THE STATE OF ARIZONA INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF VIRTUAL TOWN HALL

Online via Webex
December 3, 2021
11:59 a.m.

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC PO Box 513, Litchfield Park, AZ 85340 (P) 623-975-7472 (F) 623-975-7462 www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com

Reported By (via Webex):
Deborah Wilks, RPR
Certified Reporter (AZ 50849)

```
1
               VIRTUAL TOWN HALL, BEFORE THE INDEPENDENT
2
      REDISTRICTING COMMISSION, convened at 11:59 a.m. on
      December 3, 2021, online via Webex.
 3
 4
 5
 6
      COMMISSIONERS PRESENT:
 7
      Ms. Erika Neuberg, Chairperson
      Mr. Derrick Watchman, Vice Chairman
 8
      Ms. Shereen Lerner, Commissioner
      Mr. David Mehl, Commissioner
 9
10
      STAFF PRESENT:
      Ms. Lori Van Haren
11
12
13
      PUBLIC COMMENT SPEAKERS:
14
      Patty Villenueve
      Cate Barker
15
      Steve Hetsler
      Rennae Ward
16
      Jane Rhodes
      Rivko Knox
17
      Elysa Daniels
      Annarose Lilly
18
      Melissa Forbes
      Andrea Genette
19
      Gina McCracken
      Valeria Urquiza
20
      Rhoda Gambler
      Kellen Wilson
2.1
      Lawrence Warren
      Stephanie Holbrook
22
      Chad Moreland
      Dustin Rector
23
      Steven Steele
      Scott Sawottke
24
      Suzanne Mead
      James Miller
25
      John Boyer
```

1	PUBLIC COMMENT SPEAKERS:
2	Richard Young
3	Ryan Williams Gary Spears
4	Jeff Montgomery Sandy Bahr
5	Mary-Jeanne Fincher Nelson Morgan
	Desiree Perez
6	Paul Brierley Antonio Silva
7	Roy Morales Doris Hinton
8	Judith Simons Donna Kruck
9	Priscilla Mckerracher
10	Brandy Reese Sarah Fanning
11	Alex Forbes Marisela Mares
12	Alison Porter Elizabeth Bernstein
13	Eric Morgan Michael Martinez
	Salil Deshpande
14	Mary Guadio
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

P R O C E E D I N G S

THE INTERPRETER: Hi. My name is Brenda

Lopez. I'm a Spanish interpreter. I will be

available. Please let me know if you need an

interpreter. Lori will be sending the phone number

that you can dial into, and I will available on the

number.

(Speaking in foreign language.)

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you, Brenda.

And good afternoon, everyone. I will send it over to the chair of the meeting, Commissioner Lerner.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Thank you all for being here at our last virtual meeting for the Independent Redistricting Commission, last public hearing.

I now call to order the Virtual Town Hall

Metro Phoenix Public Hearing, and just as a reminder we
do have one more in-person meeting tomorrow in

Tucson -- I'll remind you again at the end of the

meeting -- Tucson and Maryvale.

Staff is now going to describe the format of the meeting, and I want to also let you know that there is a transcriptionist who will be recording every word. Please speak slowly and clearly so we have a clear record of your input.

1 And at this point we will introduce ourselves. 2 I am Shereen Lerner, one of the Commissioners of the Independent Redistricting Commission. I am in Maricopa 3 County. 5 I'll pass it on to my fellow Commissioner. VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Good afternoon. 6 Derrick Watchman, Vice Chairman of the Commission, and 7 8 I represent Apache County. 9 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Hello. I'm David Mehle. I'm a Commissioner from Pima County. I live in Tucson. 10 COMMISSIONER LERNER: And I think that's it 11 12 for now. Is that correct, Lori? 13 MS. VAN HAREN: I believe the Chairwoman is 14 trying to log in right now, if we could just take a minute and allow her to get onto the Webex. 15 16 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Absolutely. 17 MS. VAN HAREN: I can go ahead and read the 18 rules of the meeting while she's trying to log in and 19 explain the format of the meeting. 20 We have two hours and 60 speakers. When your 2.1 name is called you will be sent a request to unmute 22 yourself. Three speakers will be unmuted at a time. 23 Please do not begin until your name is actually called 24 by name. In the chat box we have listed all of the

speakers. If the speaker is not logged in under the

25

name he or she is registered, we will not be able to unmute you. We will be calling the speakers in order, skipping anyone who is not logged in or who does not reply to the request to unmute. We will try to return to anyone we missed.

2.1

In compliance with Arizona's open meeting laws, speakers should confine their statements to the issue on the posted agenda which is before the Commission. Speakers are also requested to limit their comments to approximately two minutes. The two minutes will be strictly enforced for all speakers.

Additionally, speakers are required to follow proper decorum. Speakers must use appropriate language. Foul and/or abusive language will not be tolerated. Any speaker failing to follow proper decorum or any other guidelines may be asked to leave. Any breach of the peace or disruption of public hearing may be cause of report to law enforcement.

If someone has already expressed the same sentiments you wish to express, you may say so, and your comments will be recorded.

Opposing viewpoints may be expressed by the citizens present. As a courtesy, citizens are reminded to address their comments to the Chair and the Commission and not to the audience present. Please

```
1
      show respect for all speakers and avoid personal
2
      comments. Remember, the Commission must hear all sides
      of an issue to make an informed decision.
3
               We will begin public comment as soon as we
      can, just make sure that the Chairwoman can log in, if
 5
     we can take just a few minute recess.
 6
 7
               COMMISSIONER LERNER: If we could post the
8
      speakers that you mentioned that might be helpful for
9
      folks.
               MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. We will -- we will post
10
11
      that list as soon as we get the Chairwoman in.
12
               Okay. I believe that Erika -- the Chairwoman
13
     has joined. Is that correct?
14
               CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Yes. I am on the line.
15
      I apologize. For whatever reason my Webex is not
16
     working at home.
17
               MS. VAN HAREN: We've had some technical
18
      issues with it this morning, Chairwoman, so I
19
      apologize, too.
20
               So we will go ahead and get started with our
      first speaker, who is Patty I believe Villavenu.
2.1
22
               COMMISSIONER LERNER: Could we have the
23
     Chairwoman just introduce herself?
24
               MS. VAN HAREN: I apologize.
25
               COMMISSIONER LERNER: She didn't have a chance
```

1 to do that. The rest of us have. 2 Chairwoman. 3 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. Hello, everyone. I'm Chairwoman Erika Neuberg. I am the registered 4 Independent, and I live in Chandler. And, again, my 5 apologies for being tardy and only being on the phone, 6 7 but I look forward to everybody's feedback. COMMISSIONER LERNER: Now we can move forward 8 with -- Lori will call out the names. 9 Thank you. 10 MS. VAN HAREN: The first speaker will be 11 Patty Villenueve. 12 Patty, can you hear us? 13 MS. VILLENUEVE: Yes, I can. 14 MS. VAN HAREN: Please proceed. 15 MS. VILLENUEVE: Thank you for having me speak today. I know, Shereen, you were able to give us a 16 17 brief overview with the East Valley Chamber of Commerce 18 Alliance a few weeks back, so I appreciate that. 19 And I just wanted to just remind folks that 20 when they're -- with the maps and redistricting and 2.1 whatnot just to be mindful of the fact that with the 22 new maps that have been created for District 6, 23 Congressman -- Congress -- Congressional District 6, 24 it's such a wide area now and to be aware of economics, 25 socioeconomic differences between folks.

priorities and things that are important to people are extremely different. You know, in the past it's been similar, like-minded communities, you know, Fountain Hills, Carefree, Cave Creek, you know, North Scottsdale, that kind of thing. So just, again, to be mindful of that, the differences of the needs of the folks that are going to be represented in these districts as they're remapped.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

Our next speaker is Cate Barker.

Cate, can you hear us?

MS. BARKER: I sure can. Can you hear me?

MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Thank you. Please

proceed.

2.1

MS. BARKER: Good morning. So redistricting, as far as I understand it, is to create equitable voting districts. As a resident of Ahwatukee I believe Map LD 0061 does this by creating East Valley districts with roughly equal populations, by keeping the Latino communities of interest together in LDs 9 and 13, by drawing LD districts in relatively rectangular shapes, and including districts dedicated to all of Mesa and all of Gilbert. Map LD 0061 also splits Chandler, Gilbert, Tempe, and Scottsdale into only two districts, minimizing the splits there, and it splits Mesa into

only three districts.

2.1

In addition, it creates two more competitive districts by moving the Sun Lakes community from LD 13 into LD 12.

Thank you so much for your work on this important project.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

Our next speaker is Steve Hetsler.

Steve, can you hear us?

MR. HETSLER: Yes. Can you hear me?

MS. VAN HAREN: Please proceed.

MR. HETSLER: Okay. Hi. I'm Steve Hetsler from Gold Canyon. I will focus on the need to not let competitiveness override the five Constitutional requirements. You know what the Constitution says, and you'll either heed it or disregard, regardless of the political pressures.

You know you shouldn't be splitting districts and school districts in half or tying urban communities into far-flung rural maps, the situation none of the Commissioners are putting themselves into. I pick my battles, and I'm glad to see that Apache Junction is united in Congressional District 5. A five-mile shift east to include 10,000 residents of Gold Canyon would extend their proper community of interest. If changes

to CD 5 were made the adjustment could at least offset the damage from the legislative redistricting. I'm not looking for a perfect escape from the LD 7 mess, but realize that compromise must begin from a reasonable starting point, which this map isn't.

2.1

I supported the five plus eastern plan because it was mutually beneficial with respect to both the size of the urban and rural divide. I know there is a lot of concerns in Gilbert and other East Valley cities that demand corrections. In that process I could hope that those situations could find room to include Apache Junction and Gold Canyon into the metro area they are part of.

