THE STATE OF ARIZONA INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF LISTENING TOUR PUBLIC MEETING

Yuma, Arizona
Flagstaff, Arizona
November 10, 2021
6:03 p.m.

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC PO Box 513, Litchfield Park, AZ 85340 (P) 623-975-7472 (F) 623-975-7462 www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com

Reported By: (In Yuma)
Michele E. Balmer, RPR
Certified Reporter (AZ 50489)

1	LISTENING TOUR PUBLIC MEETING, BEFORE THE
2	INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION, convened at
3	6:03 p.m., on November 10, 2021, at YUMA CITY HALL -
4	COUNCIL CHAMBERS, One City Plaza, Yuma, Arizona, with
5	a satellite location at High Country Conference Center,
6	201 West Butler Avenue, Flagstaff, Arizona.
7	
8	COMMISSIONER(S) PRESENT:
9	Ms. Erika Neuberg, Chairperson (Yuma) Mr. Derrick Watchman, Vice Chairman (Flagstaff)
10	Ms. Shereen Lerner (Flagstaff)
11	COMMISSIONER(S) PRESENT VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE:
12	Mr. David Mehl
13	III. Bavia Heni
14	STAFF PRESENT (Yuma):
15	Mr. Brian Schmitt, Executive Director Ms. Loriandra Van Haren, Deputy Director
16	Mr. Roy Herrera, Ballard Spahr Mr. Mark Flahan, Timmons Group
17	Ms. Maria Chapple, Community Outreach
18	Coordinator - YUMA SPEAKER MODERATOR
19	STAFF PRESENT (Flagstaff):
20	Ms. Valerie Neumann, Executive Assistant
21	- FLAGSTAFF SPEAKER MODERATOR
22	
23	
24	
25	

1		
2	PUBLIC COMMENT SPEAKERS:	LOCATION
3	DOUGLAS NICHOLS GARY KNIGHT WILLIAM BOWLUS-ROOT DEANNE GRAYBEAL-LOVATO BRYANNE OLSEN	Yuma Yuma Yuma Yuma Yuma
5		
6	PAUL DEASY JIM McCARTHY ADAM SHIMONI	Flagstaff Flagstaff Flagstaff
7	SANDY MORIARTY TOM LAMKIN	Flagstaff Flagstaff
8	KATHY KINSELLA MATT RYAN	Flagstaff Flagstaff
9	PATRICE HORSTMAN LENA FOWLER	Flagstaff Flagstaff
10	JERONIMO VASQUEZ HARRY OBERG	Flagstaff Flagstaff
11	JAMES GREGORY SEAN GOLLIHER	Flagstaff Flagstaff
12	ABIGAIL JACKSON KYLE NITSCHKE	Flagstaff Flagstaff
13		_
14	KAREN WATTS GARY SNYDER NANCY MEISTER	Yuma Yuma Yuma
15	RUSS JONES XANTHE BULLARD	Yuma Yuma Yuma
16	GILBERT HERNANDEZ KIMBERLY KAHL	Yuma Yuma Yuma
17	JAMES SCHUESSLER TERI KOENIG	Yuma Yuma Yuma
18	ROSALIE LINES	
10		Yuma Yuma
19	JOSH PEMBLETON GILBERT HERNANDEZ	Yuma Yuma
	JOSH PEMBLETON GILBERT HERNANDEZ ALAN GONZALEZ	Yuma Yuma Yuma
19	JOSH PEMBLETON GILBERT HERNANDEZ ALAN GONZALEZ HOLLI PLOOG EVAN HUNTER	Yuma Yuma Yuma Flagstaff Flagstaff
19 20	JOSH PEMBLETON GILBERT HERNANDEZ ALAN GONZALEZ HOLLI PLOOG EVAN HUNTER LINDA WARREN STEVE ZIPPERMAN	Yuma Yuma Yuma Flagstaff Flagstaff Flagstaff Flagstaff
19 20 21	JOSH PEMBLETON GILBERT HERNANDEZ ALAN GONZALEZ HOLLI PLOOG EVAN HUNTER LINDA WARREN STEVE ZIPPERMAN ANDREA KADAR JAMES WARREN	Yuma Yuma Yuma Flagstaff Flagstaff Flagstaff Flagstaff Flagstaff Flagstaff
19 20 21 22	JOSH PEMBLETON GILBERT HERNANDEZ ALAN GONZALEZ HOLLI PLOOG EVAN HUNTER LINDA WARREN STEVE ZIPPERMAN ANDREA KADAR	Yuma Yuma Yuma Flagstaff Flagstaff Flagstaff Flagstaff Flagstaff

1	PUBLIC COMMENT SPEAKERS: (Cont'd)	LOCATION
2	HALEY CREIGHTON PETER ASENCIO	Flagstaff Flagstaff
3	DAVID OSBORN	Flagstaff
4	NANCY BRANHAM VICKI FINGER	Flagstaff Flagstaff
5	LAURA HUENNEKE CONNIE LEVINSON	Flagstaff Flagstaff
6	DIETER KNECHT MIQUEL VASQUEZ	Flagstaff Flagstaff
7	ANN PIPER LINDA GUARINO	Flagstaff Flagstaff
8	CHARLIE SILVER BRUCE DONELSON	Flagstaff Flagstaff
9	JEFF HOLLOWAY JEROME VOGEL	Flagstaff Flagstaff
10	MARILYN WEISSMAN DEBRA BLOCK	Flagstaff Flagstaff
11	ROB WILSON	Flagstaff
	RANDIE HOLLOWAY MALIK BOSSETT	Flagstaff Flagstaff
12	REX STERMER NATHAN JONES	Flagstaff Flagstaff
13	MATT BILLINGS	Flagstaff
14	HELEN FERRELL ALY GRAHAM	Flagstaff Flagstaff
15	KATHLEEN PETTY ANN HEITLAND	Flagstaff Flagstaff
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

P R O C E E D I N G

1.3

MS. VAN HAREN: Good evening, everyone. We want to start by first introducing our Spanish interpreter here in Yuma.

Blanca, if you will go ahead and introduce yourself.

SPANISH INTERPRETER: Sure. Good evening. My name is Blanca Dowm (phonetic), and I'll be the interpreter for tonight. (In Spanish.)

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

And if we could all stand and say the Pledge of Allegiance, please.

(Pledge of Allegiance recited.)

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you. Madam Chair.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Good evening. Hello,
Yuma. Hello, Flagstaff. We will dive right into Agenda
Item 1, Call to Order. I now call to order the public
hearing.

We ask that you please follow the Arizona

Department of Health guidelines in regards to COVID-19.

If you are not fully vaccinated, you should wear a mask in a public space. If you would like to participate from home, each of these meetings is being streamed through Webex and YouTube and will be posted online at

our website: IRC.AZ.GOV.

1.3

If you would like to make a public comment, you may do so by signing in with staff and filling out the public comment card. We have an American Sign Language interpreter joining us virtually and a transcriptionist who will be transcribing every meeting. Please speak slowly so we have a clear record of your input.

At this time, we will introduce ourselves, the Commissioners.

My name is Erika Neuberg. I am the Chairwoman, and I live in Chandler.

And I see Commissioner Mehl, so how about you go first.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: Representative for Pima County.

(Technical/audio disruption.)

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Mehl.

And then is there Commissioner Lerner?

(No response.)

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. Commissioner

Lerner, I believe, is expected in the Flagstaff area.

And if she's not there yet, we will look for her.

Is there anybody else connected through Webex?

I saw Vice Chair Watchman earlier or Commissioner York?

COMMISSIONER MEHL: On my screen, I can see both

Commissioner Lerner and Commissioner Watchman, both sitting next to one another in Flagstaff.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: And do they not hear us?

Are they able to come up to a microphone and introduce themselves? Is their mic not working?

(Technical/audio disruption.)

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: While we're figuring it out, we have Commissioner Lerner who represents Maricopa County as well. I believe she resides in Tempe. And it's Vice Chair Watchman from Apache County. And with that, when they become live, we'll let them chime in.

So now we will move to Agenda Item 2, Public Comment. Moving to agenda item, we will have Staff please read the rules of the meeting.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you, Madam Chair.

Citizens may only speak when recognized by the chair or the presiding officer of the meeting if the chair is absent or otherwise has delegated hearing administration authority.

In compliance with Arizona's Open Meeting laws, speakers should confine their statements to the issue on the posted agenda which is before the Commission.

Speakers are also requested to limit their comments to approximately two minutes.

Additionally, speakers are required to follow

proper decorum. Speakers must use appropriate language. Foul or abusive language will not be tolerated. Any speaker failing to follow proper decorum or any other guidelines may be asked to leave. Any breach of the peace or disruption of a Commission public hearing may be the cause of report to law enforcement.

This is a nonpartisan meeting. Please do not distribute any political material in the meeting room.

Opposing viewpoints may be expressed by the citizens present. As a courtesy, citizens are reminded to address their comments to the Chair and to the Commission and not to the audience present. Please show respect for all speakers and avoid personal comments.

If you have already spoken at a previous meeting or someone has expressed the same sentiment as you in this meeting, you may say so and your comments will be recorded into the record. You do not need to speak again in order for your comments to be given more weight.

Remember, the Commission must hear all sides of an issue in order to make an informed decision.

Madam Chair, we will start with the first speakers in Yuma.

Maria?

MS. CHAPPLE: Hi. My name is Maria Chapple. I

1.3

am a Community Outreach Coordinator for the IRC, and I will call the first five speakers. And if you would be ready to go after the person that was called in front of -- or in front of you.

1.3

The first speakers will be Mayor Douglas

Nichols, Councilmember Gary Knight, William Bowlus-Root,

Deanna Graybeal-Lovato -- excuse me if I mispronounced

your name -- and Bryanne Olsen.

So, Mayor, if you would come to the podium. Thank you.

SPEAKER DOUGLAS NICHOLS: Thank you. Good evening, Chair Neuberg and Commissioners. Thank you again for coming to Yuma, and welcome to our City Hall.

We truly appreciate your effort to make sure that we're engaged in this process throughout Arizona.

And would have expected a larger turnout tonight; however, it's kind of like a Friday night with the holiday tomorrow and some other already scheduled meetings. So I think you're going to get a lot more written comments this time than maybe last time.

In our first two meetings, as well as in our written comments, we were addressing the communities of interest that were important to the Yuma area. And I recognize the first maps were -- the grid maps that were used in that process, they were not governed by,

necessarily, communities of interest primarily.

But in our anxiousness, we were told to hold tight because the next maps will reflect more the communities of interest that we expressed. So I feel and a lot of us do feel that quite a few of those communities of interest were not really captured in the approved draft map.

For the legislative district draft map, the communities of interest for agriculture and defense were severed along the Yuma County and Maricopa County line. These are critical communities that need to stay connected. We have proposed modifications to the draft maps that I will be providing -- or I have provided copies tonight and will upload the shape files as soon as I can to the website.

The modifications that we propose address LDs 22, 23, and 30.

With our congressional districts, again, the communities of rural lifestyles, communities of agricultural workers and businesses, the community centered on the Southwest military complex and the complementary veteran populations are all divided among CD-7 and CD-9. Keeping roughly the existing north/south boundary between the existing CDs 3 and 4 would reunite these communities.

Thank you very much for your opportunity to address you today, and I really appreciate all your hard work. Thank you.

1.3

SPEAKER GARY KNIGHT: Good evening, Madam Chair and Commissioners. My name is Gary Knight, Yuma City Council. Thank you for allowing us to speak to you today.

At the last public hearing, we pleaded with you not to split Yuma County or include the City of Yuma in a congressional or legislative district with Tucson as we have very little in common with that area of the state; yet here we are looking at proposed maps that will do exactly that.

Yuma is a river city where the Colorado River is the lifeblood of the county which produces winter vegetables for the entire nation. We have much more in common with La Paz and Mohave Counties which also border the Colorado River.

Yuma is the third largest metropolitan area in the state. And when placed in a district with Tucson, the outcome will be whatever Tucson voters vote for, and we will have no voice in our own destiny. We will indeed have trouble electing state and federal representatives that both understand and care for the needs of Yuma County, its military bases, and

agriculture.

1.3

We need to be part of Legislative District 30 and Congressional District 9 as you have them drawn there. Or if that's -- we would actually prefer to leave the legislative districts the way that they currently are, LD-13 and LD-4. They worked pretty well for us.

