THE STATE OF ARIZONA INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF FINAL DECISION PUBLIC MEETING

Afternoon Session

December 16, 2021

1:01 p.m.

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC PO Box 513, Litchfield Park, AZ 85340 (P) 623-975-7472 (F) 623-975-7462 www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com

Reported By: Angela Furniss Miller, RPR Certified Reporter (AZ 50127)

i		
1	I N D E X	
2		
3	AGENDA ITEM:	PAGE
4	ITEM NO. VI - LEGISLATIVE MAP	123
5	ITEM NO. VII	253
6	ITEM NO. VIII	254
7	ITEM IX	254
8	MOTION TO ADJOURN	254
9	VOTE	254
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PUBLIC MEETING, BEFORE THE INDEPENDENT
2	REDISTRICTING COMMISSION, convened at 1:01 p.m. on
3	December 16, 2021, at the Kimpton Palomar Hotel, 2 East
4	Jefferson Street, Phoenix, Arizona, in the presence of the
5	following Commissioners:
6	Ms. Erika Neuberg, Chairperson Mr. Derrick Watchman, Vice Chairman
7	Mr. David Mehl Ms. Shereen Lerner Mr. Douglas York
9	OTHERS PRESENT:
10	Mr. Brian Schmitt, Executive Director
11	Ms. Lori Van Haren, Deputy Director Ms. Valerie Neumann, Executive Assistant Mr. Alex Pena, Community Outreach Coordinator
12	Ms. Michelle Crank, Public Information Officer
13 14	Mr. Mark Flahan, Timmons Group Mr. Brian Kingery, Timmons Group Mr. Parker Bradshaw, Timmons Group
15	Mr. Doug Johnson, NDC Ms. Ivy Beller Sakansky, NDC
16	Mr. Roy Herrera, Ballard Spahr Mr. Daniel Arellano, Ballard Spahr
17	Mr. Eric Spencer, Snell & Wilmer Mr. Brett Johnson, Snell & Wilmer
18	* Spanish interpreter present
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

PROCEEDING

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. Welcome back, everybody. I hope everybody enjoyed their lunch.

We are getting right back to business. We are on Agenda Item Number VI, draft map decision discussion. We are diving into the legislative map and I will open it up to my colleagues to begin to refine the lines.

I'm open to where people may want to start. I think we have -- probably all have a lot of feedback.

COMMISSIONER YORK: On the LD maps, we -- a couple easy suggestions. District 3 is underpopulated, District 28 is overpopulated, you can population balance along the I-17 corridor there.

MR. FLAHAN: I'm not able to share my screen in the WebEx. I need to be made a panelist.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: And Commissioner York, when you make the suggestions, if you could just add a little bit in addition to population balancing why you feel the communities are good fits or if you don't. I mean, but, you know, let's make sure that we're thinking about it from the perspective of all of the -- the constitutional criteria.

COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, it puts the -- the north section of Maricopa County, New River and Anthem together in District 3 with Carefree and Cave Creek.

1 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Any comments on that from my colleagues? 2 3 COMMISSIONER LERNER: So I have to look up -- I'm sorry. I've got to look up the population. What's the... 4 MR. D. JOHNSON: Sure. 5 If I can ask -- while you're looking it, if I can 6 7 ask a question to -- you're probably coming to this, but District 27 is also over, so I don't know if you wanted 28 8 to take from 27 and then pass that all through to District 3 9 10 or... 11 COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, there's a pocket of 27 12 that I'd like to take out, it's Westbrook Village, the 1.3 retirement community. 14 MR. D. JOHNSON: I'm just -- is that going to go 15 into 28 or --16 COMMISSIONER YORK: The 28 is where I'm... 17 MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay. So essentially 28 would 18 pick up the extra population from 27 and --19 COMMISSIONER YORK: So it's 107th east to 83rd 20 Avenue, north boundary is Beardsley, south boundary is Union Hills. 21 MR. D. JOHNSON: Wait. Can you give us that again, 22 23 please? 24 COMMISSIONER YORK: So the north boundary is 25 Beardsley, the north -- the west -- eastern boundary is

83rd Avenue, the south boundary is Union Hills, and the 1 2 western boundary of Westbrook Village is 107th Avenue which 3 is already I believe in 28. I don't know what that population looks like, it 4 5 can maybe balance around it with 27? That puts the Westbrook Village retirement 6 7 community with Sun City, Sun City West. 8 COMMISSIONER LERNER: So I'm not clear exactly on 9 what you're proposing to -- I mean, this is -- District 3 is 10 under by 43,000 people. COMMISSIONER YORK: District 3 is over by 43,000 is 11 12 the way I read it. 13 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Am I looking at the wrong --14 which one am I looking at? 15 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I thought it needs to add 16 population to District 3. 17 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Yeah, isn't it under? I'm 18 looking -- 12.11, right? 19 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Yeah. 20 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Yeah. So... COMMISSIONER MEHL: It's un- -- it's way under. 21 22 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: It's under by 43,000. 23 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Yeah. 24 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I think we could look to the 25 west to pick up communities along the I-17, like North

Phoenix, Anthem and New River. That population will come out of LD-28 which is overpopulated. I don't know if that's, you know, a little bit of where you were getting into it, but that -- that's one idea.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: So something I was thinking of in that area was not necessarily going -- we heard a lot of folks in -- like in the Fountain Hills and Scottsdale area, Cave Creek even, saying: Don't put us up there with -- I know it's one option, but they -- they look at that as a real east-west difference.

What about taking some areas where you have north -- and District 4, District 2 are pretty far north districts right now, we could take some populations from areas north of the 101 and put them in District 3.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: I think we've put a lot of population in District 3 on the move that we -- we just suggested.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Well, that's what -- the move is -- I was unclear exactly. Can you repeat that move? That's what...

COMMISSIONER MEHL: Everything east of the 17, so New River, Anthem.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Right.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: Everything east of the 17.

That's quite a bit of population that we're moving into D3

that will solve the -- the fact that D3 was short.

about that is that those populations are connected along I-17 further south and what you're doing is dividing those. They have the Deer Valley School District in that area and that's closer in geography to them. And we heard in the testimony that these folks in that area along the I-17 corridor and the folks to the east do not have that connection. They -- I mean, they talked a lot about Desert Ridge not wanting to be there; Deer Valley, Anthem, those are areas that they said weren't -- they did not feel connected.

So while that's an easy way to do it, I'm not sure it's a community of interest way from my perspective. And as part of that, just because the -- the -- the I-17 corridor is a place in and of itself, those communities are very connected as they go further south.

So when you -- we don't want to necessarily put
them with communities that they don't have anything in
contact with and yet the communities in -- north of the 101
that are part of Scottsdale are communities that they said
they go to all the time. The Carefree folks, the Fountain
Hills folks, they all said that they're very connected to
Scottsdale and to North Scottsdale in particular, and that's
why I would be suggesting to -- to try to pull from those

communities where I think the community of interest and geography constitutionally fit nicer than the others.

I know the other one is a -- it's an easy population balance, but -- but the fact that they -- the people go from I-17 north and spend a lot of their time in the west side or that central part but don't go east, I think maybe makes the idea of taking some of those from North Scottsdale where they do feel connected to connect Fountain Hills, Scottsdale, and some of those outlying Phoenix areas north of the 101 into a district and add some population in there.

COMMISSIONER YORK: Right now, Commissioner Lerner, they're -- they're all currently in District 3 north of the 101. I'm not sure -- I'm not following what you're asking for.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: What I'm suggesting is that Fountain --

testimonies that there were people in Carefree and Cave

Creek that didn't feel they were part of Fountain Hills, but

the reality is Fountain Hills felt they were part of those

communities that were north of the 101 and so we've included

them together in a district that I think fits demographics

eco -- eco economics and it's reasonably compact.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: I wasn't saying Fountain

Hills --

argument is to ask for -- for the North Scottsdale district to go down into D4 and I don't -- don't agree that with that. I think the way that D4 is currently drawn with the communities of interest of Paradise Valley, McCormick Ranch, and the Scottsdale Airpark fit better together as they are and includes the Paradise Valley School District. So I don't know.

I -- I think the easiest way to balance this map is along the 17 corridor between D28 and D23 -- I mean D3, excuse me.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: I did not say not to connect them to Fountain Hills. That's not what I said.

What I said was that the connection of those people in their testimony was to North Scottsdale, and if you take the 101 and pull everybody out -- everything that's in D4 and D2 into D3, that's a true community of interest, especially in D4, North Scottsdale, and you can push Scotts- -- I mean the D4, District 4, has to be modified anyway, that shouldn't -- there's some issues with that one.

But -- but what I -- I did say that you have those connections. What I said was they specifically said they do not have connections with Anthem and New River and did not want to be in that -- in a district with them. So that's

what I was talking about.

We could take the top part of District 4 north of the 101 and add that into District 3 and those communities are completely linked, those people spend a lot of time in Care- -- Cave Creek, Carefree, they go down into that area quite a bit and they testified to that.

So that's what my recommendation was, was to pull those; put Fountain Hills, Scottsdale and Phoenix outside anything north of the 101, we heard from the community that that's a good linkage.

The I-17 corridor, they spoke quite a bit about not wanting to be with folks to the east because they said that they really do a lot in the West Valley more so and in Central Phoenix. They -- the school district goes all the way up in that area and that connects them, the Deer Valley School District, and maybe -- there, is that it, what you're showing, the Deer Valley?

COMMISSIONER YORK: No, that's the city of Scottsdale.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. Could you show the Deer Valley School District? If you don't mind.

MR. D. JOHNSON: If I might, one big picture thing as you're looking in changes, keep in mind we need to move 70,000 people from D28, D27 over into D- --

COMMISSIONER YORK: 3.

MR. D. JOHNSON: Into 2, 3 and 8. So 7 -- we -- you can rearrange overall on the Phoenix-Scottsdale certainly as much as you want, but in the bigger picture we still have to get 70,000 people from the west side of Phoenix into the east side of Phoenix.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Wait. I thought the district -- we were just looking at District 3 and it was down by 43,000, am I...

COMMISSIONER YORK: Yeah, so --

MR. D. JOHNSON: Yes. 3 is down by 43,000, 2 is down by 30,000 and 8 is down by 31,000, so between the three of them.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: You know what, my concern is that -- I mean, I actually think we should start to the south because these districts got shoved north by virtue of some of the dramatic changes to the VRA districts in the center part of Phoenix; and if we could clean those up, the VRA districts a little bit, we may be able to push those districts a little bit further south and find some more population up in those areas.

And so that's -- I'm just looking at -- I know we have a lot of population shifts, that's been my concern with the map. So -- but I see the things like District 11 and District 22 because of their redesign from the VRA and splitting of communities of interest, if we shifted those

down, we may be able to move District 4 south, District 2 south, which would add population into District 3, and not put communities of interest that aren't connected together.

I think the 101 is a boundary, we've -- we know that could be a good boundary, we heard that from folks in that area just as a start. I know we have a lot of population to move around, but I'm trying to be cognizant of the fact that we -- connecting people in the far west with the far east who have expressed the fact that they don't have things in common, I think if we go with a north-south perspective, we might be able to make some headway in that.

COMMISSIONER YORK: We feel pretty strongly that District 22 is a good VRA district.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Do we?

COMMISSIONER YORK: We think it unites the -- the less urban community of South Phoenix with the Laveen area which is agricultural, unites some communities -- the Hispanics community along the Gila River Basin.

It wasn't an original suggestion from the Latino

Coalition but I think it -- it's actually might be a better district for them.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: So, I have --

COMMISSIONER YORK: 24 and 26 are what they suggested. We can move 11 a little south, 8 needs population; we were going to take your suggestions and move

it a little north into South Scottsdale and also a little 1 south along the Maryvale -- not Maryvale, the Salt River 2 3 communities of -- what's it called? -- Mesa River --Riverview Park --4 5 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Yeah. COMMISSIONER YORK: -- and unite that Salt River 6 7 community with parts of D9. 8 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Can I -- can I ask you, the 9 Latino Coalition drew these maps, they know their communities, can you tell me why you would want to split the 10 African American community in LD-11 and LD-22? 11 12 They were --13 COMMISSIONER YORK: They kind of split it. COMMISSIONER LERNER: They were split -- we heard 14 15 from Pastor --16 COMMISSIONER YORK: They split some of it in 17 LD-26 --18 COMMISSIONER LERNER: We heard from Pastor Stewart 19 that said that Laveen and South Mountain should be together. 20 They're -- they go to the same schools, they're part of that 21 same community. Laveen in the South Mountain, by putting them into 22, you basically split up a pretty active 22 23 community of interest.

make adjustments to what the coalition submitted, but this

So I'm just -- I guess I -- I know that we have to

24

25

was an overhaul that I don't feel has -- takes those communities of interest into account; and what it did was make D11 more vertical versus horizontal, which is why then all those districts moved up, which is what caused that population imbalance.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I want to just interject one comment of feedback that I've heard. One of the most consistent things I've heard is from leaders of the African American community, the Latino community, and the Asian community: We are politically cohesive and we are cohesive from a community of interest perspective, we share language issues, socioeconomic status issues, transportation issues. And time and again they say: Put us together whenever you can and empower us.

So from my perspective, as long as they're in a district where they're going to be well represented, I'm comfortable.

And I have one other question. I just want to understand, Commissioner Lerner, are you saying in the northern part that you think the 101 should be a boundary?

And -- and if so I'm a little confused why. Why that would be a boundary between -- because I see so much growth in the northern areas and Scottsdale and Phoenix, that that's just is constantly, you know, moving up, and so I don't -- to me it seems like an arbitrary boundary and I don't

understand why you feel that separates communities of interest.

1.3

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Can I answer the first question?

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: First part first.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Sorry.

want to reference Reverend Stewart's comment that says specifically: We are concerned about the apparent split seeming for mathematical purposes of the area bounded by I-10 on the north, Southern on the south and so on. On the -- going to 59th Avenue on the west from Legislative District 11 and District 22. The splitting of the African American community in that district may result in a weakening of our voice in District 11. In addition, the area is more geographically and economically aligned with District 11 than the vastly rural District 22.

This was a decision that was made without consultation to these communities to split that, and they have specifically asked to basically be consistently with South Mountain, to be in that area.

And I don't know, you know, I think it's -- it's interesting to me to make a change without consulting with the people who know their community as well as they do.

And -- and I -- I can tell you that that specific change that went in there pushed these other districts north and made them less competitive, put communities of interest that weren't natural automatically aligned together.

So as for the north of the 101, we actually heard from folks in some of those communities, just even the whole idea of Desert Ridge people for some reason were picking on that, but that they -- they do see some differences in the communities that are one side of the 101 and the other.

Are they all part of Scottsdale, are they all part of Phoenix? Yes. But there are some differences. And that is where growth will be, so it will be some different communities than some of the older communities that are further to the south. So I do see some differences in the communities that are expanding in those areas. It's new growth.

But what you have in the south, as we've noticed with historic communities, with communities that have been established for a long time, there are often differences in perspectives and in communities of interest.

People go -- in the north they -- they tend to align in that North Scottsdale; the people in Cave Creek, Carefree, all of those areas, tend to do a lot in the North Scottsdale area. We could go -- we could use that as a point when I'm saying that we need to be moving these other

districts down because we need to go back and I think we should be thinking about going back to what the coalition submitted for these maps in this area for District 11, we should take a closer look at what was in that area.

Outside the 101, it's going to be growth, it's going to be new development versus what's going on on the south side.

So there's going to be different -- differences in what the concerns and needs are in that area. When you're going to the north of the 101, another area, just because you're going to have new development, you're going to have new water issues, you're going to have housing issues, you may have differences in demographics than some of the more established areas. So that's why I look at the difference between north and south of the 101. Those are some of the reasons.

But I think a lot of what is going on with all this population imbalance is what happened with District 11 and District 22 by arbitrarily taking Laveen out of the South Mountain area and making District 11 a north-south district versus an east-west district, and I really would like us to go back and take a closer look at that.

COMMISSIONER YORK: So my -- my discussion around the southern VRA districts -- District 22, District 24, District 26 and District 11 -- they're all compact, they're

all in the central and southern Phoenix corridor, they're all have significant performance, they perform well. They also take into account the fact that District 22 -- excuse me, District 24 and District 26 went as far north as Dunlap and Northern, the only district that's changed to some extent is D1 as far as where these are laid out.

To me D4 is in a great community, it follows the Camelback loop around the southern side of Camelback Mountain, it includes Squaw Peak, it goes up to 51 and includes Paradise Valley, South Scottsdale and McCormick Ranch area; it's taken in Desert Ridge for population balancing, but I think that's a great district.

D2 actually takes in the Deer Valley Airport and just north of there up to Happy Valley Road. So from my standpoint that area with Moon Valley and the area just south of the 101, that's more like neighborhoods than going all the way up to north of the 101.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Well, that's the point, going north of the 101 is different. From --

COMMISSIONER YORK: It is, but if you go -
COMMISSIONER LERNER: When you go north of the

101 -- and -- and again --

COMMISSIONER YORK: If you go around the Deer Valley Airport, that area is very similar to the stuff just south of 101 there in D2, those neighborhoods are very

similar.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: These pop- -- what was done to District 11 and 22 was splitting apart communities of interest.

There is -- those was -- those districts that were placed in there, I don't know why they needed to be, quote, "fixed" in terms of that, because when they were placed in there by the coalition there was a very significant reason to do so by combining those areas. Laveen belongs with South Mountain.

