THE STATE OF ARIZONA INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF LISTENING TOUR PUBLIC MEETING

Mesa, Arizona
Online via WebEx
December 2, 2021
6:03 p.m.

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC PO Box 513, Litchfield Park, AZ 85340 (P) 623-975-7472 (F) 623-975-7462 www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com

Reported By: Angela Furniss Miller, RPR Certified Reporter (AZ 50127)

```
1
                  LISTENING TOUR PUBLIC MEETING, BEFORE THE
         INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION, convened at 6:03 p.m.
 2
 3
         on December 2, 2021, at Mesa Public Schools, 855 West 8th
         Avenue, Mesa, Arizona, and online via WebEx.
 4
 5
 6
         COMMISSIONERS PRESENT:
 7
                  Ms. Erika Neuberg
                  Ms. Shereen Lerner
 8
         STAFF PRESENT:
 9
                  Mr. Brian Schmitt, Executive Director
10
                  Ms. Loriandra Van Haren, Deputy Director
                  Ms. Valerie Neumann, Executive Assistant
11
                  Ms. Michele Crank, Public Information Officer
                  Ms. Marie Camacho, Public Outreach Coordinator
12
                  Mr. Roy Herrera, Ballard Spahr
                  Mr. Ryan Regula, Snell & Wilmer
13
                  Mr. Mark Flahan, Timmons Group
14
         PUBLIC COMMENT SPEAKERS:
15
           SPEAKER NAME:
           Terry Roe
16
           May Tiwamangkala
           Elizabeth Nguyen
17
           Nola Perkins
           Brenda Gifford
18
           Vicky McLaughlin
           Brandy Reese
19
           Carrie Goode
           Judith Simons
20
           Michael Tennant
           Gwynda Allen
21
           Bonnie Degrenier
           Patricia Pitman
22
           April Smith
           Kathryn Bean
23
           Sid Stephen
           Jimmy Wiggins
24
           Richard Young
           Craig Ray
25
           Bruce Linker
```

```
1
          PUBLIC COMMENT SPEAKERS (continued):
 2
           SPEAKER NAME:
           Marcia Weiss
 3
           LouAnn Sedgwick
           Joshua Heywood
 4
           Judith Robbins
           Tram Bui
 5
           Diane Andersen
           Wallace Taylor
 6
           Jeff Smith
           Paul Weich
 7
           Steve Hetsler
           Nancy Cottle
 8
           Laurie Gonzalez
           Tim Schalick
 9
           Lina Hatch
           Jackie Mesa
10
           Michelle Wood
           Roberta Miller
11
           Tempest Shires
           Jen Miller
12
           William Bodine
           Kathleen Pettycrew
13
           Mickie Niland
           Catherine Kissler
14
           Morgan Perry
           Darla Gonzalez
15
           Jared Taylor
           Frank Pabian
16
           Jeffrey Tucker
           Kelly Townsend
17
           Kyle Powell
           Leslee Wilson
18
           Traci Robb
           Summer Baker
19
           Jesse Bryant
           Jamie Jones
20
           Marcus North
           Richard Newhauser
21
           Jana Ochs
           Lawrence Moody
22
           Perry Bradford
           Allan Chasey
23
           Eddie Farnsworth
           Bert Moll
24
           Darrell Covert
25
                   American Sign language interpreters and a Spanish
                   interpreter are present.
```


MS. CRANK: At this time we would like to introduce our Spanish interpreter.

THE INTERPRETER: Okay, hi, my name is Miriam, I'm
the Spanish interpreter for this evening. I will be in the
back of the room if you guys know of anyone who needs the
services.

(Spanish language.)

MS. CRANK: Now we'll turn it back over to our Deputy Director Lori.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

If you will now, everybody, stand and say the Pledge of Allegiance with me.

(Whereupon the Pledge of Allegiance is recited.)

MS. CRANK: Thank you.

At this time I would like to welcome Mesa City Council member Kevin Thompson for some welcome remarks.

SPEAKER THOMPSON: Good evening, everyone, I wanted to welcome you to Mesa and thank you for signing on to the letter. It was because of all of you that we were able to convince the IRC that they should hold a meeting out here for us. So I want to thank the IRC members as well for recognizing us in the East Valley.

I would like to remind everybody to please be respectful tonight. This is really an educational opportunity for us to learn as well as provide input on what our concerns and what our issues are as communities.

And so with that, I will turn it over to Commissioner Lerner and say thank you again for being here tonight and God bless.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Welcome, everybody. Thank you all for being here. It's impressive how many of you came with just a couple of days' notice, so we appreciate that.

I now call to order the public hearing. We are also streaming the meetings online via WebEx and will be posted online afterwards via YouTube.

We ask that you follow the Arizona Department of Health guidelines regarding COVID-19. If you're not fully vaccinated, you should wear a mask in public space.

If you would like to make a public comment, you may do so by signing in with staff and filling out the public comment card. Even though this meeting began or is beginning at 6:00 p.m., anyone can attend and submit a public speaker card at any time and we will stay until the last speaker is heard.

There is an American Sign Language interpreter and a transcriptionist who will be transcribing at each of our

meetings. Please speak slowly and clearly so we have a clear record of your input.

At this time we will introduce ourselves.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Hello, everyone. I'm

Chairwoman Erika Neuberg. I live in Chandler, I represent

Maricopa County although I represent the entire State, and

it's a delight to be here, very close to my home.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: And good evening. I am Commissioner Lerner, Shereen Lerner. I'm also an East Valley resident and I'm one of the Commissioners here. Thank you.

So now we are going to move to Agenda Item II and staff will read the rules of the meeting.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you, Commissioner Lerner.

Citizens may only speak when recognized by the Chair or the presiding officer of the meeting if the Chair is absent or has otherwise delegated hearing administration authority.

In compliance with Arizona's opening meeting laws, speakers should confine their statements to the issue on the posted agenda which is before the Commission. Speakers are also requested to limit their comments to approximately two minutes. In an effort to allow for as many speakers as possible, the Commission may adjust the time limits depending on the amount of speakers requesting to be heard.

Additionally, speakers are required to follow proper decorum. Speakers must use appropriate language. Foul and/or abusive language will not be tolerated. Any speaker failing to follow proper decorum or any other guidelines may be asked to leave.

1.3

Any breach of the peace or disruption of the Commission public hearing may be the cause of report to law enforcement.

If someone has already expressed the same sentiment you wish to express, you may say so and your comments will be recorded. If you have already expressed your thoughts at a previous meeting, you do not need to speak again. Your comments were recorded in the public sector.

This is a nonpartisan meeting. Please do not distribute political material in the meeting room.

Opposing viewpoints may be expressed by the citizens present. As a courtesy, citizens are reminded to address their comments to the Chair and Commission and not to the audience present. Please show respect for all speakers and avoid personal comments.

Remember, the Commission must hear all sides of an issue to make an informed decision.

We will now begin public comment.

MS. CRANK: Madam Chair and Commissioner Lerner, the listening audience. I am going to read the first ten

names and if you will line up accordingly behind me and I will read your name only one time.

The first speaker is Terry Roe, council member from Chandler; May Tiwamangkala, I'm sorry if I mispronounce your name; Elizabeth Nguyen, Nola Perkins, Brenda Gifford, Vicky McLaughlin, Brandy Reese, Carrie Goode, Judith Simons, Michael Tennant.

Please say -- state your name when you come up to the podium.

SPEAKER ROE: Good evening, Commissioners. Thank you for being here tonight. Thank you for taking time to listen to these folks. There will be a lot of input, I'm sure.

I will -- I'm a council member, Chandler, Arizona.

Largely I'm here on behalf of Gilbert, believe it or not.

They are a good sister city, we get along with them, they're a good partner and they have a great concern about just having so many different districts represent their community. And so it is a concern and -- and you have some very heavy lifting to do, but -- but if you could take that into consideration and you'll hear some of that I'm sure.

It would be nice if you could somehow honor some of the requests that you hear tonight, whether that's Mesa's or Gilbert's. And Chandler is pretty happy to have just a couple of districts and -- and we don't want to change that

1 too much, so.

Thank you so much and enjoy the rest of your evening, right?

Thank you.

MS. VAN HAREN: I will just make an announcement for any of the other speakers. Feel free to adjust the mic to your level when you come up. You can go ahead and move it.

SPEAKER TIWAMANGKALA: Sure. This should be fine.

Hello, Commissioners, thank you for having us and
listening to what we have to say today.

I just want to talk to you about I live in District 1, also LD-1, located Central Phoenix. In the recent U.S. census, numbers show that Arizona continues to be the fastest growing state, with Maricopa County growing 16 percent in the past decade.

What also was shown on the census is that Maricopa County increased in diversity, growing in the Latino population and also the Asian American population. With that said, we need to consider the representation of communities of color.

I'm suggesting that there should be a

Central Phoenix district that gives the city and its

residents proper representation in Congress. As one of the

largest growing metropolitan areas in the country, Phoenix

should be an anchor to the congressional district so it can receive the services and representation it needs to secure federal grants and federal -- and funding for critical transportation and infrastructure projects needed for a city of this size.

The district should include Downtown Phoenix,

Encanto, the historic neighborhoods north of downtown and
the northern Phoenix neighborhoods up to the 101. This
would keep these Phoenix communities together and give them
the ability to influence an election and have a
representative that serves this growing area.

This configuration would support and keep whole small business -- or whole small business communities, historic neighborhoods and the LGBTQ communities that have all asked to be kept together.

I also -- I moved to this area because I'm LGBTQ and also a person of color, and I would hope that you consider that.

So thank you.

1.3

SPEAKER NGUYEN: Hello? Okay.

Hello Commissioners, thank you for your time in doing this difficult job. My name is Elizabeth Nguyen, I was raised in Arizona, attending public schools in Mesa and Gilbert. I also got my masters and bachelors at the U of A.

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC

Being here in Arizona through my adolescence and

adulthood, I've seen how much Arizona and its East Valley grown alongside me. Thus I am here today to have my voice be heard about the redistricting.

I live in Gilbert and so does my parents. We live about ten minutes apart and are currently located in the proposed CD-5; thus I would like to see Gilbert as a whole be kept together within a district and not split between two.

The Gilbert and San Tan and surrounding communities are growing, with an increased interest and growth in tech industries. So keeping East Valley suburban communities and surrounding communities south of the US-60 together makes sense, so please keep the CD-5 map as-is.

As for the legislative districts, I ask that you-all reconsider LD-12 and LD-13. I currently live in the proposed LD-13 and I would like to see the LD-12 arm reaching over to Gilbert and those draft maps be removed. With the current draft of LD-12 and 13, it will be splitting these communities and undermining our voices.

Although the Asian communities don't hold the majority in the area, we are a fast-growing group in the East Valley and in Arizona. It is important that the districts remain as competitive as possible for us to elect officials that hear us and represent us.

Thank you for your time and your work.

(Applause.)

1.3

SPEAKER PERKINS: You guys have got to be tired of doing this. I keep seeing you. I have spoken before and I've learned a whole lot since I spoke the first time and the second time; and going back over it, I realize that compactness is one of the most important things in your job.