This Commission was formed to solve a perceived problem and increase trust in government. Show that the process works by respecting compactness, integrity -- contiguity, and communities of interest that was entrusted to you as in the -- as spelled out in the language of the Prop 106 that the voters trusted you with. Thank you.

MS. VAN HAREN: The next speaker is Rennae Ward.

Rennae, can you hear us?

MS. WARD: Yes, I can.

MS. VAN HAREN: Please proceed.

MS. WARD: Okay. Good morning. Thank you to the Commissioners for your time and allowing me the opportunity to speak. My name is Rennae Ward, and I live in the area of Vistancia in northern Peoria. This is one of the fastest-growing areas of Arizona. Thousands of homes have been built in Vistancia in the five years I've lived here. It is proposed to move us into LD 28. The City of Peoria's website indicates there are plans in the works to build an additional 10,000 homes in and around Vistancia master planned community. There is construction well under way at the intersection of I-17 and Loop 303 for a semiconductor plant which will employee two to three thousand people. This will bring additional jobs in the way of commercial activities to support the project.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

I want to address our inclusion in Legislative District 28. Most of that district is very rural and includes the town of Wickenburg. Vistancia and the surrounding area is far from rural. We are a suburb of Phoenix, just like the rest of Peoria and Glendale. We have little in common with the people in these rural areas. We are not farmers or ranchers, nor do we have stores that cater to farmers and ranchers. We are suburbanites. We shop locally in our area. Our children attend local schools, and we work in and

1 around Vistancia. Most of us do not socialize with the 2 rural area population. We should not be separated from the rest of Peoria in our city government and 3 resources. We should be included with other suburban areas. We will not receive the kind of attention --5 6 MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you. 7 Our next speaker is Rivko Knox. 8 Rivko, can you hear us? 9 It looks like he had an issue. We'll come back to him. 10 11 The next speaker is Jane Rhodes. 12 Jane, can you hear us? 13 MS. RHODES: Yes, I can. Can you hear me? 14 MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed. 15 MS. RHODES: My name is Jane Rhodes. 16 husband and I have lived in Cave Creek for the past 17 17 years, and I have to say that we are stunned with 18 the results of your work on both the micro and macro 19 scale. 20 First the micro. You separated the Cave Creek 2.1 area from Yavapai County, which is great, but then you 22 put us in a new LD 3, which is actually far worse. 23 fact, I see this new district as a failure on four of 24 your six criteria.

Number 1, it pretty much ruins our community

25

of interest, which identifies with the greater Phoenix metropolitan area and not in any way with the rugged wilderness to our east that's the new LD 3.

2.1

Number 2, it's compact and contiguous only if you know nothing about the area this covers.

Number 3, it presents huge geographical barriers between us and the rest of this LD, including significant mountain ranges and virtually no roads, literally, between us and anywhere else in this district.

And Number 4, LD 3, the new LD 3 is totally uncompetitive. I mean, there is a 20 percent spread between the number of Republican and Democratic voters, which leads me to my macro concern.

You have made 24 of the 30 districts safe, meaning more competitive, yet your mission says that one person, one vote district dictates that districts are roughly equal in population, but really one person, one vote dictates more than that. It means making as many districts as possible competitive, the opposite of creating a collection of safe districts. The practical effect of your current approach is to take away from every single minority voter the right to a meaningful vote. It makes a mockery of one person, one vote, and the net result is an outright bias in favor of one

1 party. 2 In closing, I have two asks. Number one, 3 please --MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you. Our next speaker is Rivko Knox. 5 Rivko, can you hear us? 6 7 MS. KNOX: Yes. And can you hear me? 8 MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Thank you. 9 MS. KNOX: My name is Rivko. 10 THE ASL INTERPRETER: This is Tiffany, the 11 sign language interpreter. I've been sending messages 12 for my team to be promoted to be able to start 13 interpreting, but no one is responding to us for about 14 20 minutes. Thank you. 15 MS. KNOX: Thank you. My name is -- my name is Rivko Knox. 16 17 been a registered voter in Phoenix since 1966 when I 18 moved here. I've lived and voted in six different 19 districts during that time, all while living in the 20 same house near I-17 and Thunderbird. Because I've 2.1 seen so many changes in the area in and around where I 22 live, I've come to realize that my community of 23 interest lies with those who share my values and views 24 of the role of the public sector versus a local

shopping center, school, industry or even city

25

boundary, all of which have changed several times since I moved in. My values require a public sector that ensures a well-funded public school system, meets the needs of all students, protects the environment in which we all live with clean and air water, protects our natural resources, Native lands and heritage areas, provides health care to all, including mental health, and protects the most vulnerable.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

The best way to create maps that will allow the voice of my community to be heard is to comply with the language and the act that created the IRC to create fair and competitive maps that also protect the rights of minority communities. Although I'm a very active member of my political party, I am not asking the IRC to create more districts that advantage my party. is also one reason why I'm quite opposed to the draft map adopted by the IRC, especially regarding the lines drawn of the southern part of the state that appear to reflect comments, opinions relating to the supposed political views of people in different areas who deserve to elect someone who shares their views. To me this violates the spirit of the letter of the IRC mandate.

Why the focus on competitive maps? Because history backed by research shows that such increased

1 voter turnout among people of all ages, races, ethnic 2 groups, and all parties and among Independents. believe the bedrock of our democracy is the right of 3 each citizen to vote and a process that empowers them to do so. Also, competitive districts attract 5 candidates who are committed to serving all those in 6 7 their district. 8 MS. VAN HAREN: The next speaker is Elysa 9 Daniels. 10 Elysa, can you hear us? 11 MS. DANIELS: Yes. Can you hear me? 12 MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed. 13 MS. DANIELS: My name is Elysa Daniels. I've 14 lived and voted in Carefree for 35 years. 15 My comments are on the Legislative Draft Map 16 Number 10, specifically the LD Number 3 that you've 17 created. It does not fulfill compactness, 18 competitiveness, our communities of interest. 19 appreciate that you've taken us out of Yavapai County, 20 but now you've put us into an area that is not anything 2.1 like us. The part of -- the east part of that map 22 doesn't have anything to do with -- they're very rural. 23 We're very suburban. The problem has a remedy. 24 community of interest runs south towards Phoenix, not 25 west of I-17 or east of the McDowell Mountains.

1 propose extending the two sides of the current LD 1 2 Maricopa south towards Phoenix along the Tatum/Cave Creek corridor. This creates an LD that fulfills the 3 three Cs, compactness, community of interest, and competitiveness, and is geography. It also improves 5 the vote spread from the current imbalance of 6 7 20 percent down to a 4.7 percent. 8 The political extremism we all witness is 9 exacerbated by unbalanced districts that allow 10 politicians to cater to only one side of the political 11 spectrum. Balanced districts favor moderate candidates

who must compete for voters from both parties. We want

politicians that can focus on critical issues. I would

14 like to see the IRC aid in lowering the highly partisan

temperature and improve the political discourse in this

state by creating more politically balanced districts.

This can be your legacy.

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Thank you for listening.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

The next speaker is Annarose Lilly.

Annarose, can you hear us?

MS. LILLY: I can hear you. Can you hear me?

MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed.

MS. LILLY: I'm Annarose Lilly, a resident of Maricopa County for ten years, currently in Sun City.

Although officially retired, I'm a licensed Arizona realtor and hold a project management professional certification.

2.1

First, let me say at the legislative district level combining the Sun Cities into LD 29 is a step in the right direction. Thank you. The problem for my community, which is an urban senior population, lies more at the congressional district level. CD 9 as it is drawn is neither compact, nor does it honor highly disparate communities of interest. It appears that CD 9's shortcomings start with the lines drawn for CD 2. The CD 9 map bypasses Yavapai County, most notably the population of Prescott, to create an urban population up to 500 miles away.

In its current configuration 75 percent of CD 9's population reside in urban Maricopa County, my community, which shares none of the policy, lifestyle, or cultural priorities of those living in rural Mohave County. Is it really necessary to comingle a clearly urban community with a rural population? Wouldn't Prescott be a far more compatible population for CD 9 than that of urban Sun City and Maricopa County? Certainly the IRC can modify CD 9 for greater compactness, and most importantly, to combine more relatable communities of interest. And for my

community, that portion of Maricopa County that contributes 75 percent to the proposed CD 9, isn't it possible in a county of 4.5 million people to situate us in a district with more like-minded communities?

2.1

Thank you for this opportunity. It's my sincere hope that the revised maps will address the issues of compactness for CD 9 and a reasonable alignment of communities of interest. This is imperative for maps that will affect us for the next ten years.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

Our next speaker is Melissa Forbes.

Melissa, can you hear us? Yes. Please proceed.

MS. FORBES: Thank you.

Hello, and thank you so much for allowing me to speak today. My name is Melissa. I've lived in Arizona for five years. I'm a small business owner and an active member of my Central Phoenix community. I'm also a long-time volunteer and mentor to a group home of teens near downtown Phoenix.

In my five years here I've seen firsthand the power of competitive districts. Whenever I submit comments to my reps on issues of importance to me and my neighbors, I receive fast and clear responses,

1 sometimes even phone calls. My community is changing, 2 and I want to make sure that this community, everyone who lives in it, especially those with histories of 3 underrepresentation like many of the girls that I mentor, have an equal chance to select the 5 representative that reflects their needs. 6 7 So my comments are on a more macro level. 8 asking that, you know, because competition is so 9 critical to a functional democracy that we look at our 10 maps as currently drawn and ensure that they are drawn 11 in a competitive way that ensures that all 12 representatives are working hard to have a moderate, 13 less extreme view and really represent everybody within 14 their communities. I urge you to redraw the maps with 15 balance and competition in mind. 16 Thank you for your hard work and your time on 17 this important effort. 18 MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you. 19 The next speaker is Andrea Genette. 20 Andrea, can you hear us? 2.1 MS. GENETTE: Yes. Can you hear me? 22 MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed. 23 MS. GENETTE: Good afternoon, Chairwoman and 24 members of the Independent Redistricting Commission. 25 My name is Andrea Genette. My spouse and I built our

home in Carefree and have lived here for 25 years.