I know your job is not an easy one given the many parameters that you have to consider in this redistricting task; however, there are many lives affected by your decisions and hope you will consider that very carefully. Thank you for your time.

SPEAKER WILLIAM BOWLUS-ROOT: My name is William Bowlus-Root. B-O-W-L-U-S, dash, R-O-O-T. I'm a retired software engineer in Yuma 85365. I currently reside in CD-4/LD-13, and would be in the proposed CD-7 and LD-23.

I want to address what has been going on with safe districts. In my virtual town hall testimony, I pointed out that Proposition 106 charges this Commission to create fair and balanced districts. The voters recognized that the safe districts that were drawn by our legislators were inherently dysfunctional and caused the problems that they were trying to fix: lack of government responsiveness, poorly qualified candidates, voter apathy, disenchantment, and low participation in

elections.

I know the Commission feels like it's doing a good job with the hard task of creating districts.

Although it's true that the current draft maps are not final, they raise a red flag that warns you're heading in the wrong direction.

Of the 30 districts in the current legislative draft map, there are two districts that by your definition are highly competitive, four more that are competitive, and the remaining 24 districts are safe districts, districts that are safe for one or the other parties, the kind of districts the old legislators would have drawn. 24 out of 30, that's 80 percent.

80 percent of the districts that you have drawn are dysfunctional. That's exactly the opposite of what the voters said they wanted from the Commission.

You say, "Oh, but those safe districts are balanced between the Republicans and Democrats so they cancel each other out." Well, that may be true when it comes to overall balance of power in the legislature, but that's not the point.

The voters sought to stop the corrosion of democracy that's inevitable with every safe district.

Balancing safe districts between the parties and calling that competitive is a gross misunderstanding of the

Proposition 106 and does not justify using them. Safe districts are bad apples, and two spoiled apples do not make a good pie.

The people are dismayed to see the process devolve into more partisan wrangling. The Commission can certainly regain its credibility, but it will have to do so by turning as many of the safe districts as possible, regardless of the party they prop up, into competitive districts.

Restore competition as our founders and Arizona voters intended. That's your duty.

Thank you for the opportunity to speak.

MS. CHAPPLE: The next person was Deanna.

SPEAKER DEANNE GRAYBEAL-LOVATO: I am Deanna Graybeal-Lovato. I am an American, and I am for life, liberty, and justice for all.

And Yuma has been perfectly happy being part of that system, but the way you have divided us, you have put us out of the system, and this needs to stop. We want our votes to count. And if you divide us, separate us, take us out of it, we are not going to have a voice in this system.

You need to stop. You need to think about what you're doing. This is America. This is the land of the free and the home of the brave. And we are brave, we

are proud patriots, and we plead with you to do the right thing. Do justice for all. And separating us into little pieces is not justice for all.

That's all I have to say.

SPEAKER BRYANNE OLSEN: Welcome to Yuma. My name is Bryanne Olsen.

And I first want to agree with Councilman Knight and our Mayor Nichols. Everything they said, I agree with.

And I just want to add my little bit of layman's language is that since 2010, I feel I've been separated from my whole community being on the north side of Interstate 8. All of Yuma County, agriculture, water, everything that I value in this -- in our system has been in a separate district, and part of that's -- so I just want us to be collocated together in the same district. Thank you.

MS. CHAPPLE: Thank you, Speakers.

We will go to Flagstaff, and we ask that Commissioner Lerner and Watchman introduce themselves. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Welcome. Thank you for being here. My name is Shereen Lerner. I'm one of the Commissioners. I'm from Maricopa County. I'm really glad to see such a great turnout.

1.3

VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Thank you, and good evening, everybody. My name is Derrick Watchman. I'm the vice chair of the Commission.

(Technical/audio disruption.)

I represent or come from Apache County, Window Rock, but it's always good to get back to Flagstaff.

This is my birth place, and so it's always good to get home. So welcome everybody, and it's good to see everybody here.

MS. NEUMANN: Good evening from Flagstaff. We would like to introduce our Spanish interpreter.

THE INTERPRETER: Thank you. My name is

Dr. Jesus Cormanado (phonetic), and I'll be interpreting
in English and in Spanish, and I will be located by the
door on this side. If anyone needs the headphones, I
will be happy to provide them over there. Thank you.

Oh, yeah. That's right. (In Spanish.)

MS. NEUMANN: Thank you. Our first speaker will be the Mayor of Flagstaff, followed by Jim McCarthy, followed by Adam Shimoni, followed by Sandy Moriarty, and our last one, Tom Lamkin.

SPEAKER PAUL DEASY: Thank you. I heard -- I guess they heard I was coming up first. They had to turn off the lights.

I just want to thank the redistricting

1.3

commission for the opportunity to speak today on behalf of the Flagstaff City Council.

We are very concerned with the current IRC districting map, 10.0, and the council would like to voice its unanimous support for the legislative map submitted by the Coconino County Board of Supervisors on October 27, 2021. That would be LDF-050 and LDF-051.

This preferred legislative map upholds the City of Flagstaff's redistricting values as it keeps the Greater Flagstaff community intact and places the city in a district with communities that share our similar values. These values include investing in forest health and watershed protection as well as recognizing the positive impact of ecosystems in our community.

South of our airport is the cutoff of Flagstaff's district in our legislative -- in the legislative map in the current IRC. During the Rafael Fire this summer that threatened our city, it would have gone through Sedona, it would have gone through Kachina Village, Mountainaire, before coming to our town. The existential threats that we face, face the Greater Flagstaff community.

We also share healthcare resources under the same healthcare system and water management concerns. We host Grand Canyon tourists and promote ecotourism.

We have a strong interest in improving our greater economies with investment and infrastructure, sharing transportation corridors, and sharing workforce development.

1.3

The Greater Flagstaff community shops and works in Flagstaff. Residents in the Greater Flagstaff community attend Coconino Community College, not Yavapai Community College.

Our shared values include our shared interests in fire prevention, water protection, commerce, healthcare resources, workforce development, education and ecotourism, and we are urging that we stick with or go more towards the Coconino County Board of Supervisors' map LDF-050 and LDF-051.

Moreover, District 6 and 7 -- thank you.

SPEAKER JIM McCARTHY: My name is Jim McCarthy.

I serve on the Flagstaff City Council. These comments are mine and not from the city council.

That said, I do support the comment letter that the city council adopted just last week with a unanimous vote. That letter endorsed the legislative district map also endorsed by the Coconino Board of Supervisors.

This evening, I will address the congressional map. The Flagstaff City Council changes every two years. I have served with three different councils. I

have found that the council works most effectively when there's diversity on council and when the members are not polarized. It seems that Congress is now polarized to the point of inaction. I blame each party as much as the other.

I fondly remember the days when Republican

President Reagan invited Democratic Speaker of the House

Tip O'Neill over for dinner. They had dinner and then

talked about how to get things done.

So how do we move towards effective cooperation and away from polarization? We need districts that are competitive. When districts are competitive, candidates must appeal to all voters. If districts contain a significant majority of a given party, the candidates can ignore the minority party and focus only on the majority party. This leads to extreme positions, polarization.

So I respectfully request that our congressional district lines be drawn to maximize the number of competitive districts. Case in point, the current lines for the Flagstaff area show a district that is not competitive. I suggest that the lines be redrawn to make this district competitive and to put communities of interest together.

In many ways, parts of Yavapai County are very

different than Northern Arizona. I suggest that only parts of Yavapai County where we have common goals be included in this district. Okay.

SPEAKER ADAM SHIMONI: Good evening,

Commissioners. I welcome you all to Flagstaff, welcome home, and welcome back to Northern Arizona. We really appreciate you taking the time to be here with us tonight and bringing your full attention and open mind to this very important public hearing.

I want to personally -- before I get into my comments, I'm Adam Shimoni. I'm one of the city council members here in Flagstaff. I was a former vice mayor for the past couple years, and I've been a local advocate and organizer for quite some time.

I appreciate your service and recognize the challenging work that you all are up against, and I just want to say thank you. Thank you for being compassionate, open-minded, and the hard work and time you put into this work, into this very important job that you have. Redistricting only occurs once every 10 years. And again, your work is so crucial and so important to the well-being of our community.

I would like to ask, you know, why are we not taking into account the County's recommended maps? Why are we not taking into -- taking these recommendations

seriously? I don't know. You know, I don't know why
the Commission isn't looking into these adopted versions
of the map more so, and that is a big concern of mine,
the City Council, the County Board of Supervisors, and
the greater community here in Flagstaff.

We need competitive districts, as you've heard.

I'm not going to go much into detail on that.

And we need to keep Flagstaff whole. I think that starting to divide us up as the draft map that you all are considering does is a slippery slope and very concerning to myself and the community, and we ask that you continue to keep us whole and with like communities.

As the Mayor mentioned, we have a lot of neighbors that will be cut off that we directly need to represent and work with, especially given the concerns and the situations that Flagstaff finds itself in.

The current map that you are considering is very concerning to myself. Thank you so much.

SPEAKER SANDY MORIARTY: Madam Chair and Members of the Commission, I'm Sandy Moriarty, the Mayor of Sedona.

If communities of interest actually matter, we're wondering why the current maps adopted split the City of Sedona into two pieces and split Sedona from Flagstaff as well. Sedona, Flagstaff, and the rest of

the Verde Valley communities work together as a region regularly and depend on the partnerships we have among us.

As city council members in all our communities, we run nonpartisan, but we have much in common with each other, and we have very little in common with the other areas we are combined with according to your current draft maps.

We strongly support the map submitted by Coconino County which meets all of your criteria, not just communities of interest but competitiveness, equal population, and compactness.

The proposed Coconino County map for a legislative district would be the only map in Northern Arizona to be competitive as none of the districts currently proposed by your Commission are.

Splitting our city of fewer than 10,000 people and splitting our region makes no sense to us. When a city the size of Mesa is only split in two districts, how is it reasonable that a city the size of Sedona is also split into two districts?

Flagstaff, Sedona, and the Verde Valley absolutely are the model for what defines a community of interest. It appears that our previous comments to the Commission, which also align with the Coconino County

draft map, were not taken into consideration. We're struggling to understand why our small city as well as our region, which has been working together well for many years -- we believe more than any other region in the state -- is being split in many ways.

We share so many interests, and it only makes sense that we share our legislative representatives who can then advocate for us based on our commonalities in a district which meets all of the criteria upon which your decisions are based. Thank you.

SPEAKER TOM LAMKIN: Thank you and good evening.

Thank you to the Arizona Independent Redistricting

Commission. Appreciate the time and the opportunity to speak. My name is Tom Lamkin with the City of Sedona

City Council.

I just wanted to cover a couple things. Our Mayor spoke eloquently about the things that -- the criteria that you have to follow, and I will tell you, you did a great job with it. I can't imagine the challenge statewide of trying to get all this done. So thank you for that work.

Couple small suggestions. The Mayor talked about equal population, compactness and contiguousness, respect for communities of interest, creation of competitive districts. The thing I'm going to talk

about a little bit is the geography and the city of itself.

1.3

I'm a little disturbed that the city got split, because I'm in Coconino County. The City of Sedona, as you know, is in two counties. So now I'm not sure if I'm in the City of Sedona anymore or if I'm in Coconino County or Yavapai because of the split.

So I would ask you to maybe consider keeping us all together. While it's a tough population challenge to do that all equal, we're just talking a few thousand people, so I'm sure you could move that around.

The other thing is our workforces are intertwined. You look at communities of interest, we are a community of communities. We are a small district 30 miles away at the maximum if I go to Cottonwood or Clarkdale, if I go to Flagstaff. And I love all these cities that we work with. We're bound by the geography of Mingus Mountain and between Munds Wilderness.

If you look at that, we're all together. We're meant to be together. We're all in a little valley. We ask you to keep us together in that valley so that we all have the synergy. We also have synergy of tourism and those industries.

If I go to the district that currently I have, Payson is my next nearest city to the east, which is

three times the distance I have to travel to try to maintain relationships and build community of interest with other communities. That's a 90-mile drive versus 27 miles, 23 miles, 20 miles, Camp Verde, et cetera.

I ask you to please consider making the small change to support the submitted Coconino County proposal. Thank you very much.