And District 22 is -- goes into a number of different communities, it is not a compact district, and it basically draws in communities that have no natural, common, commonality in there. Whereas a southern district as had been laid out in all the other maps, they have a lot of commonality in that southern Phoenix area. And I guess I'm going to request that we go back to that original boundary for District 11.

COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, the original Latino
Coalition map had District 24 and District 26 horizontal.
The second proposal came back to us vertical.

The mayor --

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Not in the --

COMMISSIONER YORK: -- of Goodyear asked to be included with Yuma to match the agricultural needs of the

Yuma split that we have included, and so we feel that with Tolleson and parts of Avondale in District 22 with Laveen are -- is a more like-minded community than putting Laveen with -- with District 11.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: If you didn't have the Yuma split, which really is advantageous for the whole west side, then 22 has -- and 11 have to take different shapes than they did in the Latino Coalition maps. You can't do it without doing that.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I -- I'd like to throw an option out there.

So I'm listening and I'm learning; I've been clear about the things that I'm really locked into. I'm undecided on -- on this issue with, you know, the African American community in particular, if they're unhappy. I mean, I -- you know, again, I don't take the Latino Coalition's directives to -- to decide for the entire state, you know, what minority communities ought to look like, I think they ought to know their community better than anybody and we take their information very seriously and study it.

I need to learn a little bit more. There's so much to the rest of the map that I've said that I liked and I don't want to mess with. So I'm toying with the idea, and you let me know what you think, about allowing both sides to see how you would adopt the Maricopa-centric, you know,

districts and present an option, both sides. Not because I want to be faced again with having to vote for a map, but actually I learn from watching all of you play it out and see what's possible and then I can pick the best from what you're going to provide.

But I would only open this up if we limited it to this narrow Maricopa County area so that we're not going down those paths anymore of division.

In particular I don't know about 22 and 11 and, obviously, it impacts -- you know, I liked 24 and 26 from the Latino Coalition. I already went on record I'm not a fan of their version of 25.

I don't see the 101 as a -- I mean, I have to say

41 years ago I moved to Arizona, I moved to Pinnacle Peak.

I was north of the 101 41 years ago and I went to Saguaro

High School, I mean, everything -- it's just a natural flow
in -- in that area.

A comment about LD-4 if you're going to, you know, take ideas and go, I just want to share some thoughts that I had about that district and I don't know how it would fit into your plans.

I think LD-4 can pick up the Biltmore area, I think the southwest boundary could be 6 -- 16th Street and Camelback. From there it can head north, I think it would make the district more competitive without compromising

communities of interest. I think it would also unite the Pointe with other resort communities in D4. I know there's some, you know, population issues that could lose population maybe to LD-8 which I believe needs population on the southeast side of the district, specifically east of Scottsdale Road and south of McDonald.

But having said that, I'd like my -- I want to make sure our time is focused on being productive and not just endless argument. Would that be a positive or do you think it would take us in a bad direction to allow you some latitude to carve out a map in that inner Maricopa area, with minimal disruption to East Valley D8, you know, D25, D -- you know, the outer-skirts areas? What do you think?

COMMISSIONER LERNER: I think I would be good with that.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: We're good. We're good with that.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: All right. And I'm going to ask my colleagues, you know, this is a big responsibility, meaning, you know, you can propose things that you know are not going to go anywhere or you can really listen to, you know, what's most important to all of us and do your best to try to bring maps that bring us closer together.

But regardless I'm going to learn from what you do and I'll chime in if there's something that I think you

1 might want to know. 2 So who wants to go first? 3 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Are we doing it right now? CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Well, whenever you want. It 4 5 sounds like this is a major focus, I'm not sure we're going 6 to get, you know, progress unless we -- we dive in. 7 COMMISSIONER MEHL: We're ready to give it a go. 8 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. 9 COMMISSIONER MEHL: I think we -- we would be happy 10 to incorporate your -- your change to D4 with putting the 11 Biltmore and the Pointe Hilton back in there and we've 12 already mentioned a few changes that would -- we would want 1.3 then in our map on D7 -- D27 and 28. 14 COMMISSIONER YORK: The Westbrook Village. 15 COMMISSIONER MEHL: And D3 and -- that's the 16 Westbrook Village and D3 going to the -- to the 17. And I'll turn it -- I'll turn it over to Doug. 17 18 COMMISSIONER YORK: You had an -- you had an 19 interesting notch with Moon Valley on your map. If you look 20 at the southern border of D2 and -- and the northern border 21 of D1, the way we saw that is Thunderbird moves across all 22 the way over to the boundary of D4. It incorporates Moon 23 Valley. 24 MR. D. JOHNSON: So we're following -- so the north

tip of District 1 follows Green- -- Greenway Parkway.

25

1	COMMISSIONER MEHL: Thunderbird.
2	COMMISSIONER YORK: I thought it was Thunderbird.
3	It's Thunderbird off I-10 I mean I-17, I'm sorry.
4	MR. D. JOHNSON: Oh, by I-17, yeah, Thunderbird.
5	Then it goes up to Greenway Parkway, the kind of curvy
6	COMMISSIONER YORK: Right. I would just follow
7	Thunderbird all the way over to the other boundary in D2,
8	that little because it basically takes Moon Valley out of
9	D2
10	MR. D. JOHNSON: Oh, okay.
11	COMMISSIONER YORK: and puts it
12	MR. D. JOHNSON: So District 2 is actually going to
13	pick up from District 1 in the Moon Valley area down
14	Thunderbird, okay.
15	COMMISSIONER YORK: I think
16	MR. FLAHAN: Is it only that one notch or is it the
17	other two notches?
18	COMMISSIONER YORK: It's the other one also.
19	MR. FLAHAN: What's that?
20	COMMISSIONER YORK: It's the other one also.
21	MR. FLAHAN: Okay.
22	COMMISSIONER YORK: Because District 2 is
23	underpopulated.
24	And then if you look at the top of District 2
25	there's just to try to create some compactness, the

1 there's a little area between Cave Creek Road and the top of what you've currently drawn in District 2, so I would 2 include that into -- pull that out of D3 and include that 3 4 into District 2, just the national cemetery there. So 5 basically you're coming out of the south side of Cave Buttes Recreational Area. 6 7 MR. D. JOHNSON: So you're talking about the area 8 of the District 3 that's just east of District 2? 9 COMMISSIONER YORK: Correct. 10 MR. D. JOHNSON: All the way down --11 COMMISSIONER YORK: Correct. 12 MR. D. JOHNSON: -- into that whole notch? 1.3 COMMISSIONER YORK: That whole notch, yes. 14 MR. D. JOHNSON: And what's the eastern border, how 15 far over should we come? 16 COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, try to include the 17 cemetery. It's kind of a -- you split it in half right now. 18 So it kind of goes to the east, it takes the eastern corner 19 of D4 and diagonals down -- I don't know what that road is. 20 Looks like Mayo Boulevard and 40th. 21 MR. D. JOHNSON: So we're coming -- so go even farther east than the border of District 4? 22 23 COMMISSIONER YORK: Barely, but you need to kind of

grab those -- you got to population balance there, but that

closes that gap a little bit.

24

25

You follow me? 1 2 MR. D. JOHNSON: So right now what's the north 3 border in District 2 there? COMMISSIONER YORK: It's the 101. 4 5 MR. D. JOHNSON: It's the 101. COMMISSIONER YORK: So you're moving that north --6 7 just north of Deer Valley and just the cemeteries we're trying to pick up there, and put the two districts next to 8 9 each other. 10 MR. FLAHAN: He wants to move D2 from 101 north to 11 where D4 sort of makes that... 12 MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay. Okay. North, okay. 13 COMMISSIONER YORK: In an effort to make 29 more 14 competitive, I would population balance along the Agua Fria 15 River there on the eastern boundary of 29 and the western 16 boundary of 22. 17 MR. D. JOHNSON: So as we're going over there, I 18 actually had a question. So you talked about bringing 19 District 3 west to the I-17? 20 COMMISSIONER YORK: Correct. 21 MR. D. JOHNSON: I looked at the numbers and, actually, if we bring District 3 all the way west to Peoria, 22 23 that gets us 58,000 people which is close to what we need. 24 COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, you get to take -- it was 25 43 and 48.

1	MR. D. JOHNSON: Right.
2	COMMISSIONER YORK: When I looked at it.
3	MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah, 28 was over by 48,000,
4	right.
5	COMMISSIONER YORK: 23 was under by 43,000. So if
6	you go west to the 17, then we make a few of these changes
7	in D2
8	MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah, so District 3 is losing
9	population now
10	COMMISSIONER YORK: Oh, I see what you're saying.
11	MR. D. JOHNSON: so it's going to be more short.
12	And District looking at both numbers. So
13	District 28, you're right, is short is over by 48,000 and
14	then District 27 is over by 13,000
15	COMMISSIONER YORK: Right.
16	MR. D. JOHNSON: so between the two of them you
17	got
18	COMMISSIONER YORK: We take Westbrook Village out
19	of 27 and put it into 28, but
20	MR. D. JOHNSON: Right.
21	I was just thinking the scale of how much shifting
22	is needed.
23	Either 1 and 2 can come west into 27 or 3 is going
24	to have to come farther
25	COMMISSIONER YORK: East.

1	MR. D. JOHNSON: west or 3 is going to have
2	to come farther west into 28, a lot farther west.
3	COMMISSIONER YORK: Let us a finish a couple of our
4	other suggestions and then
5	MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay. You were saying 29 down by
6	Agua Fria?
7	COMMISSIONER YORK: Yeah, I was just going to
8	balance them along the District 22, the Agua Fria border
9	there along the river.
10	MR. D. JOHNSON: Going to
11	MR. FLAHAN: I think it's already there.
12	MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay. 20 you bring 29 east
13	into 22?
14	COMMISSIONER YORK: Correct.
15	MR. D. JOHNSON: To balance 22?
16	COMMISSIONER YORK: I think District 1 is still
17	underpopulated.
18	COMMISSIONER LERNER: District 1 is over.
19	COMMISSIONER YORK: I mean overpopulated.
20	I think it was underpopulated.
21	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Over by 1,400.
22	COMMISSIONER YORK: We put Moon Valley into D2
23	which was underpopulated, that should have solved a fair
24	amount of that problem.
25	D8 is underpopulated?

1 MR. D. JOHNSON: It's --2 COMMISSIONER YORK: Mark? 3 MR. KINGERY: 30,000. COMMISSIONER YORK: There's a portion in 4 5 Commissioner Lerner's suggestions that we -- that we agree The Tempe-Mesa borderline which currently you have D9 6 7 following the 60, there's a little bubble in Tempe that 8 pokes just east of the 60, so I would grab all the Tempe 9 boundary in D8 and I would push up along the river to include the Mesa Riverview Park area north of the Tempe 10 11 canal into -- into D8 out of D9; and then I would balance D9 12 and D10 along the eastern border of 9 and western border of 13 10. 14 MR. D. JOHNSON: So I'm sorry, where -- so 15 District 8 picks up the rest of Tempe and then where's the 16 canal that you're talking about? 17 COMMISSIONER LERNER: You don't mean District 8 to 18 take the whole of Tempe, do you? 19 COMMISSIONER YORK: No, no. Just that little --20 yes, the eastern boundary there. 21 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Oh, the eastern boundary. 22 That's what I was wondering. 23 COMMISSIONER YORK: Yes, the same thing you were 24 talking about. And then the canal runs kind of northeast

and then that will connect the Salt River community with

25

1 Tempe. 2 MR. D. JOHNSON: Can you zoom in there? 3 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Salt River tribe has to have Saddleback Mountain included in the district which it's not 4 5 right now and they said there's no population, but I had seen that but -- so that should be just a courtesy change 6 7 with respect to that. 8 It's just to the north of the reservation -- of 9 what we show now. 10 MR. D. JOHNSON: So before we go off this, 11 though -- Brian, did you find the canal? 12 COMMISSIONER YORK: The canal, the eastern -- so if 13 you look at Alma School, if you go to the north part, 14 northwest corner of District 9, you can see that Mesa 15 Riverview community which includes the Chicago Cubs spring 16 training facility. MR. D. JOHNSON: Sorry, I -- okay. 17 18 COMMISSIONER YORK: Then that would connect then 19 all the baseball -- East Valley baseball spring training 20 facilities into District 8. MR. D. JOHNSON: So down to Rio Salado Parkway 21 22 there? 23 COMMISSIONER YORK: I would run it down along the 24 canal to the Tempe border.

MR. D. JOHNSON: Oh, is the canal kind of that

25

```
yellow strip running right through the Country Club?
 1
 2
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: Yeah.
 3
                  MR. FLAHAN: Yeah. It's here and the canal is
 4
         there.
 5
                  It's here.
 6
                  MR. D. JOHNSON:
                                   Okay.
 7
                  MR. FLAHAN: It's south of Mesa Riverview.
 8
                  There you go.
 9
                  MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay.
10
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: It's supposed to be in D8.
11
                  MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay.
12
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: So we're adding that to D8?
1.3
                  MR. D. JOHNSON: Hm-mm.
14
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: Okay. Just making sure.
15
                  MR. D. JOHNSON: Yep.
16
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: Then there's a portion of
17
         South Scottsdale that needs to be included in D8 along the
18
         canal as the -- as the D8 loops north through the Arcadia
19
         area up to -- and take it up to McDonald.
20
                  MR. D. JOHNSON: Up to what?
21
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: McDonald out of D4.
22
                  So this is -- this is Scottsdale.
23
                  MR. D. JOHNSON: So you want everything of
24
         Scottsdale south of McDonald would go into D8?
25
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: East of the canal.
```

MR. D. JOHNSON: So east -- oh, not all the way to the Scottsdale border, just to the canal?

COMMISSIONER YORK: Right.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Can I just ask a point of order in terms of what we're trying to do right now?

I was thinking we were doing some big picture concepts and not -- are we -- are we actually worrying about balancing everything right now? I guess I just want clarification as part of this -- as part of what direction we're going.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: I thought we were going to try to create the best map we could in this Maricopa County area and you were going to do likewise, and so part of us trying to create it is to at least look at some of the population balancing.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. So -- so my only question is if I don't get up to all the population balancing since this wasn't my map to start with, is that going to be okay?

COMMISSIONER MEHL: That's going to be fine.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Yeah. And in fact, you know, be careful with how far out you go; and I want to remind you, be careful about what you're doing because the further you move away from consensus the more I'm not going to like your map, so it's not going to go far. So -- so keep it

1 together.

And I just want to -- you know, I'm interested to see how this plays out and I'm really interested in seeing the different ideas, so I'm going to let you have some latitude with the changes 'cause I'm curious, so.

COMMISSIONER YORK: And you have -- do you have the change on D4 following Camelback to 16th Street moving north, taking in the Biltmore and the Squaw Peak resort?

MR. D. JOHNSON: Searching for Camelback on here.

There we go.

So District 4 already goes down to -- oh, I see, it's got a notch coming above Camelback. So you want to come -- so I guess that this may go back to the Chair and her -- I think that this piece comes from her, but District 4 coming south to Camelback, that will add that little blue triangle there.

Do you have that, Brian?

COMMISSIONER YORK: That's a green triangle.

MR. D. JOHNSON: No, no.

COMMISSIONER YORK: That's Arizona Country Club, we're not talking about that.

MR. D. JOHNSON: No, no. Where the blue -- no, over to the right. The blue triangle where it comes across Camelback.

Yeah. That's bringing D4 down to Camelback just --

1	COMMISSIONER YORK: No, we were talking about the
2	western side of D4.
3	MR. D. JOHNSON: So how far south do you want to
4	come over?
5	COMMISSIONER YORK: You take Camelback east west
6	to the 16th Street, that includes the Biltmore.
7	MR. D. JOHNSON: That's going in the
8	MR. FLAHAN: You see that?
9	MR. D. JOHNSON: Oh, you're bringing D4 into D1?
10	Is that correct?
11	COMMISSIONER YORK: Yes.
12	MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay. So District 4 is going to
13	District 1. North Camelback over to 16.
14	Th and how far north should that change take?
15	No, no. North of Camelback.
16	So oh, I see, all the way up to the District 4
17	border. That whole so that rough triangle. District 4,
18	take everything over to Fiesta Parkway and north of
19	Camelback, correct?
20	COMMISSIONER YORK: Correct.
21	MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay. So then over going back
22	to the Scottsdale change. So District 8 is taking
23	everything east of the canal up to McDonald.
24	COMMISSIONER YORK: Correct.
25	MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay.

And the canal is just -- just east of Scottsdale 1 Road, am I seeing that correct? 2 3 COMMISSIONER YORK: Right there, yes. MR. D. JOHNSON: The other side of Scottsdale Road. 4 5 Oh, oh, he was highlighting the canal. So just everything from the canal including 6 7 Scottsdale Road, okay. 8 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Ready for another? 9 MR. D. JOHNSON: Sure. 10 COMMISSIONER MEHL: So to bring D11 a little closer 11 to the Latino Coalition map, we could move the southern 12 boundary of D1 to Oak Street which is between Thomas and 13 McDowell and just take it straight on across, you know, 14 between D26 and D8 to create the D1-D11 boundary. Just Oak 15 Street all the way. 16 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Just -- I'm sorry. Trying to 17 listen and watch the map. Are you saying you're just moving 18 the boundary of D1 south a little bit? 19 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Yes. 20 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. And you're cutting the 21 top part of D11 a little bit? 22 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Yeah, a little bit. 23 COMMISSIONER LERNER: What was the name of the 24 road? 25 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Oak Street.

