## THE STATE OF ARIZONA INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

## REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF FINAL DECISION PUBLIC MEETING

Afternoon Session

December 17, 2021

12:35 p.m.

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC PO Box 513, Litchfield Park, AZ 85340 (P) 623-975-7472 (F) 623-975-7462 www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com

Reported By: Angela Furniss Miller, RPR Certified Reporter (AZ 50127)

1	<u>I N D E X</u>	
2		
3	AGENDA ITEM:	<u>PAGE</u>
4	ITEM NO. VI - LEGISLATIVE MAP	76
5	MOTION TO START FROM MAP 10.1.2	147
6	VOTE	147
7	ITEM NO. VII	197
8	ITEM NO. VI	200
9	ITEM NO. VIII	203
10	ITEM NO. IX	204
11	MOTION TO ADJOURN	204
12	VOTE	204
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PUBLIC MEETING, BEFORE THE INDEPENDENT
2	REDISTRICTING COMMISSION, convened at 12:35 p.m. on
3	December 17, 2021, at the Kimpton Palomar Hotel, 2 East
4	Jefferson Street, Phoenix, Arizona, in the presence of the
5	following Commissioners:
6	Ms. Erika Neuberg, Chairperson Mr. Derrick Watchman, Vice Chairman
7	Mr. David Mehl Ms. Shereen Lerner Mr. Douglas York
9	OTHERS PRESENT:
L O	Mr. Brian Schmitt, Executive Director Ms. Lori Van Haren, Deputy Director
1	Ms. Valerie Neumann, Executive Assistant Mr. Alex Pena, Community Outreach Coordinator
12	Ms. Michelle Crank, Public Information Officer
L3	Mr. Mark Flahan, Timmons Group Mr. Brian Kingery, Timmons Group
L 4	Mr. Parker Bradshaw, Timmons Group Mr. Randy Trott, Timmons Group
L5	Mr. Doug Johnson, NDC Ms. Ivy Beller Sakansky, NDC
L6 L7	Mr. Roy Herrera, Ballard Spahr Mr. Shawn Summers, Ballard Spahr
L 7	Mr. Eric Spencer, Snell & Wilmer Mr. Brett Johnson, Snell & Wilmer
19	* Spanish interpreter present
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## 

2

3

4

5

1

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: All right. Welcome, everybody. Thank you. I hope everybody enjoyed their lunch.

6

We are returning to Agenda Item VI I believe.

7

I can't find my agenda. Is it VI?

8

MS. NEUMANN: Yes.

9

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Legislative map and we will return to your deliberation.

1011

I turn it over to my colleagues.

12

COMMISSIONER YORK: Commissioner Lerner, we had a robust conversation about LD-4 and 1, perhaps we should go

13

to an area where we might have some consensus on and let's

1415

go to the East Valley. And currently on this map, LD-9, 12,

16

and 13 are underpopulated; 14 and 10 are overpopulated --

17

not be much.

18

the balance of 10 on the western border that is Val Vista

1920

down to the bottom of -- to match 14.

21

COMMISSIONER LERNER: From 10?

22

COMMISSIONER YORK: From 10 into 9.

23

Brian, can you tell us how many people that is?

So I have a suggestion that I would like to move

24

COMMISSIONER LERNER: That would go into 9?

25

COMMISSIONER YORK: Correct.

1	MR. KINGERY: One second.
2	Almost 14,000.
3	COMMISSIONER YORK: There you go. That balances
4	almost within
5	COMMISSIONER LERNER: So that would take 10 a
6	little bit short but it would help 9 almost perfectly.
7	COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, 10 could
8	COMMISSIONER LERNER: 10 could pick up something
9	from somewhere else.
10	COMMISSIONER YORK: On the east side maybe.
11	Let's
12	COMMISSIONER LERNER: It's just taking that one
13	from Apache Trail down to Baseline along Val Vista.
14	COMMISSIONER YORK: Correct.
15	I think the western boundary is Lindsay, I think.
16	COMMISSIONER LERNER: I'm sorry?
17	COMMISSIONER YORK: I think the western boundary is
18	Lindsay.
19	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Correct, yeah.
20	I just want to
21	COMMISSIONER YORK: I don't know
22	COMMISSIONER LERNER: I just want to take a minute.
23	This may be okay.
24	I just want to take a minute to look at the
25	neighborhoods real quick to see if they align nicely with 9,

but I think they do.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: And I think we're at the point, you know, we've established such a record of communities of interest driving, you know, the organization of the districts. I do think this is the appropriate time to be balancing the other criteria -- competitiveness, compactness -- and bring all of that into the conversation.