MS. NEUMANN: Our next five speakers are Kathy Kinsella, Matt Ryan, Patrice Horstman, Lena Fowler, and Jeronimo Vasquez.

SPEAKER KATHY KINSELLA: Thank you, Chair and Commissioners, for the opportunity to speak. I am Kathy Kinsella, a city councillor for the City of Sedona.

Struggling with the microphone.

Sedona City Council has submitted previous statements to this Commission. Each of these statements has asked that the City of Sedona be kept whole and not be split into different districts, yet that is exactly what is on the proposed maps.

We are a city of less than 10,000 people. Splitting us into two legislative districts would dilute our community voice in the legislative process.

The Sedona City Council unanimously voted in support of maps submitted by the Coconino County Board of Supervisors that propose keeping Sedona whole in a

legislative district. I ask that you please consider and adopt these maps, LD-0050 and LDF-0051. These maps reflect the values Sedona has voiced in our previous comments to the AIRC, and they meet the constitutional mandates of communities of interest, equal population, geographical compactness, and competitiveness.

So I urge that you, one, keep cities whole, including the City of Sedona. We are a compact city.

It does not make sense to split neighbors apart from one another.

I ask, two, that you please keep communities of interest whole. Please keep the Sedona/Verde Valley community whole as a community of interest with Flagstaff. This would be reflective of the majority of testimony that you have already heard from residents of this area.

You have heard numerous examples of how Sedona, Verde Valley, the Village of Oak Creek, and Flagstaff are joined economically for commerce, tourism, jobs, and housing, and by our topography and history, through our educational institutions from grade school through college, by our healthcare systems that work with doctors and hospitals, and by transportation and transit corridors.

I also ask that you adhere to the requirement of

Proposition 106 to establish competitive districts to the largest extent possible. And please also allow for Native American and Hispanic communities to elect candidates of their choice.

Please consider the Coconino County Board of Supervisors' maps. Thank you.

SPEAKER MATT RYAN: Good afternoon, Chairman
Neuberg and Commissioners. Thank you for this time, and
we really respect the job that you're doing for the sake
of our great state.

The maps that we've submitted and you've heard about, LDF-050 and LDF-051, these revised maps we submitted include the values which we have articulated to the IRC for the past several months: meeting the constitutional mandates of competitiveness, communities of interests, equal population, geographic compactness, and keeping cities whole.

Competitiveness is a significant issue and is reflected in the revised map. To the importance of competitiveness, allowing citizens to elect candidates of their choice -- and based on our research, our data shows that the legislative district we number LD-6 is one of the most competitive legislative districts, if not the most competitive district, in the state at a deviation of minus 6.33 percent. That's 50 percent --

50.18 percent Republican, 49.82 percent Democrat.

Further, the district we number LD-7 meets the goals of Native American communities to have a minority-majority legislative district. The breakdown is as follows: a deviation of minus 6.73 percent. Under the standard BAP, the LD-7 BAP of Native Americans is 57.97 percent, which would allow members of Native American communities to elect candidates of their choice.

The maps we submitted on October 27 are reflective of testimony received from the majority of the Northern Arizona communities at those hearings.

On the contrary, the legislative map approved by the IRC on October 29 does not reflect the comments made to the IRC by these communities. First and foremost, the three legislative districts of most importance to Coconino County described in the 10.0 map as LD-5, 6, and 7 are each very uncompetitive.

Very good.

1.3

SPEAKER PATRICE HORSTMAN: Good evening,

Ms. Lerner and Mr. Watchman and Members of the IRC. My

name is Patrice Horstman, and I serve on the Coconino

County Board of Supervisors for District 1.

Thank you for your efforts in gathering information, opinion, and suggestions as you travel

around this great state.

The mission of the Independent Redistricting

Commission is to ensure one vote, one person, and by

creating districts that are equal in population to

ensure that communities of interest and municipalities

are maintained so that the unique nature and common

concerns of neighbors are heard, and you've heard some

of that here today.

Unfortunately, the IRC LD 8.0 map does not reflect the stated goals or sentiments shared by Coconino County and Northern Arizona residents, and you've heard that repeatedly as well.

The three legislative districts of most importance to Coconino County, described in the 8.0 map as LD-5, 6, and 7 are very uncompetitive, deprive voters in these areas of the ability to elect a candidate of their choice, and this will occur for over 10 years.

Additionally, these maps split the City of Flagstaff. They split the City of Sedona into two different districts.

My colleagues and I on the board of supervisors unanimously approved a legislative map which has garnered unanimous support of the City of Flagstaff and the City of Sedona. Talk about a community of interest. We are here to be heard. We are encouraging you to

accept Coconino County's legislative map LD-5050, and LD-5051. Thank you so much.

SPEAKER LENA FOWLER: I'm Lena Fowler, Coconino County Supervisor. I'm the vice chair. Thank you so much, Madam Chair and Vice Chair, that you're here with us today.

I am speaking about the map that we submitted from Coconino County, LDF-051 and LDF-050.

The map that was created by the IRC is very troublesome. It creates a Native American majority. However, the other districts are -- they won't be able -- they can -- they'll be able to have a representative, but the other districts are going to be able to work against them. So we really -- maybe that's not the right way to say it, but we need competitive districts in all directions.

And then, you know, what I've noticed also is that you have changed District 7 and 6. It's very confusing to the Native American communities. I've been having meetings with them and they're saying, "You're switching it." I say, "No. We from Coconino County, our map, look at our map. We kept District 7 as District 7, 6 as 6."

So it's best to keep the district numbers as is so that people know what they're talking about.

So if we can really look at the study, the Coconino County Board of Supervisors' map, it is more competitive. It's very good for the Native American -- Native Americans in our region.

1.3

And also we have met with Navajo Nation. We've been meeting with our Navajo communities. And also when you think about the community of interest, it really takes that into consideration in District 7 and 6 in all directions. It is more competitive and it's a more fair map. So please consider the County Board of Supervisors.

I only understand Navajo. Just kidding. (Laughter) Oh, she said it in Navajo. Thank you.

SPEAKER JERONIMO VASQUEZ: Good evening,
everyone. My name is Jeronimo Vasquez. I'm Supervisor
for District 2, Coconino County. And I'm here to talk a
little bit about the concern we have with the current
districts as they've been written in the proposed maps.

We feel here at Coconino County that those maps are not competitive. They are splitting communities of interest. They're splitting communities -- whole communities in terms of Sedona and Flagstaff, and we would like to see districts that are more competitive that do not dilute the vote of the different constituents and want to create districts that are

competitive so that everybody's voice matters.

1.3

I would like also to put in a plug for the Southern Arizona Latino Coalition and their redistricting efforts, because those efforts also collaborate with our efforts up here to ensure that the Voting Rights Acts are used to ensure the vote counts for each person and that minority votes are not diluted.

These current maps, as proposed, dilute various communities and their voice. And we would like to ensure that the maps LD-050 and LDF-51 are the maps that are used.

Again, thank you for your time. Thank you for coming and listening. And we hope that this is enough to show you that these maps are not in the best interests of Northern Arizona. Thank you.

MS. NEUMANN: Our next five speakers, before we go back to Yuma, are Harry Oberg, James Gregory, Sean Golliher, Abigail Jackson, and Kyle Nitschke.

SPEAKER HARRY OBERG: Good evening, Madam Chair and Commissioners. My name is Harry Oberg, and I'm a supervisor in Yavapai County.

I thoroughly concur with the current map which shows Yavapai County as its own legislative district,

LD-5. I find this appropriate since our county's legislative population is very near the required target

of 238,600 per district, and it is probably at or exceeds that number right now with our growth since the recent census. Also, this supports our longstanding communities of interest, which include our western rural heritage, culture, and lifestyle.

I hear that the Town of Sedona does not want to be split between two legislative districts. I agree. So the only change I propose is to have a very small portion of the Town of Sedona and Coconino County be included in Yavapai County's LD-5.

This makes infinitely more sense than the currently proposed maps by the Coconino County Board of Supervisors and others which proposes to remove the Verde Valley, which is approximately one third of my county's residents, and realign them with LD-7 based on the numbering of your current map.

I find this effort highly unusual and disturbing that elected officials of another county wish to complicate the policymaking and governing of our county and our relationship with our county citizens. We would never as a board of supervisors meddle or violate Coconino County or any other county's sovereignty in dealing with their constituents.

So in closing, I feel that keeping Yavapai as its own LD and including the entire Town of Sedona in

LD-5 is fair and consistent with the constitutional principles that established the Independent Redistricting Commission.

Further, it is the intent of Yavapai County
Board of Supervisors to be inclusive in all of our
decisions and matters of policy and remove a perceived
schism that has developed over time because of a
mountain dividing our county.

Removing the Verde Valley from the currently proposed LD-5 would greatly complicate our board's governing efforts. Thank you.

SPEAKER JAMES GREGORY: My name is James

Gregory. I'm also a Yavapai County Board of Supervisor

for District 2, which is the eastern portion of Yavapai

County that butts up against Coconino County.

I represent the communities of Camp Verde,
Cornville, Beaver Creek, White Horse Ranch, Cherry
Creek, and all those that are proposed by the proposal
by Coconino County.

I strongly recommend that we keep Yavapai County as a whole in the proposed legislative district. It is vital for county residents to have consistent representation across a county instead of dividing the communities with fractured representation. Any divisions of our communities and our county would cause

further divisiveness between the Verde Valley and the Prescott area that I represent.

Taxpayers should not be aligned with another county that will not provide services for their needs.

We have countywide projects which include roads, multi-agency enforcement, land designation, and other county needs and authorities, and not to mention Yavapai College that represents both sides of the mountain.

Splitting the County's efforts on these issues at the legislative level will dilute quick response and reasonable solutions to problems requiring the need of a state response. We need to keep the Verde Valley together with the rest of Yavapai County. The Verde watershed begins in Chino Valley, which is on the Prescott side of Yavapai County.

I strongly disagree with the Coconino County
Board of Supervisors' proposal to split the county in
half. This proposal is being pushed for a partisan
group in the -- by Flagstaff and the Sedona area, and it
does not reflect the rest of the Verde Valley or the
county as a whole.

This -- thank you.

SPEAKER SEAN GOLLIHER: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Sean Golliher. I'm a resident here in Flagstaff.

I just want to make a quick statement that I do agree with the Verde Valley, which includes Cottonwood, Cornville, Clarkdale, Camp Verde, Sedona, Flagstaff should all be put together in a future nonnative LD-7, while also the rural-suburban periphery of Flagstaff should also remain in a future nonnative LD-7, while the deeply urban Flagstaff area, which includes the campus of NAU, go to the native district to achieve population number equality.

Population number equality is essential because, in 2010, redistricting made Republican districts overpopulated and Democratic districts underpopulated, which was disproportional and unfair.

That's all I need to say. Thank you and have a good day.

SPEAKER ABIGAIL JACKSON: Hi. My name is
Abigail Jackson. I'm a graduate of NAU and a resident
of Flagstaff, Arizona.

As a young person, voting and fair representation are very important to myself and my future. The current proposed maps, which are uncompetitive, discourage participation among my peers, among which there's already concern that our votes do not matter.

That's why I support redrawing the current

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC

proposed maps to create districts that are more competitive and fair so that all our votes will count.

Thank you.

SPEAKER KYLE NITSCHKE: Hey all. Thank you so much for the opportunity and for having us here today.

I'm really happy to be able to share my opinion, and I'm really just stoked to be here tonight. My name is Kyle Nitschke, and I'm the Northern Regional Organizing Director for Arizona Students Association.

Over the last five years, I've helped thousands of students register to vote on campus, and one of the biggest concerns that I hear from young people is that their vote doesn't matter. With the current draft maps proposed by the AZ IRC, I'm worried that we're making that sentiment come true.

The current legislative district for our NAU students is overwhelmingly filled with Democrats and very uncompetitive, and the current draft congressional map is overwhelmingly filled with Republicans and also very uncompetitive.

The currently drawn districts will diminish the voice of students at NAU and lead to more extreme partisan legislators. When we have competitive districts, we have higher voter turnout and more young people engaged in our local community. I just please

ask that you redraw the maps to address these concerns and don't drown out the student voice.

I also wanted to support Supervisor Fowler's comments on the numberings for legislative districts, and would add in that I would love to see our congressional districts stay as Congressional District 1 for Northeast Arizona.

And thank you so much for your time.