And so since there is time to make some revisions,

I would heartfeltly request that you keep Apache Junction

intact, that we are a close-knit community and we should all
be in one district.

Thank you.

MS. VAN HAREN: I'm sorry. Could you state your name for the record.

SPEAKER PERKINS: You should know me by now. My name is Nola Perkins and I am from Apache Junction. Thank you.

SPEAKER GIFFORD: Hello. Thank you for all the hard work you're doing. I'm Brenda Gifford, also from Apache Junction. That's my mom.

We've really tried to attend as many of these meetings as we can and to study and learn; and you have an unenviable job, I can't imagine how difficult it is to do what you do.

My primary concern as I followed this process -- and unfortunately everything we've learned will be obsolete

when you finish and it will be 10 years before we use it again. But as I look at the map of District 7, there are five counties represented, and as I've followed this I see so much emphasis being placed on the number six of the list of constitutional requirements, competitive districts. But it says: Competitive districts as long as the other five are prioritized.

And as I look at this map I don't see any of the other five prior -- items being prioritized. With five counties there, there is absolutely no community of interest relationship between Flagstaff and Sedona and Apache Junction.

And I realize that at this point these are final draft maps and you guys are just tweaking a little bit, but this is really problematic. Anybody representing this district is going to spend half of their life driving in a car or have no opportunity to have face time with all of their constituents. So I just ask you to deprioritize the competitiveness that has been overemphasized in my view and look more at contiguous and communities of interest from a priority perspective.

Thank you.

SPEAKER McLAUGHLIN: Hello, Commissioners. I also would like to say thank you for the job you've done, the -- the length of it and what a hard job this would have to be

as I've followed --

Oh, I'm sorry. Thank you. Vicky McLaughlin and I'm from Apache Junction.

And have followed this through the process, and

I've been dismayed with every map that has come out because

I've felt also that so many of the constitutional

requirements that have been stated, the six requirements, it

looks to me like most of them haven't been.

We are very used to seeing our representatives and I am in the LD-7 and the map that you currently have put out, and in all real -- realistic thought, I cannot believe that I will be seeing or we will be seeing our representative at our monthly district meetings with this kind of a spread.

And from what I have seen in the -- in the requirements that the communities of interest, contiguous and compact, that all of the top five are supposed to be considered before competitiveness.

I think with the -- with the varieties of people that we have in Arizona, we're going to develop our own competitiveness, we don't need to be placed so that we have a lot of competitiveness within the -- the areas and the boundaries that have been selected.

So I hope you will also consider keeping Apache
Junction and Gold Canyon together because we are a like

community.

Thank you.

SPEAKER REESE: Good evening, my name is Brandy
Reese, I'm from Gilbert; and to comment on that, the
requirements are not numbered in order of priority, they're
all lettered because they are all equally important.

I have seen some of the comments about the current draft map 10, specifically how perfect or ideal the new proposed new LD-13 will be because it's so square.

I echo the previous commenter that squares don't vote. The consequence of this is the unfortunate noncompact and realistically noncompetitive LD-12 with the panhandle. This engulfing of what should logically probably be part of LD-13 or LD-9 does not make sense to be included in -- lumped in with LD-12, in my opinion.

Fortunately though, submitted map LDF034 shows what a fair, competitive and compact district could look like.

And thank you for your time and dedication to this process and I appreciate the opportunity to speak.

Thank you.

SPEAKER GOODE: I probably need to bring this down.

My name is Carrie Goode; I live in Gilbert, southeast Gilbert. I wanted to comment on the new lines for the new LD-12 as well. Adding that bump-out rectangle eastward, it's going to make that district less competitive

than it is. Right now it's a pretty competitive district and adding that extra section of Gilbert into this primarily Chandler district does not make sense to me.

I also support the map LDF034 which keeps this district more contiguous.

The other thing I'd like to comment on is where I live in far southeast Gilbert, I'm no longer going to be in a district with Gilbert, we'll be with Queen Creek. I really think that the way that you guys had to split up Gilbert, I -- I'm just wondering if there was any way to just try to keep the peop- -- the residents of Gilbert with Gilbert because by having us with Queen Creek, I don't know how to say this, it's -- it's already pretty uncompetitive and now it's going to be very uncompetitive, and I just feel that having these uncompetitive districts just allows the most extreme candidates to dominate our politics.

Thank you very much for letting me speak and for all your work.

SPEAKER SIMONS: Good evening. Long day.

My name is Judith Simons, I live in Chandler, I've lived there for over 25 years and the map that I'm speaking in support of is also LDF034. I did ask for a handout, you had it showing just a minute ago, the overlay -- yes.

So I've heard one aspect of LD-13 that some Commissioners like is that it's a square but, you know,

there's another meaning of the word "square" as in not cool.

And that's my assessment of it, its not cool.

The draft maps for LD-12, 9, 13, and 14 split up the city of Chandler and also the town of Gilbert among too many districts. It would be better to keep them within only two districts so issues relevant to the cities, businesses, and school districts, which are my communities of interest, can be addressed better by the legislators we choose.

The LD-13 borders and also that LD-12 panhandle seem artificial and arbitrary when there are city, county, and existing precinct lines in every direction that make more sense.

They dilute the Latino community of interest by separating voters north of Ray Road from the downtown Chandler areas.

They reduce competitiveness, which discourages both candidate and voter participation and that concerns me greatly.

Hearing some of the presentations this afternoon by sitting legislators, which also kind of dismayed me, competitiveness is an important issue.

So I urge you to adopt LDF034 which is still compact and contiguous with reasonable boundaries. It does respect the voting rights of minority communities and it maintains competitiveness.

I appreciate your time and efforts and thank you for considering mine.

SPEAKER TENNANT: Hello, my name is Michael

Tennant, I'm a -- a resident of Sun Lakes. We moved here in

2000 and we moved here so that I could go to work. And when

I finished I retired and I've been retired now ten years,

and it's the retirement community that I'm worried about.

Taking us away from the Chandler area where we do all our business, all our grocery shopping, all our car repairs and things like that. I understand you might be moving us to Ahwatukee, and moving us across the interstate would not be a very good thing for the people in the Sun Lakes area that are retired and don't like the fast life of the Interstate 10 and the -- the people that go to work every day in Ahwatukee, because obviously we don't go to work every day, we're retired, we play golf.

And it would be good if we just were left alone, keep us with Chandler.

And I thank you for your hard work but think about the retired people in this community that moved here a long time ago.

Thank you.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$ CRANK: I will be reading the next ten speakers and I will only read your name one time.

Gwynda Allen, Bonnie Degrenier, Patricia Pitman, April Smith, Kathryn Bean, Sid Stephen, Jimmy Wiggins, Richard Young, Craig Ray, Bruce Linker.

1.3

Please state your name when you come up to the microphone.

SPEAKER ALLEN: Hello, my name is Gwynda Allen.

I've been a resident on and off for 20 years in Sun Lakes,

Arizona. I moved here because I wanted to be in a senior community.

I am presently living in the community of my dreams and you're shaking it up. I understand this is a hard decision, but I want you to know that it took 20 years for me to confirm that I was going to live in this area.

One of the things I'm concerned about and I tried to realize is everyone in my area in Sun Lakes, there's several different communities but we're all -- it's Cottonwood, it's Oakwood -- we have thousands of residents here -- Palo Verde, Oakwood Hills. All we're asking is you let us remain the way we were.

We are a community with seniors. You're taking a community where we are the most vulnerable people in the position that many of us do not travel and transportation is difficult for us.

We moved to a community where we can use a golf course, golf carts, walk and bike. You're putting us into a

community where we will have to drive. That means many of us will not be able to vote by going there; that means that you're putting us to where we will have to use mail-in and there's more fraud with mail-in than anything else.

I'm a representative of that. My vote I never got in the mail. When I went to vote at a place that was not right inside my area, they told me someone had already voted. So I ended up voting as a provisional vote. So you see, I have a very serious individual personal attitude where this is all concerned.

In the same way, transportation is going -- as I said, going to be very difficult. Our population does not move around and we are not as mobile as the rest of the population. We are elderly and I respectfully ask you to take that into consideration, that you're moving a population that it will be the hardest on.

Thank you.

SPEAKER DeGRENIER: Hi, I'm Bonnie DeGrenier and I am also a resident of Sun Lakes and my ZIP Code is only recognized as a Chandler resident.

I pay taxes to the Chandler school district, and I believe that the knowledge of our community and its residents enhance voter integrity.

I support keeping the district lines for Chandler and Sun Lakes remain intact and not include Ahwatukee. I

support keeping CD-5 as it is.

Thank you.

1.3

SPEAKER PITTMAN: Hello, my name is Patricia

Pittman and thank you for -- very much for letting me speak today.

This is new for me, so bear with me.

I'm also a resident of Sun Lakes, and as a resident of Sun Lakes, we pay taxes for Chandler; we also support the schools, the hospitals, and all the different communities. It's important for our community to have easy access to Chandler. They go to the Chandler Center for the Arts, the schools, and one of the schools that we really support is the -- one of the schools is Hamilton High where they have over a thousand children that are under -- I guess they would call it disadvantaged children, and so we try very hard to support them with food, water, school supplies.

And currently our new project is to -- is called the -- we call it The Christmas Stocking, and for that we're filling up many, many gifts for these children so that they can know they're appreciated.

But for our seniors it's very difficult to have to go to a different district. It doesn't really -- I can't get my mind around how that makes sense. We're right there in Chandler. We're part of Chandler and why would we move our people to another district which currently they have

access to easy transportation, they go to the easy restaurants, they support the community in Chandler, and we support the -- the -- all the different activities that our people like to do. And if we have to move to another District 13, I can't get my mind around why that makes sense when we are -- we are Chandler, Sun Lakes.

And we are that adult community. Thank you so much and have a great day. Happy holiday.

SPEAKER SMITH: My name is April Smith. Good evening, Commissioners. I am here tonight to give my input on this constitutional process in redrawing our legislative and congressional district maps following the completion of the 2020 census.

When reviewing the constitutional criteria required and the maps involving the redistricting, there's essential criteria that should be weighed heavily. The first four criteria requirements are: Compliance with the U.S.

Constitution and U.S. Voting Rights Act, equal population, compactness, and communities of interest. As pertains to the East Valley and legislative district, the consolidated district Gilbert map, which is map number 0058, is an excellent resource to look at. Why is Gilbert split up into five separate districts? How does this follow the criteria I mentioned earlier?

Baseline Road via practical southern border of Mesa

Districts 9 and 10 which also allows for more of Gilbert to remain together.

Val Vista is a sensible dividing line to create the east and west boundary between those districts.

Tempe has a different community of interest than

Mesa and should not be included with the East Valley. There
is a different age demographic as well as a different night
scene in Tempe compared to in Mesa, which has many families
with several churches around the city.

The interest of these communities are not the same, the East Valley should be kept intact for both the legislative and congressional districts. Sun Lakes should also be kept with south Chandler as they are similar of interest in those communities. Retirement areas inclusive of Sun Lakes or Leisure World have strong like interest in their communities and should be kept together in their congressional districts. Their representatives can then work towards that large demographic to the best way that are able.

Please review the essential criteria of our Constitution and thank you for this opportunity to speak in this process.