Thank you for listening to citizens as we participate
in the process toward fulfilling the goal of Prop 106,

creating fair and balanced districts to make Arizona a

5 more truly representative republic.

2.1

I am speaking to Map 10.0 and what is proposed for my state district, LD 3. It does not meet the important criteria of geographic barriers, communities of interest, nor competitiveness. I'm glad we've been disincluded with Yavapai County, but the draft to draw us into a district with another equally distant, rural, and non-COI area makes the same mistake in a different direction. My community of interest for 25 years has been to the south of Carefree and Cave Creek along the Cave Creek and Tatum corridors. We are a suburb of Phoenix. It is where we shop and take care of our health, live our lives, have common interests and civic issues, not in rural areas to the east.

Along with this redrawn comes a 20.42 competitive advantage to one party over another, almost triple the top end of 7 percent set by the Commission for new districts. The 7 percent was set for a good reason. Competitiveness keeps a representative republic thriving. It keeps those elected responsive to the constituencies they represent. I have lately

1 watched a narrow, narrow majority in the state Senate 2 and House run roughshod over by one or two state minority these past several years. This mode of 3 operating does not represent the population of Arizona in 2021, which is much more evenly split. 5 The Independent Redistricting Commission has a 6 7 rare and crucial opportunity to positively impact the 8 fairness of representation and the tenor of politics in 9 this state. I urge you to consider this possibility 10 and responsibility in your work, and particularly in 11 LD 3. Thank you. 12 MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you. 13 Our next speaker is Gina McCracken. Gina, can you hear us? 14 15 MS. MCCRACKEN: Can you hear me? 16 MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed. 17 MS. MCCRACKEN: Okay. My name is Gina 18 McCracken, and I live with my husband. I'm near 60th 19

McCracken, and I live with my husband. I'm near 60th Street north of Thomas in Scottsdale. And we, my husband and I, strongly desire to be in a congressional district where our neighborhood in Scottsdale will not be added into the Central Phoenix community. Thank you.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

The next speaker is Valeria Urquiza.

1 Valeria, are you here? 2 MS. URQUIZA: Yes. Hello? Can you hear me? 3 MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed. MS. URQUIZA: Hi. My name is Valeria Urquiza. 4 I was born and raised here in Phoenix, Arizona. 5 house costs forced my family and many others to move 6 7 from the central city where I grew up to the Maryvale 8 area, and so housing costs continue to rise, while the Latino community and others continue to experience a 9 10 lack of resources and unrest in our communities. 11 Latino population in Maricopa County has grown 12 significantly since the last redistricting and 13 continues to do so -- sorry. And continues to do so. A growing community should have the opportunity to 14 15 flourish and representatives in office that understand 16 our issues. I am asking the IRC to create five 17 majority Latino districts in Maricopa County so that my 18 community can be properly represented. 19 Thank you for your time. 20 MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you. 2.1 Our next speaker is Rhoda Gambler. 22 Rhoda, can you hear us? 23 MS. GAMBLER: Yes, I can. Thank you. 24 Good afternoon. My name is Rhoda, and I live 25 in the San Tan Valley. I wanted to speak in favor of

1 the maps LD 0061. In general, I do --2 MS. VAN HAREN: Uh-oh, Rhoda. We lost your sound. Rhoda, can you hear us? Oh, there. You're 3 back. Start over. Okay. I paused for time. You're 5 good. 6 MS. GAMBLER: Okay. Thank you. I live in the San Tan Valley, and I wanted to 7 speak in favor of the LD 0061. I feel like it does a 8 9 great job of keeping communities together. Living in the San Tan I don't feel we have as much in common with 10 11 Apache Junction as in the proposed maps, but I do agree 12 how LD 0061 connects us with areas of like-minded families. 13 14 Thank you for your time and the work that 15 you're doing. 16 MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you. 17 Our next -- thank you. Our next speaker is 18 Kellen Wilson. 19 MS. WILSON: Can you hear me? 20 MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed. 2.1 MS. WILSON: Thank you so much. 22 My name is Kellen Wilson. I have two young 23 kids, and I live at the corner of 7th Street and 24 Glendale. This is very near the border of the proposed 25 Districts 1 and 2. I wanted to say that I do like the

fact that you keep the North Mountain preserve together by keeping the Sunnyslope -- by keeping Sunnyslope in District 2. And as a mother of two, I deeply care about my children's education, and I hope that you consider making the Washington School District as whole as possible.

2.1

On the congressional maps, I am currently in District 1, and as many have spoken to, I feel like there are a lot of differences between where I live and some of the more rural areas of the district very far north. I recommend making a district that connects Central and East Phoenix along with the mountain preserves and then allows District 8 to become a more rural district outside of the urban areas. I feel this would satisfy both folks like myself that live in the city as well as the folks that live in the northern areas like Cave Creek and Fountain Hills.

Thank you for your time.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

Our next speaker is Lawrence Warren.

Laurence, can you hear us?

MR. WARREN: Can you hear me?

MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed.

MR. WARREN: Okay, great.

Good afternoon, Commissioners. My name is

Lawrence Warren. I live in Gilbert. I would first like to say that Gilbert is a family-oriented city with a small town feel, and it has a tight-knit group of people who live and work together. As a whole we are a community of similar interests. The proposed treatment for Gilbert for a legislative district is to break it into five separate pieces and attach those pieces to other areas that do not have the same community of interest. This goes against one of the core principles of redistricting that says to have legislative districts that form a community of interest. As it is now, you are breaking up that community of interest, and another one that it specifies, compactness. This creates difficulties in creating balance with so many different cities, school districts, other varieties of interest, leaving voters to vote on issues for which they have no knowledge, interest or understanding, failing the input of putting issues to a vote.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

One of the -- speaking about congressional districts, one of five proposed pieces -- excuse me.

I'm going back. One of five proposed pieces of Gilbert would extend across Chandler and Ahwatukee for a distance of 30 miles. Another proposal is to take a very small piece of Gilbert, attach it to a large piece of Mesa. Our Heritage District is split off, attached

```
1
     to another area that is largely foreign to the Heritage
2
     District and Gilbert as a whole. These wholesale
      changes to Gilbert do not agree with the Commission's
3
     mandate of district shape, geological -- geographical
      features and respect the communities of interest.
5
     Gilbert is the only city that is being divided into
6
7
      five pieces. This does not give Gilbert an even chance
8
     at fair representation.
9
               Regarding congressional districts, I also ask
10
      to keep Gilbert in one county and not extend it into
11
      Pinal County nor connect it to much rural areas.
12
     previously had lived in Apache County. I know -- I'm
13
     quite familiar with that lifestyle. It is completely
14
     different than Gilbert, so I ask that you keep us in
15
      areas of similar --
16
               MS. VAN HAREN:
                                Thank you.
17
               The next speaker is Gina McCracken.
18
               Gina, can you hear?
19
               MS. MCCRACKEN:
                               I already spoke.
20
               MS. VAN HAREN:
                               Oh, I'm sorry.
2.1
               Our next speaker -- our next speaker is
22
      Stephanie Holbrook.
23
               Stephanie, can you hear us?
24
               MS. HOLBROOK: Thank you for allowing me to
25
              I live at 19th Avenue and Beardsley in Peoria.
      speak.
```

1 Can you hear me? 2 MS. VAN HAREN: I'm so sorry. Please proceed. MS. HOLBROOK: Okay. I am referring to the 3 projected LD 28 map, and I would like to concur with 4 the lady from the Vistancia who was speaking. A large 5 part of the map does include urban areas that we have 6 7 similar shopping and that sort of thing, but the -- out 8 to the west, Wickenburg and all the way to the county 9 line, that's a rural area. We do not have the same similar of interest. 10 11 There is a 30-point spread in the 12 competitiveness, which is -- does not comply with the 13 mandates, and we have a -- I feel like that there were 14 all these other areas they were trying to fit in and 15 they just shoved everything else into 28, because it's 16 a pretty large district with a very small part that is 17 urban and the rest is very rural. 18 So thank you for my consideration. 19 appreciate it. 20 MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you. 2.1 The next speaker is Chad Moreland. 22 Chad? 23 Oh, and before Chad speaks I just want to 24 remind everybody if we can't see you or if you're not

registered under the name that you chose then we're

25

going to the next person on the list. If we see that somebody has logged in from the beginning of the list then we'll go back and get that person, so even though there is a list of names that may not necessarily be the order because logistically we're just making sure that we get everybody who is logged in under the name we have and can unmute themselves.

So go ahead, Chad.

2.1

MR. MORELAND: Yes. My name is Chad Moreland. I live in the proposed District 27, which is the current Legislative District 22. And I am a tech worker. I work remote from home. And there is a proposed Taiwan Semiconductor that's looking at 10 to 15,000 people moving in in the next few years that will be inside the Loop 303, west of the I-17. And I propose that that previous part that was LD 22 in that northern region should not be isolated with the remote areas outside the Loop 303 for District 28, that it should stay with the suburban area.