MS. NEUMANN: And now back to Yuma.

MS. CHAPPLE: Thank you.

The next five speakers for Yuma will be Councilmember Karen Watts, and then Gary Snyder, then Nancy Meister, followed by Russ Jones, and then Xanthe Bullard.

SPEAKER KAREN WATTS: Good evening. I get to be on this side. It's different for me.

My name is Karen Watts, and I'm an elected official and a city council member.

I'm here to speak on behalf of my constituents in the City of Yuma. I have a unique position and challenge as a nurse practitioner serving the Yuma area for over 25 years, and as an elected, nonpartisan official since November 2017. As a nurse practitioner, I've served many families in the Foothills, City of Yuma, and South County. Since 2007, I've been in

private practice serving patients of all ages and economic status.

1.3

I am concerned about the addition -- the proposed addition of the Foothills to the City of Yuma and South County.

As a nurse practitioner, I've spent a great deal of my professional career helping the low income and underserved communities in the Greater Yuma area. I have served as a forensic -- I'm the medical coordinator for the forensic medical services for victims of domestic violence and sexual assault.

I work closely with all law enforcement agencies in Yuma County. I have extensive experience as well with substance abuse patients and currently provide services for Crossroads detox rehabilitation that serves homeless and low income.

I'm just kind of giving you a background of my community.

I do home visits in the Foothill areas for the elderly and disabled.

This has given me the opportunity to interact with a diverse population and understand the variety of issues that are important to our citizens. Yuma is over 60 percent Hispanic and has a large Spanish-speaking population. Yuma Foothills area has a median age of

60.4 years, while Yuma has a median age of 31.8. I do not want to see representation of this diverse population be diluted or taken away as their voices must continue to be heard.

The proposed legislative and congressional districts will additionally dilute the Latino vote of South County by adding the Foothills population that was previously in a separate district. The Foothills has a large retired population that has different needs for federal programs, education, medical, and recreation compared to the younger families of South County and the City of Yuma.

These areas deserve representation that is in alignment with their demographics and specific needs.

The purpose of the census and redistricting is for Arizonans to have a fair share of representation that most importantly includes giving a voice to communities that have traditionally been marginalized.

I hope the IRC will view how important it is to have the two legislative districts representing the uniqueness of Yuma County as we have -- thank you.

MS. VAN HAREN: Was her time over?

MALE VOICE: Do you need to check that timer? You're good. Okay.

SPEAKER GARY SNYDER: Buenas Tardes. (In

Spanish.) San Luis, Arizona.

1.3

I got your attention. We're talking about minority. I'm one of the minorities, but I don't see myself as a minority.

(Audience interruption - Microphone not on.)

SPEAKER GARY SNYDER: Check, check, check.

All right. I started in Spanish because, obviously, we're mentioning minority voice. Yeah, we could be 60 percent of the population, but that doesn't matter. That's segregating us. We're all a United States citizen. We're all here in the United States. Our voice matters.

And when we're talking about our legislation map and congressional map, we go off of what we currently have is two different sides. Right now, our Congressional No. 3, we haven't seen our congressman in more than 15 years. He doesn't even show up to our area. So you have him out of Tucson, which we've asked you previously, and a lot of us residents, that we not be added to Tucson.

I understand that it's because it's Latino based, but our Latino base is much different than Tucson's needs. We're not a community of interest. I understand you're trying to say they're the border, but we are actually at the border. Nogales is the border,

but Tucson is not the border.

1.3

When we talk about here, we talk about Yuma

County: agriculture, military, family, medical. You

know, we're at the border. We deal with the border. So

our family and our agriculture has more to deal with,

you know, than -- (technical/audio disruption.)

Wow. Okay.

-- Buckeye, Goodyear, especially the new area of Verrado. We've mentioned that's what we wanted as a whole.

We notice that you're going more towards Tucson, as we didn't ask for, because that Latino base, to hit your quota, is not a competitive area. Our Latino base is here in Yuma County. We're all together, and we consider ourself Yuma County.

We would like to work together, we would like to stay as is and take our -- take away Tucson and go more towards our areas as march of interest as Luke Air Force base and stuff like that. Thank you.

SPEAKER NANCY MEISTER: Good evening, Chairwoman and other Commissioners. Thank you for your public service. You have given much time and effort to this process that will affect the elections of Arizona for the next 10 years.

My name is Nancy Meister. I have lived in Yuma

for over 40 years. Our son was born here and attended District 1's grammar schools and Kofa High School.

As he was growing up, I was the soccer mom cheering on the sides. I was part of the parent association at schools, and I'm a community leader in a variety of nonprofits and other organizations in Yuma, including 4-H.

I live in what is now in your Legislative

District No. 23, which is a majority-minority district,

and believe it would protect the voting rights of the

population better if the area known as the Foothills

would be removed. Those precincts in the Foothills are

80 to 90 percent white; and, therefore, would dilute the

ability of the Hispanic population to elect state

legislators that would best represent them.

I believe that the map submitted by the Latino Coalition could be used to adjust LD-23 to make sure that the district complies with the VRA.

Thank you again for your service. I appreciate that you're here in Yuma tonight. Thank you.

SPEAKER RUSS JONES: Make sure I don't have a mic problem.

My name is Russ Jones. Thank you for being here in Yuma a day before Veterans Day. We have all kinds of parades all over the county, so you're welcome to stay

and enjoy them tomorrow.

I'm currently chairman of the Yuma County
Republican Senatorial Committee. I also was the former
legislator that represented District 23 until, a little
over 10 years ago, your predecessor commission divided
up Yuma County, and I was essentially districted out.

Now, why is that a concern to me? Looking at your current maps and studying where everyone lives, out of our six members in the state legislature representing the area, only one of them will be left to run for office, and that one person is going to run in a new district for them that they're not normally running in.

And what does that do to Yuma interests that we have in common? Well, obviously, we've mentioned ag.

Wellton-Mohawk, Gila Valley, Yuma Valley, Yuma Mesa. We have water districts. We have irrigation districts that share taxing district areas that the lines cut through.

We have -- we have -- it's been mentioned the military, not only -- MCAS Yuma works closely also with YPG and what they do out there. With what may be coming up for the next BRAC, they keep talking about, well, it's important that we be able to have a unified voice and representation in Congress and in the state legislature to protect the bases that are in Yuma County.

Education. Arizona Western College. La Paz used to be part of Yuma County, and we had one junior college district. Now that's split and that's a separate taxing district.

And it was mentioned about healthcare. Very, very important. We have -- in Yuma County, we have a single hospital district, another taxing district that we share in common with interested healthcare. And then the last being education.

So I would propose that you consider the maps that Mayor Nichols has presented. I support those. And I support the entire delegation that has been serving Yuma County very well for the last number of years.

Thank you.

1.3

SPEAKER XANTHE BULLARD: Good evening, Chair Neuberg, Commissioners. My name is Xanthe, X-A-N-T-H-E. Bullard. I'm a resident of Precinct 24 in Yuma County, which is part of what is known as the Fortuna Foothills area.

I moved down here from Michigan to provide care for my father, who is a disabled veteran, six years ago. Were he not bedbound, he could tell you himself what his community is like.

He moved out to the Foothills in '97 as an RV salesman because that is where a large portion of people

who continuously are in the market for RVs live.

1.3

The average age of people in my precinct and those that make up the Foothills is double my own age and is mostly a community of white retirees or nearly retirees.

I come before you today first to thank you for listening to Yumans when we expressed how important it was for us to have two separate legislative and congressional districts as we have varied legislative issues that cannot be adequately addressed by one set of legislators or congressperson.

The draft maps show that you agreed with our community enough to create two separate districts in this county. I am here now to ask you again to listen to us by removing the Fortuna Foothills precincts of 22, 23, 24, and 25 from the proposed LD-23 and CD-7 maps.

I ask this for the very reasons that we needed two districts to begin with. These four precincts are very white and more rural than the rest of the draft maps. They more closely resemble the community of Parker or Quartzsite with predominantly mobile home lots, roughly half of which are occupied by RVs.

These precincts have their own Facebook page, their own community events, their own shopping and dining areas, preferring not to go into town whenever

possible, and a lot of them even leave for cooler climates in the summer months.

Including these districts only dilutes the Hispanic population of the entire district and its voting power. This will end up preventing these majority-minority districts and the VRA districts from choosing their own representation.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Thank you very much.

SPEAKER XANTHE BULLARD: Thank you.

MS. CHAPPLE: We will finish up the remaining speakers from Yuma, and there are six of you, so I will call you up in order.

The first person would be Kimberly Kahl, James Schuessler, Teri Koenig, Rosalie Lines. I hope I pronounced that correctly. I'm going to think Josh Pendleton, and then Gilbert Hernandez.

SPEAKER KIMBERLY KAHL: Good evening, Madam
Chairwoman and Commissioners. My name is Kimberly Kahl.
And while I am not here in an official capacity with the
Yuma County Chamber of Commerce, I am the executive
director of the Yuma County Chamber of Commerce.
Because of that, I would be remiss in mentioning the
economic drivers that we have of Yuma County. Those are
agriculture, military, and tourism.

Looking at the maps as they are currently drawn,

it divides us. It seriously divides us and will actually prevent my office and local citizens from getting the representation that we need and that we deserve.

1.3

I originally come from the Phoenix area. And moving to Yuma in the last year, I have seen how -- how we are somewhat ignored. We have great representation in Phoenix. But we are not getting the voices that we could have, and this current map actually makes it worse.

And so I encourage you to put us all back together so we can get one unified voice and get the representation that Yuma County deserves. Thank you.

SPEAKER JAMES SCHUESSLER: Good evening, and welcome to Yuma County and to our friends up in Flagstaff.

I came to advocate for fair maps, and I think it's pretty clear from the feedback that you're getting that these maps don't quite meet the measure on that.

Fair maps, which the technology certainly allows you to do, allows for a balance of Republicans,

Democrats, and Independents so that the less -- the best idea wins with candidates rather than the best Tweet.

And for heaven's sakes, an elected official here in Arizona in the last week tweeted "Big Bird is a

Communist."

Now, we can't guarantee that elected officials with emotional intelligence are guaranteed to be elected, but when people are really thinking about their vote and there's balance between each of these districts, we're more likely to get people with emotional intelligence.

I lived in Wisconsin back in 2011, and they went through a significant gerrymander, computer-generated, and it has turned the state upside down. In fact, one of the elections, recently, 45 percent of the votes resulted in 64 percent of the seats. And when that happens, you just lose that balance and you end up with a lot of dysfunction. And it feels like Arizona has the potential to move further into that area of dysfunction.

Why is that important? Well, workforce is important. We've got a lot of growing companies here in the state of Arizona and those state -- those companies depend upon a good quality workforce.

And I can tell you Wisconsin, having worked in economic development there the last eight years before I moved here, Wisconsin has been bleeding people. And so don't let that happen in a place where we've got a lot of industry growing.

Thank you. Thank you for coming to Yuma.

SPEAKER TERI KOENIG: Good evening, Chair Neuberg and Commissioners. Thank you for being here.

1.3

My name is Teri Koenig, and I moved to Yuma

County in 1983 to be a special education teacher. After

I finished that career, I now work as a home visitor for
a nonprofit agency. I go all over the county. I go to

San Luis to work. We can go to Wellton. Our home

visitation program serves all of Yuma County.

And I would really like to go back to everyone in Yuma being in the same district. The Yuma County split was baffling. I have more in common with other Yuma County residents than I do with Buckeye or Prescott. I support small businesses in Somerton, San Luis, Wellton, and Tacna.

Safe districts that are not competitive discourage voting. They discourage viable candidates too. I do live in the Foothills, and I'm tired of living in a district in which my vote really doesn't matter.

So I would encourage you to consider having us all together again. Thank you.

SPEAKER ROSALIE LINES: I'm Rosalie Lines. And I support the Mayor as well as Gary Knight.

Really appreciate all the work and effort you guys are going to. It is so hard to please everyone,

and you end up pleasing no one on all the points. You have a very huge job to finesse, and my hats off to you and the efforts that you have made so far.

1.3

But as you can hear, we do not have the common interests that Tucson has, and we would like to stick with the river district issues and also more balanced in the Republicans, the Democrats, and Independents having a very open forum so that public debate on the issues can be competitive, can be enlightening, and have a lot more participation in voting where there isn't the dysfunction and the despair that anyone's voice is wiped out, and have a lively election and campaigning regarding propositions and the issues that matter.