1	MR. D. JOHNSON: And are we bringing D11 north?
2	COMMISSIONER MEHL: It straightens the line, so all
3	the way across.
4	MR. D. JOHNSON: So on the west side, D11 comes up
5	to Oak and on the east side take it down
6	COMMISSIONER MEHL: Yes.
7	MR. D. JOHNSON: comes down there.
8	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Wait. Oak is?
9	COMMISSIONER MEHL: Between Thomas and McDowell.
10	COMMISSIONER LERNER: So you're talking about it
11	from going from east to west?
12	COMMISSIONER MEHL: Yes.
13	COMMISSIONER YORK: Correct.
14	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. Got it.
15	And then you're bringing District 1 up in that
16	corner then?
17	COMMISSIONER MEHL: A little bit, yes.
18	COMMISSIONER YORK: North of the freeway.
19	MR. FLAHAN: There you go. That's it.
20	MR. D. JOHNSON: That's taking about 11,000 out of
21	D11.
22	And can you do the flip side into D11?
23	COMMISSIONER MEHL: And I think that finishes this
24	for our comments on Maricopa.
25	MR. KINGERY: So this corner?

```
1
                  MR. D. JOHNSON: No, no. It's farther left.
 2
                  MR. KINGERY: This one?
 3
                  MR. D. JOHNSON: You're taking -- D11 is gaining
         from D1.
 4
 5
                  MR. KINGERY: Okay.
                  MR. D. JOHNSON: So Oak Street is the south.
 6
 7
                  MR. FLAHAN: So you're saying cut across here?
 8
                  MR. D. JOHNSON: Straight across. All the way
 9
         across, so this piece...
                  MR. FLAHAN: Cut across here. That street.
10
11
                  MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah.
                                          Okay.
12
                  Oh . . .
1.3
                  Not the D11 piece.
14
                  MR. KINGERY: Well, you can see it's on the table
15
         on the bottom. You can -- it's 7 -- 72.
16
                  MR. D. JOHNSON: Oh, okay. Oh, perfect. Okay.
17
                  Yes, that would be a net gain of about -- I'm
18
         sorry, net loss of about 4,000 people from D11. It's not a
19
         big population shift.
20
                  And it's currently 2,500 over, so it would just be
         1,500 short if we do that.
21
22
                  Do you have other?
23
                  COMMISSIONER MEHL: We are done for Maricopa.
24
                  MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay. The other question I have
25
         for you -- can you -- sorry. The only question, so the 27,
```

1 the Westbrook Village change, Brian checked that and it's about 2,600 people that would come out of 27, and that 2 3 would be -- and 27 is currently over by 13,000, so that would still leave 27 over by about 10,000. So I don't know 4 5 if you had another area that we could look at in 27? 6 COMMISSIONER YORK: D1. 7 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Didn't we make some other 8 changes? 9 COMMISSIONER YORK: No. Does D1 need more 10 population? I can't remember. 11 MR. D. JOHNSON: It's currently significantly over but it's going to lose Moon Valley and it's going to lose 12 1.3 the Biltmore area, so I don't know how it's going to come 14 out. 15 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Can I ask a question about 16 your D1? 17 I'm not sure I heard how you handled Sunnyslope, is 18 it divided or did you unify it in LD-1? 19 COMMISSIONER YORK: It's unified. 20 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. Thank you. 21 COMMISSIONER MEHL: You know, as 22 Commissioner Lerner mentioned, I don't think we're going to 23 perfectly balance on this round. So if we've got a few 24 places where we didn't make it, I think we would rather see 25 it than try to figure it out at the moment when we're not

1 sure what we're doing.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER YORK: Sure. If I was to suggest,
D7 -- D27 is still over and D1 is still under, we can move
the western boundary of the north side of D1 across the top
of the Latino Coalition's suggestions one more mile. You
could balance along there.

MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay. And then just the north -- excuse me -- so in terms of the D28-D3 border, we should bring that to I-17 and just let you look at it from there; is that correct?

COMMISSIONER YORK: Yeah, I mean if you...

MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay. Yeah.

Okay.

MR. FLAHAN: Just to let you know, the Latino Coalition did publish a 4.0 map and that is now out public.

COMMISSIONER YORK: How about that.

MR. D. JOHNSON: Just now.

MR. FLAHAN: Just now.

COMMISSIONER YORK: Merry Christmas.

MR. KINGERY: That plan does not pass integrity checks so it is not able to be submitted officially, but it -- I have republished it for them and shared it to everyone so it is accessible to all in the redistricting system.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: And I think that what's most

important is we received an e-mail with a narrative about 1 2 new priorities and new -- new areas to focus on which is 3 very helpful and we're very appreciative, even without a 4 full map. 5 COMMISSIONER YORK: What's that map called, Brian? MR. KINGERY: If you go to the "everyone" tab and 6 7 type "Latino," it is 4.0. And it contains I believe seven districts -- seven 8 9 legislative districts. 10 MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay. I think ... 11 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. 12 MR. D. JOHNSON: We're good to go in this 13 direction. 14 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Do you need any 15 clarification? You want anything recited back or do you 16 want it passed over to the other side? 17 COMMISSIONER YORK: We're fine. 18 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. 19 Commissioners, take your time. This is --20 COMMISSIONER LERNER: My computer was going crazy. CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: -- it's so much information 21 22 that's coming in that's fluid and live. 23 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Thank vou. 24 So -- there's a few things, you know, we'll 25 certainly go with Saddleback Mountain for the Salt River, we know that that's important to them; agree with Sunnyslope in District 1 as you mentioned; completely agree with the Chairwoman's comment about Biltmore, so all of that sounds good as -- as some changes.

I'll just mention for District 9 and I will be honest -- sorry, our computers are -- I -- I'm not going to be as good in terms of population balancing at this point because this wasn't a map that I had focused on as much. So these are my suggestions and hope that some of these will happen.

So just to mention the District 8, District 9, I'd like to have a little bit different take than what Commissioner York had mentioned. The area that I think should go with the Salt River or go into D8 is actually bounded at Rio Salado Parkway over to Country Club, it's a corner; and actually, it could even go to University and probably should because Westwood High School, which is one of their prime high schools, and their middle school and elementary schools are all in that corner. So instead of just following the canal, I think it would be helpful to just take that little corner out.

Over -- Alma School actually we could use even in that area, doesn't have to go as far as Country Club, but I want to be sure we capture -- capture the schools. I may have had it to -- anyway, let's try that. Because I want to

make sure we get in those three schools for the community. 1 So that -- that's the -- just a light variation of 2 3 what Commissioner York had suggested and that's just to address exactly where the schools are, which is I know a 4 5 direct thought from the president of the Salt River 6 Pima-Maricopa Indian community. 7 And then... 8 MR. D. JOHNSON: Oh, Commissioner, if I could? 9 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Yes. 10 MR. D. JOHNSON: Just to be sure, so you want us to 11 come down to University or down to Rio Salado? 12 COMMISSIONER LERNER: I think -- I'm pretty sure 13 that the school is north of University, Westwood, I don't 14 know if you can find it. 15 But I'm pretty -- I'm trying to be sure to include 16 that particular school because that's a big population there. So it's just a slight variation on what 17 18 Commissioner York proposed. 19 MR. D. JOHNSON: I can look that up. 20 COMMISSIONER YORK: It looks like Westbrook is east of Alma School. 21 22 COMMISSIONER LERNER: East? So then it would be 23 over to Country Club, we'd need to capture that. 24 MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah, it's actually on the south

side of Rio Salado.

25

Brian's got it -- the mouse on it there.

1.3

COMMISSIONER YORK: It's on the north.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. I think in the -- on the map that we had proposed, we had gone from University over to Country Club and up. So something like that is what I'm suggesting to capture the schools that are in that area, there are -- there should be three schools in that area: Middle school, elementary and high school. And those were very specific requests by the tribe and that gets them into Mesa which they also had requested.

So that's the main -- the main comment in there that is very similar my Republican colleagues.

The only other thing I would do with LD-9 since we just took a chunk of that out, is that we could actually extend the southern border a little bit one more block -- let me get the street. Actually it could go down to the canal. Which would actually make sure to capture that entire Dobson Ranch area and it could just -- just extend District 9 down.

MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah. So actually -- Brian, can you put the Mesa city line on there?

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Oh, it might be down to the city line, that might be the city line.

MR. FLAHAN: It is.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. So just extend

District 9 down to the city line, that would be perfect.

MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay.

1.3

COMMISSIONER LERNER: And that would go over to Country Club. Yeah, that would be great.

MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: So that's -- that's a change -- oh, I just wanted to clarify that change for the -- from the tribal perspective in terms of being a little bit more specific in how that could work.

Going into the central part of Phoenix, District 11 is the one place that I've mentioned already and I don't know if this latest iteration of what -- I just saw this now and you just identified the fact that they sent something in, they're commenting on District 11 I know, I just pulled up their comments, and I basically feel that the District 11 that they had drawn was a really nice, cohesive community and that -- and that should be maintained the way that they had drawn it, that District 11.

And so basically that would take -- go up to Laveen and it would be a southern district. It would take -- the northern boundary would basically be Van Buren and mostly just south of the river, but it could come down Van Buren just to keep a clean break right across there.

And then go over to the west to make sure that it captures all of Laveen in the boundaries.

I mean, that's to me one of the biggest changes that I have for this map is making sure that that district and those people are connected. They take in the same school districts as apparently noted in -- this would keep the school districts whole in South Phoenix. They do a lot -- they have a lot -- they go to -- there are a lot of churches that they go to, places of worship, communities, restaurants, all that stuff goes together; but mostly, it's that they actually have a lot connected in there.

So if we could make that change for District 11, that would be one of the biggest changes from this map at this point that I'm requesting.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Can I -- I just want to clarify something. I -- I don't have a new submission of D11 from the Latino Coalition, so which -- which D11 are you talking about? The first?

COMMISSIONER LERNER: All I have from them is the e-mail that says: "Keep Laveen whole with the rest of South Phoenix." It was just in their narrative, I haven't looked at a map.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. They have it D1. It's the same -- okay, I got confused. They labeled it D1 and I didn't look enough.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: And I haven't actually looked at their map so I -- I don't know what their map looks like.

MR. D. JOHNSON: So Commissioner, if I can just make sure I'm understanding. So the north part of what's on the screen is D11 would drop down to Van Buren --

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Right.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: You know, you could actually if you just took the latest -- it's probably exactly what I'm going to try to describe, but they'll -- that map that they just submitted for district -- I guess it's District 1 it states --

MR. D. JOHNSON: -- and go straight across?

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Yeah, so this is their map right here.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Oh, they didn't label them.

MR. KINGERY: So the way that they submitted their file to us and I republished it only, the plan in itself would have seven districts. So the numbers don't really align.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Right.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. Honestly, I just would like that district if that can be -- that's the district boundaries rather than me trying to repeat them, if we can just use those district boundaries. I think the District 11 was done very specifically for specific communities.

MR. KINGERY: So the District 11 in this -- on the left side of the screen that I'm showing on the right side

1 is the Latino Coalition 4.0 submission, their District 1. 2 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Yes, so it would be that 3 District 1 instead of this map's District 11. main -- that's the biggest change that -- as I've mentioned, 4 5 that I have a concern about. And I do think --6 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: 7 COMMISSIONER LERNER: And I know I don't have --8 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: And I do think it's helpful 9 to look at the way -- what I found compelling about why I 10 liked the first two congressional districts that they 11 submitted, it fit together. And so I do think it's -- you know, I'll have -- need to study these lines and see how it 12 1.3 fits. 14 But I do like and I encourage my colleagues just to 15 take a look at the interconnection between the LDs and why 16 they think it makes sense. 17 MR. D. JOHNSON: I will note just so everyone is 18 clear, the new map changes Districts 24 and 26. 19 COMMISSIONER LERNER: I'm not -- I'm not going to 20 go in there -- I'm not going there right now. 21 COMMISSIONER YORK: Changes them again. 22 COMMISSIONER LERNER: All right. We are not going 23 there right now. 24 MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah. 25 COMMISSIONER LERNER: I am simply going to --

District 11 was one of the big concerns, I wanted to bring that up and I wanted to make that change. I'm not sure what the changes are, I have not -- I just received this as you did. I don't know if they're big changes or small --

COMMISSIONER YORK: They're massive.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: -- as part of that, but if we could at least start. I mean, it may be something to come back to once we have more time to study it, but for now I'd like to just focus on that District 11 and then I know that impacts the District 22 but it could take some -- oh, wait. Let me get rid of this.

It could take some portions potentially and I'm not -- again, I'm not going to try to balance, but --

MR. D. JOHNSON: Commissioner --

COMMISSIONER LERNER: -- District 23 is a little overpopulated so it might be able to just extend a little bit further west. I guess is -- it's --

I'm sorry, Doug Johnson, you had something?

MR. D. JOHNSON: I was just going to say, yeah, 22 is a sensitive part, but then we -- if you just want to see kind of how it all falls into place, then we can adjust 22 and then just 27, 2 and 1, we just rot- -- we can just rotate those three around to see where they end up.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: That would be great. That would be great.

MR. D. JOHNSON: Yes, 22 will be interesting there. 1 2 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Well, it's -- it's --3 MR. KINGERY: To D1? COMMISSIONER LERNER: -- it's going back to 1 and I 4 5 think that's -- and that's -- to me the 11 being split, having Laveen split from South Mountain, just isn't a 6 7 The South Mountain communities really connect all 8 the way through south of the river. 9 MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah. 10 COMMISSIONER LERNER: So yeah, you may be able to 11 find ways to play around with that, that's -- that was one 12 of the big changes. 1.3 I just have two or three other changes that -- at 14 this point just as things that I would suggest and then kind 15 of see what happens with that. I'm not trying to make 16 wholesale changes at this time as much as just a few things that I think are significantly important and then we can 17 18 always come back and take a look. 19 I want to say I'll reserve the opportunity to make 20 additional suggestions, this is just what I'm doing at this 21 moment. 22 So any other thoughts or questions that you have on 23 LD-11? 24 Okay. 25 MR. D. JOHNSON: Just the only thought -- Brian,

can you show the Maricopa seats again, please.

1.3

COMMISSIONER LERNER: I mean, LD --

MR. D. JOHNSON: In order to coming -- we'll probably need to roughly follow their District 22 as well. It won't be exactly their District 22 because of 24 and 26, but if you're roughly comfortable with that 22 so we keep -- so we don't lose a Latino majority seat.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Right.

MR. D. JOHNSON: And then -- then we just rotate the rest of the population around from there and see where it falls.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: That sounds good.

MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: That sounds good.

So again, I mentioned I like the suggestion that our Chairwoman gave for LD-4 as part of that, have the western boundary at 16th. The only thing I would do would be to move the southern boundary south a little bit to Indian School because I think that's more of where Arcadia goes. So just to be sure we've got all of Arcadia in there.

MR. D. JOHNSON: This is District 4?

COMMISSIONER LERNER: District 4.

And then it can balance a little bit with -- that helps it balance a little bit maybe with District 3. So District 3 again can take some of that north new development

north of the Frank Lloyd Wright Boulevard-101 that I've 1 2 mentioned. If we're pushing District 4 down a little bit 3 all I'm saying is what I said before, take the 101 as a boundary there. 4 5 MR. D. JOHNSON: Oh, do you want us to use Frank 6 Lloyd Wright or the 101? 7 COMMISSIONER LERNER: I'm sorry? 8 MR. D. JOHNSON: I wasn't getting the connection 9 between Frank Lloyd Wright and the 101. COMMISSIONER LERNER: Oh, did I say the wrong name? 10 11 I may be saying the wrong name. I mean, I'll be honest, 12 North Phoenix is not a place I go to as often. 13 So you know what, we could even -- maybe just use 14 the canal. Although I would say the 101 -- or -- or the 15 canal. I'm not sure -- I'm looking at population there a 16 little bit because I'm saying move it down. To me the natural divide would be the 101, but it could go as far as 17 18 the canal if need be that's there, the -- or is that not the 19 canal? 20 MR. D. JOHNSON: That is the canal. I think 21 there's very little population between the canal and 101. 22 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Yeah. 23 MR. D. JOHNSON: We can just use the 101. 24 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Let's just use the 101, that 25 would be fine.

MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay.

1.3

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. Let me just look at -- I don't know how these things are going to be impacted. So we've just looked at 8 and 9. I had a note -- D11 -- okay, just a second.

I guess I just want to ask a question about the East Valley.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Yeah, and you know what, we're due for a break soon, too. So if at any point you want to break for, you know, 10, 15 minutes and finish up, whatever -- whatever feels good for you.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Yeah, we could take a break.

I just wanted -- I know we were focused on the

Central Phoenix so I didn't know if -- and I did that one

little piece in District 9, I wanted to know whether or not

we wanted to address any of the East Valley or wait for

that, I'm okay either way.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I let my colleagues here have some latitude, so -- so have latitude within reason, I mean, you know. I'm even willing to possibly look into my very perfect square. But within reason I think you understand, you know, the logic behind it, so I want to give latitude but I also don't want to set us all up for discouraging results, so let's focus on our consensus.

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC

COMMISSIONER LERNER: So maybe we can take a break

and I can --

1.3

2 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: -- think about -- that would be -- I mean, there are other changes certainly that -- I didn't even address the West Valley or anything but at this point I don't want to overdo, I want to sort of get a feel for where we are and then come back and take a look, knowing that we still may be making more changes, is that -- so maybe can take a short break, see if we want to do anything in the east besides what we've done with District 9, but come back and that would be the last piece.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: And I would especially not focus too much time if you're going to want to incorporate the new feedback from Latino Coalition and then change it all, so you may want to -- whatever you-all want to do but -- anyway.