And I also dispute this idea of competitive districts as it proves to divide communities instead of, you know, unite them in like ideologies and similarities. I believe that we should look at dividing these groups into their like-minded communities so they can get the representation that

```
1
      they deserve and not have to constantly fight for it.
2
      Thank you.
 3
               MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.
               Our next speaker is Dustin Rector.
 4
               Dustin, can you hear us?
 5
               MR. RECTOR: Yes. My name is Dustin Rector.
 6
7
      I'm with the White Mountain Apache tribe. Majority of
8
     my family lives in Legislative District (audio
9
     distortion) Arizona. On behalf of the 12,000 --
10
               MS. VAN HAREN: Dustin, I hate to interrupt,
11
     but you're -- Dustin?
12
               MR. RECTOR: Is my audio okay?
13
               MS. VAN HAREN: Okay. Now it's better.
                                                         I'm
14
      sorry. I paused your time. Please proceed. Yes, go
15
      ahead.
16
               MR. RECTOR: Okay. On behalf of the 12,000
17
     members of the White Mountain tribe (audio distortion)
18
      separate the community of interest tribal members
19
      (audio distortion).
20
               MS. VAN HAREN: Dustin, I apologize.
                                                      Your
2.1
      audio is going in and out. Dustin, why don't you log
22
      out and log back in and we'll call you next. We can't
23
     hear you.
24
               MR. RECTOR: Gotcha. Thank you.
25
               MS. VAN HAREN: Our next speaker is Steven
```

1 Steele. 2 Steven, can you hear us? Steven? 3 MR. STEELE: Okay. Now I'm online. My name is Steven Steele. I am a resident of 4 Gilbert, Arizona. I am just going to strictly cut to 5 6 the chase today. I would highly urge the Commission to 7 look at CD 0071 when considering the congressional 8 districts. And most and more importantly, the draft 9 map for the legislative districts divides my community 10 into five different legislative districts. I would 11 really highly encourage you to take a look at LD 0064 12 as submitted by Aiden Young on November 22nd. This map 13 does a much better job of aligning Gilbert with its own community and its interests and puts us into one 14 15 legislative district. 16 And, really, that's all I have to comment on today, and thank you very much for your time and your 17 18 efforts to sort this all out for the next ten years. 19 MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you. 20 Our next speaker is Scott Sawottke. 2.1 Scott, can you hear us? 22 MR. SAWOTTKE: Yes. Can you hear me? 23 MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed. 24 MR. SAWOTTKE: Can you hear me? Okay. Thank 25 you.

Thank you for the time today. I appreciate Thank you for your efforts. My concern is by the number of noncompetitive districts that have been formed. One of the big dangers I see in having noncompetitive districts, whether they're all Republican or all Democrat, is that many voters in those districts will start to assume that their district will vote a certain way, and they won't bother to vote. While low turnout may not affect whether that district goes Democrat or Republican in a national race, low turnout could have a very negative effect on down ballot races or referendums. Competitive districts increase voter turnout as well as making more voters pay attention to who is running for office and what the different candidates' stances are on the issues.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

I would like to see the districts refigured to where we have mostly competitive districts, not several solid Democrat and several solid Republican districts with only a few competitive districts. It's true that the redistricting map may end up looking like a complicated jigsaw puzzle, but the purpose of redistricting is to encourage participation in our democracy, and judging by the number of noncompetitive districts that have been formed I think that is in

1 danger. 2 Thank you for your time. 3 MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you. It looks like Dustin has logged back in and is 4 5 unmuted. Dustin, can you hear us now? 6 7 MR. RECTOR: Yes. How much time do I have? MS. VAN HAREN: We'll start over your two 8 9 minutes because we didn't hear any of your audio, okay? 10 So go ahead and please proceed. 11 MR. RECTOR: Yes. So my name (audio 12 distortion) Rector. I'm a member of the White Mountain 13 Apache tribe. (Audio distortion). On behalf of the 14 (audio distortion). 15 MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you. 16 Our next speaker is Suzanne Mead. 17 Suzanne, can you hear us? 18 Please proceed. Yes. 19 MS. MEAD: Good afternoon. I'm Suzanne from 20 Carefree. As reported recently in the Arizona 2.1 Republic, Chair Neuberg says the law has shown you can 22 decrease competitiveness and contiquousness for a good 23 I suggest that the devil is in the definition 24 of good reason. The public deserves to know how the 25 Commission arrives at a decision that will affect

millions of voters in the next ten years. To date the Chair has given her seal of approval to many districts in the draft plans, saying that they satisfy all six criteria when in fact on the surface they do not. It would be helpful if the Chair would explain how exceedingly large vote spreads, for instance, or splitting some COIs and not others pass the Constitutional test. It would also be useful to understand why politically balanced districts that would improve the tenor of political discourse in our communities are not considered equally important as communities of interest.

2.1

In a June presentation to the League of Women Voters the Chair expressed a concern about partisanship and tension in the country. She pledged to fight for democratic principles and to completely consider all six criteria. She also pledged to advance through redistricting one person, one vote. Mapping 24 safe districts defies these goals and leaves a million Arizonans with little hope of having their votes count. I urge the Chair and the Commission to assess all criteria equally to ensure competitiveness in more districts and to explain in depth why some criteria can be compromised in favor of others.

In the past several months many Democrats and

1 Republicans have requested fairer districts. They 2 should not be ignored. The Commissioners pledged to faithfully and impartially discharge the duties of 3 office. Sharing the decision-making process will go a long way to assure the public that this Commission 5 intends to serve the best interests of a much broader 6 7 public. 8 Thank you, and good luck with your 9 deliberations. 10 MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you. 11 Our next speaker is James Miller. 12 James, can you hear us? James, can you hear 13 us? MR. MILLER: Are you asking for James Miller? 14 15 MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. James, please proceed. 16 MR. MILLER: Thank you. 17 Yes. Hello. I'm James Miller. I live in 18 Peoria, Maricopa County. My testimony is not related 19 to specific districts, but rather the overall 20 redistricting process. In this hearing and past 2.1 hearings I've heard a repetitive theme begging for 22 competitiveness in the political subdivisions, to the 23 exclusion and importance of the other Constitutional 24 requirements for those subdivisions. It's important 25 for the districts to be structured so that if possible

1 they can be awarded by the voters to candidates who 2 (indiscernible) political party. However, "if possible" is the key phrase here. Often, and perhaps 3 usually, districts that are set up with the other four requirements cannot easily or reasonably be forced to 5 be competitive through the demographics of the area. 6 7 In order to force this competitiveness the other 8 equally important requirements must be sacrificed, and 9 the usual method of accomplishing this is the use of 10 the time-dishonored practice of gerrymandering. 11 Since the IRC was set up by the role of the 12 voters to expressly avoid gerrymandering, it seems 13 forcing competitiveness at the expense of the other 14 requirements is working against the will of the people 15 and also is likely an unconstitutional and unethical 16 end of the bargain. Please don't take this structured 17 and repeated song as the will of the people, because 18 this seems it most certainly is not. 19 Thank you for your work and for your attention 20 to our comments. 2.1 MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you. 22 Our next speaker is John Boyer. 23 John, can you hear us? 24 MR. BOYER: Yes, I can. Thank you. 25 My name is John Boyer. I'm a 74-year resident

1 of Arizona. I've lived in Mesa for 41 years. I have two main points that concern me after reviewing the 2 3 draft maps. I want to see districts that are representative of Arizona's diverse population, and importantly I want to see competitive districts in 5 Arizona. Competitive districts will encourage better 6 7 representation for represent -- excuse me, for the residents of that district, and it avoids -- districts 8 9 that are heavily weighted to one party or the other 10 tends to leave those in the -- in the minority party, 11 they leave them out of the process. They really have 12 no choice when there is only one candidate running. 13 I would also like to minimize splitting 14 cities, particularly the city of Mesa. It's split into five districts now. I think three would be better to 15 16 prevent diluting our voices, and I think Map LD 0061 17 shows that how this can be done well. 18 Thank you for your time, and I appreciate the 19 opportunity to testify. 20 MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you. 2.1 The next speaker is Richard Young. 22 Richard, can you hear us? 23 MR. YOUNG: Yes, I can hear you. Can you hear 24 me? 25 MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed.

1 MR. YOUNG: Okay. Thank you. I'm rather late to this process. 2 LD 12 in Gilbert -- I've learned most of this from my 3 neighbors -- I agree with the other two gentlemen from Gilbert in that it is a mistake to break up LD 12 into 5 6 five separate districts. It seems completely counter 7 to the direction that we need to be going with the local community. 8 9 I was in the university in the '60s and '70s and through that chaos, yet I've never seen this 10 11 country in more of a mess than it is right now. 12 of our institutions seem to be spiraling out of 13 control, and we need to protect our local communities. 14 LD 12 is a consistent community with shared values, and 15 it seems simply an act of destruction to break it into 16 five separate units. Please don't do that. 17 That's it. Thank you. 18 MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you. 19 Our next speaker is Ryan Williams. 20 Ryan, can you hear us? 2.1 I can. Can you hear me? MR. WILLIAMS: 22 MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed. 23 Okay. MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you. 24 Good afternoon, members of the Commission, 25 your staff, pardon me, and my fellow participants. Μy

```
1
     name is Ryan Williams. I was born and raised here in
2
      the Valley and I currently live and practice law in
     Midtown Phoenix. My comments this afternoon regard the
3
     Central Phoenix proposed Congressional District -- I
     believe it's Congressional District 3. The proposed
5
     map divides communities with shared interests,
6
7
     histories, and challenges, especially affordable
8
     housing and transportation, so I respectfully ask that
9
     this Commission consider adding the communities of
10
     Alhambra, South Glendale, Encanto, and Midtown into a
11
     Central Phoenix congressional district and take out the
12
      community and city of Avondale from the proposed
13
     district. These changes will create a congressional
14
     district that meets all of the criteria and legal
15
      requirements that this Commission must follow.
16
               Thank you very much for the opportunity to
      speak here this afternoon.
17
18
               MS. VAN HAREN:
                               Thank vou.
19
               Our next speaker is Gary Spears.
20
               Gary, can you hear us?
2.1
               MR. SPEARS: Can you hear me?
22
               MS. VAN HAREN:
                               Yes. Please proceed.
23
               MR. SPEARS:
                            I can. Can you hear me?
24
               Good afternoon, Commissioners. My name is --
25
               MS. VAN HAREN: Go ahead.
```

MR. SPEARS: My name is Gary Spears, and I'm the former chair of Legislative District 30 and have lived in El Caro precinct for more than a decade and a half now. First, let me thank you on behalf of Arizona for the hard work you're doing on redistricting.