SPEAKER BEAN: Katherine Bean. Thank you, Commissioners, for this opportunity to speak.

I've been a resident of Mesa since 1983; I've lived

in our home on the west side of Mesa since 1992. I have worked in the Mesa public school system, banking, and presently in a small business as well as participating in community service groups. Some of my children attended this school that we are meeting in this evening, which was the old Powell Jr. High.

The west side of Mesa as well as the -- as well as Mesa consists of diverse communities such as race, ethnicity, income levels, individual homes, generational homes, apartments and retirement communities, all ages from young to old, and those that are in between.

These communities share the same schools, churches, recreation, school boards, to say the least. The families work hard to provide for their needs and wants and what is best for their children.

Most important -- importantly, we want to have our voice heard. It is important that we do not combine with Tempe, we want to be able to address the needs of Mesa communities and the individuals which have common interests. Combining as a legislative district with Tempe would not be a positive outcome for Mesa.

I propose that we move boundary District 9 on the southern side to Baseline and to the east side to Val Vista.

And as for the congressional districts as presently proposed will even intensify the great divides of our

community. Most importantly our voice would not be heard.

We do not share common interests with Tempe or

Ahwatukee. This combination would overpower the desires of
the Mesa residents.

I respectfully ask -- respectfully ask that you hear my voice and the voice of my community.

SPEAKER STEPHEN: My name is Sid Stephen and I'm from Sun Lakes.

First, I'd like to thank you as everyone else has for the opportunity to share our opinions here tonight.

Of the stated factors your Commission has been mandated to consider, I believe the most important is respect for communities of interest, since in my experience that factor is what drives most citizens to become involved in political and community matters in the first place.

My Legislative District 17 has components, several components, but Sun Lakes is closest to south Chandler both geographically and in terms of common interests. This is where I have lived, worked as a teacher, and involved myself in community activities since moving here 15 years ago.

I know my community's history, residential and commercial makeup and the religious, educational and recreational institutions developed here over many decades. There's been a lot of changes in my neighborhood and more to come I'm sure as it becomes more diverse, prosperous and

served by an increasingly more efficient infrastructure.

The fabric of my community depends on diversity of its makeup. Sun Lakes is a 55-plus community populated by people from all over the United States, part of a larger and energetic body of citizens who've become used to working together. Yes, we have local Republican and Democratic clubs, but these are complimented by an active engagement with our neighbors to the north. For example, most recently we focused on the changes taking place involving another neighbor, the growing Intel campus and the impact on our futures.

So please do not disrupt what we have built here over the years by moving part of our community like a piece on the chessboard into a new district. We're just fine right where we are.

(Applause.)

SPEAKER WIGGINS: Thank you, ladies. I'm Jim Wiggins, I'm also a Sun Lake resident.

Several years ago, roughly two years ago, I decided to retire from Honeywell. My original home was in Chandler and after 43 years, after retirement, I decided to move to Sun Lakes. I find Sun Lakes is a very fascinating community. It is unique in its own style in such a manner that the residents of Sun Lakes are -- are very vibrant and are very active in their community, even though they are

retired. I find that they have a great relationship with Chandler police departments, the sheriff, the schools there, also the government community. The churches are also very tied in close with Sun Lake residents.

Then I look at the redistricting of District 13 and I feel that it extends too far east into the -- to the Gilbert community in such a manner that you may want to consider that probably the -- the eastern boundary of this district should not extend past Gilbert Road.

But please consider the people of Sun Lakes in that how they contribute a valuable voluntary effort to our community especially tied in with Chandler.

Thank you for your time.

SPEAKER YOUNG: Richard Young, LD-12, Gilbert.

This came on me very suddenly so I'm not as prepared as I should like to be, so I'm going to make it up as I go along.

I have two issues that came to mind. One is the idea of mixing Tempe with Gilbert or Mesa. Yesterday in the news I saw an ASU student holding up a sign "death to America." I have contacts on campus, those views are shared not merely by students but also too many of the faculty and far too many of the administration, they are not compatible with the people of Gilbert and Mesa who tend to be very patriotic and loyal to America. On the one hand you have

"death to America"; on the other hand you have "God bless
America." Mixing those two together is not mixing oil and
water, that's mixing gasoline and fire and it is a bad idea.

My second point regards LD-12, which I'm surprised to learn I'm a part of. It's a happy community. You're thinking of breaking it into five different sections. Why? Nationwide and to some degree on the state level, we're seeing institutions collapse, division, separation and locally we're trying to hold things together, to work together.

Gilbert does that. It's a happy, compatible community. Democrats, Republicans, different points of views, but basically shared values.

Breaking it up simply continues the rot that we're seeing on a broader scale in this community, in this country, and it is not a desirable thing to do.

Please retain LD-12 intact.

Thank you.

(Applause.)

SPEAKER RAY: My name is Craig Ray. I live in Mesa; I'm glad I don't live in Ahwatukee, nobody wants them.

So if you can extend the borders to the east of -of Gilbert Road to Val Vista, it would make more sense to
add more of that group of people into the downtown part of
Mesa and leave the boundaries, the 101 on the west side, and

that would be great.

Thank you.

SPEAKER LINKER: Hi, my name is Bruce Linker, I'm from Scottsdale. Many thanks to the IRC board for their time and effort that you have spent on this project. We moved, disgusted with the big city, over 25 years ago. We live in a patio home.

My suggestion is for our CD-1 lines, northern boundary, the boundary above Cave Creek, Carefree, Desert Mountain, extending south to Osborn Road and Scottsdale, east from Beeline Highway to include Fountain Hills going west to I-17.

For LD-4 lines in the east, the Beeline Highway west through Fountain Hills and Scottsdale -- to Scottsdale Road. In the north from the northern boundary of Rio Verde south through Carefree and Scottsdale to the Osborn Road in the south.

We hope the IRC does not break up Scottsdale and the surrounding suburban communities with these maps.

Scottsdale, Carefree, Cave Creek, Rio Verde, Fountain Hills, and Desert Ridge are contiguous and compact suburban communities. We should be kept together since our residents act together as a community. The tax dollars that we generate along with our tax dollars are responsible for this tourist attraction and community that is the money-making

gem for Arizona's treasury.

1.3

Please redo these maps with consideration for compactness, communities and contiguousness. Keep Scottsdale, Carefree, Cave Creek, Rio Verde, Fountain Hills, Desert Ridge together as a single CD. The residents of these communities intermingle in their daily activities, medical needs, entertainment, education, shopping and worship. The current maps seem to reflect urban sprawl surrounding and choking off the suburban areas which have made Arizona so appealing and attractive to tourists, snowbirds, conventions, outdoor as well as indoor events. And the biggest most consistent moneymaker for the state is Scottsdale.

Redraw these lines and keep this gem the special attraction it is.

Thank you.

(Applause.)

MS. CRANK: The next ten speakers; again, I'll read your name only once.

Marcia Weiss, LouAnn Sedgwick, Joshua Heywood,
Judith Robbins, Tram Bui, Diane Andersen, Wallace Taylor,
Jeff Smith, Paul Weich, Steve Hetsler.

Marcia.

SPEAKER WEISS: Good evening and thank you so much for the opportunity to speak.

My name is a Marcia Weiss and I'm a resident of Chandler, Arizona. I've lived there for nearly ten years; prior I was living in Gilbert for four.

I'm a retired military member who has traveled the world and I chose Chandler because it's a place of safe haven to me and my family. I have elderly parents, I'm a full-time caregiver to them and Chandler was my place of preference. When I looked at real estate they say location-location-location. It's because of the people and my church that I'm involved with and the like-mindedness of the people that live in my immediate community.

We went from a very agricultural, equine, sheep, every kind of -- type of agricultural piece to a very high-tech hyper explosion of residential and luxury residential apartments in our community. We've grown to over 250,000 within that short time frame. Please keep our Congressional District 5 intact and Legislative District 17 intact.

We like where we are. I chose the area because of the community resolution to help each other and come up with solutions to help, not just our new incoming families that support the high-tech community but also our senior community. And we come together, we come up with solutions, so let us come up with the best way of life retaining our congressional districts and legislative districts.

Thank you so much.

(Applause.)

SPEAKER SEDGWICK: Good evening, my name is LouAnn Sedgwick and I live in south Chandler and I've been there for the past 16 years.

I'm here tonight to talk about same thing Marcia said, to keep 17 intact and CD-5. But specifically I want to talk about Sun Lakes. I live in south Chandler very close to Sun Lakes. I have spent many years volunteering in Sun Lakes, my children went through the Chandler school district and they all -- when they were Girl Scouts we went to Sun Lakes to go to the communities and we -- through Hamilton High School, they have the seniors come over to football games, they go to the plays, they go to the musicals, they are part of south Chandler and I do not want to see them separated, that is not the right thing to happen.

And I would also like to say that as far as Gilbert, I just don't think that taking a community and splitting it into five different areas could possibly be the best thing for them.

So thank you very much for your time.

(Applause.)

SPEAKER HEYWOOD: Joshua Heywood. I've been in Mesa for my entire life, my great great great grandfather

moved to Arizona so we've been here for --

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Could you speak closer to the mic.

SPEAKER HEYWOOD: We've been here a very long time and have a deep appreciation for Arizona history and where we came from. Living in Mesa is a totally different experience than living in Tempe or Ahwatukee. I worked in Tempe quite -- quite a long time and when I tell people, yeah, I own a cow and they go oh, is it a pet? No, we butcher it and eat it, and they all make fun of me and think that's weird. And I was like, no, that's just the way I was raised; we're just -- we're different.

Arizona is a community where -- or Mesa is a community where you grew up in orange groves and you played in orange groves and hay fields and you have a community that understands that.

In Ahwatukee they don't -- they don't irrigate their yards, they don't know how it works on the other side. That 101 between Tempe and Mesa is a huge difference. If you drive down University there's a stark difference when you leave Mesa and go into Tempe. That's not a bad thing, but they have different priorities than we do.

And I can -- I know when we look at the East

Valley, you look at the Mesa and Gilbert. All my friends

that were born in Mesa have all moved to Gilbert, Chandler,

Mesa because those are the communities we grew up in. I mean, there was hardly a difference between Mesa and Gilbert until they started building more and more housing structures. It was literally you just kept going down the same dirt road. I mean, the name are even the same on a lot of the streets because it's the same community in a lot of our minds.

So I hope that as you're looking at these maps you consider not just the lines and the people, but the long-standing history and traditions of people who have been there for many generations.

Thank you.

1.3

(Applause.)

SPEAKER ROBBINS: Good evening. My name is Judy Robbins and I'm an educator here in the largest school district of the state, Mesa public schools.

I'm speaking directly about Mesa as a community -Mesa public schools as a community of interest.

In my review of the draft maps I believe that the IRC needs to reconfigure LD-9, 10, 12, 14, and 15.

I'm very concerned that there is far too much fracturing of the Mesa public schools' community of interest.

I suggest keeping the legislative district for Mesa to three larger, more populace and more competitive

districts. Right now the draft maps has our educational community of interest in five different legislative districts through the draft map.