So recess for how much time?

VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Ten minutes.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Ten minutes, okay.

(Recess taken from 2:10 p.m. to 2:24 p.m.)

22 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: All right. Thank you.

Welcome back. We are on Agenda Item No. VI, draft map decision discussion. We are discussing the legislative map and Commissioner Lerner, I will turn the floor over to

1 you to continue to share your thoughts. 2 COMMISSIONER LERNER: So just had one last change 3 that I think will help, tightens up 13 and 14 but mostly it just helps Gilbert get more completely into a single 4 5 district or as close to one as we possibly can. 6 It's a small change, just to move the -- the border 7 from -- it's right now at Greenfield and then just to move 8 it over to the west, over to Lindsay, which should put most 9 of Gilbert then together as part of that. 10 MR. FLAHAN: You're talking about the border of D13 11 and D14? 12 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Correct. I'm sorry, I wasn't 13 clear. 14 MR. FLAHAN: Over to Lindsay, all the way. 15 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Yeah, just shifting --16 shifting that border over. 17 COMMISSIONER YORK: Do you want to follow the city 18

COMMISSIONER YORK: Do you want to follow the city boundaries or do you want to go straight up and down?

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Well, the city boundaries change the entire look.

COMMISSIONER YORK: I gotcha.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER LERNER: I'm not trying to change everything as much as just shifting the boundaries for D14 which has that narrow piece. But in looking at the Gilbert, if we took a little more of it, more of it would be whole,

and so that's what I'm looking at is we could -- I'm saying 1 2 Lindsay, we could also go to I think Lindsay would be right. MR. FLAHAN: Yeah, it's 40,000 people. 3 4 MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah. COMMISSIONER LERNER: Yeah, I mean, I know we're 5 6 going to have to do balancing as part of that. We would end 7 up having to move -- I mean, we could potentially go, just looking at the -- yeah, see, that takes more of it into 8 9 Gilbert. Try it one block -- not one block, one mile over to 10 11 Val Vista, can we just take a look at what that does in 12 terms of the city boundaries, that's really what I'm looking 1.3 at here if you just took it. 14 COMMISSIONER YORK: East. East of Val Vista, there 15 you qo. 16 MR. D. JOHNSON: Too much. 17 COMMISSIONER LERNER: So I'm not tied exactly. 18 want to try to keep the concept that we have here as much as 19 we can, but I'd like to get more of Gilbert in the single 20 district. That's I quess what I'm at -- looking at, without 21 changing the entire concept of District 13.

MR. FLAHAN: So Val Vista is about 12,000 people.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. And District 14 I know was...

MR. D. JOHNSON: You can see on the screen there,

22

23

24

25

District 14 would end up 6.6 percent short.

COMMISSIONER YORK: 14 or 13?

MR. D. JOHNSON: 14 would be short.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: 14 -- no, 14 would be...

MR. KINGERY: No, it wasn't a clean selection, so looking at what is selected on here district -- of District 13, which is essentially everything in blue in the blue district -- in the blue-shaded district, comes out to 12 and a half.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Yeah. And really my -- my thought there was to try to keep the basic construct of District 13, not modify it greatly but just get a little more of Gilbert together, that's all instead of that narrow area and I know that 13 was overpopulated.

COMMISSIONER YORK: 4,800 people.

commissioner Lerner: Right. So -- and again, I'm not doing the balancing at this point, I know we -- we'll come back to that and we could always make more adjustments to that, but that was my -- my suggestion there was just to try to increase the amount of Gilbert in District 14. So even that one swath would -- would I think accomplish a lot of what we heard from them.

And then we'll have to figure out -- I know we have to figure out what to do about District 13. We might be able to have it go a little bit -- well, I don't even know

1 what to suggest right now on that, but that was my thought about why I was suggesting that. 2 3 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: My suggestion is if you want to suggest an alternative to 13, now is the time because 4 5 moving forward, things will only get more and more decided. 6 So take your opportunity now but keep in mind, you know, 7 with some restraint with making sure that it's within the 8 realm of --COMMISSIONER YORK: Shereen, if you just took the 9 north -- northeast corner of 13, 4,000 -- 4,800 and moved it 10 11 into 14, whatever that is, that would probably take into 12 quite a bit of Gilbert along the freeway maybe, north. 1.3 COMMISSIONER LERNER: The north part? 14 Well, I mean -- so just -- you're talking about 15 north of the 202? 16 COMMISSIONER YORK: Yes. 17 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Yeah. 18 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Over to Gilbert? 19 MR. KINGERY: That was what is currently shown as 20 selected on the map. 21 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Oh, yeah, that would take --COMMISSIONER YORK: Is that 4,800 roughly? 22 23 MR. D. JOHNSON: That's going the wrong way though. 24 COMMISSIONER YORK: No, it's not. 13 is over, 14's 25 under.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: You can't do that --

1.3

MR. D. JOHNSON: Not after we make the change to Lindsay.

COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, we didn't make the Lindsay change yet.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: We wouldn't have to do both.

MR. D. JOHNSON: Oh, okay.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: So as -- as you know, I mean, I'm trying to stay within the concept. As you know, I had -- so I appreciate, Chairwoman, what you just said.

I had a completely different version for

District 13 and District 14 but I didn't know that -- I

thought we were trying to not completely overhaul the map,

which is the main reason I'm trying to just make some

adjustments without a complete change and honor what's

there. Otherwise I would probably make the suggestion to -
to follow the city lines a little bit more for both 13 and

14 with Gilbert and Chandler, which was -- but I don't -- I

don't want to go there if that's a nonstarter. So I'd

rather -- if we don't want to go there, then I just have a

few minor changes here.

I quess I'm just asking.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: You know what, to be perfectly honest, I'm not sure if that's a nonstarter. You know, I think -- my gut tells me it's going to create too

many complication in the ripple effect. I think slight modifications probably are safer and from there to build.

I believe everybody knows that I'm very sensitive to the Latino and Asian community in that pocket and if there's -- you know, I believe actually our map best captures them and puts them in districts where they will be well represented, but that would be an argument that would compel me to make a more substantive change.

But I don't want to cramp your rein to -- this is your opportunity to have a cohesive map and -- and to be honest, you know, again, I learn from watching all of it, and so if you're less worried about what map is going to be voted to be approved and more interested in the educational persuasion of the process, I'd say lean in. You know, so I think you have to decide but -- but I'm going to learn whatever you do and -- and consider the ideas.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: I appreciate that. Thank you.

Okay. So I agree that the -- the ramifications of this might -- there would be ripple effects but we have some changes because of the District 9 change that we're suggesting for the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian community already in District 9.

I don't know how population is occurring but my main thought would just be to -- to try to get -- and I

don't even know that I need to give you exact boundaries, but we heard a lot from both -- well, mostly the Gilbert community but somewhat the Chandler as well that if we could get more of them into a district -- into their own district and less pulled out, that would be ideal and that's really all I'm trying to get at here without throwing everything out that we have.

So if we make some of those change -- if we make a change on the eastern border between 13 and 14, that will help Gilbert become more whole and then we can push -- we potentially could push Chandler a little bit north.

I know that's going to impact D12, we're going to have to figure that out. But again, I'm trying -- I'm trying to respect the fact that we don't want to throw out everything with that. But that would be -- my thought would be to change the -- modify slightly by pushing D14 west a little bit and then potentially pushing D13 north a little bit. There are Latino communities that are in that part of D12 that could -- that are part of the older parts of Chandler, but I'm not really sure.

MR. D. JOHNSON: I guess the bigger challenge looking at this is D14 is almost perfectly balanced right now. If we add this population into it, it's going to be -- did you say 5 and a half percent? -- I think 5 and a half percent over. The only non-Gilbert population we can take

out is the little strip of Mesa at the -- at the north tip. 1 2 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Yeah, between Baseline and 3 US-60. 4 MR. D. JOHNSON: Exactly. 5 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Yeah and that was -- that 6 would be the boundary of the city anyway. 7 MR. D. JOHNSON: Right. 8 COMMISSIONER LERNER: So you could extend 9 District 10 down there, that's a good idea, and that just 10 gets the city boundary clean. So that might help. 11 MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah. District 13, I believe 12 Commission York mentioned, is already over. So if we're 13 just moving 10,000 or 13,000, it may still be okay. It will 14 be close. 15 But 14 is the one we need to balance. So if we 16 can --17 COMMISSIONER YORK: 10 is over right now. 18 MR. D. JOHNSON: Right, then we'll have to balance 19 10 or... 20 Sorry. I know there are some COMMISSIONER LERNER: 21 changes that we could be making in there but I'm also concerned about how -- I mean, I guess I would want to see 22 23 how these changes get reflected. There are some things we 24 could do to move District 13 a little bit further north. 25 Right now it's at Ray but I know that then we have to find

the population in 12.

1.3

MR. D. JOHNSON: Right.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: So I'm trying to just kind of make a modest change at this point, but I want to recognize that -- and can you show the boundaries of Chandler again?

Is that what you have there?

MR. KINGERY: Yes, Gilbert.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: No, I want to see -- I think Gilbert we've got the idea, right? We're going to take that piece out to try to get a little bit more of Gilbert in there, that's really what I was trying to do.

This is Chandler now, right?

MR. D. JOHNSON: Correct.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: So again, for the same purposes of trying to actually just get more of Chandler, that was the whole point was to try to get more of Chandler without completely disrupting everything in here.

And I know I'm a little bit stuck on it right now and so maybe it's something I can just come back to if that would be okay, because I'm a little stuck on exactly where a line should go.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Lerner, I know you like what mayors have to say. I just want to let you know that the mayor of Chandler was very, very happy with

1 CD-13. I mean --COMMISSIONER LERNER: I do like --2 3 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: -- I'm sorry, not CD. LD-13. 4 COMMISSIONER LERNER: LD-13.5 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Just sharing. 6 COMMISSIONER LERNER: I do appreciate that. 7 MR. D. JOHNSON: And keep in mind, District 12 is 8 already losing population because District 9 is coming south 9 into District 12 a bit. 10 COMMISSIONER YORK: 12's population is even, it was 11 balanced. 12 MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah. Right. So it will be 13 short -- as the rest of Mesa goes into 9 from 12, so it 14 would be somewhat short already. So if we take more of it, 15 it will need to make it up somewhere. 16 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. Well, you know what, 17 for right now one of the biggest things was to take that --18 that piece that we just talked about. 19 I may come -- I probably would come back to this 20 but I just am not comfortable at this point making any other 21 recommendations without knowing what it does to population 22 and to all those other things and I also don't want to 23 completely overhaul that district. 24 I just wanted to make a few minor changes, and it 25 might mean that we have to make a few others here and there

but -- without making substantial changes. So I think with that, at this point I think I want to stop with the leg- -- there are other legislative changes at some point, but we wanted to give our big picture ones right now and that's all I wanted to do that I've done at this point.

So I think -- Madam Chair, I think I would stop here.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I want to thank you. You know, I think that the more we collectively move towards the fine-tuning rather than the dramatic overhauls, I think we're going to really come together and work very, very well together -- well, we are working well together. But, I'm optimistic.

Okay. At this point I'm curious, Mapping is it -I believe you have the congressional map available. What
would you suggest is -- do you have demographics and other,
you know, political reports to go along with it, is that
something that we could spend a little bit more time on
today? Or do you have other recommendations for us to use
our time?

MR. FLAHAN: What we see is if we could take a break, we'll get them working on the other legislative map and we will run the demographics and get it to you and then we can discuss the congressional map when we get back, maybe like ten minutes.

1	CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Colleagues, how does that
2	plan sound?
3	VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Madam Chairman.
4	CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Yes, please.
5	VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: As I'm looking into this and
6	going back to the northern part of the state, the Navajo, I
7	have some additional thoughts as to the cuts in Flagstaff
8	that might help me process a little easier. And so, if I
9	could?
10	CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Oh, of I
11	VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Yeah, so I want to throw
12	that
13	CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: If you're not done, I'm very
14	sorry.
15	VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: No, I'm sorry, just trying to
16	get my thoughts together.
17	CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay.
18	VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Going back up to Flagstaff as
19	we look at the splits there, I think if we look at Flagstaff
20	and go north of the railroad, I think we're using I-40.
21	MR. D. JOHNSON: Sorry. Where are you talking
22	about right now moving?
23	So right now we're following the city border of
24	Flagstaff.
25	VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Is that what we're doing?

1 MR. D. JOHNSON: Between 6 and 7, yeah. VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Okay. The Navajo proposal, 2 3 I've got a few thoughts so I want to throw this out though. The -- because we certainly have to consider whether or not 4 5 including Flagstaff jeopardizes the ability for the Natives to elect their candidate of choice and so I want to throw 6 7 out something to consider. 8 That is Flagstaff, if you go north of the 9 railroad -- and this is coming from -- from the Navajo 10 officials and so I'm sharing that with you. 11 So if we look at that and then if we include --12 Sorry. I'm sorry. Looking north MR. D. JOHNSON: 1.3 of the railroad, you mean District 6 picking up --14 VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Yeah. So --15 MR. D. JOHNSON: -- everything up to the railroad? 16 VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: So District 6, the southern border of District 6, would be the railroad that goes 17 18 through Flagstaff. 19 MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay. 20 VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Not Interstate 40. 21 MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay. 22 VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: So that's one thought. 23 The other thought is Holbrook, Winslow and 24 Joseph City, if you look at the school districts, there's a

significant number of Navajo children that travel from the

25

reservation; in fact, sometimes they spend three or four hours a day in buses, but the closest educational facilities are Holbrook, Winslow and Joseph City. So if you include those in District 6, and to compensate that removing Snowflake, Taylor, Shumway I think -- yeah, Shumway.

MR. D. JOHNSON: One second, can you -- removing Snowflake?

VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Okay, Snowflake. Going down the road: Snowflake, Taylor, Shumway, White Mountain Lakes, Show Low and Wagon Wheel.

Now, those are communities right north of the White Mountain Apache reservation. And so I think what that would do is it would create a district where it -- it continues to uphold what the Navajo Nation is looking for and the tribes, but there would be a deviation I think of probably 5 percent -- less than 5 percent.

I'm trying to come in between what Commissioner

Mehl was -- was talking about and what -- what the Navajo

Nation was proposing and so this -- this alters the Navajo

Nation proposal.

And so back to Flagstaff north of the railroad, including the three towns -- Holbrook, Joseph City and Winslow -- and including the school districts, and then removing the -- the six towns that I mentioned -- or communities.

So I'll stop there but that -- I wanted to put that 1 2 on the table as well, Madam Chair. 3 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Any other directives? 4 Okay. 5 MR. FLAHAN: I think the mapping side, we're good and we're working on publishing 10.0 congressional to you 6 7 guys so you could look at it during the break. CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay, so excellent. 8 Thank 9 you. 10 And what kind of break do you suggest? Ten minutes. 11 MR. FLAHAN: 12 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Do we need maybe a little 1.3 more? 14 COMMISSIONER YORK: Sure, 15. 3 o'clock. 15 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: 15. Recess for 15. We will 16 reconvene at 3 o'clock. 17 (Recess taken from 2:45 p.m. to 3:08 p.m.) 18 19 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. Thank you. 20 So yeah we -- we have the map to look at it, did 21 some interesting things, surprised me. I would like to now 22 improve it. 23 And as I look at it I, you know, I took a stab 24 based on communities of interest but I also have to note 25 that in our draft map I do not feel -- yes, there were

certain pockets of small communities that we messed up, but

I believe we were able to capture a spirit in the state

without compromising communities of interest.

So as we analyze this map and make recommendations, I suggest that the only options that are actually going to go anywhere are ones that bring us back to the spirit of the draft map in which I think we honored communities of interest and did a better job of competitiveness.

And I own this, this is my map, so tear it apart but honor -- honor communities of interest and the constitutional priorities. Let's have debate and then we can see if there's some -- some mapping suggestions that would work for everybody, you know.

I open it up for comments.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: Madam Chair, are there any things that you see, since this is your map, that you would like to address first?

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: No, I'm open into -- into, you know, your ideas first.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: So if I could make a -- one suggestion and I don't know, maybe we go back and forth a little bit if you want.

But the first thing I notice is District 4. I'd like to adjust District 4. It goes into parts of District 5. I think that piece that heads down to the south

below Elliot, that block that's there for District 4, you 1 2 see what I'm talking about on the -- on the far east side? 3 MR. FLAHAN: Yep. 4 COMMISSIONER LERNER: That should pull out of District 5. 5 COMMISSIONER YORK: District 4. 6 7 COMMISSIONER LERNER: No, I'm saying that piece of 8 District 4 -- yes, pull it out of District 5, that's what 9 you're saying -- correct. 10 Correct. Thank you. 11 COMMISSIONER YORK: Goes into District 5. 12 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Right. I'm saying to pull 1.3 that out which -- and I know District 5 is underpopulated; I 14 also believe that you should move back the east border for 15 District 4 to Power Road, which will help add population 16 back into District 5. So make Power Road the border would 17 be a suggestion in there. 18 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: And that does not impact 19 populations with other ripples? 20 COMMISSIONER YORK: No. COMMISSIONER LERNER: Well, it actually should 21 22 help. 23 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Yeah, okay. 24 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Yeah, it should help because District 4 is overpopulated so we're pulling that back and 25

District 5 was underpopulated so that adds population there.

COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, depending how the population balances, I agree with Commissioner Lerner. The lower what I would call the southeast corner of Mesa belongs in District 5. I'm not sure I'd go back to Power, maybe go back to the freeway there, the 202, I'm not sure how the population ends up.

West. You follow me?

The other -- the other suggestion be, you could move the northern boundary of District 5 there in Chandler north one mile also, depending how pop- -- just along what I would call the eastern boundary of D4 and the southern boundary of D4 is how I would population balance with the main emphasis on moving the southeast corner of Mesa into 5.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Commissioner York, can you just clarify that last piece that you were doing? I wasn't -- I was having a hard time hearing that part.

You're saying --

COMMISSIONER YORK: I'm sorry. Well, you have -you have 128,000 people too many in District 4 and 137 -134,000 too less in D5, and so you and I both agree it
should come out of the far eastern --

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Correct.

COMMISSIONER YORK: -- side of D4 and perhaps maybe the -- the sliver along the southern boundary of D4 as it

1 runs along D5. 2 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Yeah, and I just looked at --3 COMMISSIONER YORK: Does that make sense, Mark? 4 MR. D. JOHNSON: So you're starting with the 5 southern -- taking the southern piece out that's showing on 6 this screen map? 7 COMMISSIONER LERNER: I think if you took that 8 entire purple block out all the way up to Power Road into 9 District 1. If you take that entire block out, that should 10 help a lot of the population imbalance between the two and 11 it's a much more logical piece for that part of Mesa to be 12 with -- the easternmost part of Mesa to be with Apache 1.3 Junction and that south part that Commissioner York and I 14 agree. 15 So it's just essentially taking that entire block. 16 That really should help a lot with the population imbalance. 17 If you just went straight up from -- on Power Road 18 all the way up to District 1 and then all the way east and 19 move that into District 5. 20 MR. D. JOHNSON: So that's going to be too much. 21 COMMISSIONER YORK: That's going to be too much, so 22 I was suggesting we take the southern piece --23 MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah, we start --24 COMMISSIONER YORK: -- there and then follow the

freeway up around, not go all the way west of Power.

1	MR. D. JOHNSON: Just so you know, Power is where
2	we started the last map at and then we added San Tan Valley
3	into 5.
4	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay.
5	MR. D. JOHNSON: So going back to Power would throw
6	5 off.
7	COMMISSIONER LERNER: It would be too many people
8	in D5, is that what you're saying?
9	MR. D. JOHNSON: Exactly.
10	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. So, you know, we could
11	go so Commissioner York, you're saying go north a little
12	bit?
13	COMMISSIONER YORK: Along the freeway there.
14	COMMISSIONER LERNER: And have the freeway be that
15	boundary over to so the freeway-to-freeway kind of is
16	that what you're suggesting? US-60 along the 202?
17	COMMISSIONER YORK: Yeah.
18	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Over from where to where on
19	the south side? Like, what are the east-west boundaries
20	that you're suggesting?
21	COMMISSIONER YORK: I was fine with the Mesa
22	boundary.
23	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Oh, just using the Mesa
24	boundary?
25	COMMISSIONER YORK: Yeah.

1 How many people is that, Brian? MR. KINGERY: So follow the freeway down, what I'm 2 3 doing right now... 4 COMMISSIONER YORK: You're on the wrong side of the 5 freeway in my mind -- okay. Never mind. 6 MR. D. JOHNSON: It will flip when he gets around, 7 yeah. It's a weird tool. 8 COMMISSIONER YORK: There you go. 9 COMMISSIONER LERNER: So one thing I'll mention 10 in --11 COMMISSIONER YORK: Go ahead. 12 COMMISSIONER LERNER: -- I'm sorry -- in the 13 northwest part of D5 that is the current D5 that you have in 14 front of us, there are some significant Latino populations 15 in that area that potentially would be better served by 16 being in District 4. MR. D. JOHNSON: Sorry, we're trying to do one step 17 18 at a time. 19 COMMISSIONER LERNER: I'm sorry, I thought you were 20 ready for something else. I'll wait. 21 MR. D. JOHNSON: No, no. So, so --22 MR. KINGERY: So everything that's highlighted 23 right now is 106,000, this entire southern block. So if we 24 go Elliot Road and all the way down and also put that into 25 5, that's another 30, so roughly 136,000.

1	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Going into 5?
2	MR. D. JOHNSON: Hm-mm.
3	MR. KINGERY: Going into 5.
4	COMMISSIONER LERNER: That's well, they were
5	down.
6	MR. D. JOHNSON: Which would be almost perfect.
7	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Yeah. Okay. Well, wait, but
8	you're not going to move out that southern portion?
9	MR. D. JOHNSON: We are.
10	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Oh, okay. All of it. Just
11	not not just what's highlighted. Okay.
12	MR. D. JOHNSON: Right.
13	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. Thank you.
14	MR. KINGERY: What's on the screen is 106 and if I
15	were to clear that and then move on down to the southern
16	portion and potentially grab this, that would come out to
17	roughly 30,000.
18	MR. FLAHAN: So we take the freeway north on the
19	202, you want us to stop at Power and go north all the way
20	up to District 1?
21	COMMISSIONER YORK: Yes.
22	MR. FLAHAN: Okay.
23	COMMISSIONER LERNER: And really, east Mesa as much
24	as possible does have a lot going on with Apache Junction
25	and all. A lot of connection in there, so communities of

1 interest. MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay. 2 3 MR. KINGERY: So we're showing right now 10.0. Essentially we're working on modifications for 10.1? 4 5 COMMISSIONER YORK: Correct. MR. D. JOHNSON: Hm-mm. 6 7 MR. KINGERY: Okay. 8 COMMISSIONER MEHL: The other area where there's a 9 big imbalance is between 7 and 8. So you could go to the 10 northern part of 7. 11 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Can I just -- would you mind 12 if I just made another comment about 4 before we move out of 1.3 that region --14 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Go ahead. COMMISSIONER LERNER: -- and then we can -- just 15 16 for that particular area. Between 4 and 5 I guess I just wanted to comment 17 18 that this northwest corner of Chandler that's there, there 19 are some older communities in that area and some Latino --20 Latino communities, and so it was just if we can --21 COMMISSIONER YORK: So what she's talking about is 22 Warner Road in the northwest corner over to Arizona Avenue 23 up to D4. 24 I would have said that. COMMISSIONER LERNER: 25 COMMISSIONER YORK: Would be the corner she's

```
talking about. That's the Hispanic neighborhoods that's
 1
 2
         part of --
 3
                  COMMISSIONER LERNER: That would be better off in
         D4 than in D5.
 4
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: She's correct.
 5
 6
                  MR. D. JOHNSON: You're saying the northern edge of
 7
         D5, not the western edge --
 8
                  COMMISSIONER LERNER: That's right.
 9
                  MR. D. JOHNSON: -- am I right?
10
                  COMMISSIONER LERNER: The northwestern edge.
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: That far north?
11
12
                  COMMISSIONER LERNER:
                                        That corner.
1.3
                  MR. D. JOHNSON: That should move up to the border?
14
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: Yeah. Down to Warner, up to
15
         the -- right.
16
                  COMMISSIONER LERNER: I would have gotten there,
17
         Doug. But thanks, you defined it.
18
                  Yeah. I think that will help. I know that does
19
         some population issues, but it also helps with communities
20
         of interest.
                  MR. FLAHAN: Country Club to Warner.
21
22
                  MR. D. JOHNSON:
                                   Okay.
23
                  So D4 is picking up that Country Club down to
24
         Warner from D5, correct?
25
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: No.
```

1	MR. D. JOHNSON: Or the other way around.
2	COMMISSIONER YORK: D4 is picking up yeah, I
3	guess, Arizona Avenue, Country Club, down to Warner.
4	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Right, that corner.
5	COMMISSIONER YORK: In D5, three miles, one mile.
6	MR. KINGERY: Which is turning purple. Arizona and
7	Country Club on the same grid.
8	MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay. And to balance that can we
9	just we'll just fiddle with the edge over there by 202.
10	COMMISSIONER YORK: Well or fiddle along not all
11	the way over there, Brian.
12	MR. KINGERY: To Arizona?
13	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Wait, where are you saying
14	you'll balance it?
15	MR. D. JOHNSON: I was saying to to balance this
16	we could over where we're already making the change along
17	the 202.
18	COMMISSIONER LERNER: I'm sorry. Could you just
19	repeat, I didn't hear what you said about the balancing.
20	COMMISSIONER YORK: Let's figure out how many
21	people we got.
22	MR. D. JOHNSON: I'll go back there in a second.
23	MR. FLAHAN: 13,000.
24	MR. D. JOHNSON: 13,000.
25	MR. FLAHAN: Going into District 4.

1 COMMISSIONER LERNER: So we would be over in District 4? 2 3 COMMISSIONER YORK: Yeah. 4 MR. KINGERY: It would bring the over to 140 --141,000. 5 6 COMMISSIONER YORK: 7 MR. D. JOHNSON: No, no. 8 MR. KINGERY: Minus. 9 COMMISSIONER YORK: Minus 136. Which gets us to like 5,000. 10 11 COMMISSIONER LERNER: So we're pretty close. We're 12 much closer. 1.3 COMMISSIONER YORK: You can look at the top east 14 corner along the 202 there west of Power. 15 MR. D. JOHNSON: Right. Yes. We'll stay at --16 we'll take along outside of 202 until we hit the population 17 number. 18 COMMISSIONER YORK: Right. COMMISSIONER LERNER: So the area -- the area that 19 20 we're talking about, I know we said only down to -- there's 21 a big population of older communities, Latino communities, that are located in District 5 that if -- and we're going to 22 23 make other adjustments to District 4, but I really think 24 that they would be better served in District 4, these older

Chandler communities with high numbers. If we can take it a

1 little bit further than the boundaries that were just 2 provided to you, take it over maybe to... 3 Okay. I went too far. The population really goes down as far south almost 4 as the 202. 5 6 COMMISSIONER YORK: But that changes the map. 7 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Well, I'm trying to just get 8 those folks into a district that I think they'll be --9 that's more community of interest for them in this one 10 boundary area that we're talking about, that's the old part 11 of Chandler. That if we can go Ray south to Pecos, Alma 12 School east to McQueen. So pretty much Chandler north of 1.3 Pecos would cover that community. 14 COMMISSIONER YORK: Yeah, but that's a ton of 15 population. 16 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Well, we can balance some 17 potentially on the east. 18 I -- I want to identify that area because I think 19 that's an important area to be included and I don't know --20 you know, I don't -- into District 4. 21 MR. KINGERY: She talking about over here? 22 MR. FLAHAN: Yeah. 23 COMMISSIONER LERNER: And we just removed a lot 24 from District 4, that -- that eastern boundary. 25 COMMISSIONER YORK: Pecos is way west -- way east.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: I'm trying to just
double-check on the streets.
CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Yeah, I think.
COMMISSIONER LERNER: Pecos is north of Pecos is
the area Pecos to
COMMISSIONER YORK: Pecos is down to the 202
basically.
COMMISSIONER LERNER: I know. But I'm talking
about a pretty good group of folks who will be better served
by being in District 4 and are a community of interest.
MR. D. JOHNSON: It's 107,000 people.
COMMISSIONER LERNER: It's Chandler north of Pecos
and that would go with Ahwatukee, that group from Arizona
Avenue.
COMMISSIONER YORK: No, not that. She's talking
Arizona Avenue.
COMMISSIONER LERNER: Maybe it's actually McQueen.
CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Lerner is
identifying a pocket there that won't be well served. It's
right where my children went to high school, they went to a
majority-minority high school. It is a pocket that is
highly Latino, Native American, cross-culture.
MR. D. JOHNSON: Do we have the correct area on
screen?
COMMISSIONER YORK: No.

1	MR. D. JOHNSON: No, okay.
2	COMMISSIONER LERNER: It's pretty close.
3	COMMISSIONER YORK: No, that's the city of Chandler
4	northern boundary, you were only talking about taking the
5	northwest corner.
6	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Well, it's a they actually
7	have it pretty it may be a little bit too far. I can't
8	tell exactly.
9	What's the eastern boundary that you have there?
10	But I'm just looking at
11	MR. FLAHAN: That is the city of Chandler border
12	between Chandler and Gilbert.
13	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Oh.
14	COMMISSIONER YORK: Commissioner Neuberg, do you
15	have a suggestion for the eastern boundary of that
16	population?
17	CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: No, I think it really you
18	know, I have to study actually where the little pockets are
19	based on the demographics.
20	I see Commissioner Lerner has them pulled up.
21	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Yeah.
22	CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: What do you what
23	boundaries do you think make sense?
24	COMMISSIONER LERNER: I think I think McQueen is
25	where the boundary is of that community that's there, that's

1 what I'm seeing. 2 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: That's pretty far east. I 3 don't think it -- that seems to be going into an entire different community of interest already. 4 5 MR. KINGERY: So this... COMMISSIONER LERNER: That's just the -- that's the 6 7 boundary that I'm seeing. Chandler Boulevard over to 8 McQueen is the -- is the boundary. I'm not sure how we --9 but it is a pretty good-sized -- as you mentioned a pretty 10 good-sized pocket, so I'm not sure how -- I think that northern border could come down and maybe if it doesn't 11 12 capture all of it, it captures a big portion of it. 13 COMMISSIONER YORK: That's McQueen or is that --14 what is that, Brian? 15 MR. KINGERY: McOueen to Pecos? 16 COMMISSIONER YORK: To Chandler. 17 MR. KINGERY: Chandler to 64. 18 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Could we use the boundaries 19 of the city? Is that what you're showing us here? 20 MR. KINGERY: No. COMMISSIONER LERNER: The Gilbert-Chandler 21 boundary, in that area? 22 23 MR. D. JOHNSON: So that's what he shared before, 24 that got the 104,000. So coming down to Pecos. 25 MR. FLAHAN: Do Pecos all the way over to the

boundary?

MR. D. JOHNSON: So --

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: We could also use Arizona

Avenue as a border, that's a major line although there are

still some communities I know east of there that --

COMMISSIONER LERNER: We --

MR. D. JOHNSON: I mean, we -- we can move a lot of people just because -- I mean, if you're comfortable with it, we can balance this by moving from the 202 to Power, which is a lot of people, too. So we can look at trading those two.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Can we look at that? I mean,

I know -- I'm guess I'm just trying -- I'm not focusing -- I

know maybe I should be focusing a lot more on the

population. I am concerned about that, but I'm looking more

right now at communities of interest. So if we could do

that, if you could try that, that would be great, your

suggestion.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I'd also like to point out that this will moderate both D4 and D5, making both a little more competitive, if I'm getting it straight.

MR. KINGERY: 164,000.

MR. D. JOHNSON: Can you look at who -- between Power and 202, how many people are there?

Give us a sense of how many people we can play with

1	over here.
2	MR. KINGERY: That corner down there?
3	MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah, all the way down to there
4	you go.
5	Yeah, keep going. There you go.
6	MR. KINGERY: Around there?
7	MR. D. JOHNSON: Yep.
8	MR. FLAHAN: 64, 65.
9	MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah, so moving from 202 over to
10	Power would get us 64-ish thousand that we could then pick
11	up from the area we were just looking at.
12	COMMISSIONER LERNER: I suggest that we try that
13	and see how that looks. I mean, we may still have more
14	population imbalances but we're not done with the map, so.
15	But I think
16	COMMISSIONER YORK: You could move the remaining
17	line on the southern boundary now that's been moved of D4 or
18	the northern boundary of D5, and you can move that also
19	north to Guadalupe, that would take into account some of the
20	west Chandler population we've moved into D4.
21	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Wait. Wait, you're taking
22	you're putting them into D5 or D4?
23	COMMISSIONER YORK: D5 because you got to take them
24	out of D4 because D4 is overpopulated.
25	There, yeah.

1	COMMISSIONER LERNER: From where?
2	COMMISSIONER YORK: From from D4 putting it in
3	D5.
4	COMMISSIONER LERNER: You're saying all the way
5	across?
6	COMMISSIONER YORK: No, you've got the section up
7	to Arizona Avenue down to Pecos or down to Chandler
8	Boulevard.
9	MR. D. JOHNSON: Oh, so
10	COMMISSIONER LERNER: I'm unclear exactly of the
11	boundaries. Can you just give me the north, south, east,
12	west that you're talking about, Doug?
13	COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, you you went over to
14	pick up the Latino community in the north in the older
15	neighborhoods in northwest Chandler.
16	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Right.
17	COMMISSIONER YORK: So that population's got to
18	come from somewhere so that's the north Gilbert area moving
19	into D5 and the Power Road to 202 area moving into D5 to
20	kind of balance that out.
21	COMMISSIONER LERNER: I'm just asking you where
22	you're talking about moving from one population to another.
23	Are you saying from D4 to D5
24	COMMISSIONER YORK: You have to
25	COMMISSIONER LERNER: in the northeast portion

1 or --2 COMMISSIONER YORK: Right there. What he's got on 3 the map. That's 36,000 people. The other little section along the Power Road and 4 5 between the 202 is another 60,000 people. If I remember 6 hearing it correctly, you took a hundred on the western 7 boundary and put it into D4, so this is a little continuing 8 to modify that population. 9 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Can --10 MR. D. JOHNSON: So the strip -- the strip south to 11 Guadalupe down to... 12 COMMISSIONER YORK: Elliot. 13 MR. D. JOHNSON: Belair -- oh, down to? 14 COMMISSIONER YORK: It's Elliot. 15 MR. D. JOHNSON: Elliot. Yeah, so from Guadalupe 16 to Elliot would move from D4 to D5; and as Commissioner York 17 was just saying, that -- that almost perfectly balances with 18 what you wanted to do in -- in northwest Chandler. 19 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. So and to how far east 20 did you go on north -- did you go to McQueen which was the 21 area where that community goes to? MR. D. JOHNSON: In this quick number we -- we 22 23 have, but we'd move that. 24 COMMISSIONER LERNER: You've gone over to McQueen? 25 MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah.