Because -- again, I want to reiterate not at the expense of a community of interest, iff we've reached a point in deliberation where we feel that the higher needs of the constitutional priorities have been honored and -- and we are fine-tuning.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Commissioner York, I think that would work, just taking a look. So thank you for that.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I'm interested in hearing a little bit more debate about LD-13 and 14. I've been a huge fan of that perfect little square but I've also heard some conversation about minority communities, the Asian community, Latino community; and if there's fine-tuning around the edges here not substantively changing the East Valley map, I would entertain some conversation about ways to increase, you know, representation of communities of interest without compromising all of the other factors that I think we've deliberated quite well on.

COMMISSIONER YORK: Doug, can you tell us what the

Asian CVAP currently is for LD-13.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: It's 8 percent according to that -- we have that as our table; is that correct?

MR. D. JOHNSON: Yes, exactly.

COMMISSIONER YORK: So by looking at this chart, that's our highest one, correct?

So that includes the Asian communities of Chandler with Applebee in Glendale -- I mean Gilbert, Layton Lakes, White Wing; am I correct?

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: So if this is a going to be a district that really encompasses an Asian voice, I want to make sure the district serves them well. They are an up-and-coming minority group, I think I've already shared I believe they're over 4 percent of our population. And I remember asking Doug Johnson very early on about the progression of when a majority-minority district on the legislative level may eventually take place.

It's premature, you know, the Asian community is still spread out, you know, quite a bit in the East Valley between Gilbert-Chandler-Mesa, but if we pick one district that best encompasses this community of interest and helps align them with other communities of interest like the Latino community in this East Valley, I would like to again fine-tune these lines to respect that community that I believe in the future, in the next ten years, will be a

force, you know, and demanding political representation -- deserving political representation.

1.3

Now, I was under the assumption actually that the Gilbert Consolidated map best served the Asian community, but I was given different information.

COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, I would disagree with that.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Well, that's what I'm saying, and so I think it's open to some legitimate debate about what serves these communities.

COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, I think the Gilbert map did serve the community. I mean, we've got the highest CVAP of any district, we've got quite a bit of Gilbert Asian community in Chandler in CD-10 -- I mean CD-13, excuse me.

But currently right now CD-13 is underpopulated and CD-14 is overpopulated and CD-12 is underpopulated, so we have to figure out -- you know, we heard numerous times, don't split up Gilbert, keep us in at least two districts.

We also heard, you know, we don't want to see the thumb, I can't remember how many times we got lectured about the thumb.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: The panhandle.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: The panhandle.

 $\label{eq:commissioner} \mbox{COMMISSIONER YORK:} \mbox{ Whatever you want to call it.}$  The thing.

So we tried to eliminate most of that. I mean...

COMMISSIONER LERNER: So I -- I know that there's a significant Asian American population. If we were to just take the area sort of between Country Club and the 101 and head south, it goes through now three districts D9, D12 and D13. So there -- I know it doesn't show up necessarily in D9, but I know there's a lot of businesses there. There may not be as much -- as many residents, but there's the -- in fact, Mesa still has an Asian district along Dobson Road that they've created.

So I agree and I think in the future it may be a little bit more of a linear than it is right now. But I would like to suggest for District 13 when we finished the last time, we have a break between -- at Ray Road and that's right in the heart of some of the oldest parts of Chandler where there are Latino communities on both sides of Ray that are really one; and if we could go just a little further north to capture them into one community, I think basically take -- if we could go to Warner that would be great, if we go to the street right below Warner that I don't know the name of -- Knox, that would also work, because it would just bind those -- that community together that's right now split.

And I  $\operatorname{\mathsf{I}}$  -- I agree, I think -- I think in the future we need to really look at some of where the Asian American

community -- I think that's going to be something that will show up a lot in 12 and 13 in the future, but this would combine at least this Latino community.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: You know,

Commissioner Lerner, I know you're looking at the

Democrat -- demographic density there so I don't mistrust

your lines, but in terms of my understanding just on a

personal level on CD-13, I believe it's about Arizona Avenue

right around Chandler where there's a major section of more

Latino minority communities. I mean, the marker that you

identified may be a better marker with the Asian

communities, I'm not sure it's the best marker for Latino.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Yeah, I'm just looking at a map that I have here that shows the Latino community and maybe -- I don't know if you can pull that up, but that's all I was looking at. I actually should pull up the Asian American community, too.