Please also reduce Gilbert to one legislative district map and keep Mesa as a community of -- a compact community of interest separate from Gilbert or Chandler.

This would support working with fewer representatives when it comes to developing critical educational policy developed through our edu- -- our elected state officials.

Thank you very much for doing what you do, it is a thankless job and I understand that you have gone through a lot.

So thank you again and I appreciate being heard today.

(Applause.)

SPEAKER BUI: First off, thank you very much

Ms. Erika Neuberg and Ms. Shereen Lerner, I don't have the

name tags for the other people. My name is Tram Bui and I'm

a resident of Gilbert so I'm hear to speak about

Congressional District 5.

The communities in District 5 makes sense together, the East Valley and suburban communities are rapidly growing areas of Maricopa County with large growth in tech industries that will continue to populate this area and be an economic driver for the region. Keeping Gilbert,

San Tan, and surrounding communities south of the US-60 together makes sense.

1.3

And I'm also represented with Legislative District 12, so this is what I would like to see for the East Valley: Move LD-12 and LD-13 so they are side by side to respect the communities in Gilbert and Chandler; to remove an arm in LD-12, reaching over to Gilbert through Chandler in the draft maps, splitting these communities and undermining the representation.

Keep LD-12 focused on the Kyrene School District, this was requested in a lot of previous public comment. And south Tempe, which is culturally similar to the rest of the district, satisfies substantial community of interest testimony.

Likewise, a compact LD-13 would focus a district on the new families in the suburban-exurban bedroom communities in Chandler and northwest Gilbert. Add Sun Lakes to LD-12 to keep these residents with similar voters in Ahwatukee. This would also lead to a more competitive district so that communities in this area are better represented.

So thank you so much for allowing us to speak today and also thank you for your time and effort. I really appreciate it.

(Applause.)

SPEAKER ANDERSEN: My name is Diane Andersen.

Madam Chair and members of the panel, thank you for all your hard work. I know this is not an easy task. I especially want to thank you for carving time out of your busy schedule For this, the citizens of Mesa to speak here this evening.

My concern this evening is with the congressional draft map. Mesa is a heavily retirement area. Did I say I live in Leisure World? I am certainly a part of Mesa.

And that goes all the way down to our neighbors in Sun Lakes. We like to think we are communities of interest. I am distressed at the southern border that has been sharply cut off at Elliott Road. More so, you have gathered Tempe in with our elderly population. I fail to see the communities of interest there. After all, our entertainment is golfing and swimming and going to church, we have a lot of churches in Mesa.

The college town residents' entertainment is perhaps a might different and it could possibly include strip clubs, bars, and pubs. I don't know that firsthand, but there are no commonalities there.

Then, the newly formed district would take a sharp and narrow sliver straight across I-10 to Ahwatukee, which is far removed from the elderly population of Mesa.

> I implore you, keep District 5 the same as it is. Thank you.

(Applause.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

SPEAKER TAYLOR: My name is Wallace Taylor. I've been a resident of Gilbert for 34 years. Much of what I would express tonight has already been well said by others. I would encourage a more careful consideration of our community of interest in Gilbert.

I do believe we breathe the same air as the people in Ahwatukee and elsewhere, but there's something significant about it as a community of interest and I believe that it would be a mistake for our contribution to be diluted by being divided among five different districts, and ask you to consider that as you move forward with the districting.

Thank you.

(Applause.)

SPEAKER SMITH: Hi, I'm Jeff Smith, I live in Gilbert and I'm here to speak on behalf of Gilbert as a community of interest.

My wife and I have lived here in -- in Gilbert for 18 years, we chose Gilbert specifically because it's the kind of community that shares our values and where we wanted to live and raise our family. We have a Gilbert address, our children attended Gilbert schools, we attend church there, we live, shop and recreate primarily in Gilbert.

The proposed legislative district map has Gilbert arbitrarily carved into five different districts. This

would seem to fly in the face of one of the primary directives of this Commission, which is to respect communities of interest.

Similarly the proposed congressional district map divides Gilbert into two different congressional districts.

There's simply no reason to split up a relatively small geographic community like Gilbert into two different CDs and five different LDs other than for partisan reasons.

These new boundaries will only serve to dramatically dilute Gilbert's voice in both the state legislature and U.S. House of Representatives. These lines proposed do not show respect for my community of interest and I ask that the Commission start over as it relates to the town of Gilbert.

Please keep Gilbert together and maintain our community of interest.

Thank you.

(Applause.)

SPEAKER WEICH: Good evening, Madam Chair,

Commissioner Lerner. My name is Paul Weich and I proudly

live in Ahwatukee and I'm glad to hear that gentleman

acknowledge that he -- that we breathe the same air.

Before -- I'm going to be addressing submitted map

LD0061 but before I address that and how that -- how the

legislative maps in the East Valley can improve, I'd like to

comment briefly on your meeting earlier today.

I am concerned. Probably the key purposes behind creating the IRC 20 years ago was to: One, promote transparency; and two, to remove incumbents from having influence and being able to pick their voters. And whether or not people are intentionally misrepresenting where proposed changes are coming from, we have a problem when an incumbent and a Commissioner seem to be working in concert. Please do not let an incumbent try to make a safe district for him or herself.

In full disclosure, unlike some others, I am running for a legislative seat next year. However, my testimony is actually against my self-interest.

The changes I am advocating for would make the district where I live in Ahwatukee more competitive for candidates of the opposing party and that is how strongly I believe that competitiveness makes our legislature more effective and more representative.

Contrary to what the House Speaker may have said today, the way to lessen the extremism that is dangerous to our democracy is to make as many competitive districts as possible.

(Applause.)

SPEAKER WEICH: Sean Bowie -- Sean Bowie, our current state senator for our district, is a testament to

that. Our district has been competitive this past decade and he works across the aisle.

The East Valley, the submitted map identified as LD0061, is a perfect example of making the East Valley more competitive and it splits the East Valley cities as few times as possible, including Gilbert as we talked about, and it respects the communities of interest.

Again, thank you for your time and effort that you are voluntarily putting into this transparent effort.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Thank you. I have a follow-up question, Mr. Weick. I asked a question -- if you listened this afternoon, I asked a question to the minority leaders and I'd like to ask it of you.

It has to do with competitiveness. It's a mathematical reality that when we honor the very first responsibility of the constitutional criteria honoring the VRA in our state, whether that's going to be eight, nine districts, they happen to be disproportionately Democratic, just randomly that's what it is.

And when you begin to strive to carve out competitive districts for the rest of the population, it's no longer a representative sample.

When you ask for competitive districts, are you asking for the rest of the entirety of those districts to be

a close as possible in their spread, are you asking for a minority of districts to be as close as possible where any party could win?

And the reason why I ask that is it literally pits communities of interest against competitiveness and we're soliciting input from those who want the competitive districts, what your preference is here.

Because we cannot do it all.

SPEAKER WEICH: There is obviously a tension between competitiveness and -- and the VRA and other -- the other criteria. The way that the -- the way that the Constitution Prop 206 (verbatim) was passed is that we want to make competitiveness -- as -- as many competitive districts as possible as long as it doesn't -- does not cause detriment to the other criteria. And I think what I am saying and what people that are talking about competitive districts are saying, is that yes, there -- you do have to respect the VRA and you do have to make a certain number of VRA districts; and yes, that does change the rest of the sample, the rest of the population, but you can still make as many competitive districts as possible with all the communities of interest.

For instance, the LD0061 map that is submitted, it makes the East Valley as a whole more competitive and it does not do detriment to -- to the VRA, it benefits the

communities of interest, it benefits the other criteria. So

I think that what we are saying is that you -- yes, you -there is tension, but you have to respect competitiveness
and make as many other competitive districts as possible
after the VRA districts, after the other criteria.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Thank you.

SPEAKER WEICH: Right. Exactly, after. Yes. But it does not cause detriment to the other criteria as long as you are -- as long as you are working on that. And there are ways to do that and that's what this map, for example, does for the East Valley.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Thank you.

SPEAKER WEICH: Thank you.

Good seeing you.

(Applause.)

1.3

SPEAKER HETSLER: Do I get three minutes?

I'm Steve Hetsler, I've spent most my life in this area, the Dobson Ranch area, and I was -- used to be in LD-18 where it stretched all the way to Ahwatukee and I thought that was a problem but it went across four districts, cut Mesa in half and four different parts, I thought that was a problem.

Then I moved -- when I moved to Gold Canyon in the beginning of last year I was in LD-16, that was with Gold Canyon, Apache Junction, east Mesa and split down a

county line but it was still -- I didn't know how lucky I was there, too.

Now, with this latest LD-7 map, I'm ten miles from the Maricopa County line where I'm in a district with Concho and Ash Fork and places hundreds of miles and hours away.

We are an urban -- we are an urban district.

I say -- I know this hearing is focused on Maricopa County but the Pinal decisions are impacted by Mesa,
Gilbert, and Queen Creek. In addressing those concerns, we should recognize Apache Junction and Gold Canyon, our urban neighbors with common interest, even if we don't get a pure split among county lines.

I reminded legislators from the north that they still will be responsible for adhering to Pinal County even though we'll be 40 percent of this district, even if they're hundred of miles away.

One solution I found was the five-plus eastern county plan which had addressed Apache Junction unity by putting it all in LD-15, and I was talking to some people and they would modify that to add Gold Canyon to that as well.

I studied the maps, public submissions and public comments and have tried scenarios of my own and know that's not easy.

My last formal college class was in mathematical

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC

optimization. In the simplest form, one knows that the more constraints on the problem, the more difficult it is to solve. Removing a constraint makes it easier, leaving the goal of competitiveness out of the equation. So with my extra half minute I would like to say that six criteria cannot overrule the other four.

Thank you.

(Applause.)

MS. CRANK: The next ten speakers: Nancy Cottle, Laurie Gonzalez, Tim Schalick, Lina Hatch, Jackie Mesa, Michelle Wood, Roberta Miller, Tempest Shires, Jen Miller, William Bodine.

Nancy?

SPEAKER COTTLE: Thank you. I'd like to express my appreciation to Chairwoman Neuberg and the Commission for your tireless work to redraw -- redraw Arizona's legislative and congressional districts lines; and more importantly, for scheduling an opportunity for the East Valley to be heard.

My name is Nancy Cottle, I've lived in Maricopa

County for 41 years, the past 35 in Mesa. I consider the

East Valley, as many of my colleagues have talked about, my

community of interest, having worked in the aerospace

sector, volunteered in Mesa and surrounding communities,

substitute taught in Mesa public schools, and served in

several civic-minded projects in the district and for the

city of Mesa.

I've studied the proposed Arizona draft 10 map for District 10. It's clear to me, many I've spoken with, with respect to the East Valley district, Commission would be wise to consider revisiting the mapping activity to keep Mesa compact, meaning not to include parts of Tempe or Gilbert.

My recommendation is establish a boundary in District 10 that includes Val Vista as a boundary running east to the Pinal County line and south to Baseline. This affords equal population, communities of interest such as church, school, shopping services, and incorporates a county boundary to the east.

I would also recommend that the current District 12 extension to Gilbert be moved to the 101, this would ensure compactness in that district as well.