1	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay.
2	MR. D. JOHNSON: We got a little too much far west
3	in this but but we've essentially get this strip and then
4	put as much northwest Chandler into D4 as you can.
5	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay.
6	MR. D. JOHNSON: Which is going to be almost
7	exactly what you were looking at before.
8	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. That would be great.
9	That helps that community, thank you.
10	MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay.
11	COMMISSIONER LERNER: And also helps D4 I think.
12	That will be more
13	COMMISSIONER MEHL: Are we ready for another
14	direction?
15	COMMISSIONER LERNER: You want to take your turn?
16	COMMISSIONER MEHL: The other big imbalance that
17	this map had is between D7 and D8. D7 was over almost a
18	hundred and D8 under about a hundred, which when we look at
19	the top of D7 it borders D8, that's the area where Maryvale
20	could go out of D7 and into D8 and the some of the and
21	I'll turn it over to Commissioner York for the detail.
22	COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, put the city of Tolleson
23	on D7 and the city of Avondale.
24	That's Tolleson, yeah.
25	MR. KINGERY: Yeah.

```
COMMISSIONER YORK: So the balance of the northern
 1
 2
         portion of Avondale I would put in D8.
 3
                  MR. KINGERY: At Camelback?
                  COMMISSIONER MEHL: D3 needs 5,000 people.
 4
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: Yes.
 5
                  MR. KINGERY: At Camelback?
 6
 7
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: You can just follow the 101
 8
         down the eastern border there.
 9
                  MR. KINGERY: 101 to I-10, that's...
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: No, let's -- let's leave
10
11
         Avondale whole as the Latino Coalition suggested.
12
                  One more block south.
1.3
                  MR. KINGERY: More south.
14
                  MR. FLAHAN: To Van Buren?
15
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: Is that what that is?
16
                  MR. KINGERY: To Indian School Road?
17
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: Indian School sounds right.
18
                  MR. KINGERY: This part too?
19
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: No, that little section of --
20
         along the -- the corridor along the freeway, I believe that
         fits into D3 somehow.
21
                  COMMISSIONER LERNER: Are you talking about putting
22
23
         Maryvale --
24
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: Correct.
25
                  COMMISSIONER LERNER: -- into D3?
```

1 COMMISSIONER YORK: No, Maryvale into D9. 2 COMMISSIONER LERNER: It really should not go into 3 D8 or D9. Maryvale --4 COMMISSIONER YORK: D8 is where I was going to put it. 5 COMMISSIONER LERNER: It should be either in D7 or 6 7 D3, Maryvale. It's a very heavily Latino area as is the 8 portion of Glendale east of the 101 and south of Northern, 9 those are areas that should go -- and D3 probably needs to 10 be changed anyway. It's been adjusted and we could make 11 some changes in there, but I don't think we should put them 12 They really belong in -in D8. 1.3 COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, I --14 COMMISSIONER LERNER: -- 7 or 3. But 3, probably. 15 And we'll make adjustments to 3. 16 MR. KINGERY: So what's selected right now is 17 32,000 into D8. 18 COMMISSIONER YORK: 8 is short, 19 Commissioner Lerner. 20 COMMISSIONER LERNER: I know that but I'm talking about communities of interest here and I think we can make 21 adjustments for 8. And I'll tell you, in the north we heard 22 23 pretty clearly from the folks in New River and Anthem to not 24 divide them along I-17 because they go back and forth all 25 the time.

And certainly we could be putting -- we could do some adjustments there and move New River and Anthem into D8, which would actually align very nicely with the West Valley and -- and also align with their preference.

So if we move those communities in, that will help some of the population right there and also answer their, you know, what they've had to say as well.

MR. D. JOHNSON: Just, Commissioner Lerner, keep in mind the extra population is in D7, so somehow we have to get it out of D7 and into D8.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: I under -- well, or we have to get -- I know we have...

COMMISSIONER MEHL: So I would like to see it where we take it out of D7 and put it into D8. So if

Commissioner Lerner wants an alternative to be shown also,

I'd like to see how this would play out.

COMMISSIONER YORK: The way I thought we had -- the Commission had the original districts was that the western boundary north of Tolleson between 10 freeway and the 101 was part of D3.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: These -- these -- this D3 is different than what we had before. And this is based on -- and this D3 really could be significantly adjusted and should be adjusted, but population -- the population imbalances should not be taking the Latino population out of

1 an area where they can have that voice into an area where 2 they may not be -- be able to. 3 And especially since --4 COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, the --5 COMMISSIONER LERNER: -- we heard from the 6 New River and Anthem folks to not divide them by the 7 freeway. So I'm just sensitive to --8 COMMISSIONER YORK: I appreciate that. 9 COMMISSIONER LERNER: -- to that. 10 COMMISSIONER YORK: We can put them into D8 as well 11 because we need the population. But the reality is if you 12 look at how D3 performs based on the information we were 13 given, it performs well. COMMISSIONER LERNER: 14 This D3 can still be adjusted 15 and can take in -- what I'm saying I quess is at this point 16 is putting Maryvale and the area -- and in fact -- well, let 17 me just get to that. 18 And the area in Glendale up to Northern into D8 19 will not provide those people with the community that they 20 should be with. Their community of interest is elsewhere, 21 is -- is with either D7 or D3. 22 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I have a question for my 23 colleagues.

Would you each like a little rein to some

modifications and see where the map -- where you can take

24

the map and improve it with reality some degree of population balancing, understanding the points of consensus and also understanding that the -- the commitment and -- and goal is to return to the spirit of the draft map so that ideas that you go, you know, run away with that take us further away from, again, what I felt did a pretty good job representing a lot of communities of interest while also providing a whole bunch of competitiveness?

So is that something that you would like? Or would you prefer to sit and argue and -- and I don't mean argue in a bad way, but debate and try to stay on one exact map?

COMMISSIONER LERNER: I'm okay with that suggestion. I mean, I think -- and I would just say I think we can agree on a couple of things here, right? The New River-Anthem piece we can agree on, and then we can move from there.

COMMISSIONER YORK: We agreed on the East Valley changes.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Right.

COMMISSIONER YORK: Overall we're in support of D1, there's a change on the western border to populate D8; I think D7 has gone too far north and, you know, if you see the city of Glendale, maybe pull the city of Glendale out of D7 there and move that into D8, maybe that makes sense; and then -- and put the little slot along the 101 south into D3

to keep the city of Tolleson in D7, maybe that makes sense.

I'm just trying to work on some of the stuff I remember hearing from the different communities out there.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: Do you want to short a population? If you take New River and Anthem out, it's going to be more short.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Well, I think there's other places we can add it back in. I'm not -- and I think it's -- we're -- we're just touching pieces of it, but I'm okay with -- I'm good with the Chairwoman's suggestion that we keep -- we honor the map that we adopted and then we try to make adjustments keeping that framework in place.

And just as a note --

COMMISSIONER YORK: Can we do something different?

MR. D. JOHNSON: Let me throw one thing out which
is the key -- you have a lot of flexibility as you're
talking about to move things between 1, 3 and 8; 7 is the
challenge. You have 97,000 people that have to come out of
7 as we're looking at it. So that's the question.

COMMISSIONER YORK: Can I make a suggestion? How long would it take you to draw the West Valley -- East Valley, I'm sorry, to make those changes that Commissioner Lerner and myself agreed to?

MR. FLAHAN: If you're not looking for pure balancing, just taking the suggestions that you put --

COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, my thought was is that if 1 we could draw the West Valley (verbatim) and adopt that map 2 3 and then start to work on the rest of the map, at least that 4 would give us something that we accomplished. 5 MR. FLAHAN: I would think that probably take us 30 6 minutes to an hour tops to make those changes. 7 COMMISSIONER YORK: Thirty minutes is fine. 8 COMMISSIONER MEHL: An hour is not. 9 MR. FLAHAN: Are you saying you want us to go work 10 on that right now? 11 COMMISSIONER YORK: I just think we agreed on that. 12 This map has some things about it both of us -- both parties 13 liked and so it would give us at least a chance to see what that looked like and then, you know, it's pushing 4 o'clock. 14 15 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Well, I mean, I -- go ahead. 16 I was going to say, I mean, I liked the Chairwoman's idea that we could -- because I think we're 17 18 having a big difference of perspective here on the West 19 Valley, right, on what to do with that in terms of the 20 balancing and essentially what we're having to do is kind of 21 look at how we can go around. 22 We're -- we're in agreement on the east boundary of 23 Maricopa County, it's how we get the west boundary, right? 24 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Are you saying you want 25 latitude to have some rein and have different versions of

the map? When you say you agree with me, I offered two options.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: No, I said we could continue --

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Oh.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: -- to struggle with one map and find consensus/compromise or I could give a little rein.

I think Commissioner York has an interesting idea. If there is some area of consensus it may be a useful time to have Mapping come and if they can come back in 30 minutes. I would like to understand why D7 is so under -- overpopulated, compare it to the Latino Coalition submission and really give it more thought before making any, you know, decisions.

COMMISSIONER YORK: So Commissioner Lerner, just so you know, our head is to go counterclockwise and you're trying to go clockwise on your map, so just -- because I felt that was the reason why I made that suggestion because I felt pretty confident we could agree on 4 and 5 and possibly 1 but...

COMMISSIONER LERNER: We are in agreement on those changes in 4 and 5 we agree on; 1, I don't think we've gotten to completely yet. I think that some of that

1 overpopulation happened because of what happened in Tucson for 7 so -- because it pushed so far east. 2 COMMISSIONER MEHL: It didn't push very far east. 3 4 COMMISSIONER LERNER: It pushed far east from 5 Campbell to Alvernon and it picked up --It didn't go to Alvernon. 6 COMMISSIONER MEHL: 7 COMMISSIONER YORK: It went halfway. 8 COMMISSIONER MEHL: I wish it had. 9 COMMISSIONER LERNER: It's still --10 COMMISSIONER MEHL: It did not. 11 COMMISSIONER LERNER: I'm just saying I think 12 that's where some of the population is when we talk about 1.3 it. 14 COMMISSIONER MEHL: So I would --15 COMMISSIONER YORK: We did it -- we had it done 16 prior -- we took out of the north on District 7 on our -- on 17 our maps 9.0 that we agreed to the top part of the community 18 that was out of Avondale and the western part of the 19 community that was out of Toll- -- eastern part of the 20 community at the top part of District 7 that was out of 21 Tolleson, so just -- I just wanted to make that clear that 22 we both agreed to that at one point, so. 23 COMMISSIONER MEHL: And D7 in the draft map didn't 24 come into Maricopa County, up into the urban part of

Maricopa County at all, so this was a big concession that we

had made to bring it up, but we had trimmed it down a little bit.

1.3

But I would suggest in order to make progress that we do split and do two different maps but that we agree we're both going to incorporate the changes we just said in 4 and 5 so we don't have to wait for them to draw it. We can give direction -- you can give your direction for the remainder, we could give our direction for the remainder, and then when they -- when they draw the maps that we can see before tomorrow morning, then we'd have two versions of the clockwise and counterclockwise but the East Valley would be the same.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I'm comfortable with that.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: That's just -- are we focusing just on Maricopa County, then, for that piece?

COMMISSIONER YORK: I think we have -- there's only

seven districts left.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: That's fine with me.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Whatever you want to do, I mean, I'm fine with if we wanted to go further, but if you want to just start with -- that's what we were focused on initially. But I'm comfortable with that.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: If you want to give your comments on southern Arizona also, then we'll follow suit.

If you don't, then we'll -- either way we'll follow suit on

1 however you'd like to do it.
2 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG:

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I actually recommend you give it thought about some of the population balance issues. I mean, otherwise it's punting a very important issue.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: Then let's do the Maricopa spin and what any changes to southern Arizona, because actually you're going to need to change southern Arizona if you're -- so however you'd like -- why don't you go ahead and say...

COMMISSIONER LERNER: I'm going to let you guys go first.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: Okay.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. And then -- because there may be some things we say: Yeah, we'll do the same.

MR. D. JOHNSON: If you can start with District 7, because whatever -- however you adjust that is going to ripple into Maricopa County.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: Then we will.

MR. D. JOHNSON: Perfect. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER YORK: So back to the northern tip of D7, I would put the city of Glendale into D8; I would put the eastern portion of D7 along the 101 and the I-10 corridor there into D3 -- not Tolleson but north of Tolleson -- yes.

So that overpopulates D3 a little bit, so I would in the north -- northeastern step of D3.

1	MR. KINGERY: 37th Avenue?
2	COMMISSIONER YORK: The very first I think it's
3	looks like it's Missouri.
4	MR. D. JOHNSON: I'm going to ask you the numbers
5	here. Can you get the number for Glendale, too?
6	So what he's highlighted is 37,000.
7	MR. KINGERY: That would go into D3?
8	COMMISSIONER MEHL: Take the top of D3 into D8,
9	couldn't you?
10	COMMISSIONER YORK: That's not what they asked for.
11	COMMISSIONER MEHL: Okay.
12	MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah, the D7 portion. I've got
13	it.
14	Yeah.
15	MR. KINGERY: That's 7,000.
16	MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay. Okay.
17	Those two moves together are about 44,000.
18	COMMISSIONER YORK: What's the population from
19	Glendale to Northern along the top of D3?
20	MR. KINGERY: This piece?
21	COMMISSIONER YORK: No, straight across. Glendale
22	straight across to D1. It's the first
23	CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Can I ask my colleagues,
24	would it be helpful to take a ten-minute break to, you know,
25	gather your thoughts or are you more comfortable

brainstorming? COMMISSIONER MEHL: I think we're good brainstorming for the moment.
hraingtorming for the moment
brainstorming for the moment.
CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I want to
COMMISSIONER MEHL: If we get too flustered, we'll
let you know.
CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: ask all sides, would it be
helpful to have a little bit of a recess?
COMMISSIONER LERNER: Either way.
COMMISSIONER YORK: Kind of trades off, yeah. It
trades off with a little piece we picked up on the
COMMISSIONER MEHL: Yeah, I think that works.
MR. D. JOHNSON: We have lots of ways to balance
out.
COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, and then I would move
that boundary up into D8.
MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah, I mean, that the 3, 8, 1
we certainly have lots of options to balance.
COMMISSIONER YORK: Some of our balancing for 7 is
down in 6, but
MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay.
MR. FLAHAN: So that's about 39,000 people, 38.
COMMISSIONER YORK: So right there just kind of, I
wouldn't go any farther south than than Glendale.

COMMISSIONER YORK: And then 9 needs -- 9 needs 50, 1 2 right? Or we put -- that's 37 back in -- no, that's 37 into 8, so. 3 4 This is where I need a break. 5 Well, no, we already did that. That's -- that's Glendale. 6 7 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Okav. 8 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Can I make a suggestion 9 between 8 and 9, I think the retirement communities would be better served by being in 8 if possible, not in 9, and so 10 11 maybe we could put that group, the Sun City and that 12 population that's there into D8, and that would affect the 13 overpopulation in D9 and the underpopulation in D8 and put 14 them into District 8 there. So just as a thought, I don't 15 have the exact boundaries of the retirement communities but 16 it would be north of D7. 17 COMMISSIONER YORK: We have Sun City, Westbrook 18 Village and Sun City West. 19 COMMISSIONER LERNER: What about that as an idea, 20 is that something you guys could go with? COMMISSIONER YORK: That's a lot. 21 22 COMMISSIONER LERNER: I think it would help the 23 population in D8 and it would align nicely with that. 24 think they align nicely with D8 as well.

MR. D. JOHNSON: Sun City is just short of 40,000,

```
1
         so that could certainly move. Sun City West would be
 2
         another 26,000.
 3
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, but we could add -- we
         could move around the other adjustments. I wouldn't include
 4
 5
         Sun City West, I'd keep it north of the 60.
                  What's that?
 6
 7
                  COMMISSIONER MEHL: Well, you need to take
 8
         Sun City West off of it.
 9
                  MR. KINGERY: So just do Sun City right now?
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: Sun City, Sun City Grand -- or
10
11
         Sun City West.
                  COMMISSIONER MEHL: Everything east of the 60.
12
1.3
                  COMMISSIONER LERNER: So everything on the
14
         northeast side of the 60 is what you're talking about?
15
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: Right.
16
                  COMMISSIONER MEHL: Yeah.
17
                  COMMISSIONER LERNER: Put that into D8 out of D9.
18
                  MR. KINGERY: Follow it down?
19
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: Yeah, that's fine.
20
                  COMMISSIONER LERNER:
                                        I would say up to the --
21
         probably up to the county border, right?
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: Yeah, follow the river there.
22
23
                  COMMISSIONER LERNER:
                                        Yeah.
24
                  MR. D. JOHNSON: You're going to get -- you're
25
         getting part of El Mirage and part of Surprise, though.
```

1	COMMISSIONER YORK: That's fine.
2	MR. KINGERY: 89,000.
3	COMMISSIONER YORK: How much?
4	MR. KINGERY: 89,000.
5	But if you wanted to keep El Mirage whole
6	COMMISSIONER YORK: Let's do this. Excuse me.
7	If we put a portion of Glendale in D8, maybe we
8	should put that in D9 because that's where that means
9	Glendale's got Luke Air Force Base.
10	I'm sorry, D9 is yeah.
11	MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah, we had moved 7,000 people
12	are moving from 7,000 Glendale people are moving from D7
13	to D8.
14	COMMISSIONER YORK: So let's move them into D9.
15	MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay.
16	COMMISSIONER LERNER: So this would be another area
17	of agreement that I think that we could say, would be that
18	Sun City would go into D8. So just as a point that we can
19	have another place we agree.
20	COMMISSIONER YORK: Can we agree on the top part of
21	Glendale going into D9?
22	COMMISSIONER LERNER: I'm not so sure about that
23	yet. I can I can go with I have to look exactly at
24	your boundaries, I wasn't can you give me those
25	boundaries exactly?

COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, if you put in Avondale 1 and Glendale, they run into each other across the top of D7. 2 3 COMMISSIONER LERNER: So I guess I just need to know the boundary that you're talking about of where you're 4 5 taking from D7 to D8. That's what you're saying, right? COMMISSIONER MEHL: Or D9. 6 7 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Or D9. You're taking the top 8 of D7 --9 COMMISSIONER YORK: And dropping it down. 10 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Dropping it down to where? 11 COMMISSIONER YORK: To the -- basically putting the 12 Glendale boundary in D7 into D9. 13 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. I'll think about that 14 one. 15 COMMISSIONER YORK: It just takes Glendale out of 16 one less congressional district, it's in 3 potentially 4. COMMISSIONER LERNER: I think it was in 5 or 6, 17 18 actually, so if we can condense it, it would be great. 19 COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, 3 is kind of where it's 20 going to end up, that's where I think we all kind of agreed 21 upon at one point. 22 MR. D. JOHNSON: And as we already know this area 23 of Glendale is not Latino and it's extremely high growth so 24 it does -- it does make sense to take it out of 7. 25 COMMISSIONER YORK: Also ties it into Luke Air

1 Force Base. 2 MR. D. JOHNSON: Hm-mm. Okay. 3 So if we take that out of -- if we put that --4 what's shown as D7 portion of Glendale out of D7 and put it in D9, that puts D9 about 57,000 over and we'd balance that 5 6 by putting Sun City into D8; and if we put Sun City West in D8 as well, we'll end up with D9 being about 10,000 short. 7 8 COMMISSIONER YORK: In D9? MR. D. JOHNSON: The net of all that would be D9 9 about 10,000 short. 10 11 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Is D8 then over? 12 MR. D. JOHNSON: Well -- no, D8 would -- would 1.3 still be -- D8 -- as shown, D8 is a hundred thousand short 14 so it's still totally short, but I think you can take it from D8 and find it somewhere else. 15 16 COMMISSIONER MEHL: But we added a lot in. COMMISSIONER LERNER: Well, D8 we were going to 17 18 take -- you're including New River and Anthem in D8? 19 COMMISSIONER YORK: No. 20 MR. D. JOHNSON: Not into those numbers yet. 21 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay, because if you --COMMISSIONER YORK: I took a little bit of D3 and 22 23 we added the retirement villages and those two combinations 24 should have been close to balancing that out. 25 COMMISSIONER LERNER: But I -- all right. Well, I

```
guess that will be the difference in our maps.
 1
 2
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: Right.
 3
                  COMMISSIONER MEHL: Were there other retirement
 4
         villages we were trying to include or did we get all those?
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: We got them all.
 5
 6
                  COMMISSIONER MEHL: Okay.
 7
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: 1 is balanced, that's the
 8
         reason why I don't want to change it.
 9
                  MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah. And it -- it all comes back
10
         to D7 again.
11
                  COMMISSIONER MEHL: So -- so what's the D7
12
         population now is how much over?
13
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: 60,000 -- no, it should be less
14
         than that.
15
                  MR. D. JOHNSON: So it started at 97,000 over and
16
         then it gave up 7,000 from Glendale and then it gave up the
17
         37,000 just north of Tolleson, so that leaves about 53,000
18
         over.
19
                  COMMISSIONER MEHL: And what is under that adjoins
20
         it?
                  MR. D. JOHNSON: District 8.
21
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: No, I think -- 8 is closer
22
23
         than...
24
                  MR. D. JOHNSON: Oh, and district -- oh. A lot of
25
         moving parts.
```

So we balanced 4 and 5 --1 2 COMMISSIONER YORK: And 1. 3 MR. D. JOHNSON: -- so they're taken care of. And 1 is balanced; 2 is a little bit short, 3 and a 4 5 half percent; but it's really 8 who is picking up 30,000 from that Glendale-Northern strip that you're talking about. 6 7 So -- yeah. So D8 will be 70,000 short and then it 8 will pick up those -- oh, yeah, actually, it picks those two up, okay. So D8 is currently -- the light just went on. 9 10 So D8 is a hundred thousand short; it picks up the 11 Glendale-Northern strip which will leave it 70,000 short, it 12 then picks up Sun City and Sun City West, which are 40,000 1.3 and 25,000, so it's short by about 5,000 and D9 is short by 14 about 10,000. 15 We're getting close. We're getting in the range. 16 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Is there anything more D9 can 17 take out of D7 to get that extra ten --18 COMMISSIONER YORK: Not really. 19 COMMISSIONER MEHL: -- that would make community of 20 interest sense. 21 COMMISSIONER YORK: No, I don't... 22 I'm stuck. 23 COMMISSIONER MEHL: And I think we're good at this 24 point as far as the changes -- and I know we're still out of 25 balance on some things but we'd like to see this before we

1 try to make a stab at fixing it. 2 MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay. 3 Yeah, we can -- we can certainly do that. essentially 8 and 9 will be a little bit short and 7 will 4 5 still be a little bit over, but we can show that to you and 6 go from there. 7 COMMISSIONER YORK: Commissioner Lerner, your turn. 8 COMMISSIONER LERNER: I just need help for a 9 second. 10 Sorry for the delay. 11 So -- so in -- in this version we're going to kind 12 of do some similar things with the retirement communities. 1.3 Are we just -- again, I asked this before, are we 14 just focusing on Maricopa County or are we going to try to 15 balance so if I wanted to go down into the Tucson area for 16 the D6, D7 balance, can I do that or not at this time? 17 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: You might as well. I mean, 18 how did you feel about -- you didn't touch Tucson? 19 COMMISSIONER MEHL: I didn't touch it but I'm fine 20 if... 21 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Yeah, I mean this is probably 22 your opportunity. 23 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. 24 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: But -- but again, use 25 constraint -- restraint, sorry.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. Let me see if I can find -- D6. There we go.

Okay. So I think again want to go to -- I'm going to try to split the difference a little bit with Commissioner Mehl on the boundary. If we go south on Campbell from the river from Rillito, east on Broadway, taking Campbell south, east on Broadway as part of what we had -- let me find it -- and south on -- if I'm reading this right, I may be not reading it right, so Commissioner Mehl you can correct me if I'm wrong when I say Pantano.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: Pantano.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Thank you.

South on Alvernon and west on Golf Links. So I think it kind of covers a little bit of what we both wanted by taking some of Campbell and some of Alvernon and that might help with some of the population there, but we'll come back to that.

So south on Alvernon and then from Alvernon once it goes south, go east on Valencia --

MR. D. JOHNSON: Wait.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: -- and south on Wilmont.

So that might help some population there, and also I think it's a compromise between what Commissioner Mehl wants and what I prefer as well. So that will adjust a little bit of that population.

1	So that's that's a D7.
2	MR. D. JOHNSON: Can you repeat that again, sorry.
3	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Sure.
4	So we're going to go over to Campbell and we're
5	taking that at the Rillito at the river and we're going
6	to basically use that boundary down to Broadway.
7	MR. D. JOHNSON: Hm-mm.
8	COMMISSIONER LERNER: And then we're going to go
9	east on Broadway to Pantano and then we're going to go
10	west I'm sorry, east on Broadway.
11	We're going to go south on Pantano and I'm
12	trying to kind of split the difference a little bit here
13	west on Golf Links and south on Alvernon; east on Valencia
14	and south on Wilmont.
15	MR. D. JOHNSON: So that should
16	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay.
17	MR. D. JOHNSON: West on so we come down
18	Pantano.
19	MR. KINGERY: West on Golf Links.
20	MR. D. JOHNSON: West on Golf Links.
21	And then did you find Alvernon?
22	COMMISSIONER LERNER: South on Alvernon and then
23	east on Valencia and south on Wilmont.
24	MR. D. JOHNSON: So we hold on. So Alvernon
25	south to Valencia did you find Alvernon?

1	MR. KINGERY: Yeah.
2	COMMISSIONER LERNER: South on Alvernon and then
3	east on Valencia at that point and then south on Wilmont.
4	That will take care of some of the population
5	issues and also I think will I think it's a compromise
6	between Commissioner Mehl and myself.
7	COMMISSIONER MEHL: And just to be on the record,
8	it's not a compromise and I don't like this map which
9	doesn't go to Alvernon, so but I just don't have comments
10	on it yet until we study it more.
11	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay.
12	MR. D. JOHNSON: So we're still not sorry.
13	Before we get into debate we need to figure out where this
14	is.
15	MR. KINGERY: Do you see the mouse on the screen,
16	it's at the intersection of Pantano and Golf Links?
17	MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah.
18	MR. KINGERY: What direction do you want the mouse
19	to go?
20	COMMISSIONER LERNER: From Pantano you're going
21	south on Pantano.
22	And I don't see the mouse.
23	MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah, so west on Alvernon.
24	COMMISSIONER LERNER: On Golf Links.
25	MR. KINGERY: Okay.

1	MR. D. JOHNSON: Can you find Alvernon?
2	COMMISSIONER LERNER: And then south on Alvernon.
3	MR. D. JOHNSON: Hold on. Let us find Alvernon
4	here.
5	COMMISSIONER LERNER: I think it's the other way.
6	COMMISSIONER MEHL: Keep going.
7	MR. FLAHAN: Right here, that's Alvernon.
8	MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay.
9	Okay. So can you put the districts back on? Where
10	did the districts go?
11	There we go. So that's the same as it is, it came
12	in south.
13	COMMISSIONER YORK: Go around.
14	MR. D. JOHNSON: South along oh, I see it.
15	COMMISSIONER LERNER: And then from there you're
16	going to go east on Valencia you're going south on
17	Alvernon.
18	MR. D. JOHNSON: To Valencia.
19	MR. KINGERY: Right there.
20	COMMISSIONER LERNER: And then south you're
21	going east on Valencia and south on Wilmont.
22	MR. KINGERY: And then here's Wilmont.
23	MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay. But
24	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Wilmont is east of Craycroft.
25	MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah, okay.

```
1
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: That's the current line, Brian.
 2
                  MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay. So -- okay. So the only
 3
         change --
 4
                  MR. KINGERY: Out of the directions that you just
 5
         gave, the only thing that's changing is we're just cutting
 6
         right here along Pantano because the other boundary -- the
 7
         current boundary follows...
                  MR. D. JOHNSON: A little bit. There will be a --
 8
 9
                  COMMISSIONER LERNER: Right. It's not a big
10
         change, it's just some change that we...
11
                  MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay.
                  COMMISSIONER LERNER: You know, 7 is overpopulated
12
1.3
         so it takes out a little bit from there. So just as a small
14
         change.
15
                  MR. KINGERY: I think there's more than that.
16
                  MR. FLAHAN: Campbell.
17
                  COMMISSIONER LERNER: And then I'm going to head
18
         back up to D7 up in Maricopa.
19
                  MR. D. JOHNSON: What's the number highlighted
20
         there?
                  MR. KINGERY: 23,000.
21
22
                  MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay.
23
                  COMMISSIONER LERNER: Right, which will help since
24
         D7 was overpopulated.
25
                  And I know D6 is even right now, but I'm going to
```

```
1
         make it -- well, at some point we'll come back to that.
 2
                  MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah. Get the number from the
 3
         Campbell to -- everything east of Campbell above Broadway.
 4
                  MR. FLAHAN: Campbell here to Broadway.
 5
                  MR. KINGERY:
                                Okay.
                  So here, here, and then over?
 6
 7
                  MR. FLAHAN: Yeah.
 8
                  MR. KINGERY: And then the map -- Campbell,
 9
         Broadway and over.
10
                  MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay, you're going to -- okay.
                                                                    Go
11
         ahead.
12
                  COMMISSIONER LERNER: Let me know when you're
1.3
         ready.
14
                  MR. D. JOHNSON: Try it again, yeah.
15
                  MR. KINGERY: Let me get a little cleaner line.
16
                  COMMISSIONER LERNER:
                                        That's fine. I can always
17
         take the time to study more.
18
                  MR. D. JOHNSON: There you go.
19
                  MR. KINGERY: 31,000.
20
                  MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah, okay.
21
                  So that would take a total of 54,000, yeah.
22
                  COMMISSIONER LERNER: For 7 being overpopulated?
23
                  MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah.
24
                  COMMISSIONER LERNER:
                                        Okay.
25
                  MR. D. JOHNSON: 7 would then be 43,000 over.
```

1 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. 2 COMMISSIONER YORK: But 6 is now 54,000. 3 MR. D. JOHNSON: Right. COMMISSIONER LERNER: Right. So then if we go back 4 5 up into Maricopa County. I was only going down there 6 because of D7, I wasn't doing a whole lot else with that. 7 So here it would be taking -- we're going back into 8 Glendale -- and -- and I did say that we can agree on the 9 Sun City moves, you guys know that for our maps, right? 10 That's an alignment between the maps. We'll agree 11 with that. 12 COMMISSIONER YORK: She's talking to you guys, 1.3 Mr. Timmons (verbatim). 14 MR. D. JOHNSON: So Sun City and Sun City West 15 going into... 16 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Yeah. We're good with 17 that -- I think that's the appropriate place for them to be 18 right now. 19 MR. D. JOHNSON: Hm-mm. 20 COMMISSIONER LERNER: So I think that Maryvale --21 and I know we're going to have overpopulation here but we'll balance it in District 1. But I think what we want to do is 22 23 put Glendale east of the 101 and south of Northern, just 24 that piece, into District 3 and Maryvale into District 3. Ι 25 think that's where they belong.

1 MR. D. JOHNSON: Keep in mind, we're -- okay. So that will take 7,000 -- so you're taking the Glendale 2 3 population out of D7? 4 COMMISSIONER LERNER: That piece that -- yeah, 5 we're taking that part of -- out of D7, we're taking Glendale east of the -- just Glendale east of the 101 south 6 7 of Northern and the Maryvale community. So that -- that 8 block that's there that goes from the D1 -- I mean -- or I'm 9 sorry, the D7-D8 border and I guess goes down to I-10, take that and put it into 3. 10 It's just moving from 7 to 3 in there. 11 12 COMMISSIONER YORK: And also from 8 to 3. 1.3 MR. KINGERY: Okay. 14 MR. D. JOHNSON: So you're talking about east of 101 is District 8 in Glendale. 15 16 COMMISSIONER LERNER: For the Glendale. 17 Where is the Glendale border? 18 MR. D. JOHNSON: It's highlighted there in yellow. 19 COMMISSIONER LERNER: So the top -- the top part of 20 D7 -- so it's really just the part -- where's Northern? 21 It's just the part, that Northern Avenue, yeah. 22 Because Northern Avenue has a very high -- Northern 23 south in Glendale has a very high Latino population. 24 COMMISSIONER YORK: So I have to argue that corner 25 doesn't.

2 COMMISSIONER YORK: The corner that's in D3 does --3 COMMISSIONER LERNER: That's right. exactly -- where he's got highlighted? That has a high 4 5 population there. MR. D. JOHNSON: What is that number? 6 7 MR. KINGERY: 49,000. 8 MR. D. JOHNSON: 49? Okay, so yes, this has moved 9 49,000. The area highlighted in blue is 49,000 people going from D8 to D3 -- I'm sorry, from D8 -- yes, D8 to D3. 10 MR. KINGERY: D8 to D3. 11 12 MR. D. JOHNSON: Right. 1.3 COMMISSIONER YORK: D8 was underpopulated. 14 COMMISSIONER LERNER: But we can add. This is 15 where I would make that recommendation which you didn't 16 have, but that's the New River-Anthem piece because those 17 folks say that they go back and forth across the freeway all 18 the time and that their communities are not divided. Like, 19 New River and Anthem are actually across the freeway, 20 they're not just on one side. So that's -- rather than 21 split those communities, that would be the thing for D1. And then for D1 and then I just have pretty much 22 23 nothing. 24 COMMISSIONER YORK: Shereen, did you want to do 25 anything with the top of D7 there in Glendale?

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Doesn't what?

1

1	COMMISSIONER LERNER: I'm sorry?
2	COMMISSIONER YORK: The top of D7 is still in the
3	city of Glendale, did you want to do anything with it?
4	COMMISSIONER LERNER: That's at that's up at
5	that piece at Northern, isn't that the
6	COMMISSIONER YORK: No, it goes all the way across
7	Luke Air Force Base, Glendale does.
8	COMMISSIONER LERNER: But D7 isn't in doesn't
9	have Luke.
10	COMMISSIONER YORK: No, I know that.
11	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Right.
12	COMMISSIONER YORK: Right.
13	COMMISSIONER LERNER: So you're asking if we should
14	push that down a little bit to be in D9, is that what you're
15	saying?
16	COMMISSIONER YORK: Yes.
17	COMMISSIONER LERNER: I'm just clarifying.
18	COMMISSIONER YORK: That's what I that's what I
19	would do.
20	COMMISSIONER LERNER: I'd have to think about that
21	only because I have
22	CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Out of curiosity are you
23	do you know how the population issue in D7 now? You have
24	to it's still highly overpopulated; am I correct?
25	COMMISSIONER YORK: Yeah, about

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: And I'm just tracking your changes and trying to be creative with ideas.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: That would be great.