2.1

To the point, Legislative District 30 is primarily west of I-17 and consists mostly of West Phoenix and Glendale, but for some reason the smaller sliver of area on the east side of I-17, including my precinct, was included in LD 30, which makes absolutely no sense. My precinct, El Caro, is on the east side of I-17. We consider ourselves part of Central Phoenix. We shop, eat, socialize, work, and play in Central Phoenix, not in West Phoenix or Glendale. I-17 is a physical, natural, tangible, and emotional barrier and boundary that is the obvious delineation between East and West Phoenix.

As chair of LD 30 I saw firsthand the disparities and differences between the east and the west sides of I-17. They have different concerns and issues that should be able -- and should be able to concentrate on fixing their unique circumstances, not split along boundary lines. The previous Commission did put us in Congressional District 9 as opposed to the rest of LD 30, who was in other congressional

```
1
     districts and should stay -- and we should stay in that
2
     Phoenix congressional district, Central Phoenix
      congressional district. The last draft maps I looked
3
     at had us in LD 26 instead of LD 1, but they still --
     but it's still primarily Glendale and still has that
5
6
      same split on the wrong side of I-17.
               In conclusion, please rectify this and include
7
8
     us with our community, not lumped in with the
9
      communities that we do not identify with nor have
10
      common --
11
               MS. VAN HAREN:
                               Thank you.
12
               The next speaker is Jeff Montgomery.
13
               Jeff, can you hear us?
               MR. MONTGOMERY: I can. Can you hear me?
14
15
               MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed.
16
               MR. MONTGOMERY:
                                Thank you.
17
               First of all, I want to thank you guys for
18
     holding these and for listening to us. I know you guys
19
     have quite -- quite the task ahead of you.
20
     have lived -- I was born and raised here in Arizona.
2.1
     Lived in -- born in Phoenix, lived in Mesa basically my
22
     entire life. I'm 41. I'm a precinct committeeman.
23
      I'm just get -- starting to get involved in all of this
24
      stuff and trying to wrap my head around this, and the
25
     new proposals that I've seen in many ways do not make
```

```
sense. I've studied the Constitution. I want to urge
1
2
      you guys to make sure you live by all six
3
     Constitutional points. That is extremely important.
     And I -- there is not a whole lot more that I can add
5
      so far. I agree with several people that have said,
6
      Scott, about competitiveness is important, but, also,
7
     all six have to be weighed evenly. I completely agree
8
     with Susan -- Suzanne Mead and James Miller, their
9
     comments. But I know you guys have a lot to think
10
      about so just -- you guys obviously are held
11
     accountable to God and to the people of this country
12
      so -- or this state, so just my prayers are with you
13
     guys, so I know you guys have a lot to do so thank you.
14
               MS. VAN HAREN:
                               Thank you.
15
               The next speaker is Sandy Bahr.
16
               Sandy, can you hear us?
17
               MS. BAHR:
                          I can. Can you hear me?
18
               MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed.
19
               MS. BAHR:
                          Thank you for the opportunity to
20
      speak today. My name is Sandy Bahr, and I'm the
2.1
      chapter director for Sierra Clubs Grand Canyon chapter.
22
      I live in an area -- why am I getting feedback?
23
      sorry.
               MS. VAN HAREN: Sandy, do you have another
24
25
      audio device on?
```

```
1
               MS. BAHR: No, I don't. I just have my -- I
2
      just have my computer.
 3
               MS. VAN HAREN: Okay. It sounds like we fixed
      it.
           Oh, wait. Let's mute.
 4
                          Should I try speaking again?
 5
               MS. BAHR:
 6
               (Audio distortion.)
7
               MS. VAN HAREN: Okay. There we go.
 8
               Sandy, I'm sorry. I paused your time.
9
      ahead and start again -- don't start again.
                                                   Start from
10
     where you were.
11
               MS. BAHR: Okay. Let me see if I can find
12
      that.
13
                     I live in Central Phoenix in the area
               Yes.
14
      that is part of proposed Legislative District 1, and I
15
     have -- Arizona has been my home for more than
16
      30 years, for more than 20 years in Central Phoenix and
17
     previously 13 years in Chandler.
18
               Sierra Club supported the Independent
19
     Redistricting Commission because we saw how the
20
      legislature had gerrymandered districts, and we
2.1
      expected that with each iteration of these districts
22
      there would be more and more competitive districts and
23
     more fair districts. That does not seem to be the case
24
     with this current round.
25
               And I also wanted to say we're concerned about
```

what looks like blatant gerrymandering around

Legislative District 17 and perhaps some others. This

district seems to be drawn specifically at the request

of the Republican Party. That's just wrong.

2.1

Also, we would like to see Latino representation not diluted in the West Valley of Maricopa County. We urge the Commission to take a good, hard look at the maps and to come back with more fair and competitive districts and to ensure that the voices and votes of indigenous people and people of color are not diluted in the process. Thank you.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

And because we actually have a lot of people who are not logged in we're going to just post the rest of the list of all of the people who have registered so if we get a chance at the end of this, at the end of the first list, we'll move on to that list, and that's the entire list of all of the people who registered.

Our next speaker right now is Donna Kruck.

Donna, we'll see if we can fix your audio issues. Donna, I think you have to log out of one of your devices.

MS. KRUCK: Hello. Can you hear me? (Audio distortion.)

MS. VAN HAREN: Donna, your devices are -- one

This transcript represents an unofficial record. Please consult the accompanying video for the official record of IRC proceedings.

```
1
     of your devices need to be logged off. Otherwise,
     we've got that echo.
2
               MS. KRUCK: I'm sorry. I don't know what to
3
     do.
               MS. VAN HAREN: Okay. Donna, we'll have
5
     you -- I would log out and log back in.
6
7
               We're moving on to Priscilla Mckerracher.
8
               Priscilla, can you hear us? Priscilla, we've
9
     sent you the request to unmute.
               I believe the next one will be Nora Walker.
10
11
               Nora, can you hear us?
12
               The next is Mary-Jeanne Fincher.
13
               Mary, can you hear us, Mary-Jeanne? Okay.
               MS. FINCHER: Hello?
14
15
               MS. VAN HAREN: We can hear you. Please
16
     proceed.
17
                             Thank you. I'm sorry. Can you
               MS. FINCHER:
18
     hear me? I'm having trouble hearing you.
19
               MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. We can hear you.
20
               MS. FINCHER: Okay. I'm so sorry.
2.1
               Hello. My name is Mary-Jeanne Fincher.
22
     you for giving all of us a chance to be heard today.
23
               I would like to comment on two Constitutional
24
     criteria, communities of interest and competitiveness.
25
     Much of the public input on communities of interest has
```

focused on personal activities such as dining, shopping, socializing, but is this relevant to which legislative or congressional district one lives in? The Commission should instead consider communities of interest that arise where residents are similarly affected by issues that can and should be addressed at the state and federal levels, like affordable and accessible health care, public education funding, current and future water needs, and adequate infrastructure.

2.1

Regarding competitiveness, according to the Commission's measures 24 to 30 legislative districts are not competitive. That's 80 percent. It's been suggested that one obstacle to having more competitive districts is that once VRA districts are created there aren't enough left-leaning voters remaining to increase competition in other districts, but your own measures show statewide the competitive vote spread is less than one percent, so it seems there are plenty of left-leaning voters to work with. And looking at the eight districts where the vote spread exceeds 40 percent, only four of them are VRA districts, and of those VRA districts three have a vote spread of more than 50 percent, which seems excessive.

It cannot be assumed that increased

competitiveness will cause significant detriment to the other Constitutional criteria. This needs to be tested and demonstrated. I urge the Commission to actively seek more competitiveness by asking your mapping experts --

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

2.1

The next speaker is Nelson Morgan, followed by Desiree Perez.

Nelson, can you hear us?

MR. MORGAN: Yes. Can you hear me?

MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed.

MR. MORGAN: Okay. Chair Neuberg and the other Commissioners, thank you for this forum. I'm Nelson Morgan. I'm the former director of the International Computer Sciences Institute. I'm now retired and living in Phoenix.

The public is submitting mountains of comments, both in meetings like this and in written form. It's got to be daunting for you to make any sense of so much testimony. Several volunteers and I have been trying to make the data more accessible by posting automatic speech recognition results online, but there is still too much material for anyone to draw clear conclusions without further analysis. Towards this end we are tracking key opinions on a few of the

major issues and will be making summary statistics available to you and the public hopefully before you get too deeply into your decision period.

2.1

My colleagues and I certainly have our own biases, like everyone else. To soften that effect we are providing pointers to times in the video for each opinion we are summarizing so that you and others can also draw your own conclusions. I hope that you and the public in general find this effort helpful.

Finally, I have a brief comment about the vote share figures you are using. For example, a number like 51.67 percent, the Democratic vote share in Legislative Draft Map District 2, does not necessarily indicate that much accuracy. Given the simplicity of the model used to derive this number, a simple average from nine hopefully relevant elections, it should be viewed as around 52 percent or so with a smudge of possible values around that number. In other words, a value like 51.67 percent is an estimate that really corresponds to a range of several percent around that value.

Thank you for your efforts. You certainly have taken on a massive responsibility.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I have a question before you hang up, please.

1 MR. MORGAN: Sure. 2 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Are you still there? 3 MR. MORGAN: I am. Can you hear me? CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: 4 Yes. 5 MR. MORGAN: Okay. 6 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: You know what, I'm 7 trying to remember what my question was about. 8 was your main point? 9 MR. MORGAN: The first main point was just we 10 are putting together some materials to help to 11 summarize some -- just a few of the issues. 12 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: That's exactly right. 13 How are you going to account for not knowing which people were paid to give public testimony and which 14 15 people weren't? It's extremely apparent, and in fact 16 we exposed it live, not everybody who reads comments 17 know what they're talking about, so how do we know that 18 data is actually valid? 19 MR. MORGAN: Well, we can't cover all the information. We're not doing investigative reporting. 20 2.1 But what we're doing is just providing you the 22 information and then with links back to who said it and 23 exactly when in the video so anybody can go and follow 24 that up.