Please consider using the recently submitted

Gilbert consolidated map which shows Gilbert in two

districts versus five. A vast majority of east Mesa

residents pay taxes to support the city and Maricopa County

services, and we want to be represented by people who are

affected by the actions of those that serve, especially when

it comes to Mesa public schools.

With respect to version 7 congressional maps, residents of retirement communities of interest such as

Leisure World and Sun Lakes should remain within the congressional boundaries of CD-5. They have very little in common with Tempe.

I just want to say thank you again for your time. (Applause.)

SPEAKER GONZALEZ: My name is Lauri Gonzalez and I live in Gilbert, Arizona. I'm a pretty good example of maybe living in both worlds.

I lived in Mesa, went to university, was there for quite a while when I was -- way back when, about I'd say 20 years ago or so; and then once I decided to have a family I decided to move over to where it was more quiet, conservative, better schools, where I can basically just have everything nice and quiet. And now that my daughter is in college, I really want to be able to just continue my life in a quiet and peaceful way.

I'm very disturbed about Gilbert being broken up into five different sections. That just does not represent me at all. I think Gilbert was -- was originally set up to be its own town, it's own small little community. And I like -- I'm preservative, I like to keep everything the same -- I would like to go ahead and finish reading what I have to say about this.

In 2019 our amazing little town of Gilbert was featured on three highly respected and reputable nation

surveys. It was ranked nationally against 182 communities for safety, livability, and family life. It was named the 4th safest of 182 communities, 12th most livable town, and 7th best place to raise a family in the United States.

The reason for these excellent rankings is because Gilbert has been able to maintain its small town appeal, safe neighborhoods, and excellent schools.

Expanding the boundaries of our community to

Ahwatukee is ridiculous. This redistricting plan will bring more crime into our community, lower our property values and strip us of our small town identity. I believe splitting up Gilbert is more political than practical. Our downtown area is already too busy and invites a different crowd every weekend. Gilbert needs to stay exactly where it is.

I would like to also add that I have researched the supposedly nonpartisan IRC board members and I'm deeply, deeply troubled that your background lack any conservative leanings and that's all I want to say.

Thank you so much for your time. I really appreciate it.

(Applause.)

SPEAKER SCHALICK: Hello, I'm Tim Schalick and I am also part of the LD-12 grievance for Gilbert committee.

I've lived in LD-12 in northwest Gilbert for almost 14 years, before that I lived in Mesa for five years, before

that I was in the Air Force for 24 years. In all the travels we had, we loved Arizona the best, including graduating from ASU 30 years ago this month which I find shocking.

Your proposed map of LD-12 removes a two-mile strip of northern Gilbert, a section that contains the heart of downtown Gilbert and places it in Mesa's LD to the north.

It also separates another two-mile strip into this panhandle that contains our Gilbert civic center, which is our City Hall and police department, again separate from the downtown, and places it in a panhandle that now extends -- LD-12 extends 20 miles west through Chandler all the way to Ahwatukee -- which I'm sure the air is fine out there, but I prefer that -- I prefer Gilbert to stay Gilbert.

It's an incoherent collection of disparate communities of interest. These five districts really -- disassembling Gilbert is discomforting.

This proposed map goes against two of the redistricting goals in the Arizona Constitution -- you've heard this -- the respecting the communities of interest; and my big beef is the -- is the town boundaries. There's no reason that the northern boundary of LD-12 shouldn't go to Baseline, the boundary of Gilbert.

The western border is Arizona Avenue, as I said that makes -- that makes sense and put the eastern -- excuse

me -- the eastern borders Baseline -- Val Vista, the western border is 20 miles away in Ahwatukee and I'd ask that you please follow the natural city lines -- town lines for LD separation. Keep Gilbert together.

And again, thank you for your time. I know you've heard that many times and I do appreciate your time.

Appreciate it.

(Applause.)

SPEAKER HATCH: Hello, my name is Lina Hatch, I live in Gilbert and I'm speaking about my community of interest.

My family has lived in Gilbert for 45 years. Our ZIP Code is 85233. My husband has been a Realtor for 40 years, specializing in the Gilbert area. We have a Gilbert address, our children and grandchildren attend Gilbert schools, we attend a Gilbert church, we shop mostly in Gilbert, our healthcare providers and clinics are in Gilbert, we vote for Gilbert town council, we vote for Gilbert school board, we bank in Gilbert.

Since the 2010 census, our small area west of Cooper and south of Baseline was carved out of LD-22 and this little sliver was put into Chandler District 17. Since then, we do not feel like we belong. We have no influence. This has not given our small area adequate representation or cohesion. We do not associate with Gilbert schools,

churches, town council, school board, shopping, medical, bank, et cetera. We have been divided from our community of interest for these past ten years.

The latest proposed legislative district map is much worse. Gilbert is dissected into five pieces, this does not represent a community of interest. Cities should be kept as whole as possible. We want our legislative district to include all of Gilbert, to keep our city whole.

Our congressional districts should also be whole with Gilbert as a single CD. The proposed CD map divides Gilbert between both CD-4 and CD-5. Please keep Gilbert and Mesa together in CD-5 with -- within Maricopa County, that will represent our community of interest.

We handpicked Gilbert as our place of residence 45 years ago because we had the hometown cohesion.

Thank you for your time.

(Applause.)

SPEAKER MESA: My name is Jackie Mesa.

Hello, committee members and a special thanks to Chairwoman Neuberg for your dedication throughout this long process.

I've enjoyed living in the Valley for close to six years now. I've always loved the vibrant downtown and the people that make up the surrounding residential neighborhoods. The two lend completely different vibes and

lifestyles, from Tempe's highly social culture due to its college influence, compared to a more rooted, domestic, family-oriented culture throughout the rest of the East Valley.

It's due to these stark contrasts between communities of interest that all of Tempe should remain intact and separate from the East Valley, please.

As far as dividing up the rest of the East Valley, please keep Gilbert as intact as possible. Five legislative -- legislative districts is a lot for one community.

Moving the southern border of LDs 9 and 10 to the Baseline or the 60 would help reunite Gilbert and setting Val Vista as the 9 and 10 east-west boundary would ensure those two maintain equal population. It would also keep the retirement communities together, whereby nature of residents have the most interest in common.

For reference, Gilbert consolidated map illustrates all this well.

Similarly keeping Sun Lakes with south Chandler like you have it appropriately reflects their inherent sameness. It sounds like the residents agree and moving them would definitely be to their detriment.

Thank you again for your time and consideration. (Applause.)

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC

SPEAKER WOOD: Hello, my name is Michelle Wood. I am a lifetime resident -- oh gosh, I shouldn't say lifetime -- I'm a 35-year resident of the East Valley. I did live in Tempe for several years and I currently live in west Mesa.

1.3

I'm here today to speak in support of LD61 -excuse me -- the map LD0061, and the reason I want to speak
in favor of it is because the current final draft divides
Mesa up into five districts. You've heard people on all
sides of the line share a similar view that that needs to be
diminished. And I think that map 0061 does a better job of
that because it divides Mesa up into just three districts,
and one of those districts is fully Mesa residents with no
other communities involved.

It also -- map LD0061 does a better job of adhering to the principle of being compact in that it divides

Chandler, Mesa, and Tempe into just two districts as opposed to the multiple districts which again everybody here agrees the East Valley has been divided up into far too many districts to be palatable to any side of the equation.

The other reason I support LD0061 is because it creates two more competitive districts in LD-12 and 13, and I think it does a -- a better job of aligning the Honda precinct with proposed LD-9 because those folks who live in the Honda precinct, a good majority of them send their children to school in the Mesa school districts and placing

them from 8 into 9 would be a better alignment of interest.

Last, I think that the map that is proposed does a very good job of aligning Latino communities in LD-9, but I think 0061 does a better job of aligning those communities in both LD-9 and 13.

So thank you for your time and thank you for your contributions to the Commission.

(Applause.)

SPEAKER MILLER: Good evening, Madam Chair and Commissioner Lerner. Thank you very much for listening to us tonight and being here. I'm sure this has not been an easy slog for you.

I'm a fan of map LD0061 as well. I'm going to make my comments relatively brief here. I'm in the same ZIP.

I noticed listening to all of these -- all of these speakers here tonight, even though I didn't necessarily agree with everyone, there appears to be a consensus that we all don't want our cities to be split up as much as they are in the present legislative approved draft map. LD0061 does a good job of minimizing those splits and I think that's consistent with what you need to do as the Commissioners. I mean, it's consistent with -- with creating districts that are compact, contiguous, competitive and that respect the communities of interest.

So please, if you would, I'm going to ask you --

let me take off this -- take this off, I feel like I can't breathe when I have that mask on in front of you here.

But please consider looking at LD0061. I think it solves a lot of problems and I think it also is consistent with a lot of the -- the concerns and the statements you've heard here tonight from a lot of people on both sides of the aisle.

Thank you very much.

1.3

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Just as a matter of a very practical question, is this a recent map submission so I know where to look for it? When --

SPEAKER MILLER: It's not recent. I think it
was -- I think it was submitted -- submitted about a month
ago. I know I talked about it when I was here -- when I was
in front of you in Scottsdale, I actually mentioned it
there. So it was -- it had been published at that point as
well.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay.

SPEAKER MILLER: Take a look at it.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: We can find it.

SPEAKER MILLER: Yeah. Thanks very much.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Thank you.

(Applause.)

SPEAKER SHIRES: Hello, Madam Chair and Commissioners. My name is Tempest Shires and I've lived in

Chandler for 12 years. I'm here to speak in favor of LD0061, and it's quite interesting that a few of us are.

I've previously only been able to outline the issues. I've had problems with the draft map, there wasn't a map submitted when I last talked to you about -- that satisfies my concerns and satisfies your six constitutional requirements. LD0061 now exists and it provides solutions for the East Valley and beyond that keeps communities of interest together, balances populations, and creates compact and competitive districts.

I was very interested listening to your meeting earlier today that one of your main areas that you're grappling with is how to achieve competitiveness without undermining the needs of communities of interest.

is pretty blue, LD-14 is solidly red, they balance each other out. In between them you have the opportunity to make LD-13, where I live, a properly competitive district with a near 50/50 split so the voters are infused about elections and representatives can -- don't have to rest on their laurels.

The competitiveness shouldn't undermine communities of interest in LD-13. I was very concerned watching the business meeting before Thanksgiving, it felt like the Commissioners see the draft map version of LD-13 as a done

deal. In an ideal world it would be lovely to have a perfectly square, perfectly compact district. LD-13 looks great on paper, but the square cuts right through the heart of a very important community of interest in north Chandler.

Although our Latino community isn't large enough to make LD-13 a VRA district, it's very sizable and incredibly important to the makeup of the city of Chandler that I love.

I urge the Commissioners when dividing up the unloved panhandle to reunite the north of Chandler with the rest of the city.

Map LD0061 shows how this can be done and how the East Valley districts as a whole can meet the requirements of competitive and not undermining communities of interest.

I appreciate the time you're taking to listen to all of us. Happy Christmas.

(Applause.)

1.3

SPEAKER MILLER: Hello, my name is Jan Miller.