COMMISSIONER YORK: It's over 30 -- 35,000.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. So it's still over but it's not as much over as it was. So we're trying to shrink that population a little bit.

I don't have an answer right now on the Glendale piece, I'd like to hold on that if that's okay. But I'll think about that one.

COMMISSIONER YORK: Just to refresh your memory, the Sun City neighborhoods were in D9 and now they're out, they're in D8, so you're going to need population in D9.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: I understand. I'm just not ready to make that decision at this point on what to do with that area.

I will say that --

1.3

COMMISSIONER YORK: I know that's not very Latino orientated and it's high growth.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Well, the northern boundary actually -- it depends on how far south you go, there's a pretty big population between Glendale Avenue and Northern, a pretty big Latino population in that part of District 7.

I know we can't get everybody in one district so I recognize that, but I do want to mention that there is a pretty

1 good-sized population. 2 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: It looks like you have the 3 map, is there more density in the rectangle east of it that you -- that you included, I believe in D3? 4 5 So you see the purple rectangle? I'm just trying to account for the densest area of 6 7 the Latino population south of Northern and -- and where it 8 is on the east-west border. 9 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Between D7 and -- in D7 you 10 mean? 11 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Yeah. I'm looking at that --12 the pink in D8 which I think is a more -- if I'm correct, 1.3 it's a more densely populated Latino area that we, I 14 believe, in your map put into D3. 15 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Correct. 16 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: And I'm wondering if the 17 population west is not as heavily Latino and so it's an area 18 that could potentially let go of population. 19 You're looking at the map, so I'm just raising it. 20 COMMISSIONER LERNER: It's a -- it's definitely a 21 possibility. That would be like north of Camelback 22 potentially to Northern or something? 23 So I think -- so if we took that block out just 24 like we put the other block in, and maybe that's what you're

suggesting is take that piece from Camelback to Northern

25

west of the 101 using the 101 as the divide, potentially 1 2 that could go into District 9. Is that where we need 3 population, correct? MR. D. JOHNSON: Yes. 4 5 COMMISSIONER LERNER: There are Latino populations 6 in there but... MR. D. JOHNSON: Just -- just if it's useful, we 7 8 just finished redistricting the city council district in 9 Glendale and the -- the heavily Latino neighborhoods really 10 stop at 75th; and then when you get to freeway, that's where the stadiums are and all and it's not very Latino. 11 12 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Right. I'm just looking here 1.3 and -- but I think if we take that -- we could take that 14 piece potentially, the west of the 101 into D9 as the 15 Chairwoman suggested. 16 MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay. Okay. 17 COMMISSIONER LERNER: And then east of the 101 and 18 south of Northern, that block that we have would go into 19 District 3. 20 And did you also move Maryvale into District 3? MR. D. JOHNSON: Not yet. We haven't counted that. 21 22 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. 23 MR. D. JOHNSON: So when you -- how do you define 24 Maryvale, if you don't -- if you mind? 25 COMMISSIONER LERNER: I was just there.

1 MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah, with the whole Phoenix piece coming over there? 2 3 COMMISSIONER LERNER: I actually have to look up the boundaries on that. 4 5 I mean, where was that -- where was our public meeting? It's like 51st Avenue I think. 6 7 COMMISSIONER YORK: Which is in D3. 8 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Hmm? 9 COMMISSIONER YORK: 51st Avenue is in District 3. 10 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Camelback you're saying? 11 I don't remember the boundaries precisely, but I 12 know they're in this area in District 7 now and so it was 1.3 just moving it over to District 3. 14 And let me see. It is 51st, okay. So maybe it's 15 already in. 16 COMMISSIONER YORK: It's already in D3. 17 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. So it was already in 18 District 3. 19 It was 51st, I couldn't remember. 20 All right. MR. D. JOHNSON: And you had mentioned something 21 earlier that may be related to this. Did you want from the 22 23 101 -- south of Glendale from the 101 to the east to go into 24 District 3 down in Tolleson but not including Tolleson? COMMISSIONER LERNER: Yeah. The -- basically that 25

block that you had, the 101 all the way down to I-10 east, 1 2 just east should go into that. MR. D. JOHNSON: 3 Okay. Which I think -- yeah. Which is --4 5 COMMISSIONER LERNER: And we can clean up if 6 there's any pieces or something later on. 7 For population piece I just want to make a couple 8 of points to try to balance something. 9 MR. D. JOHNSON: Well, I was going to say when you move the area that's east of 101 down to the northern edge 10 11 of Tolleson in -- that's 37,000 people that we looked at 12 before, that would balance D7. 1.3 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. So that balances D7. 14 Great. Thank you. 15 And then I just have to balance D3. 16 MR. D. JOHNSON: Right. 17 COMMISSIONER LERNER: And okay. And I think I'm 18 pretty much -- I know it's not going to be perfect at this 19 point but at least I'm trying to just make a couple of 20 changes in the West Valley here and provide the balance. 21 MR. FLAHAN: On the screen is the city of Phoenix's 22 definition of the Maryvale village. 23 MR. D. JOHNSON: So where's... 24 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. 25 MR. D. JOHNSON: So -- so you can see the 101 right

1 where the 9 is. 2 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Hm-mm. 3 MR. D. JOHNSON: So we've moved everything from there to the east into District 3. The only parts that 4 are -- and then the areas marked 10 and 18 would still be in 5 6 So all of Maryvale would be in 3 or 7. 7 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. And ultimately it will 8 be nice if we can put it into one if that's possible. 9 COMMISSIONER YORK: Into one district. 10 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Into one district. 11 COMMISSIONER YORK: Not into District 1. 12 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Right. Correct. 1.3 Correct. No, that would be hard to put it into 14 District 1 from where it is. 15 MR. D. JOHNSON: Way too many people. 16 COMMISSIONER LERNER: And I'm just going to make 17 one more... 18 MR. D. JOHNSON: Commissioner Lerner, just to 19 clarify, can we -- you want us to make that move now or keep 20 that as a "we'll look at that for the next round"? 21 COMMISSIONER LERNER: For the Maryvale? 22 MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah, the Maryvale. 23 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Well, I mean, ideally, if we 24 can do it now, as long as you know the boundaries there --25 and I can look them up as well, but maybe I -- but anyway,

if we can put it now, it's great; if not, we'll just put it 1 2 in so that know we need to do it to combine it all. 3 MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay. 4 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Whichever works best for you 5 right now. 6 MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay. 7 COMMISSIONER LERNER: So ideally it goes into District 3. 8 9 MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay. 10 COMMISSIONER LERNER: And so I assume District 3 is 11 now overpopulated? 12 MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay. 1.3 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Are you -- I didn't know if 14 you were --15 MR. D. JOHNSON: Ready? 16 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Yeah. 17 So this is where I think between the border of 18 District 1 and District 3 could be adjusted slightly and 19 then -- and then I'll be done. And I know that there will 20 be population imbalances, I was just trying to make a couple 21 of changes in the West Valley and -- as part of that. So what we're looking at here is at Missouri 22 23 between District 1 and District 3, just making a few minor 24 changes to try to still keep District 3 in some -- you know,

as close as we can to the way it was requested.

25

So if we just go down at Northern Avenue, it goes 1 2 south to Indian School like we have it there. 3 MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay. So currently it comes down to Missouri, and --4 5 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. So --6 MR. D. JOHNSON: -- instead of stopping at 7 Missouri, it should come down to Indian School? COMMISSIONER LERNER: Go down to Indian School. 8 9 MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay. COMMISSIONER LERNER: And then that will go into 10 11 District 1 and then go over to the 51, using the 51 as a --12 so it's just taking a couple of -- a couple of -- just a 1.3 small piece of that northern northeastern piece and putting 14 it into District 1 so you go over to the 51. 15 MR. KINGERY: That's about 34,000. 16 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Which is where the boundary 17 right now is, correct? Isn't the 51 that boundary? 18 MR. FLAHAN: Yes. 19 MR. D. JOHNSON: Yes. 20 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Right. So basically all we're doing is changing slightly the north -- that little 21 north piece by moving it down to Indian School and over to 22 23 the 51. 24 MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay. 25 COMMISSIONER LERNER: And then continuing over

there at 51, you could go down -- just don't want to go too 1 far, we can take that even further over to -- actually, we 2 3 could just take it all the way, take Indian School and take it over to 40th Street, where it would just meet up with the 4 5 current boundary. Or 48th Street, I'm double-checking to see what 6 7 that boundary is -- 44th Street. 8 MR. KINGERY: That's going into District 3? 9 That's 21,000. 10 MR. D. JOHNSON: Just -- District 3 is over, so 11 we'd be putting -- we'd be taking it more over. 12 COMMISSIONER LERNER: I'm actually taking that out 1.3 of District 3 is what I'm suggesting. 14 COMMISSIONER YORK: It's already out. 15 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Those pieces? I'm sorry, it 16 was the north corner. 17 Okay, what? MR. D. JOHNSON: 18 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Those would go. 19 MR. KINGERY: So what's highlighted on the screen 20 right now? 21 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Sorry, I'm busy always 22 looking at my map and I keep forgetting to look at your map. 23 MR. KINGERY: So is this going into District 3 24 or -- because it's already in District 1. 25 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Right. We're not -- I

```
1
         apologize. We want to get over to -- there's -- where is
 2
         Northern?
 3
                  Yeah, I know -- how much is District 3
 4
         overpopulated?
                  MR. D. JOHNSON: By my math about 46,000.
 5
 6
                  COMMISSIONER LERNER: 46,000.
 7
                  MR. D. JOHNSON: Because we start -- we've added
 8
         two big chunks to it. What was it --
 9
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: 49 and 37.
                  MR. D. JOHNSON: Yes, thank you. So you've added
10
         one chunk of 49,000 and one chunk of 37,000.
11
12
                  Oh, the 49,000 is Glendale, the 37,000 is the kind
1.3
         of Maryvale-ish area. Anyway, it started 4,000 short so
14
         it's now 46,000 short -- I'm sorry, 46,000 over.
15
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: Brian, how much did you have
16
         for District 1, that corner?
17
                  MR. D. JOHNSON: That was 34,000.
18
                  MR. KINGERY: This?
19
                  MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah.
20
                  MR. KINGERY: That was -- that was up here, wasn't
         it?
21
                  MR. FLAHAN: It was right here. Go down to Indian
22
23
         School.
24
                  MR. KINGERY: Into District 1 right here to east
25
         Indian.
```

1	MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah. Yep.
2	MR. KINGERY: Down?
3	Roughly 35. Let me do it cleaner.
4	MR. D. JOHNSON: 34?
5	MR. KINGERY: Yeah, so 34,000.
6	MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah.
7	So if we can put that actually if we put that
8	Glendale territory back into 8, that would bring it very
9	close into balance.
10	COMMISSIONER LERNER: The only reason I'm moving
11	them out of 8 is because of the population that's there, so
12	I didn't want to move that's the only that's the we
13	don't want to do that.
14	If we take 51, Piestewa.
15	MR. D. JOHNSON: Hm-mm.
16	COMMISSIONER LERNER: And and just take this
17	little corner that's there, follow the boundary for 3 over
18	at McDowell and just take that piece, that block right there
19	to the east.
20	So you're going east of the 51 to McDowell.
21	MR. KINGERY: Into District 1?
22	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Into District 1.
23	MR. KINGERY: This piece right here?
24	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Yes. I have to thank you
25	for reminding me to look up.

MR. KINGERY: That's 22,000 added to District 1.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay, that would help with

District 3.

1.3

And then with District 1 we've got -- I mentioned that we're going to be hopefully moving the New River-Anthem group into D8.

And that's -- I mean at this point, honestly, I -for D1, I know there's going to be still unbalanced, I don't
want to blow up the map, I'm just going to try to make -- I
just wanted to make a few minor changes, a few changes here
and there, and I know we have to come back and I recognize
that this is not going to be balanced but I also don't want
to do a lot of big changes.

I will stop there.

MR. D. JOHNSON: As you're saying, we can -- it's fairly easy to balance D1 and D8. The challenge is D3 will still be 22,000 over -- or 24,000 over.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Yeah. And I think there'll be room -- when we kind of see all the numbers we might see where that can happen between D1 and D4, there might be some opportunities or D7 even for D3. Once we get your...

MR. D. JOHNSON: Oh, okay.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: But I'm afraid to do too much more at this point without changing the substance of the map.

1 MR. KINGERY: So moving forward what you can expect to see is these changes have been made off of 10.0. We have 2 3 some core base changes to make that both sides agree on, that will be 10.1, and then from there we'll have the two 4 5 versions branching off. COMMISSIONER YORK: 10.1.1 and 10.1.2. 6 7 MR. KINGERY: 10.2 and 10.3, keep it simple. COMMISSIONER YORK: You had the dots last time to 8 9 go on the tree, so I think our tree needs to stay consistent. 10 11 MR. KINGERY: Okay. 12 COMMISSIONER YORK: Thank you. 1.3 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Just out of curiosity, what 14 do you think the time frame is? I know you have a lot of 15 work. 16 MR. FLAHAN: Well, our hope is to work into the 17 night and to get it done by tonight so we can discuss it 18 tomorrow morning. 19 It might be a midnight night, but we should have it 20 ready for you tomorrow morning. 21 COMMISSIONER MEHL: What about the timing on the LD 22 maps? 23 MR. FLAHAN: I don't know where the team is right 24 this second, I haven't checked in with them. They can 25 probably come earlier than the changes that we made today

1 because they're working on it right now. 2 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Yeah, obviously if you have 3 them earlier, send them earlier. And Chairwoman, should we start a little later than 4 9:00 so we have time to absorb them in the morning before we 5 then reconvene? Not a lot later but a little later. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: That is exactly what I was 8 thinking. I was going to ask my colleagues if it will be 9 helpful to maybe push the meeting back an hour to give us a little study time in the morning. Maybe aim for 10 o'clock. 10 The other thing that I think we should put on our 11 12 radar is a deliberation day on Sunday. 13 We have it, you know, in our calendar, it's 14 agendized, I believe it's been posted, it's our option. 15 The rate at which we're going, you know, I'm 16 thinking maybe a half day would be productive. We don't 17 have to decide today, but I think it is something we should 18 put on our radar. 19 COMMISSIONER LERNER: I agree. 20 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okav. 21 Anything else with the direction to Mapping? MR. FLAHAN: No, I think we're -- we're good on the 22

else, we'll move to Agenda Item No. VII, next meeting date,

Okay. If there's nothing

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG:

23

24

25

mapping side.

1	which is tomorrow at
2	COMMISSIONER YORK: 10:00 a.m.
3	CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: 10:00 a.m.
4	With that, we'll move to Agenda Item No. VIII,
5	closing of public comments.
6	Please note, members of the Commission may not
7	discuss items that are not specifically identified on the
8	agenda. Therefore, pursuant to A.R.S. 38-431.01(H), action
9	taken as a result of public comment will be limited to
10	directing staff to study the matter, responding to any
11	criticism or scheduling the matter for further consideration
12	and decision at a later date.
13	With that we move to Agenda Item IX, adjournment.
14	I will entertain a motion to adjourn.
15	VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Vice Chair Watchman motions
16	to adjourn.
17	COMMISSIONER YORK: Commissioner York seconds.
18	CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: With no further discussion.
19	Vice Chair Watchman.
20	VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Aye.
21	CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Mehl.
22	COMMISSIONER MEHL: Aye.
23	CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Lerner.
24	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Aye.
25	CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner York.

1 COMMISSIONER YORK: Aye. 2 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Neuberg is an 3 aye. 4 And with that, we will adjourn. I will see you tomorrow at 10 o'clock; and Mapping, just keep it rolling 5 out whenever it comes because, you know, it's going to be a 6 7 lot of work for us as well. MR. D. JOHNSON: We'll get -- I think we'll get 8 9 you -- the leg maps will come out and sometime very late the 10 congressional map. 11 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. Thank you, everybody, 12 for your hard work. 1.3 (Whereupon the meeting concludes at 4:43 p.m.) 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 This transcript represents an unofficial record. 23 Please consult the accompanying video for the official 24 record of IRC proceedings. 25

1	$\underline{C} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{R} \ \underline{T} \ \underline{I} \ \underline{F} \ \underline{I} \ \underline{C} \ \underline{A} \ \underline{T} \ \underline{E}$
2	
3	STATE OF ARIZONA)
4) ss.
5	COUNTY OF MARICOPA)
6	
7	BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were
8	taken before me, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter No. 50127, all done to the best of my skill and ability;
9	that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to print under my direction.
10	I CERTIFY that I am in no way related to any of the
11	parties hereto nor am I in any way interested in the outcome thereof.
12	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I have complied with the
13	requirements set forth in ACJA 7-206. Dated at Litchfield Park, Arizona, this 10th of January, 2022.
14	
15	Angela Furniss Miller, RPR, CR
16	CERTIFIED REPORTER (AZ50127)
17	* * *
18	I CERTIFY that Miller Certified Reporting, LLC, has complied with the requirements set forth in ACJA 7-201 and
19	7-206. Dated at LITCHFIELD PARK, Arizona, this 10th of
	January, 2022.
20	WCR
21	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC Arizona RRF No. R1058
22	
23	
24	
25	