15	Dated at Phoenix, Arizona, this 22nd day of June, 2021.
16	Deborah L. Wilks RPR, CR
17	CERTIFIED REPORTER (AZ50849)
18	
19	* * *
20 21	I CERTIFY that Miller Certified Reporting, LLC, has complied with the requirements set forth in
22	ACJA 7-201 and 7-206.
23	Dated at Phoenix, Arizona, this 22nd day of June, 2021.
24	MCR
25	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC Arizona RRF No. 1058