That's all I wanted to say. I really hope you take into account the maps that have been submitted by the Mayor and Gary Knight and the different suggestions that you have heard tonight. Thank you.

SPEAKER JOSH PEMBLETON: I would like to thank everyone for being here tonight. Thank you for your time that you have put into this redistricting process.

My name is a Joshua Pembleton. I'm a Yuma resident.

First off, I would like to throw politics aside.

This isn't about Democrat or Republican or Independents.

This is about being American. And right now, America is staring at the state of Arizona because of possibilities

of the last election. And so everyone is concerned with this redistricting process on how we're going to move forward, but let's be very clear about this. We all -- everyone in this room and in this district, the current district and the current process, puts their pants on the same way: one leg at a time. And that's it. We're all Americans. It's that easy.

If you look at the current district, there wasn't a lot of gerrymandering going on when this process first started. As the process moved along, and then as of a few days ago, quite a bit of change happened in the current district that goes from Yuma to Tucson.

As stated with most people that have spoke tonight, Yuma and Tucson have very different interests. Yuma's concerned with the agricultural industry. We can start throwing percentages out. Yuma does 90 percent of all leafy vegetables for North America. Yuma County does 90 percent for three months out of the year for all produce. That's significant.

But how does Yuma -- how are they able to accomplish that? They're able to accomplish that because of water rights. If we split the district, when the water comes down from the north, that doesn't help Yuma. We should be looking at producing for not just

Yuma but for the rest of America and, actually, Canada.

If we're splitting the district, that's not helping.

In reference to the rest of what Yuma wants, they want things like border security. Tucson is not looking for that in that direction. I ask you to please not put us in the same district as we move forward in this process. Thank you.

SPEAKER GILBERT HERNANDEZ: Buenas tardes.

Me llamo Gilbert Daniel Hernandez. (In Spanish.)

speak Español. Not fluent, but I can speak it.

I was born in Yuma in 1950. 71 years old. I seen a lot of changes. And what I seen tonight and what I heard tonight was disgusting for me on this thing.

Racism was brought up in a powerful way by a young lady, and this is what I have to say.

We are not hyphenated Americans. We are Americans. We are Americans first, foremost. What we heard tonight from that young lady was really disgusting on this redistricting.

By the way, I'm a Latino and I live in the Foothills, and there is a lot of Latinos in the Foothills if we want to hyphenate Americans. I'm bringing that up to ensure that we have Latinos, Hispanic-Americans, or whatever you want to call us. It was very disgusting to hear that young lady speak what

she said.

1.3

I personally like to keep it the way we are. I agree with the Mayor and how he wants to in his maps.

We have something in common. Let's keep us strong. Let's keep Yuma strong. I always say this when I speak about being strong. A baseball glove is only as good as the weakest thread on the glove; correct?

If you split us up, we're going to become weak. We don't want that. We want a strong community. Let's keep it that way.

Obviously, we've heard a lot of the things going on, Flagstaff and Yuma, and it's obvious that we're not happy with the redistricting that you guys are talking about.

Thank you for your time. I appreciate it. God bless America.

MS. CHAPPLE: And we do have one more speaker from Yuma. Alan Gonzalez.

SPEAKER ALAN GONZALEZ: Hello. Hi. My name is Alan Ayesi Gonzalez. I can also speak Spanish: Cómo estás? I can also speak French: Comment allez-vous? I don't think that really matters with the issues that we're worried about.

Yes, racism was brought up. As Xanthe was walking down with Gary Snyder and the previous gentleman

yelled out "White power," that's the kind of scary racism that we want to shield ourselves from.

1.3

I have lived in Buckeye and Goodyear, and they have a lot to share with the Foothills. That was a very accurate structuring of the maps. And in Tucson, with the medical industries and the aerospace focus that they have right now and the city here in Yuma, that's excellent. Why would we want to separate ourselves from progress? Why would we want to separate ourselves from development?

Race shouldn't be the issue that's brought up here. That's kind of disgusting that it's brought up.

I am probably the youngest person that's come up to speak. It was mentioned that the median age in the city is 31. That's actually still older than me, but here I am. Because, yes, there is a big difference in the Foothills. I saw the same area, buildings, houses in the Foothills that I saw in Buckeye and Goodyear when I lived there in 2018.

When I moved here in 2016 originally, I did get to see a lot of Arizona. I did get to explore Tucson. We share a lot in common. It would be a mistake to stripe ourselves -- or strike ourselves from development and progress.

That's all I have to say for now.

MS. CHAPPLE: Thank you, Yuma speakers. That concludes the people who have submitted a speaker card, so we will go back to Flagstaff.

MS. NEUMANN: Thank you, Yuma.

Our next five speakers are Holli Ploog, Evan
Hunter, Linda Warren, Steve Zipperman, and Andrea Kadar.

SPEAKER HOLLI PLOOG: Thank you, Commissioner
Neuberg -- Chairwoman Neuberg and Commissioners. Nice
to see you again. My name is Holli Ploog, and I'm a
member of the Sedona City Council.

And my colleagues have spoken to you tonight about our desire and support -- our unanimous support of the Coconino County Board of Supervisor maps, so what I would like to talk about a little bit is about competitiveness, which is something that the Commission has talked about repeatedly during its meetings thus far.

And competitiveness -- fair and competitive maps is what the voters entrusted upon you, and I think it's a sacred trust. And it's a hard job, so I'm sympathetic.

But it's not about balancing the state so that there's 14 safe districts for the Republicans and 14 safe districts for the Democrats, as an example, and two districts that could go either way. That's not good for

democracy.

1.3

Competitiveness is about the ability for either party to win an election in a district. And right now, the maps that were drawn for Northern Arizona do not provide that at all. So District 5 and District 7, there's up to 30 points difference between one party versus another party.

So basically what would be happening is that an election would occur in a primary, and that's not a good outcome. It means that people would not have the ability to be heard for the next 10 years. That's a really difficult situation. Candidates are not going to run when they know they're going to lose and the best candidates don't necessarily run on the other side, and legislators don't feel like they have to listen to their constituents.

Thank you very much.

SPEAKER EVAN HUNTER: My name is Evan Hunter, and I'm a student here at Northern Arizona University.

I'm going to keep it relatively short but reiterate an important point that's been made today. My concern is that the Commission needs to be -- or needs to maintain Arizona's competitive nature when finalizing these maps. It's unfair when districts lean predominantly towards one political party, as I truly

believe that every party should be able to make inroads with their agenda through fair and equal districts.

Thank you.

SPEAKER LINDA WARREN: Good evening,

Commissioners. My name is Linda Warren. I live in

Cottonwood. And when we moved here 11 years ago, we met

Sedona Westerners, did a lot of hiking, and I have a lot

of friends there.

So in conversations and long associations with them, they reveal that they come to Cottonwood to shop at Home Depot and Walmart, and they come to Cottonwood for better restaurants that are less costly than Sedona. And we all hike the Red Rocks of Sedona, and they hike the Mingus Mountains over in Cottonwood.

Out of necessity, Verde Valley residents will go to Prescott, Phoenix, or Flag for specialized medical care, but these relationships do not constitute community. I haven't heard from them in 10 years any feeling of community with Flag.

So with a town as small as Sedona, why break it up at all? And Sedona should be included in the Yavapai County districting, not Coconino. Thank you.

SPEAKER STEVE ZIPPERMAN: Thank you for this opportunity to address the Commission. My name is Steve Zipperman. I'm a concerned citizen and resident of

Yavapai County.

Our communities of interest include Prescott,
Sedona, Wickenburg, and the Verde Valley. These
communities are all rural, people have the same
lifestyles, and they work and live together.

It's important that you adhere to all six of the criteria laid out in the Arizona Constitution. People choose where to live in our state based on their individual interests.

We approve of the current draft map showing the boundaries of the Legislative District 5 with two exceptions. One, Sedona should not be split and all of Sedona should be contained within District 5 and included with Prescott, not Flagstaff; two, Wickenburg should also not be split and all of it should be included within the area of Yavapai County shown as District 5 in the current maps.

Residents of these two communities shop in Prescott, use the facilities within Yavapai County, and they enjoy a rural lifestyle just like the residents of Yavapai County.

This Commission should not be swayed by the Coconino County Board of Supervisors. The Verde Valley has more in common with Prescott than it does with Flagstaff. Beware. The term "competitiveness" is being

used as a tool to gerrymander the district.

The median age in Sedona is 61, the median age in Prescott is 58, while the median age in Flagstaff is 25. That's an example of why this is part of the same community. It's easier for residents of Sedona and Verde Valley to travel to Prescott and Prescott Valley than to travel to Flagstaff, especially in the summer when the tourists clog the roads. In winter, getting to Flagstaff is nearly impossible due to weather-related issues. Hiking - Granite Dells in Prescott and Sedona. Kayaking - Verde Valley and Prescott Lakes.

Students at the three Verde high school campuses -- thank you very much.

SPEAKER ANDREA KADAR: Good evening. My name is Andrea Kadar, and I live in Yavapai County, specifically in the Village of Oak Creek.

And I thank you Commissioners for your hard work to redraw Arizona's legislative and congressional lines. It's a difficult task to balance the numerous criteria as set forth in our Constitution, and we appreciate you for your hard work and zeal.

I'm going to make three points that I wish to cover, and I'm going to do it quickly.

First, with all due respect, neither the Flagstaff mayor, nor the Coconino County Board of

Supervisors speak for us in the Verde Valley.

Second, the Sedona mayor and the city council, with all due respect, do not speak for all of the Verde Valley residents.

And third and most importantly, you folks on the Commission are hearing from a small fraction of Sedona residents on these new line suggestions. Sedona and the Verde Valley align closely as a community of interest with Prescott. Prescott is the Yavapai County seat. Flagstaff, obviously, is not.

A Yavapai college location is located in West Sedona. A Yavapai County Sheriff's office is located in the Village of Oak Creek. A Yavapai County jail and court are located in Camp Verde.

The vast majority of the Verde Valley's property taxes are paid to Yavapai County; therefore, Sedona and the Verde Valley residents have a voice with elected officials. We truly must be included in the final Legislative District 5 and Congressional District 2 maps. Therefore, I respectfully suggest that you ladies and gentlemen adopt the proposed Arizona draft 10.0 maps for Legislative District 5 and CD-2 without any changes.

And again, I sincerely thank you, Commissioners, for the steadfast resolve to balance the six criteria as set forth in the Arizona Constitution. Thank you again.

MS. NEUMANN: Our next five speakers are James Warren, Michael Schroeder, Dwight Kadar, Michael Sanders, and Haley Creighton.

1.3

SPEAKER JAMES WARREN: Good evening. Thank you for your hard work.

What I will outline is our commitment to the Verde Valley community and my inclusion of Sedona in that. While politicians may argue for different lines, the societal lines include Sedona in the Verde Valley.

My wife and I purchased our home in Cottonwood 11 years ago. Being new and not knowing -- not having any friends, we immediately joined the Sedona Westerners and started hiking. We knew we would want to hike the red rocks, we had hiked the Grand Canyon, and we considered ourselves in pretty good shape and avid hikers at that time.

Having come from New Jersey, though, we were well aware of the limitations imposed by roundabouts and high traffic density. So we really weren't interesting in moving to Sedona, but we found ourselves very much in that community. Not only were we involved in the Westerners, but we also were very involved with the Sinfonietta, which is a musical orchestra that plays in Sedona. We were -- I was on the -- participated in the management of the Sedona Westerners when I was trail

boss at one point, which is a top position. My wife was active on the board of the Sinfonietta. We participated financially, quite substantially, with the support of that organization.

I also found myself in the Sedona Car Club. We joined the Elks. We also -- I also am in a car club in Prescott, and we have an informal club in Cottonwood. So we've found ourselves very much in the organizations in Sedona, Prescott, and Cottonwood.

Thank you very much.

1.3

SPEAKER MICHAEL SCHROEDER: Good evening. My name is Michael Schroeder, and I live in Sedona, Yavapai County.

I would like to take the opportunity to express my appreciation to the Commission for its work to redraw Arizona's legislative and congressional district lines.

I know it's a difficult task to balance the criteria set forth in the Arizona Constitution.