Okay.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG:

25

1 MR. MORGAN: That's really all we can do in 2 limited time. CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Right, right. 3 I quess my point is for the public to be a little cautious in 4 interpreting that data because we're all aware that 5 6 it's not a representative sample. First of all, people 7 who are capable of coming out and speaking -- we're 8 equally as responsible for understanding those who 9 doesn't speak. And, also, we are aware of many efforts 10 to bus people in and people on all sides being coached 11 and trained, and so we need to be just judicious in 12 interpreting that, so thank you very much. 13 Sure. I mean, I think part of MR. MORGAN: the idea is that there are typical comments that -- for 14 15 people to look at, which just helps to summarize it. 16 mean, you can draw your own conclusions. 17 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Thank you very much. 18 MS. VAN HAREN: The next speaker is Desiree 19 Perez. 20 Yes. Please proceed. 2.1 MS. PEREZ: Thank you. 22 My name is Desiree Perez. I am a resident of 23 Bellemont, Arizona. Not being paid to testify today. 24 I have concern around the proposed inclusion of Bellemont in proposed District 7 because we're an 25

unincorporated township in Coconino County, and we really do rely on the city of Flagstaff for our services. Our public libraries are in the city of Flagstaff. Our grocery stores are in the city of Flagstaff. All of our access to schools is in the city of Flagstaff. Children who live in Bellemont are part of the Flagstaff Unified School District, and yet we are being separated from the legislative district that Flagstaff would be governed under. So my concern is that state-level policies that will affect essentially our city will -- we will not have a voice in those if we're living in Bellemont in District 7.

2.1

We are even separated by the I-40 and the Mogollon Rim from most of what is drawn into the proposed District 7, so not only are we separating school districts; we're separating people from public services like libraries, but we are also separated by geographical landmarks and other kind of geographical regions from what is the rest of proposed District 7.

I know that competitiveness has been mentioned before. I do think that there are a lot of more conservative-leaning folks here in Bellemont, and it looks like, you know, Bellemont might be cut out because of the political leanings of some folks in Bellemont, but I think it would be more competitive if

we were included in District 6. And I want to really urge folks on the committee to consider Bellemont's close ties to Flagstaff and how we may be affected if we are in a completely separate --

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

The next speaker is Paul Brierley.

Paul, can you hear us?

2.1

MR. BRIERLEY: I can. Thank you.

MS. VAN HAREN: Please proceed.

MR. BRIERLEY: Okay. Thank you, Chairwoman Neuberg and Commissioners. I appreciate all your hard work. I've actually testified at all three Commissions so I have some appreciation of the potential challenges you face.

I live in Yuma County, and I represent -- I'm representing Yuma County Farm Bureau with these comments, although I'm not paid to do that. I was unable to be in Yuma County's hearings so I hope it's okay that I can speak to that today.

Yuma County was really happy with the existing split that we had because it gave us a significant voice in two legislative districts, one Republican and one Democrat, and two congressional districts, one Republican and one Democrat. Something similar would be our first choice. However, the proposed maps has

split the county in a way that puts all the population in the southern district and really none of the population in the northern district, so the southern district being with Tucson, it looks like we would have no voice in either district.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

And even more important than that, it splits our two main communities of interest that are the economic drivers for Yuma County, which is agriculture and military. For agriculture, it's, again, basically split. When you look at irrigation districts or acreage, irrigation districts are run by boards of community members. Those have been split into two separate districts, so their voice would be nullified. And the military where we have two big installations, the Yuma Proving Grounds and the Marine Corps Air Station, Yuma are also split into two separate districts. So the proposed maps split our population to have no voice and split our two main economic communities of interest to also have no voice, so our second choice from our existing would be to unify all of Yuma County in one legislative district and one congressional district so we keep those communities of interest together and hopefully at least have some voice.

Thank you for taking my comments.

1 MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

2.1

Our next speaker is Antonio Silva.

Antonio, can you hear us?

MR. SILVA: Hi. Yes, I can hear you. Can you hear me?

MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed.

MR. SILVA: Okay. Well, thank you for taking the time to listen to all of our -- our opinions and what it is that we feel like is best for our communities. I'm sure this is a big task for you all.

So I'm going to be referring to LD 0061 in comparison to all the other maps that I have seen. So currently I live in LD 12. Been in Gilbert my -- you know, my entire life, fifth generation family. Been here since Arizona was a territory with France. So we've been here for a while, and I feel like a lot of these things, these changes that are slowly happening, are kind of tearing our family apart.

And LD 0061, I feel like it best represents what it is that we have in common in regards to Gilbert and the different populations, but I would also like to add the inclusion of Queen Creek. The current approved map for LD -- for the legislative districts tears Gilbert apart into five different districts, which I feel like is absolutely unnecessary, and it separates

us from those who have the same religious and family beliefs. It separates a lot of church boundaries and schools, and I really am asking you to please consider LD 0061 for the East Valley and with the inclusion in District 14, Queen Creek as well.

Thank you very much.

2.1

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

Our next speaker is Roy Morales.

Roy, can you hear us?

MR. MORALES: Yes. Can you hear me?

MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed.

MR. MORALES: Thank you very much for your time today. My name is Roy, and I live in Gilbert.

here. Per the proposed Legislative District Map

Version 10, Gilbert has been divided into five parts

that covers LD 9, 10, 12, 13, and 14. That would mean

that the cities of Chandler, Mesa, and Phoenix will

have dominance over Gilbert. Therefore, Gilbert will

be split and orphaned. It -- it will fracture my town

of Gilbert and would not give us population equality,

community of interest, and does not respect our town

boundaries. It's a unique town in itself with its own

culture and interests, just like Chandler, and right

now it does not make any sense to change the existing

LD Map 17 and LD Map 12 as we have it right now. It's just -- what isn't broken should not be fixed. You know what I mean?

2.1

So, but with regards to Mesa, it is the third largest city by population. Therefore, it should be split up into three different legislative districts.

LD 9 and 10 proposed should not extend further than past Baseline Road. That encroaches into Chandler and Gilbert. And LD 9 should start at east of the 101 up -- and to the east of Val Vista, and 10 should start at Val Vista and go on as far as Meridian Road to the east. The north and south corridor of Mesa between Power Road and Meridian, south of Baseline and north of Germann should be its own separate LD district. Mesa and Tempe do not share common interests.

And in regards to Congressional District 5, let's keep Mesa, Gilbert, and Chandler under one roof. It's important that we have a representative to represent those three cities, as well as our retirement cities of Leisure World and Sun Lakes. And, again, keep Tempe out of the Scottsdale and Mesa area. They share nothing in common.

Thank you so much.

MS. VAN HAREN: The next speaker is Doris Hinton.

Doris, can you hear us?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

MS. HINTON: I can. Can you hear me?

MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed.

MS. HINTON: My name is Doris Hinton, and I am a PC that lives over in Surprise. I have previously testified publicly about my proposed CD 9, but today I'm speaking on behalf of my son and daughter-in-law about the proposed CD 1 District. They are both 20 something, and they have lived for the last four years in Cave Creek. They used to commute into Phoenix and Mesa before COVID hit, and they no longer do. work remotely, and they don't see that changing at any time in the near future. So for them going into town is essentially going to Desert Ridge. That's where they shop. They go to restaurants there. They rarely go anywhere below the 101. They enjoy being away from the city, as a lot of people do that live up in that They love the wide open spaces nearby for hiking and ATVing. They enjoy the western flair and the cowboy feel up in that area.

So for CD1 to have this finger that dips all the way down into Scottsdale and Phoenix and hits the I-10 is somewhat of a disconnect for them. For those residents, they have little in common with people that live in the dense city, that have apartments that they

1 dwell in and multifamily units. So it would seem like 2 it would make more sense for the boundary line for CD 1 to go to the 101 and not much further than that. 3 And that's all I have to say. Thank you. MS. VAN HAREN: 5 Thank you. The next speaker is Judith Simmons. 6 Judith, can you hear us? Oh, maybe Judith 7 8 Simons. There we go. 9 MS. SIMONS: Are you hearing me? 10 MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed. 11 MS. SIMONS: Okay. My name is Judith Simons. 12 I've lived in Chandler for over 25 years. I testified 13 last evening, but since then I've been looking over the 14 draft maps again. My comments today refer to Map LD 0061. 15 16 support this map as it does a very good job of meeting 17 all the Constitutional requirements for redistricting 18 per Prop 106. It puts a roughly equal population size 19 in each East Valley district. The districts are 20 compact and contiguous. It uses reasonable 2.1 geographical borders, including tribal boundaries. 22 This matter is for preserving communities of interest. 23 For instance, it keeps the Latino communities of interest in LDs 9 and 13 together. That eastern 24 25 panhandle as a new LD 12 severely interrupts and would

1 dilute their ability to be well-represented for dealing 2 with shared needs and problems. It moves the retirement community of Sun Lakes into LD 12, along 3 with Ahwatukee, which has a large retiree community, and Friendship Village in South Tempe. That would also 5 enhance the competitiveness of LDs 12 and 13 without 6 7 infringing any of the other considerations for fair 8 redistricting. It keeps cities more intact rather than 9 splitting them among several districts. This is really 10 important for constituents to be able not only to elect 11 but also to hold accountable their legislators. 12 City issues, businesses, and schools are the 13 communities of interest that I'm most concerned about, 14 so having one set of legislators to interact with 15 matters to me. I often encourage others to be involved 16 as well, and navigating the system shouldn't have to be 17 more complicated over multiple districts. 18 I encourage you to adopt Map LD 0061, and I 19 thank you again for your time and effort. 20 MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you. And it looks like, Donna Kruck, we have you 2.1 22 back. Can you hear us? 23 MS. KRUCK: I can. Can you hear me? 24 MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed. 25 MS. KRUCK: Great. Thank you.