I've lived in Arizona for 33 years, most of that in

Chandler, and I had a whole list of points of which have

been made repeatedly this evening from a -- a multitude of

people, so I will just kind of cut to the -- the chase from

my point.

I also am here to support LD map -- or map LD0061 for the reasons that have been so eloquently articulated. Delieve it is an example of how to optimize many of these,

if not all of these, different competing interests.

While people may not love every part of it, it does a much better job of holding the communities of interest, the cities, into much -- two, maybe three districts that make more sense and keep those groups -- those communities of interest together, supports the Latino areas, it keeps the retirement communities together, keeps school districts -- Kyrene School District, which is important to me, together, and I think it also creates more competitive districts as well which I think is -- should be equally important. Obviously it has to be balanced with all of them.

So thank you very much.

(Applause.)

I'm a third generation Native Arizonan. I actually built the home that my 101-year-old mother lives in in west Mesa and we've been here in Mesa for 55 years. I recognize and understand the need to split Mesa because the population has grown, but I'm opposed to splitting it off four or five different ways, whatever the map is showing.

I think that we live in Mesa because we have a shared value and interest. We pay our taxes for our schools in Mesa, our churches are in Mesa, we have the same values -- much of the same values of family and faith in

Mesa, that's why people live here. We don't want to be lumped with different areas that don't share those same values. And I don't think it's appropriate to disassemble Mesa to pursue a political or a social agenda. The most important thing is to keep it as a community of interest as much as possible.

I ask the board to follow the Constitution, look at those areas that you can do before you look at political competitiveness. Look at that community of interest because that's why we live here, we're part of the community. I personally know many in my city, state, and national political representatives because they live in my neighborhood, I know them. You break us up and it won't happen, it won't be the same.

So I recommend for LD-10 to keep the I- -- make I-60 freeway as the southern boundary and the eastern boundary as Meridian and that will keep -- that will help reduce breaking up Gilbert and it makes a nice geographic section, keeping Mesa in one district and Gilbert in another.

And then for Congressional District 5, I ask you to follow the natural boundaries and move the east-west boundary line perhaps Stapley and -- Stapley Road, and this will keep Chandler and downtown Mesa.

Thank you.

1 (Applause.)

MS. CRANK: I think we're going to take a five-minute break right now. The -- our transcriptionist needs a -- a break. So we can take a five-minute break.

(Recess taken from 7:27 p.m. to 7:31 p.m.)

MS. CRANK: I will be calling the next ten speakers.

Next ten speakers: Kathleen Pettycrew, Mickie

Niland, Catherine Kissler, Morgan Perry, Tram Bui, Darla

Gonzalez, Jared Taylor, Frank Pabian, Jeffrey Tucker, Kitty

(verbatim) I can't read her -- your writing, Kitty. Kitty

Powell? Kathleen Pettycrew? Kathleen?

SPEAKER PETTYCREW: There's nobody up there.

MS. CRANK: Yeah, just wait. Just wait.

SPEAKER PETTYCREW: Good evening, thank you for this opportunity. I appreciate your work.

I voted for the Independent Redistricting Committee 20 years ago with the idea this will be competitive districts. There are other criteria, I understand, but competitiveness was the main reason I voted for it and was very proud of Arizona for having been a leader in this and still is.

Communities of color are very important to us. The minorities, the special people, those are the communities

that we need to protect. Not necessarily cities, not necessarily counties.

I have lived in Phoenix for 47 years, my children go to school in Tempe. None of the redistricting has ever made any difference to how I act with my city or how I act with the Tempe School District. Those things are not the important things, the important things to me are protecting the communities of color and competitiveness.

I have worked hard for the -- for -- for the party that I support and for the candidates that I have supported, I'll continue to do that. I doesn't matter whether I'm in district A or B or C, I will do it in the district that I'm redistricted to. I've been redistricted before, I'll be redistricted again, it's okay.

I'm not a community of color, I'm not anybody special, I am a voter and I want competitiveness.

Thank you very much.

(Applause.)

SPEAKER NILAND: Good evening, Madam Chairman and Commissioners. Thank you for your service and thank you for coming to the East Valley. We're glad to be here.

Most of my concerns have already been addressed by people so I'll just briefly mention, I live in Gilbert and I of course don't want five districts but I want to speak mostly about the congressional district map tonight.

I would encourage you to keep Mesa whole and to keep Gilbert whole. We have enough in common that we would have our communities of interest represented by being together with -- also with Gilbert and some of the retirement communities. This would help us to be compact and contiguous and to be able to have our communities of interest.

Thank you very much. Good night.

(Applause.)

SPEAKER KOSSLER: Hi, I'm Catherine Kossler, I've lived in Mesa for over 30 years.

And primarily all the districts need to be compact and unite together communities of interest so they may be effectively represented by their elected officials.

Specifically regarding Districts 9 and 10, the southern boundary should be the US-60, particularly for District 9 in order to keep Dobson Ranch together, and Val Vista Drive should be the dividing line between the two Districts, 9 and 10.

The consolidated Gilbert map should be used for the East Valley as this map addresses all the Gilbert problems.

Splitting Gilbert into five different districts would disregard communities of interest as it is a very family- and church-oriented community as a whole.

Mesa should be kept separate from Tempe as they are

two distinct communities with different interests. Tempe is generally the younger crowd and more focused on the university and the night life, whereas Mesa has more families and retirees.

Retirement communities should stay together, specifically Leisure World should not be included with Tempe or Gilbert. Similarly, Sun Lakes should be joined with south Chandler in order to respect their communities of interest as they have more in common with south Chandler than with Tempe.

Communities of interest are more important than competitiveness and therefore should be given higher priority in redistricting considerations.

Thank you very much for being here tonight and for listening to my concerns.

(Applause.)

1.3

SPEAKER PERRY: I'm Morgan Perry, a registered voter in Maricopa County living near Paradise Valley mall.

I'm an aviation industry entrepreneur and routinely interact with business contacts from various parts of our metro area, especially Stellar Airpark.

I urge you, the IRC, to follow rather than overstep your mandate. We've had far too much overstepping in the recent past by Arizona officials with sacred responsibility for our democratic processes. This must end and end with

you here and now.

The IRC must prioritize grid-like, equal-sized and interest-connected districts and do so over other concerns, including competitiveness.

For my communities of interest you have failed to follow your mandate in the current draft maps, specifically in two ways.

One, in draft CD-1 you have lumped the outskirts of Black Canyon City and Government Hill with the dense urban community around the intersection of Interstate 10 and North Central Avenue in the heart of Phoenix. That is, frankly, absurd.

You have an obvious fix. Join the highly urbanized northern portion of Central Phoenix with the rest of the central urban area of Phoenix and replace CD-1 population with other dominantly suburban communities that characterize CD-1. Your draft has inappropriately elevated competitiveness over the higher priorities that you are legally duty bound to prioritize. Fix this.

Two, the LD-12 spur prominently sticking nongridlike out to the east of the 101 loop does not reasonably share interest with the rest of your draft LD-12 and clearly belongs with its geographically adjacent and interest-sharing neighbors -- neighboring LDs, such as LD-9 and LD-13. You need to fix this to fulfill your

constitutional mandate.

(Applause.)

SPEAKER GONZALEZ: Hello, my name is Darla

Gonzalez. I'm from Chandler, Arizona, I moved there

18 years ago sight unseen. I live in the same house and I love Chandler.

Thank you for being here, I know it's been a really long haul for everyone. So I appreciate the -- the hearing today.

So I'm going to make a lot of my -- I heard a lot of similar comments so I don't want to duplicate, but I do want to go on the record that I looked at a lot of these maps and I believe that map 0058 which is the Gilbert consolidated map meets the six criteria, it unites Gilbert, unites Mesa, it keeps retirement communities together, which I think is important.

I also think Sun Lakes need to be with south Chandler; I live in south Chandler, they are a community of interest for us.

I also worked at a church for seven years in Ahwatukee, and Ahwatukee is very different from Chandler.

Not bad different, just different. So I feel like -- so I love Ahwatukee, everybody, but it is different.

And so I just ask you to look at map 0058.

The only -- I don't like about the 0061 map that I

saw is it does separate Sun Lakes from south Chandler and I feel like that community should stay together.

So thank you for hearing me out and thank you for having this hearing.

(Applause.)

1.3

SPEAKER TAYLOR: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, my name is Jared Taylor. Thank you for letting me speak for a few minutes on a couple of items.

Really just two things. One is my ask tonight would be to keep the Congressional District 5 map as close as it can be to the existing lines. I know there'll probably need to be some adjustments, but I think there's some good reflection and -- and continuity that's -- that's very important and will maintain the -- the guidelines as set forth in the redistricting committee's various mandates.

The second thing is regarding the legislative district in Gilbert, lived in Gilbert for 25 years, served on the town council in Gilbert for eight years, and to see the -- the district boundaries broken up into five pieces I thought I'd just share with you what that would mean.

You heard from somebody earlier that they were -the northwest corner when it's carved into what's called
LD-17 now, it was off of 22, there was a lot of -- in the
northwest corner, a lot of those residents have disconnected
with the civic engagement of the town and the legislative

process regardless of party because of that carve-out, and that would only fracture and multiply more if there's five legislative districts. So I get Gilbert's growing, we're about 260,000 and -- and growing, so probably not one but not more than two I think would maintain that continuity.

And the only -- the only other thing I'd mention is with regards to school. I served on the State Board of Ed for four years and I would just mention that it's very important for the governing boards to have positive relationships with their legislative district representatives, and if it's fractured among, you know, four or five legislative districts, that would be very difficult, almost impossible for that to happen; and given the size of education's budget, we've got to have that continuity.

Largely Gilbert School District is north of the 202. South of the 202 is Chandler and Higley School District so I see that as a nice break to help with that continuity.

So thank you again for your time and appreciate your service.

(Applause.)

SPEAKER PABIAN: Hello, my name is Frank Pabian, I live in east Mesa, I'm a certified mapping scientist and I'm a former United Nations nuclear chief inspector.

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC

I'm here primarily to -- and that's why I'm wearing

the hat. I'm here primarily to talk about district map -Congressional District map 7.1, particularly the division of
Districts 4 and 5. I recommend the map produced by Ayden
Young (phonetic) as part of the submitted plan and name.
AFY-CD5 proposal two. I think it's a better potential
layout than what you have now in the map 7.1.

While we're a diverse community out in east Mesa -we have a lot of retirees, for instance, but there is a
general cohesive sense of place and shared interests that
are consistent across the East Valley from east Mesa to
Gilbert to Queen Creek.

Among the shared goals is maintaining a nice place to live without having to worry about disenfranchisement through potentially unfair and divisive redistricting that does not consider those shared and common community interests and values.

In an earlier place and time I lived in another state in a county of only about 20,000 people and what was for the most part a one-company town, not unlike the Globe-Superior mining district, but our community was cut into three pieces in an unabashed gerrymandering effort that ensured the complete disenfranchisement of the people living in that county by dilution when combined with other communities having differing -- differing political priorities.

So we are a suburban and semirural community and we would prefer not to be combined with more urban and more transient communities that do not accurately represent our common community of interest or concern for our longer term priorities. Please keep our community whole within a single congressional district.