As the Commission is aware, there are six criteria that guide the drawing of districts. For the audience in attendance, I want to quickly review them.

Number one, equal population;

Number two, compact and contiguous;

Number three, compliant with U.S. Constitution and the Voting Rights Act;

Number four, respect for communities of interest;

Five, incorporation of visible geographic features, including, city, town, and county boundaries, as well as undivided census tracts;

And six, creation of competitive districts where there is no significant detriment to those goals.

In listening to Saturday's public hearing, in reference to Legislative Districts 5, 6, and 7 and CD-2, there was a constant drumbeat by speakers of the creation of competitive districts with little mention of the other five criteria. The speakers failed to mention the core of criteria six, and I quote, where there is no significant detriment to the other goals.

Let me be perfectly clear. The city mayors, county supervisors, and others living in Coconino County making this statement do not speak for all of the Verde Valley residents. Our tax dollars do not flow to Coconino County. And as a majority property taxpayer myself, I want a say with my representatives where my taxes are going.

So I respectfully request that the Commission adopt the Arizona draft as already stated. Thank you.

SPEAKER DWIGHT KADAR: Good evening, and thank you for this public hearing. My name is Dwight Kadar,

and I am not an elected official from Sedona, from Flagstaff, or from Coconino County. I am a resident of the Verde Valley.

I would like to express my sincere appreciation to Chair Neuberg and the Commission for your tireless work. This is a difficult task, and we appreciate all your efforts.

I've studied the proposed maps of the AZ draft 10.0 for Districts 5, 6, 7 and compared them to the current maps in District 1, 6, and 5 which have been in effect since 2012.

It is clear to me and many of the people that we have spoken to that, with respect to these rural districts, Madam Chair and you fellow Commissioners have adhered to all six districts as described in the Arizona Constitution. This is especially true with respect to equal population, communities of interest, and incorporating county boundaries.

I live in the unincorporated municipality of the Village of Oak Creek in the Verde Valley. As such, I am a Yavapai County taxpayer, and I consider the services I receive and pay for. The vast majority of the Verde Valley and Sedona is geographically in Yavapai County. Our residents pay property taxes to support that county.

We want to be represented by people who have

like interests.

1.3

Our school district taxes, fire taxes, and other taxes support the Yavapai Community College, the Yavapai County Jail, the court system, and all others. We have our own Yavapai County Sheriff's Office located in the Village of Oak Creek, and our county supervisor resides there as well. Residents of Yavapai County get little, if any, service from Coconino County.

At this point, with all the public comment the Commission has received and considered, please adopt the maps without change. Thank you.

SPEAKER MICHAEL SANDERS: Hello, my name is Michael Sanders, and I'm a 40-plus year resident of Yavapai County. 35 of those years have been involved with government and economic and ecological issues.

And I want to propose that we keep Yavapai County as its own LD and include North Sedona and the rest of Wickenburg. That's the simplest solution and that makes -- that serves the most people and it accomplishes the requirements of the Constitution.

Now, I'm holding up a Verde map. I know you can't see it, but if you look at a small 45-mile radius, that includes Sedona, Camp Verde, Cottonwood, Paulden, Chino Valley, Prescott, Prescott Valley, and everything else that's in there. That is an economic development

system. That is an economic development area and ecological area.

I'll just say this. Flagstaff is its own ecology. It's up off the Rim. So you can take a wilderness map, you can take any map, and you will see that that is, in fact, true.

I'm just not pulling this stuff out of the air.

I come from experience. I'm a former mayor of Chino

Valley. Been on the Industrial Commission of Arizona

twice. I'm a past member of the Governor's Strategic

Plan for Economic Development, Arizona Economic

Development Council, Arizona Groundwater Users Advisory

Council. I'm an Arizona delegate to the White House

Conference of Small Business, and Arizona delegate to

multiple congressional small business summits.

So anyway, the simplest solution is almost -often the best. Pull North Sedona into LD for Yavapai
County. Pull Wickenburg -- (audio disruption).
Represent most of the people. That's where you serve
the people the best.

And a big thumbs up for the Commission, and thanks for your service to Arizona. Thank you.

SPEAKER HALEY CREIGHTON: Hello. Good evening.

Thank you so much for being here. My name is Haley

Creighton. I am a student at Northern Arizona

University, and I am here to urge you to create fair and competitive districts for Arizona voters.

I have lived in Arizona my entire live, and I want my vote and the votes of all Arizonans to matter. Creating competitive districts means that all of our voices count, regardless of party affiliation.

Thank you.

1.3

MS. NEUMANN: We will now take a 10-minute break to relieve our transcriptionist, and we will resume promptly at 7:46.

(A recess was taken from 7:36 p.m. to 7:46 p.m.)

MS. NEUMANN: Okay, folks. Let's get started again.

Our next five speakers, we've got Peter Asencio,
David Osborn, Nancy Branham, Vicki Finger, and Steve
Peru.

SPEAKER PETER ASENCIO: Good evening. My name is Pete Asencio. I live in Yavapai County in the Verde Valley, more or less in Rimrock.

I would like to first take this opportunity to express my appreciation to the Commission for its work in redrawing the Arizona legislative and congressional district lines. It's a very difficult task to balance and numerous criteria to set forth in our Constitution.

There are three points that I would like to be helpful maybe to the Commission. First of all is that neither Flagstaff mayor or the mayor from Sedona represents the people from the Verde Valley.

I've lived in the Verde Valley for 13 years now, and I'm very involved in my community, and I do a lot of work there and I know a lot of people there. We do our shopping in Camp Verde and in Cottonwood and in Prescott.

I don't ever go to Flagstaff other than to come here for this, and I had to use a map to figure out how to get here.

So the draft map that the Commission made, it's 10.0, that is what I would like to see happen, for it to stay that way.

And other than that, that's about all I have to say. Thank you.

SPEAKER DAVID OSBORN: Good evening. My name is David Osborn. I live in Rimrock which is in Verde Valley.

I would like to share that I am satisfied with the current CD maps the way that they -- for Northern Arizona the way that they're drafted.

I am satisfied with the current LD maps for Northern Arizona with two exceptions. I would like to

see the City of Sedona incorporated into LD-5, along with Wickenburg into LD-5. Thank you.

SPEAKER NANCY BRANHAM: Thank you for being here tonight. My name is Nancy Branham. I live in Flagstaff. I've been here over 30 years, and I'm an involved citizen of the community.

and the congressional district draft map. When you heard our comments in July, it was clear that we want Flagstaff to be kept whole. We still want and need that. That includes the communities of Kachina, Mountainaire, Bellemont, Westwood, Forest Highlands, Flag Ranch, and Munds Park, which on the draft map are now included with Williams in a district that wraps south around Flagstaff and extends 200 miles and a three-hour travel time. The communities that I have mentioned are absolutely part of Flagstaff and not a part of where you now have them on the draft map.

You will hear plenty of folks from Sedona tonight that their community needs to be together rather than being split at the county line. But once you keep Sedona together, you must also include Cornville, Camp Verde, Clarkdale, and Cottonwood as part of our community of interest.

Based on the overwhelming testimony that you

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC

have received from these folks, they identify much more closely with Flagstaff than Prescott. I'm seriously concerned that the Commission ignored the constitutionally mandated criteria of compactness and communities of interests.

Please adopt the map from the Coconino County
Board of Supervisors regarding LD-7, which has also been
unanimously endorsed by the Flagstaff and Sedona City
Councils.

As for the congressional district map, I will simply say that your map has turned what has historically been a very competitive district into a strong Republican district with no good reason. This configuration will reduce competitiveness and will limit the voice of our Native American communities. The Navajo Nation has submitted map CDF-010 proposed revision to CD-2, which I strongly support.

Thank you for your efforts. And I will make further comments online.

SPEAKER VICKI FINGER: Hello. My name is Vicki Finger. I have worked, lived, played, shopped, volunteered, and received my healthcare in Flagstaff for over 25 years. My comments I know will echo those of many others from Flagstaff and Sedona, and this, I believe, is indicative of their importance.

Flagstaff serves as a hub for many things.

That, you have heard from before. In the draft map, all the folks that are connected to that hub are cut off.

Our healthcare system services are divided from their patients. Many of our students and their parents are divided from their schools. Our businesses are cut off from their many customers, and our workforce is cut off from its place of employment. Part of us are in the proposed Legislative District 6; part of us are in Legislative District 7.

If the draft map is adopted, none of our needs in these areas will be taken seriously by our legislative candidates because these seats will not be competitive. And competitive is important, and you have heard the many reasons why.

In fact, all three of the Northern Arizona legislative districts are not competitive. It does not have to be this way to meet the other important requirements. You have been furnished alternative redistricting maps by Coconino County Board of Supervisors and the Navajo Nation. I ask that you consider these seriously and adopt portions of them.

MS. NEUMANN: Our next five speakers are Laura Huenneke, Connie Levinson, Dieter Knecht, Ann Piper, and Miguel Vasquez.

SPEAKER LAURA HUENNEKE: Good evening. My name is Laura Huenneke. I've lived just outside of Flagstaff for more than 18 years.

I represent many fellow Northern Arizona residents, moderate, often independent in politics, committed to principles of good, representative government.

Like others here, I want to speak to the many reasons why the maps proposed by the Coconino County Board of Supervisors are superior to your draft legislative district map in the northern region of the state.

While Yavapai is one of a very few counties kept whole in your plan, your proposed districts LD-5, 6, and 7 ignore and fragment many natural and governmental boundaries and multiple communities of interest.

The districts proposed by Coconino Board of Supervisors do a better job of satisfying the six Constitutional criteria. They keep multiple local entities intact. Flagstaff with its suburbs. Sedona. They respect natural geographic boundaries, the Verde Valley separate from the Prescott AMA west of Mingus Mountain. They respect compactness and contiguity by linking communities along I-17 and Oak Creek.

Map LDF-50 links communities of interest, people

served by Northern Arizona healthcare, all the way to businesses dependent on environmental tourism, river activities and agriculture, federal lands. It also protects the Yavapai Apache Nation by keeping it in a single district, not cracking it as your current draft map does.

Map LDF-51 also links communities of interest around environment and economic drivers while protecting Native American voters and their electoral choice.

Finally, the Board of Supervisors' submission results in a highly competitive district for Flagstaff, Sedona, and Verde Valley region. I ask you to modify your map to incorporate those suggestions.

Thank you for your service.

SPEAKER CONNIE LEVINSON: My name is Connie Levinson. I'm a 20-year resident of Sedona.

I am here to implore you to utilize the Coconino County Board of Supervisors' map. What has been presented thus far does not reflect our community of interest. To us, it seems very clear that the Verde Valley goes with Flagstaff because that's where we go. I don't know any Sedonan who goes to Prescott to recreate.

This is not a slam against Prescott or Yavapai County. Other counties are being split. We will still

pay our taxes. We will still shop in Cottonwood. But most of the time, we will come up here for medical care. Look at the universe created by Northern Arizona Healthcare. It does not extend to Prescott.

1.3

Veterans will go to the veterans hospital because it's cheaper and safer and easier for them, but the rest of us have to travel for medical care. Our options are very limited.

We really ask that you consider our community of interest and the fact that if the current maps become permanent for the next 10 years, my vote will not count. The balance is unequal, I think unfair, and I think that needs to be straightened out.

And we do need to respect our Yavapai Apache
Nation neighbors. They are already split
geographically. They should not be split in this
manner.

So please, when you go back and you have heard all of us and we've talked, please consider how it is we live here, where we go, where we travel, and where services are provided. The Quad Cities, Prescott, that is not our universe. Wickenburg is not our universe. Payson is a three-hour drive. So is Wickenburg. These are not in our community. Our community is the Verde Valley and Flagstaff.

So please listen. We would really appreciate it. I would hate to lose my vote. Thank you.

SPEAKER DIETER KNECHT: Thank you very much for listening to us. I really appreciate your hard work that you have to put up with. I am Dieter Knecht in Yavapai County and Sedona. I'm also a member of the Westerners and supporter of the Sinfonietta. But I respectfully disagree with some of my other fellow Yavapai residents.

I mainly use Flagstaff for health, business, and arts and entertainment. I feel very contiguous with these areas.

And mainly, the arguments you have heard for Yavapai County are great for the county. I belong to -- I'm in Yavapai County. I pay taxes. But we're talking about maps, districts, to make competitive districts for the state of Arizona.