Thanks for this opportunity to speak. My name is Donna Kruck. I go by Amina and have lived in Arizona since 1977 and in Tempe since 1980. I'm retired and proud of our state for having an Independent Redistricting Commission to assure that our voting districts are fair, equitable, and competitive as proscribed by Prop 106. I appreciate the very difficult tasks of the Commission, and you've done a good job equalizing populations in the proposed districts.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

However, I do have several comments about the current accepted draft maps. I'm concerned that this map doesn't truly represent the intent of the federal voting act to protect and enhance the voting rights of people of color in our state, especially Latino and Native Americans. I would recommend that proposed LD 0061 and CD 0073 maps that better address my concerns expressed here be considered. The current voting district maps could better, more accurately reflect the demographic and political party preference changes that have occurred in Arizona since the last ten years. The voter registration currently reflects that one-third of the state's voters registered are Independents, so the redistricting maps need to have one-third of the districts more competitive than the

- current draft maps. The maps could better represent
 the more equal division of Republicans versus

 Democrat-leaning districts to be more reflective of the
 2020 voting registers.

 Also, I think the current map splits up the
 East Valley, as others have mentioned, cities in
 - East Valley, as others have mentioned, cities in Maricopa County, to too many different legislative districts. I live in Tempe. Five districts is ridiculous for Tempe. It makes more sense to keep the city communities together as much as possible, again like suggested in the LD 0061.
 - MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.
- Our next speaker is Priscilla Mckerracher.
- 14 I'm sorry if I messed that up.
- 15 Priscilla, can you hear us?
- 16 MS. MCKERRACHER: Yes. Can you hear me now?
- MS. VAN HAREN: Yes, we can. Thank you.
- 18 Please proceed.

7

8

9

10

11

12

- MS. MCKERRACHER: Thank you so much as I struggled to get unmuted.
- Yes, I am Priscilla Mckerracher, a resident of
 Village of Oak Creek, unincorporated section of Yavapai
 County, with a Sedona mailing address. My input is a
 request to keep the greater Sedona area in one unique
 legislative district regardless of location in Yavapai

1 or Coconino County. The Village of Oak Creek lies on 2 the border to Coconino and Yavapai counties. However, 3 the character, challenges, and needs of the VOC align very closely with incorporated Sedona. The entire 179 corridor from I-17 to Sedona central represents a 5 shared community of interest. Many of the pressures on 6 7 Sedona are also extending into Cottonwood and Camp 8 Verde as part of the Verde Valley with shared access to 9 housing, medical, and transportation key interests. 10 legislative district that ends at the natural boundary 11 of the Mingus Mountains, separate from more rural 12 western Arizona and Prescott area further south, would 13 align with the mapping criteria of geography. 14 And so, lastly, I also implore the Commission 15 to ensure that as many as possible of the final 16 congressional districts are indeed as competitive as 17 possible, allowing for more shared interests to be 18 represented at the federal level. 19 Thank you so much for your time. 20 MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you. 2.1 And our next speaker is Brandy Reese. 22 Brandy, can you hear us? 23 MS. REESE: I can hear you. Can you hear me? 24 MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed. 25 MS. REESE: Excellent.

My name is Brandy Reese, and I'm here this afternoon because I live in Gilbert, and I'm deeply concerned about the proposed splitting of Gilbert into five districts, as we've heard several people say before me. I would like to echo their sentiments that Gilbert be split into not more than two districts. I therefore don't want LD 13 to include the district of Gilbert on its east side. We've talked about this. Please change the boundary to follow the city of Chandler boundaries that the current LD 17 and LD 12 use. If you could, divide up that panhandle and please reunite the north of Chandler with the lower part of Chandler.

2.1

I was present last night and spoke in favor of the LDF 034 map that had been submitted, and someone testified after me that drew my attention to the submitted map LD 0061, which we've heard a little bit about today. In review of that, I would point out that the boundaries for LD 13 on the LDF 034 and the LDF 0061 maps are the same, and they solve the issue of competitiveness for the East Valley while keeping communities of interest together, so they kind of balance those Constitutional requirements.

Finally, on a little bit different note, I've just learned of the connection of Commissioner Mehle

1 and the proposed LD 17 and believe that that district 2 specifically deserves closer inspection for competitiveness given the obvious concerns that an 3 interest in party -- pardon me -- an interested partisan player has brought to that proposed district. 5 So there is my comments, and I appreciate the 6 7 time. Thank you. 8 MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you. 9 The next speaker is Sarah Fanning and Alex 10 Forbes. 11 Sarah, can you hear us? 12 MS. FORBES: Yes. Can you hear me? 13 MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed. 14 MS. FORBES: Okay. Good afternoon, Madam 15 Chair and Commissioners. My name is Sarah Fanning, and 16 I've been a Chandler resident since 2005. I also plan 17 to speak on submitted Map LD 0061. 18 To paraphrase my state senator, please don't 19 Gilbert my Chandler. The new consolidated Gilbert map 20 is decidedly more red than the current very competitive 2.1 LD 17. There is a reason one party is so excited by LD 22 13 as is. Map LD 0061 keeps more of Chandler and 23 Gilbert intact. It keeps the largely Latino precincts 24 together and doesn't divide downtown Chandler from 25 South Chandler. It also eliminates the dreaded

panhandle. I am not asking that you make LD 13 blue, just that you keep what is now LD 17 as competitive as it currently is. That's what democracy is all about. The more competitive the districts are the more likely our legislators will be out in the field speaking with voters and legislating on our concerns, solving our problems.

I thank you for your volunteer efforts and for your consideration.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

Our next speaker is Alex Forbes.

Alex, can you hear us?

2.1

MR. FORBES: My name is Alex Forbes, and I was born and raised in the Valley. I am hear to voice my concern over the proposed legislative and congressional maps. We the people have a right to hold our elected officials accountable. This applies to both parties. The proposed maps attempt to centralize and solidify power with a single party despite rapidly changing demographics in the state. Representation in our state will be diluted if these maps are adopted, and we will take yet another step away from the representative democracy our country is founded on. Voters voted for an independent commission because we do not want a single party controlling the legislative direction in

1 our state in perpetuity or without consequence. 2 That was going to be the end of my testimony, but I have to comment on the Chair's comment regarding 3 folks being paid or bused in. Is that really a determination that you're considering in making 5 yourselves? That -- that really kind of breathes a lot 6 7 of skepticism in how you are interpreting our comments 8 today. Thank you. 9 MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you. 10 The next speaker is Gregory Rucker. 11 Gregory, can you hear me? Gregory, we see 12 you're unmuted. Okay. It looks like we lost Gregory. 13 And let me just see who the next speaker --14 Marisela Mares. 15 Marisela, can you hear us? 16 MS. MARES: Hello? 17 MS. VAN HAREN: Marisela, we can hear you. 18 MS. MARES: Okay. Hi. My name is Marisela. 19 I have lived in Arizona my whole life, and most of my 20 time as a young adult has been spent in Tempe, living 2.1 there, working as a food service worker at ASU and as a 22 student at ASU. 23 While I understand the difficult job of the

Commission to balance so many factors, I have a few

suggestions based on my area. I live close to Tempe

24

25

Town Lake, but under the current state legislative proposals I would be in District 1, which is based more around Central Phoenix. I think it would make more sense for my area to be connected with the rest of Tempe.

2.1

On the congressional maps I think it would make more sense to connect with South Scottsdale's central business district with us in my area, so becoming part of District 4. I just feel that South Scottsdale and Tempe share a lot in common and we would benefit from being together. Thank you.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

Our next speaker, we're going to go to Gregory Rucker again.

Gregory, can you hear us? Gregory, can you accept the request? There we go. We still can't hear you. Okay, Gregory, if you want to log out and log back in.

Okay. It looks like actually there were about ten people -- I'm trying to pull the list right now -- who registered to speak after the cutoff time, so I'm going to name the next four names. We'll try to put them in the chat box that we have. I have an Alison Porter, a Leslie Daniel, an Eric Morgan, and a Mary Guadio, so we'll start with Alison Porter and send her

a request to unmute.

2.1

Alison, can you hear us?

MS. PORTER: Can you hear me?

MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed.

MS. PORTER: Okay. Thank you, and to the Chair and the Commission members, thank you for your very painstaking work. I have tried to mess with the mapping software, and it is really difficult.

But all of the people in Arizona in 2000 who voted -- who moved the citizen initiative forward to have this Commission, you know, it was done because we do really want to competitive districts, and I've heard that most people are in favor of them. I agree with all of those reasons. I don't need to -- I don't think that I need to repeat them, but it is -- it is a far more effective way to represent everyone in the community.

I've lived in the East Valley for about 60 years, and in that time it has become more diverse, and we need elected officials that represent that diversity, and so we need competitive districts. I know some young men who are very conversant on the mapping software, and I know that map, I think it's LD 0061, they listened to a couple of weeks of comments after the final draft map came out and took into

consideration comments that many people brought in -- I mean that made at your hearings, and I think that they showed that a lot of comments can be taken into consideration and that in the East Valley there can be more competitive districts, districts that represent communities of interest, keep our cities and our school districts together.

2.1

So, again, I want to thank you for your work, and thank you for listening to those of us that want to share our thoughts with you, and I sure hope that you -- some people who are not very clear in sharing their thoughts, that doesn't necessarily mean that they've been paid or coerced to be here. I think a lot of people are concerned. This is very difficult stuff, as you well know, and so thank you again.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

Our next speaker is Elizabeth Bernstein.