Furthermore, the districts -- the districts that you have drawn are going to -- well, I -- my final point is: Please promote unity and not division.

Thank you for your time today.

(Applause.)

SPEAKER POWELL: Good evening, Commissioners. I appreciate the time you're taking, the sacrifice, and the efforts you're making to do what's right for the people.

My name is Kyle Powell, I've lived in Chandler and Gilbert now for 38 years. I raised my family there, I serve as a precinct committeeman, I am a real estate developer and a property manager and I developed three properties in the town of Gilbert. Specifically Gilbert because of its focus on family and traditional values.

I understand that the IRC is guided by six constitutional principles but there's also a grandfathered principle that I think we all need to keep in mind and that is specifically as stated by Thomas Jefferson in the Declaration of Independence. Quote, "We hold these truths

to be self-evident that all men are created equal, that they're endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable rights, that among these are life, liberty and pursuit of happiness."

My interpretation of the pursuit of happiness is the right to control and exercise property.

This goes on -- the Declaration of Independence goes on to say that -- that "to secure these rights, governments are instituted among men deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed."

So isn't that a wonderful comment? So as

Commissioners, I would encourage you to keep that in mind,

that the more you align your final decision in -- in

alignment with the -- those that are being governed, the

happier that we are in maintaining our communities and our

associations, the integrity and the unity of our community,

then the happier the governed are.

So I'm sure you'd like to have the consent. We're here because we're not in consent. So the whole effort here is to try to find consensus. So I would -- I would encourage you to do that and do so in such a way that we can maintain our communities as they are.

Thank you very much.

(Applause.)

SPEAKER TUCKER: Hello, Commissioners. My name is

Jeff Tucker. Thank you for giving the East Valley the opportunity to have a voice in this process and thank you for giving me the opportunity to speak again.

I live in Tempe and I work in Chandler. My family lives in Sun Lakes where I also spend a lot of my free time.

I'm here tonight hoping that you will please examine and support map LD61. I like map LD61 because it is compact, it keeps our cities together, it makes the map more competitive.

Competition is so crucial. It is what makes citizens become engaged. It gets them to be involved in their community, and competition is crucial for good ideas and for good public policy to become adopted.

Without competition we get representation that does not bother to listen to voters. I think we all want representation that listens to us, so we can build a better society together.

Please look at map LD61, as it keeps cities together by splitting them less. It respects the boundaries of these cities. Please also consider adopting the map LD61 because it increases competition.

It allows us all to be better represented by our elected leaders.

Thank you so much for the work that you all are doing and have a happy holidays. Thank you so much.

(Applause.)

MS. CRANK: I'll be calling out next ten speakers, but the fiftieth speaker, Senator Kelly Townsend, Leslee Wilson, Traci Robb, Summer Baker, Jesse Bryant, Jamie Jones, Marcy (verbatim) North, Richard Newhauser, Jana Ochs, Lawrence Moody.

SPEAKER TOWNSEND: Good evening. Senator Kelly Townsend, current District LD-16. Thank you guys so much for volunteering your time that you've been doing this. That means a lot to all of us here.

I want to talk first about the -- the very first criteria is adherence to the U.S. Constitution. All I want to say about that is Article IV, Section 4, of the U.S. Constitution guarantees all of us here the right to a representative form of government and representative meaning one person -- or three in this situation -- representing the people in that district.

And it's important -- and I understand and hear those who are arguing for competitiveness, so if you are to say you have a 51/49 split, if that 51 wins, 49 percent are not going to be represented when it's time to vote at the Legislature.

Let me give you an example. In my district, I've very much and thoroughly enjoyed LD-16 and it's going to be sad to have that changed, but it is two distinct communities

of interest within one district. You have east Mesa and you have Apache Junction and Gold Canyon and some

San Tan Valley. But the folks in east Mesa are very much

LDS church, you know, the more -- more urban; and then you have Apache Junction, all of them similar voting habits.

However, on one particular issue, the issue of marijuana, they were decisively split on what they wanted me to do.

And so that half-and-half situation and I would consistently vote with one group a certain way, which very much angered the other group, and it was very difficult to represent the full district in that way.

So that's why I would argue the importance of a community of interest versus competitiveness because the more you have a complete community of interest, the most people you can have that you're representing because when you vote, you're their voice, you're not your own voice. So you want to vote in the way that represents the most people possible and if you have a competitive district, it's -- it's impossible to do that and more people are disenfranchised.

So I hope you guys take that into consideration as far as the importance of communities of interest.

Thank you.

1.3

(Applause.)

SPEAKER WILSON: Good evening, my name is Leslie

Wilson and I am a resident of Mesa. And I wanted to come here tonight not only because I care about my community and my city, my state, deeply, but I am representing my family who were not able to be here, as well as a few of our neighbors who wanted to come.

But we -- over time, we're aware of what's quietly going on in the background and we over decades have watched the work be done behind the scene to turn Arizona more and more liberal. The boundaries that are being proposed are not acceptable to us, and many folks prior to me have eloquently shared my feelings and -- and the thoughts of the -- our neighbors.

So I'm not going to repeat, but I just wanted to let you know that we do not want to be combined with areas that are miles away and don't have similar interests that we do. We want to be represented fairly and accurately in the state legislature as well as Congress and we thank you so much for giving us a voice and the time here this evening to speak, and I hope you have a merry Christmas.

(Applause.)

1.3

SPEAKER ROBB: Hi, my name is Traci Robb and I live in the northwest part of Gilbert, that cut-out area that people have spoke about.

We're currently in LD-17, CD-5. First of all, I do appreciate you guys coming out here since I crashed your

meeting in Phoenix and promised you brownies and didn't have time to make them, so thank you.

But I'm here because I want you to know that I talked to many of my neighbors in the area about coming with me tonight. And I have to tell you that most of them, they just said: Why are you doing this? They're going to do what they do. Okay? I have more faith than that and I believe that you will be listening to us and all the concerns and there are so many good people in the East Valley when you speak about communities of interest.

I would like to see -- I did look on the maps, I just now looked up 60 -- LD-6 -- 0061 and LD58. I could live with either; 58 is probably a lot better for Gilbert but you're going to have to do what you do.

This thing up here is a disaster. Five different cut-outs in Gilbert is just not going to work and it doesn't even go -- it goes against the Constitution which everybody has talked about tonight.

Gilbert should be broken up at least from -- we should use Baseline as an a natural boundary, west would be Arizona Avenue.

I appreciate your time and I really want CD-5 kept intact. There's no reason to break that up. And just remember that we -- we all really do try to work the together in the East Valley, we're very involved in our

communities and this is just not acceptable.

Thank you.

1.3

(Applause.)

SPEAKER BAKER: Hello, my name is Summer Baker.

I've been to a couple of these meetings before and I was cut off last night but I wanted to give a couple of my recommendations on the current draft map for LD-8.

There's a lot of -- there's a different community between where the US-60 is split, and I think if you were to move the boundary line for LD-12 where the north boundary is, move it up to either the US-60 or to Southern, that would best represent the middle-class working families that live in that community versus roping them in with the northern Tempe and all the way there in the Salt River Indian Reservation. They just don't -- we never go into that area, so it's just not really -- it doesn't make any sense to kind of group us into that sort of area.

And I just wanted to say from last night's speaker, the -- the last speaker that went, she mentioned keeping the -- the cities together which is one of the requirements or respecting the -- the boundary lines there and it just makes sense. The cities are already of like interest and have the same priorities so it's -- it just seems like it makes more sense just to group them all in the same way and -- I want to see if there's anything else.

Oh, yeah. And LD-12, just to remove that panhandle right there. That -- that doesn't make any sense either. That's really splitting up a lot of communities and disenfranchising the -- the area of Gilbert right there. Which I've grown up here, I've been here my whole life, and I've been to Gilbert and they're a very tight-knit group, too.

So just want to say thank you for your time and have a good night.

(Applause.)

SPEAKER BRYANT: My name is Jesse Bryant. I'm born and raised in Globe, Arizona. I'm an ASU graduate and a recently licensed contractor.

While you have brought many of our rural towns back together, you still divide the five eastern counties and join pieces of our rural region to urban areas. The rural areas are where the wealth of the world is produced. Raw materials are harvested through great physical labor. Our people work for little and tolerate greater struggle, but our politics are the same: Survival.

Rural hospitals and schools struggle for staff, finance and resources. Roads are last to be paved. Our infrastructure is aged and decaying. When natural disasters come, are resources are limited to respond. While metropolitan areas argue over city blocks, we present an

entire region united by history, economy, and culture.

We are rural Arizona and that is not defined by political party or ethnic background. All the people who choose to live in our communities are equally our people and while they may share a connection with other communities elsewhere, it does not separate them from ours nor does it force the rest of us to become connected to theirs.

Since city councils adopted official resolutions, three more have unofficially, and countless citizens have urged to protect our minority voice. We have united across party, ethnic and gender lines to bring you this message:

We do not have the millions like the metropolitan areas, we do not have political action groups lobbying for us, we do not have teams of well-financed lawyers screaming threats for us, but we are a people, a kindred rural race whose voting rights were not protected by law.

If you do not defend our right to be heard, who will? You have said that not everyone will get what they want, but who among us must that be? The metro areas have received by far the greatest amount of resources, federal reservations who received millions of dollars in assistance which have used that money to build state-of-the-art schools and hospitals, even elegant casinos and resorts, or shall the rural communities make that sacrifice who produce the economic revenue of the state, the vast majority of which is

kept by Maricopa and Pima Counties?

It is easy to ignore those who have little weight to begin with. I have you -- have you this final appeal, the rural citizens of eastern Arizona urge you to restore our rural voice to the Legislature. Please adopt the five eastern counties concept solely rural and undivided.

Thank you.

(Applause.)

SPEAKER JONES: Hello, my name is Jamie Jones. I grew up in Gilbert and I'm raising my family in Mesa; and while I love both, they are distinctly different communities.

As a Mesa resident, I would like to see Mesa kept together. To do this I propose to have Baseline Road as a southern border and the east -- and the eastern border at Val Vista Road, referencing the consolidated map 0 -- 58. It's closest to what we desire.

Thank you for removing Tempe from this proposed map as their ideas don't align with those of us in Mesa.

Also this would keep Gilbert together and be best for both -- and it would be best for both Mesa and Gilbert.

And regards to Congressional District 4, we request that Tempe also not be included in with Mesa and this would keep things more geographically compact, and we'd like to see include more of Mesa and keep it all within

one district.

Thank you.

(Applause.)

SPEAKER NORTH: Good evening. Marcus North.

I moved to Gilbert with my family 15 years ago after leaving the service of our country and we chose Gilbert very intentionally as -- because it's a very strong community of interest and aligns with our interests as a family.

It seems to me that the community of interest priority is in direct contradiction to the idea of competition and it seems to me that the proposal as it is deliberately dismantles the strong community of interest that exists in Gilbert. And so I ask you very specifically to keep Gilbert as one.

Thank you.

(Applause.)

SPEAKER NEWHAUSER: My name is Richard Newhauser.

I've lived, worked and worshipped in Tempe since 2007. I'm here to commend map 0061 which you've heard about now many times already.