Yavapai County is not competitive. My vote is lost there. And it would be that way for the next 10 years if you keep it that way.

I urge you to really focus on that, just the way you heard from the students of Arizona and others. That if we are in a district where we essentially don't have a vote, then we lose our interests.

Somebody mentioned the fact of gerrymandering.

Well, gerrymandering -- competitive districts is not gerrymandering. Gerrymandering is where you essentially have one district controlled by one side or the other.

1.3

So please keep us -- Sedona together and keep us in the same -- thank you.

SPEAKER MIQUEL VASQUEZ: Honorable members of the Committee, of the IRC, I wanted to say buenas noches. (In Spanish.)

My name is Miguel Vasquez. I'm a retired professor here at NAU, and a 32-year member -- or resident of Flagstaff. I'm also a founding member of the Coconino County Hispanic Advisory Council.

Professors have a reputation for being a little bit longwinded. I won't be tonight. I'm glad I've got the two-minute limit.

I'm concerned that the approved map -- sorry.
I've not used one of these in a classroom.

I'm concerned that the approved map ignores the six basic principles -- the six basic criteria that we're mandated to follow.

The other day, my granddaughter Samantha -- she's a high school student -- she asked me, "Poppa, what does gerrymandering mean?"

And the map that I've seen approved so far, as far as I can see, is a blatant example of

gerrymandering. Whenever you've got noncompetitive districts, that's gerrymandering whether by intent or no.

Instead, I would like to provide a -- I would like to promote the Coconino County Board of Supervisors' map. It recognizes the diverse communities that we have here in Northern Arizona. And if we're real here, we realize that Native Americans and Hispanics and other people of color have been historically marginalized in this state, and I see this as one opportunity to begin to correct that historical error.

We have a chance now to do things correctly.

Let's do it. Your final decision strengthens or weakens our democracy in Arizona and the U.S. Please do the right thing.

SPEAKER ANN PIPER: Thank you for coming to our fair city. My name is Ann Piper. My husband and I are NAU graduates and, unfortunately, had to leave this fair city to be able to make a living when we were young, but have had the good fortune to return to Flagstaff for our retirement years.

We've lived in Kachina Village, which is located approximately seven miles south of Flagstaff along the I-17 corridor, for about five years. And although a few

miles out of town, we consider ourselves residents of Flagstaff.

We purchase all of our goods and services in Flagstaff. We receive all our healthcare in town, subscribe to the local newspaper, volunteer our time, attend local theater, and pay property taxes that support Flagstaff public schools, the Flagstaff library, Coconino Community College, and public health services.

We are very concerned that the proposed redistricting map for LD-7 removes our community and our neighboring community of Mountainaire from the local representation as well as policy and political influence. We are not connected financially, culturally, geographically, or politically with the far reaches of Apache, Gila, or Pinal County.

Please do not separate our town from the town that we are proud citizens of. We respectfully ask that you adopt the redistricting map drawn up and recommended by the Coconino County Board of Supervisors which allows us to remain part of the city that we love. Thank you.

MS. NEUMANN: Our next five speakers are Emma Burns, Dominique Gibala, Charlie Silver, Linda Guarino, and Sydney Shevat.

SPEAKER LINDA GUARINO: My name is Linda Guarino, and I live in Doney Park, which is part of the

Greater Flagstaff area.

We were previously in CD-1, which was a highly competitive district, and are now in the proposed CD-2 which leans heavily Republican. This is unfair to all Democrats and moderate independents because there's no reason for us to vote in a district that would have gone to Republican in the last nine elections. It also takes away my right to representation in Congress because a Republican who is sure to win would have no reason to listen to concerns from the other party.

A proposed revision submitted by the Navajo

Nation is competitive while honoring the other five

criteria for independent redistricting, and I urge you

to adopt this map.

I have the same concern with the proposed legislative map because Northern Arizona is split into two highly noncompetitive districts, LD-6 with a 42 percent vote spread, and 7 with a 30 percent vote spread, which makes it two of the most highly uncompetitive districts in the whole state.

Each district should be competitive so that every voter can have an equal chance to elect legislators who will listen to all of their constituents and not just to their base.

Politics in Arizona is already too partisan, and

safe districts like these will make the situation worse by allowing extremists on both sides. Please consider the alternative maps for LD-6 and 7 submitted by the Coconino County Board of Supervisors. These maps make each district highly competitive while honoring the other five criteria for independent redistricting.

1.3

These districts would encourage moderate candidates to run and permit elected officials to compromise and make the decisions that are best for all Arizonans and not just consider what is best for their own party. I ask you to please adopt this map.

Thank you for listening, and thank you for your service.

SPEAKER CHARLIE SILVER: Commissioners, good evening. Thank you very much for your hard work.

Charlie Silver. I'm a 40-year resident of Flagstaff.

And I have comments regarding three published plans: CDF-010 submitted by the Navajo Nation Human Rights Commission congressional map; LDF-050, Coconino LD-6; LDF-051, Coconino LD-7 maps.

I support these published plans as my community of interest and that best reflect competitiveness as well as the other criteria required per Arizona Constitution Article 4, Part 2, Section 1, Paragraph 14.

Thank you again for your service.

MS. NEUMANN: Next up is Bruce Donelson, Jeffrey Holloway, Marilyn Weissman, Jerome Vogel, and Debra Block.

SPEAKER BRUCE DONELSON: Good evening. My name is Bruce Donelson, and my address is 2515 Toho Trail, Flagstaff, Arizona 86005. This is in the subdivision known as Kachina Village. Although my address is technically outside the city limits of Flagstaff, I have a Flagstaff mailing address.

Also, there are no public or private schools in Kachina Village or in our neighboring subdivision of Mountainaire. Munds Park, a few miles south along I-17, is also a bedroom community of Flagstaff. All the children here attend school in Flagstaff. All of our jobs, all of our shopping, all of our medical care, all of our entertainment, and all of our local government is in Flagstaff.

In order to keep the community of Flagstaff whole, my neighborhood should be included in the same legislative district as Flagstaff. The draft map submitted by the AIRC fails to accomplish this. It separates me into Legislative District 7, while leaving the remainder of Flagstaff in Legislative District 6.

The City of Sedona should also be kept whole.

It also makes sense to keep it in the same district as

Flagstaff as almost all traffic in the area runs along the I-17 corridor.

The map unanimously approved by the Coconino

County Board of Supervisors is a far superior solution.

It is also unanimously endorsed by the city councils of

Flagstaff and Sedona. Moreover, it meets the goals of

Native Americans having a majority-minority district

which would also allow this population to elect a

candidate of their choice.

I also support the proposed map by the Navajo Nation for a revised Congressional District 2.

Furthermore, the Commissioners should ensure that all districts be competitive.

And I would like to thank the Commissioners for undertaking a very tough job.

SPEAKER JEFF HOLLOWAY: Hello. I'm Jeff
Holloway, and I am a resident of the teeming metropolis
of Bellemont. And everything that they've said from
Kachina Village applies to us except one thing. It
looks like maybe we're in Legislative District 6, but
the dashes on the map are thicker than Bellemont, so
it's not always easy to tell.

But I just wanted to be sure that we fell where Flagstaff falls. And looking at the little squiggles here, I think my physical therapist might be in

District 7, and my volunteer work is in District 6.

You're finely dividing there, and I think it would make sense to lump a little. Thank you.

SPEAKER JEROME VOGEL: Hello, and thank you for your work, and thank you for your declared interest in having competitive districts, because I really believe that so-called safe seats are corrosive to democracy.

And I live in Kachina Village, and we are definitely part of Flagstaff. Any realtor will tell you Flagstaff -- well, Kachina is a bedroom community of Flagstaff, along with Mountainaire, of course.

In addition to having Flagstaff addresses, we're in their school district, and we shop there. That's just our community.

And so we would really like to have shared representation along with Flagstaff. We have so much more in common with them than we have with Payson and with Williams. You know, the Town the Payson I'm very familiar with. I'm a part-time resident there.

Anyway, we have shared interests, shared community, and we would like to be included and represented in representation with Flagstaff.

Thank you.

SPEAKER MARILYN WEISSMAN: Hello, Commissioners. Thank you, too, for being here in person tonight. My

name is Marilyn Weissman. I'm a resident of Flagstaff. Last Saturday, I spoke to you virtually about the lack of competitiveness in the draft 10.2 legislative maps for LD-5, 6, and 7, and also the maps' failure to keep communities of interest together.

1.3

Due to time limits, I was not able to include in my remarks my thoughts about draft Congressional District 2, so I'm before you again.

I was disappointed to see that your current draft map of the map for CD-2 puts Flagstaff and its native neighbors in a new noncompetitive district that will primarily reflect the policy priorities and concerns of the people of the Prescott area, a community very different from our own.

While you have managed to carve out a state legislative district for our northern native members, you have failed to make a district that will represent their interests in Congress.

In regards to my own town of Flagstaff, unlike

Prescott, the City of Flagstaff has declared a climate

and housing emergency in our community and is working to

come up with policies in response.

We would like to be in a competitive district that gives us the possibility of having a representative in Congress who will help us with these and other

problems. Currently, we are represented here in Flagstaff by a moderate. This is the result of the district being politically competitive.

Districts that are lopsided in one direction, as the new CD-2 is, have the effect of electing extremists who rarely campaign in, visit, or represent the values of the parts of the district that did not vote for them.

Why have you eliminated the one competitive congressional district in Northern Arizona and added us to a district that was already noncompetitive and will remain so? The Flagstaff area and our native neighbors will now have no voice in the Congress. I hope silencing our voices is not intentional and merely the results of an automated mapping system.

Please consider accepting the map submitted by the Navajo Nation which restores congressional competitiveness to our area and gives us the possibility of electing someone who represents our values.

Thank you.

1.3

SPEAKER DEBRA BLOCK: Good evening,

Commissioners. Thank you for your presence. My name is

Debra Block. I have lived in Flagstaff for 28 years.

I voted for Prop 106. The purpose of this amendment to the Arizona Constitution, as stated in the ballot initiative, is, quote, relating to ending the

practice of gerrymandering and improving voter and candidate participation in elections by creating an independent commission of balanced appointments to oversee the mapping of fair and competitive congressional and legislative districts.

The 2020 census redistricting commission is the third such commission to follow passage of Prop 106. Of the three AIRCs, two counties, Pima and Maricopa, have been represented by multiple commissioners in each respective commission. Apache County has been represented by a single commissioner following the 2000 and 2020 census counts.

Consistently, two counties have drawn district boundaries for a state which contains 15 counties. I believe that Northern Arizona has not been represented with respect to cultural, political, and economic communities of interest.

I'm a constituent of CD-1 and LD-6 as currently drawn. CD-1 has been considered a battleground district. The draft map for a new CD-2 is grossly misaligned and turns a competitive district into a Republican stronghold with no apparent regard for the conditions of redistricting. This is the very essence of gerrymandering, what Prop 106 intended to prevent.

Of the six goals specified for the

representative district maps, it's noted that, quote, to the extent practicable, competitive districts should be favored where to do so would create no significant detriment to the other goals.

But not only does CD-2 completely obliterate competitiveness where it had previously existed, it falls short of the goals with respect to communities of interest and visible geographic --

MS. NEUMANN: Our next five speakers are Rob Wilson, Randie Holloway, William Culbertson, Malik Bossett, and Rex Stermer.

SPEAKER ROB WILSON: Good evening, Commissioners and Chairwoman Neuberg, if you're here or online. Thank you for this opportunity to speak to you this evening.

I appreciate not just this time but all of your hard work on this process.

I was going to congratulate you on the congressional districts you have drawn up here until just recently. I thought we had a win there. It sounds like some people aren't super happy about that.

I would like to start by making an observation. It appears that head-of-the-line privileges are given to our elected officials from the cities of Sedona and Flagstaff and our county supervisors. It's interesting that Proposition 106 was intended to specifically

exclude our elected officials from this process. So it's interesting to me that you seem to place their opinions and maps ahead of all of the rest of us.

If they're speaking as independent individuals, that's fine. I think that them speaking as representatives of their particular cities is contrary to what the intent of 106 was really all about.

Let's see. Number three here. Independents are not as well represented, obviously, in this process.

They're outnumbered on your commission. And independents is what I think -- also a characteristic of people who tend to live not in cities like Sedona and Flagstaff but instead in the rural areas of Arizona. I live in Doney Park where my mailing address says Flagstaff, but my home and my heart are in Doney Park.