Elizabeth, can you hear us?

MS. BERNSTEIN: Yes, I can. Can you hear me?

MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed.

MS. BERNSTEIN: Thank you.

Hi. I'm Elizabeth Bernstein. I've been a resident of Cochise County for over 30 years. I've raised my family here, taught in the public schools and Cochise College, and most recently served on a

commission which advised our county Board of Supervisors as they considered redrawing the supervisorial district lines.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

I want today to address the proposed CD 6. Since the redistricting ten years ago, we have been in Congressional District 2, a compact district which joins Cochise County with parts of Tucson, with which we share many interests. We go there to shop and for medical care. Our TV stations are from there. and Cochise counties also have in common that each contains a major military installation, Davis-Monthan Air Force Base and Fort Huachuca, both of which are in our current congressional district. The proposed CD 6 separates us in Cochise County from much of Pima County, including Davis-Monthan and other parts of Tucson. Our district would instead extend into areas with which we have far less in common, namely Graham and Greenlee counties, and in particular Casa Grande in Pinal County. It's apparent from the map itself that bringing Casa Grande into this district requires a long, narrow extension. By reaching it so far it not only fails to connect us in Cochise County with our communities of interest which are closer by, but it also fails the criterion of compactness, and it unnecessarily crosses -- crosses instead of following

multiple city and county boundaries.

2.1

District 6 lines fall short of accommodating the Constitutionally required goals to the extent practicable. I urge the Commission to consider a congressional district which combines Cochise County with more of Pima instead of Graham, Greenlee, and Pinal counties, and thank you for your time and your very hard work.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

Our next speaker will be Eric Morgan, followed by Mary Guadio, followed by Michael Martinez.

MR. MORGAN: Hi. My name is Eric Morgan. Thank you for getting me in today.

I'm an engineering manager at Intel
Corporation, but I live in Arrowhead Ranch near North
Glendale, near the 101 and 67th Avenue. In my 11 years
of commuting two hours a day between Glendale and
Chandler, I spent a lot of time in many communities
along the way, and I've had an opportunity to see how
each community develops its own flavor, and it's this
flavor that attracts people to choose one community to
live in over another, and it shapes what kind of
representation that we want and need.

Competitive districts require that this

Commission use arbitrary political factors to override the natural boundaries and the natural tendencies that people have to -- that people have to congregate within their communities that reflect who they are and live near people with whom they share interest. overrides results in -- this override results in representatives that can't afford to fight for the interests of the minorities for fear of losing elections. This changes communities over time. So 50/50 districts create this kind of boring, homogenizing effect that destroys those very flavors that make our communities different and make them great. They pit most people -- the most number of people against each other within the districts and further erodes the communities. They're not fair, and they're not good for the community or the people, which is why competitiveness is a subordinate to all factors in the law.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

I live in the new CD 8 and District 27. It's clear that the lines were drawn to promote competitiveness over communities of interest, and this can be corrected by moving CD 8 boundaries west into the south to encompass the similar communities of Surprise, Litchfield, and Sun Cities, keeping the West Valley whole. District 27 should be shifted to the

west for the same reasons, separating it from the I-17 corridor, which corresponds to changes -- and also making the corresponding changes that will require the districts to maintain their equal population.

2.1

So I hope you'll consider these factors, refine these maps, prevent the destroying of our communities with unfair artificial competitiveness, and I thank you very much for your sacrifices in undertaking this very important job.

MS. VAN HAREN: Next speaker is Mary Guadio.

Mary, can you hear us? Mary, can you hear us?

Mary, we can't hear you so I don't know if you want to log out and log back in.

And then I believe -- okay, our next speaker after Mary is Michael Martinez.

 $\label{eq:michael, we sent you a request to unmute.}$ There we go.

MR. MARTINEZ: Are you ready for me? This is Michael Martinez.

MS. VAN HAREN: Please proceed.

MR. MARTINEZ: Hi. My name is Michael, and I live in Encanto in Central Phoenix. I have spent most of my time in Arizona working in restaurants across Central and Downtown Phoenix.

I want to speak about my current proposed

```
1
     State District 1. It extends into parts of Tempe.
2
     However, I feel District 1 would be better suited
     extending into parts of downtown, which has a vibrant
3
     and up-and-coming neighborhood and has a lot in common
5
     with District 1. I also believe it should be a
6
      congressional district that encompasses Central and
7
     East Phoenix and runs up the 17 instead of connecting
8
     us to places like Cave Creek. We are much more
9
     connected to areas like the North Mountain Preserve.
10
               Thank you for your time and adding me to the
11
     queue.
12
               MS. VAN HAREN:
                               Thank you.
13
               And I'm going to try Mary Guadio one more
14
      time, and if not we'll come back. And then our next
15
      speaker is Salil.
16
               MR. DESHPANDE:
                              Yes. Can you hear me?
17
               MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed.
18
               That was Salil. Hang on just a second.
                                                         Ι
19
     think he was muted.
20
               MR. DESHPANDE:
                              Hello?
2.1
               MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed.
                                                       We can
22
     hear you.
23
               MR. DESHPANDE: Good afternoon. My name is
24
      Salil Deshpande. I live in Pima County. I apologize
25
      that I will not be able to attend the in-person meeting
```

in Tucson tomorrow, but I wanted to testify before the end of the public comment period.

2.1

I'm originally from Texas, but I've made
Tucson my home in recent years. My son was born at
Banner University Medical Center, and my wife works at
the University of Arizona, and she grew up in the
Catalina Foothills. As someone originally from Texas,
I feel fortunate to now live in a state that has an
Independent Redistricting Commission. I have seen
firsthand the impact of partisan gerrymandering in my
own state of Texas, and I would hate to see the
negativity and toxicity of Texas politics come to
Arizona.

On that note I would urge the Commission to reconsider the legislative draft map with respect to Southern Arizona. The legislative draft map as it stands originated from a partisan source with the intent to gerrymander the Tucson area. Particularly, LD 17 in the current draft map does not create a fair and competitive district, nor is this district geographically compact and contiguous. One end of the district is separated from the other end by the Catalina mountains.

I would ask that the Commission take another look at LD Test Map Version 9.0, which creates a more

competitive District 17 that is more geographically compact and contiguous and includes nearby parts of Tucson like the Casas Adobes region. As a resident of midtown Tucson, this impacts me because the relative distribution of my district is skewed by the weird shape of LD 17 to the north. The draft legislative map splits us between multiple districts, diluting our voting power and influence in the legislature. I want fair districts for the entire region so we all benefit.

Thank you for your time today.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

I think we'll try again for Mary Guadio.

Mary, can you hear us?

2.1

MS. GUADIO: Can you hear me?

MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed.

MS. GUADIO: Thank you so much.

Thank you for allowing me to speak. My name is Mary Guadio. I'm a Phoenix native who grew up in the West Phoenix area of 27th Avenue and Roosevelt. I currently am a resident of Paradise Valley. I grew up in a largely Latino community, and as such I understand the unique concerns of that community, so I am going to ask that you consider the latest iteration of CD -- Congressional District 0073, the proposed map by the Arizona Latino Coalition for Fair Redistricting.

I am a mentor with New Pathways for Youth as well, and it's an organization that supports Phoenix's most at risk youth, and it's predominantly Latino They have unique concerns regarding affordable vouth. housing, transportation, education, and health equity. And I believe, Arizona, you know, Maricopa County especially has a very large Latino population, and they deserve to have proper representation, and the latest iteration proposed by the Arizona Latino Coalition is I think the fairest and gives the most, you know, representation for our Latino community. It is -- you know, they just have unique concerns, and they -- I would ask that you really consider, you know, having a congressional district that really focuses on the needs of the Latino communicate -- or community.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Thank you for your time. I appreciate all that you do.

MS. VAN HAREN: And we don't have any other speakers who are logged in. And just a reminder at the end so I can clarify, we went through -- I pulled up a report again and was able to -- anybody who registered after our typical cutoff was able to speak, so I believe we heard from every person who wanted to speak today.

And with that I'll send it back over to you,

1 Commissioner Lerner. 2 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Sorry. I have to 3 unmute. I want to say thank you to everybody. We had 4 a great turnout, and we appreciate the fact that people 5 spoke and took the time to be with us. We are 6 7 certainly listening to all of your comments. We have 8 our last meeting tomorrow in Tucson and in Maryvale at 9 10:00 a.m., so we hope to see those of you who are 10 interested attending those meetings. We will begin the 11 final map deliberations on Monday, October 6th. 12 And, again, I want to thank the public for 13 their involvement and their interest in the process, 14 and also for the fact that people have been -- for the 15 comments that you have made in terms of polite and 16 trying to be balanced in terms of your comments, so we 17 appreciate that. 18 And with that we are adjourned, and I thank my 19 fellow Commissioners as well, so thank you all. 20 MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you. 2.1 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Thank you, Lori. 22 (The Virtual Town Hall concluded at 1:40 p.m.) 23 This transcript represents an unofficial 24 record. Please consult the accompanying video for the

official record of IRC proceedings.

25

1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 I HEREBY CERTIFY that the proceedings had upon the foregoing meeting are contained in the shorthand 4 record made by me thereof, and that the foregoing 79 5 pages constitute a full, true, and correct transcript 6 of said shorthand record, all done to the best of my 7 8 skill and ability. 9 DATED at Phoenix, Arizona, this 16th day of 10 December, 2021. 11 Deborah L. Wilks 12 13 Deborah L. Wilks, RPR Certified Court Reporter 14 Certificate No. 50849 15 16 17 I CERTIFY that Miller Certified Reporting, 18 LLC, has complied with the requirements set forth in 19 ACJA 7-201 and 7-206. Dated at Litchfield Park, Arizona, this 16th 20 2.1 day of December 2021. 22 23 24 Miller Certified Reporting, LLC Arizona RRF No. R1058 25