In particular what concerns LD-8 or what would be LD-8, it seems to do a better job of keeping ASU neighborhoods together of -- including all of the Scottsdale business district together; and as far as other aspects of

0061, you've heard probably enough by now.

So just let me thank you for -- and commend you for staying awake all this time and listening attentively as I know you have.

And I want to wish you and everybody a happy fifth night of Hanukkah.

(Applause.)

SPEAKER OCHS: Good evening, my name is Jana Ochs.

I have been a resident of Gilbert for 17 and a half years. I've been involved with our neighborhood social committee as a Girl Scouts leader, numerous youth soccer teams and as a volunteer at three daughters' various schools, both public and private. My ZIP Code is 85233.

My ask is that you keep Gilbert mostly together. know that it is impossible to have all of Gilbert in one legislative district due to its population.

It would make sense and be most practical if the division line was drawn to separate the southern part of Gilbert to go with another more rural district, whatever the number of that district may be.

The school district lines of the Higley School District and/or the Queen Creek School District could be used as legislative district lines.

I know that you have -- I know that your committee has taken school district lines into consideration when

drawing our new district lines in other parts of Maricopa County, this could be done again here in Gilbert.

Currently the northwestern part of Gilbert is in LD-17 and some other parts of Gilbert are also in LD-17.

It would just make sense and be practical to follow Gilbert's stairstep border with Chandler along the northwestern side, with Mesa along Baseline Road as the north border and the current border to the northeast side of Gilbert with Mesa.

Please take the north, northwestern and northeastern borders boundaries of Gilbert into strong consideration when drawing the new legislative district lines.

Thank you.

1.3

(Applause.)

SPEAKER MOODY: Hi there. Thank you for coming this evening and letting my voice be heard. I hope -- I know your ears are open, I hope your minds are open and still awake. I know it's been a big parade for you.

Anyway, I live in Mesa, I used to live in Tempe, and I know that both communities are very different, very different in their beliefs. I'd like to keep Tempe out of Mesa if I can. Everybody seems to be saying the same thing, everybody wants to keep the communities together and I hope that you can try to redistrict it so that you can keep our

communities together and try and keep Tempe out of Mesa and that's all I'm asking.

Thank you.

MS. CRANK: The last speaker's name was Lawrence Moody.

We have five more speakers left.

Perry Bradford, Allan Chasey, Eddie Farnsworth, Bert Moll, Darrell Covert.

SPEAKER BRADFORD: Okay, thanks for coming and listening to us all.

I think a lot of what I was prepared to say has already been spoken. I do urge the -- the Commissioners to keep the communities together. I know there are priorities that you have to deal with.

It seems to me the priority of keeping communities together makes it easier for our elected officials to be consistent and to actually be attentive to the people in the district; and when you split the districts apart, for example LD -- I'm in LD-17 now and the new district that splits across the whole city.

I've lived in Tempe and I've lived in Chandler and Gilbert. Gilbert and Chandler are more similar than Tempe, I think you've heard that before. So I suggest you just basically do the best you can to keep the communities together because I think the people tend to move to a

community because they like that place and that's -- that's a collection of thoughts that if people don't like it, they'll move somewhere else.

Thank you.

1.3

(Applause.)

SPEAKER CHASEY: Good evening, my name is Al Chasey. Appreciate you being here this evening. I'll say like everybody else, you know, I've been a resident here in the Gilbert area for 20-plus years. Graduate of ASU, a veteran. I'm very proud of being a veteran, I'm very proud of the United States, I'm very proud of Arizona, but I have a feeling it's moving in the wrong direction for me so I came kind of on different tack from everybody else. I didn't come here to give you any ideas, I came here to ask you a lot of questions maybe.

What is really going to be the impact of all of these hearings? I've often wondered if these are held for just show or if it really does mean anything. There's been some recent public hearings that I know about that were basically just to check a box. It did not mean a thing.

You've heard an awful lot of comments here tonight, and I just hope that they really have some impact on that.

I also want to ask: Where are the other

Commissioners? I went to a meeting back in -- over in

Phoenix three weeks ago, something like that, I heard Tracy

made the offer if you would come over here, but I think giving us only about two-day notice isn't really quite right to be able to get a good crowd of what you're going to see.

Are they going to see this video or are they going to read the transcripts? Are they really going to see the passion in the people's eyes of what they're saying about what the impact of what you're trying to do is going to make on all of this?

So really I'd like to give you probably one final word and that is: Wisdom is really needed. Wisdom is really needed in this whole process, and I think it's said best by some ancient advice that was given that says the previous generation has set boundaries in place, don't you dare move them just for your benefit.

And I'll leave you with that. Thank you. (Applause.)

SPEAKER FARNSWORTH: Good evening, Madam Chair, members of the committee. My name is Eddie Farnsworth, I'm a resident of Gilbert, I'm a native of Arizona, my wife is a native Arizonan and I served 18 years in the legislature representing Gilbert. I'm not going to restate the issues of communities of interest, but I am going to speak about Gilbert specifically.

Now, I want to talk just briefly about what the Constitution requires because that's what you're bound by.

You're not bound by those who come up here and say that they want to have competitive districts because that's irrelevant under the plain language of the Constitution. So what does the Constitution require?

The priorities are that you have -- you don't violate the Constitution; you have equal size in -- in CDs and you have districts -- LD districts that are compact; LD districts that have communities of interest, and they even define what communities of interest are and that includes town boundaries.

When you look at -- when you look at -- at the last piece, which is competitiveness, there's a condition, there aren't conditions on the other -- the other five, there's a condition on the last one; and none of this violates the Constitution or the Voting Rights Act.

But what we have to look at is, under the plain text of the Constitution this map fails. You, under your fiduciary responsibility to fulfill the constitutional obligation in redistricting, you have to redraft this map, especially with regards to Gilbert. There is no one that believes that carving a small community like Gilbert into five pieces makes any sense or is constitutional.

Now, I want to address the issue of having competitiveness that's going to have better representation. That is absolutely untrue. If you have disparate

communities like Tempe and Gilbert which are diametrically opposed in their philosophy, the representatives cannot represent both. They are diametrically opposed. So you have to maintain communities of interest and that's why they are the priority is because it gives better representation for those communities of interest.

Thank you very much for your time.

(Applause.)

SPEAKER MOLL: Good evening, Madam Chair and committee members. My name is Bert Moll and I want to begin by thanking each of you for your tireless efforts on behalf of the citizens of Arizona and for holding this hearing today to allow us to give our input.

My wife and I, we lived in -- have lived in the East Valley since moving here in 1993 after I graduated from law school. In 2008 we moved to Gilbert because it had all the characteristics of small-town America and we like that. The Gilbert community as a whole is a family-oriented community that respects traditional values in its rich religious heritage. We love the sense of community that we get from living in Gilbert and strongly believe our interests as a community are unique from other major cities in the East Valley.

Now, I was very troubled to learn and to look at the map that's been proposed that redistricts Gilbert, the

state legislative redistrict map, into five territories -or five districts, and as an attorney I understand and
appreciate the difficult task before this committee. But
frankly, I looked at that and I said you got a little sliver
going all the way down from Gilbert down to Ahwatukee. I
mean, you know, Ahwatukee and Tempe. And -- and as far as
I'm concerned, that map has all the earmarks of
gerrymandering because it does not appear to have any
rational basis whatsoever for the lines it draws.

I'm aware the districting map must achieve certain goals, one of which is respecting communities of interest to the extent practicable. The proposed map violates that criteria in what appears to be an overage to create competitive districts, but creating competitive districts is the least important factor the committee should consider.

Also important is the goal of creating districts that are geographic -- geographically compact and contiguous and that use visible geographic features, city boundaries for the district lines.

I'd like to ask that you consider the Gilbert consolidated map number 58. Thank you.

(Applause.)

SPEAKER COVERT: Good evening, my name is Darrell Covert. Thank you so much for being here tonight. I know it's how -- I'm the last one that's on here.

I'm a native of Arizona, I've lived in the Chandler/Gilbert area since 1981, my family arrived here in 1934.

I've seen this state grow in that over the years as I'm a real estate broker. As a real estate broker, I'm a rarity. I'm one of the 79 lifetime Realtor Emirituses out of 26,000 real estate agents. I've sold thousands and thousands of houses but each individual person is not a number to me. Each individual person has hopes, they have the children that they want to be able to extend to, they have dreams.

And I will tell you that each area is totally different. If we're talking about Sun Lakes down here, they're concerned about Medicare, their Medicaid, what's going to happen with their Social Security. They are akin with Chandler, they have nothing akin with agriculture area 12 miles away and the people in Ahwatukee.

I have done relocation for both Intel and for Honeywell, and having done that I will tell you when we had the migration from California between 1993 and 1998, the two places that they were deciding to reside in was Ahwatukee and Scottsdale Ranch. Had nothing to do with Chandler whatsoever. To divide it up like that is just absolutely crazy.

Another thing you're dealing with is with Gilbert.

We're talking about ten generations of families, good families that have totally different dreams for their families and different categories that they want to deal with that's important to them.

I'm asking you to please reconsider exactly what you're doing here. You have hope and prayers of people behind you, they're not numbers.

Thank you.

(Applause.)

1.3

MS. CRANK: Madam Chair and Commissioner Lerner and listening audience, that concludes all of our speakers at the Mesa location.

attending our meeting tonight and providing us with input.

I want to remind you, too, that you can continue to provide us with input online. You can submit any maps that you want, any comments, and please do so. We want to hear from you. We really appreciate the comments that you make, we appreciate your feedback, we are taking all of it very seriously. We take it to heart and we do listen and we will be considering all the feedback that we have done.

As a note we have been traveling all over the state, and we are hearing from people all over about the maps and we're taking all that into consideration.

So we appreciate your time and effort to give us feedback.

> Again, please go online and submit a map or comments at any time at IRC.AZ.gov.

And we have a virtual meeting tomorrow online at noon and we have our last meetings, one in Tucson and one in Maryvale on Saturday and that will conclude our public hearings at that time.

> So thank you all and with that we are adjourned. (Whereupon the meeting concludes at 8:17 p.m.)

"This transcript represents an unofficial record. Please consult the accompanying video for the official record of IRC proceedings."

1	<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>
2	
3	STATE OF ARIZONA)
4) ss.
5	COUNTY OF MARICOPA)
6	
7	BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were
8	taken before me, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter No. 50127, all done to the best of my skill and ability;
9	that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to print under my direction.
10	I CERTIFY that I am in no way related to any of the
11	parties hereto nor am I in any way interested in the outcome thereof.
12	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I have complied with the
13	requirements set forth in ACJA 7-206. Dated at Litchfield Park, Arizona, this 18th of December, 2021.
14	
15	Angela Furniss Miller, RPR, CR
16	CERTIFIED REPORTER (AZ50127)
17	* * *
	I CERTIFY that Miller Certified Reporting, LLC, has
18	complied with the requirements set forth in ACJA 7-201 and 7-206. Dated at LITCHFIELD PARK, Arizona, this 18th of
19	December, 2021.
20	\mathcal{MCP}
21	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC Arizona RRF No. R1058
22	ALIZONA RRE NO. RIOJO
23	
24	
25	