Rural Arizona has completely different needs, desires, and representation needs than what cities do. It sounds like the City of Flagstaff and the City of Sedona have already made a pact. Let's let them work together and do what they need to do, but give us out in the rural communities of Arizona the voice that we deserve to have out here and not combine us with people that have a difference of interest.

We voted by choosing where we live. We bought homes. We live -- such a great idea.

All right. Thank you very much.

1.3

SPEAKER RANDIE HOLLOWAY: Hi. I'm Randie Holloway, and I'm a citizen and taxpayer out in Bellemont.

And all of our connections are in downtown Flagstaff. Okay. We have friends down there. We do our shopping. Our medical interests are down there. And I urge you to keep us with Flagstaff. We have a Flagstaff address even. So thank you.

SPEAKER MALIK BOSSETT: Hello. My name is Malik Bossett. I'm currently an undergraduate at Northern Arizona University.

And what I would like to say is that these currently proposed maps has me very concerned not only because these maps are going to dilute the voting power and -- dilute the voting power and choice of underrepresented communities, it's also going to dilute the very pillar of democracy that this country calls itself and the states within it.

These heavily Democratic and heavily Republican districts are really going to only encourage politicians not to listen to the people's concerns and issues, and it's really going to -- they're really just going to just go off of, you know, the majority party, and that's going to help in eroding the democratic process.

So that's why I'm hoping that you adopt the LDF-050 and LDF-051, which are competitive districts which will help in creating a more conducive environment for debate, discussion, and for conversation and understanding between both sides of the political spectrum, and it will help reinforce and prop up the very democratic process that we so pride ourselves on as a country. Thank you.

SPEAKER REX STERMER: Hello. My name is Rex Stermer. I'm just an old Vietnam vet. Been in Arizona since 1978 in Coconino County. I'm with my wife Dorothy and my son Guy. I'm going to speak for all three of us to save you time so you don't die of old age listening to it.

I'm only going to speak about LD-7. What I'm concerned about is I would like to see that the Verde Valley, which includes all of Sedona and Cottonwood and the others, to be mixed in with the Flagstaff area surrounding the urban city of Flagstaff. And the urban city of Flagstaff should go to the native district because that would reach that population equity, which I believe is one of the goals of the group here.

And I feel sorry for you guys. You've heard a whole bunch of different opinions tonight, and you're going to have to sort through them and come up with

something. So good luck on that one. I can see how the Congress can't get anything done.

All right. Thank you and good night.

Now you can say "time." That's good.

MS. NEUMANN: Our next five speakers are Nathan Jones, Matthew Billings, Helen Ferrell, Aly Graham, and Daniel Hiel.

SPEAKER NATHAN JONES: Hello. Hi. I'm Nathan Jones. I, like a few others, am a resident of Bellemont Arizona. This is a community about 10 miles west of here off the I-40.

You know, I think what's worth mentioning here, and I double checked on the maps and I was able to zoom in through the squiggles, and it certainly is -- or would move to LD-7 under this proposal, which I'm here to oppose.

This is just one micro example of what I know are one of many reasons why the Coconino Board of Supervisors' recommendations, also endorsed by Sedona and the City of Flag, should be very -- should carry significant persuasive weight with the Commission.

I live in a subdivision called Flagstaff
Meadows. We pay our taxes to the Flagstaff Unified
School District. We shop in Flagstaff. I commute to
Flagstaff every day. I have lived here for 15 years.

I'm a resident of Flagstaff. This may look like an unincorporated community, but it also contributes. It's also a growing one. Population probably has it somewhere around 1,000, but I doubt that captures recent developments because exploding property values in Flagstaff have pushed people to buy homes out in places like where I live. That has lead to a building boom out there.

Were you to get in your cars and drive that

10 miles west on the 40, you would see a surprisingly
large group of subdivisions. We're up to three
townhouse groups; I think four phases of planned
community construction. You would not know that you
weren't in a city if you were to drive through this
particular unincorporated area.

So what I hope to see is to keep the community of Bellemont in LD-6, which is one of many examples why you should go with the Coconino recommendation. Thank you.

SPEAKER MATT BILLINGS: Hello. My name is Matt Billings. And I'm going to give you all a break from talking about Coconino and Yavapai, and I'm actually going to talk about the proposed border between Congressional District 8 and Congressional District 3 in Peoria, Arizona.

Currently, right now, the proposed plan goes right through one neighborhood. It's the Paseo Verde Elementary School neighborhood where one house across the street from each other are actually in two different districts, which is kind of interesting because they share a community mailbox. And talk about a community of interest; right?

These -- I'm going through my maps.

These communities -- or these two district boundaries not only cut through an elementary school boundary, but it also cuts through the same census tract.

And I don't know why, but it even cuts through census tract blocks, which is quite impressive, because there's no precinct that cuts through census tract blocks. So I'm -- you know, congratulations for doing something that is kind of impressive.

But to further the concern, these two communities are actually in the same legislative district. So while they share the same concerns to be in the same legislative district, they're in two different congressional districts, homes that are literally across the street from each other.

You all have received some praise for the good congressional maps and legislative maps you all have

drawn tonight. I don't share that opinion. I think this is lazy. Actually, it's not lazy. It's very intricate and malicious. I don't understand why a community where homes are literally across the street from each other need to be separated.

You all should fix this. I don't have any proposed maps, but fix it and do better.

SPEAKER HELEN FERRELL: Good evening, Madam
Chairman and Members of the Commission. My name is
Helen Ferrell, and my address is 12391 Pegasus Road in
Bellemont.

I'm here tonight to ask that you do not separate the small bedroom communities associated with and reliant upon Flagstaff from Flagstaff in your district maps. I'm speaking of Bellemont, Kachina Village, Mountainaire, and Munds Park. This takes away our rights to be represented by someone who will be open to and familiar with our concerns and needs.

My other concern has to do with competitive districts. As a former county recorder for 17 and a half years, I spent much time and energy educating the young and diverse communities in my county as to the importance of their vote, how one vote can make a difference.

I am pleased to say those efforts were rewarded

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC

by increased voter turnout and participation in our democracy. I'm deeply concerned that with the lack of competitive districts and separation of communities of interest, the motivation to vote will be greatly undermined. I cannot believe you have spent your time and energy for that purpose.

As members of this Commission, you have taken on the difficult task of balancing the various needs, interests, and mandates outlined in the Constitution and laws to come up with district boundaries that protect the sacred and meaningful vote of each individual.

Thank you.

1.3

SPEAKER ALY GRAHAM: Good evening, Madam Chair. Thank you for recognizing me.

I appreciate your work on redistricting, the Commission's work on redistricting, trying to have Arizona fair and competitive maps that respect communities of interest. I think there may be a little bit lacking there for maps in this area.

My name is Aly Graham. I'm a Navy veteran from the Vietnam era, and I live in that gem of Old Route 66, Bellemont, which started out as a former Pony Express stop. I'm quite proud to be part of Arizona history, living there.

Personally, things have already been said --

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC

everything that I wanted to say has been said so much better and organized so much better, particularly this row over here. All I can say is I second you. Thank you very much.

1.3

But on just a personal note, Bellemont, Kachina Village, Mountainaire are listed as bedroom communities of Flagstaff. That's important. A community of interest. They're called suburbs of Flagstaff, although it's hard to believe they're suburbs. I'm originally from northern New Jersey, New York, north metropolitan area. Those aren't suburbs. They're little teeny communities.

But the way I think about them is they are satellites of Flagstaff. They have nothing to do with Williams, very little to do. Williams is twice as far away from my house as Flagstaff is. And anyway, I just want to note that you have really messed with the satellites' orbits. And when you do that, satellites will crash and burn.

Sorry. It's too late for me to be serious, but please rethink your maps in this area because you have really violated the communities of interest idea.

Thank you for your time.

MS. NEUMANN: Our last three speakers are Elizabeth Hiel, Kathleen Petty, and Ann Heitland.

SPEAKER KATHLEEN PETTY: Good evening,

Commissioners. My name is Kathleen Petty. I have lived in Flagstaff eight years.

And I'm grateful that we the people can address you and tell you what is on our minds and our concerns about this. One of the things that I would really like to go over -- I have five points. Short, sweet, and to the point.

One of the points is we want suburban-rural outer lying parts of Flagstaff retained in the nonnative future of LD-7.

We want deeply urban wedge of downtown, densely populated Flagstaff to go native district.

Three, we want Cottonwood, Cornville, Clarkdale, and Camp Verde to be kept in the future nonnative LD-7.

We do not want Pinal County district because they're not a Northern Arizona community of interest.

It's kind of silly. That's my point -- my opinion, for what it's worth.

And we want even population numbers among all the districts. We want a fair election. I can't say fair, but, like, even. So the Democrats have theirs and the Republicans have theirs and it's not lopsided, which it has been.

And so I'm just very grateful for you to let me

1.3

speak as one of we the people. Good night. Thank you.

1.3

SPEAKER ANN HEITLAND: My name is Ann Heitland.

I'm a resident of Coconino County just outside of

Flagstaff, and I've lived here for more than 25 years.

I want to talk about CD-2, which is very troubling to me. You've taken a very competitive -- the most competitive district in the state and you've turned it into a noncompetitive Republican district which is populated with our major Native American communities in the state. This is entirely unfair, inappropriate.

It's immoral.

I have heard your mapper Doug Johnson say, "It's just too bad. We can't make it work."

You have millions of dollars, you have maps that can be drawn to the half city block, and you can't make a Native American district that can be representative of the people who were here before any of the rest of us? I find that unbelievable. Really, I find that unbelievable.

Take Prescott out of CD-2. Put Graham and Greeley back into CD-2. You will find there have been written comments from Graham and Greeley about how they don't want to be with Southeast Arizona. Do this right.

As far as the legislative district maps, I don't want to repeat all the good things that have been said

about the County Board of Supervisors' maps, but I will say that I was kind of appalled by some of the comments I heard in opposition to them.

If the Arizona Constitution wanted each county to be sovereign and have its own representatives, the Arizona Constitution would say that, but that is not what it says. It says you're bound by six criteria, and the current legislative district map does not follow those criteria. Thank you.

MS. NEUMANN: That concludes the speakers in Flagstaff. We'll go back to Yuma now.

CHAIR NEUBERG: Thank you, Val, and thank you Flagstaff, and thank you Yuma.

This brings us to Agenda Item 4, Adjournment.

We have a virtual town hall focusing on Southern and

Eastern Arizona on Friday, November 12, at noon. Please
go online to register. Our next in-person meeting is at
the Krock Center in South Phoenix on Saturday,

November 13, at 10:00 a.m.

Also, rather than relying purely on our interpretation of your comments today, we encourage you to go online and submit a map to us using our mapping software at IRC.AZ.GOV. If you have any questions about how to submit a map, visit our YouTube page or log in for "Mapping Mondays with Mark" to have your individual

1	questions answered.
2	Thank you everybody for your participation.
3	Thank you Staff, consultants, colleagues, public. And
4	we will now adjourn the meeting.
5	(The Public Hearing concluded at 8:38 p.m.)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	$\underline{C} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{R} \ \underline{T} \ \underline{I} \ \underline{F} \ \underline{I} \ \underline{C} \ \underline{A} \ \underline{T} \ \underline{E}$
2	
3	STATE OF ARIZONA)
4) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA)
5	BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were
6 7	taken before me, Michele E. Balmer, Certified Reporter No. 50489, all done to the best of my skill and abilit that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthar and thereafter reduced to print under my direction.
8	I CERTIFY that I am in no way related to any of
9	the parties hereto nor am I in any way interested in the outcome thereof.
10	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I have complied with the
11	requirements set forth in ACJA 7-206.
12	Dated at Yuma, Arizona, this 22nd day of November, 2021.
13	
14	
15	Michèle & Balmer
16	Michele E. Balmer, RPR, CR, CSR CERTIFIED REPORTER (AZ50489)
17	
18	* * *
19	I CERTIFY that Miller Certified Reporting, LL has complied with the requirements set forth in ACJA 7-201 and 7-206.
20	
21	Dated at LITCHFIELD PARK, Arizona, this 23rd day of November, 2021.
22	
23	0 -
24	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC
25	Arizona RRF No. R1058