But the one that I was talking about was just -the demographics that I have is just for the Latino and
there's just these enclaves. But you're right, certainly
around Chandler that is a very high density area as part of
that.

COMMISSIONER YORK: So you're talking about putting that into 12?

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Yeah. I mean, I have other

small change -- these are just changes just for particular groups to come together without making a significant change in the district.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: And I want to say at minimum right now this district is within competitive range and as we've talked about, competitiveness is no panacea to address minority, you know, interests, but I do feel comforted by a competitive district that will be motivated to serve I believe as many constituents as possible.

So I am bringing this issue to our attention. I do not believe it needs to be the driving force behind our decisions, provided that the Asian and Latino populations within this district are well served within a reasonably competitive environment that an elected official would be motivated, you know, to listen and -- and take care of the constituents.

COMMISSIONER YORK: 12 is short, right?

COMMISSIONER MEHL: 12 and 13 are both short.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Yeah, I just would like --

COMMISSIONER YORK: And 14 is overpopulated.

MR. D. JOHNSON: Um-hmm.

MR. FLAHAN: Correct.

COMMISSIONER YORK: So Shereen, so if you take the Hispanic community you're talking about along Ray Road and you go down to Chandler Boulevard over to Arizona Avenue, is

```
1
         that what you want to do?
 2
                  COMMISSIONER LERNER: Well, it's already in
 3
         Chandler Boulevard, they're in District 13.
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: 13, but 12's short, 13's short,
 4
 5
         so we move --
                  COMMISSIONER LERNER: Well, I don't want to move --
 6
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: -- 12 into 13 and then we move
 7
 8
         13 into 14.
 9
                  COMMISSIONER LERNER:
                                        That really starts to divide
10
         up Chandler which we were trying to keep more whole, so that
11
         would be my only concern.
12
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: I don't think -- where's the
1.3
         Chandler boundary?
14
                  COMMISSIONER LERNER: It's -- well, you can see it,
15
         it's -- it splits it more. I would rather see it move up.
16
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: The eastern yellow line is the
17
         Gilbert boundary.
18
                  COMMISSIONER LERNER:
                                        The eastern.
19
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: I wasn't -- Arizona Avenue is
20
         not all the way -- I was going the other direction into 13.
         I'm not going to take out of 12, they're already short.
21
                  COMMISSIONER LERNER: Well, that's -- that was what
22
23
         I was suggesting, what they were just showing.
24
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: But then 12 is short another
25
         20,000.
```

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Could you just -- is it possible to show us, I mean, I know I'm looking at the demographics because that's really what we're focusing on here and I'm really even comfortable with just the Knox area, but the demographics for that area for -- up to Knox.

MR. FLAHAN: Which demographics?

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Well, there's a Latino community that lives in that area that is now being split based on the border of 13 and 12 and so that's really all I was talking about.

COMMISSIONER YORK: I understand, but you can't move 13 up because 14 is long.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Can you please highlight that geographic area that you're talking about, the Latino community, and I'd like to look at the density.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: So the area would go -- it's from -- really we could look at McQueen to Alma School to Knox. And that's just the community, I don't want to -- there's other areas, but just to show you what I'm talking about.

Actually you can see it on that map right there.

MR. D. JOHNSON: Right. Yeah.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: So is the green, the Latino community?

MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah, you can see the scale up on

1 the top left. So the colors are a little hard to differentiate but green is majority Latino by total 2 3 population we're looking at here. 4 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: And what is that blue line up 5 top? That's our current district? COMMISSIONER YORK: Those are both our districts. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Thank you. 8 MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah. 9 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Just want to confirm. 10 COMMISSIONER YORK: So then now they're all --11 COMMISSIONER LERNER: That's what I was talking 12 about. 1.3 COMMISSIONER YORK: They're all in LD-13. 14 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Yeah. Well, there's that 15 piece that's going up -- that's all I was talking about was 16 that piece right there. Because the Ray Road divide, it's really a -- a community. It just happens that there's a 17 18 road in between, but -- so just that one part was the one I 19 was talking about, that's all. COMMISSIONER YORK: It's not that much. 20 21 Yeah, but then that goes against the con- -- that's 22 not very compact. 23 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: And -- and the people -- the 24 Latinos that would not be included, would be in LD -- is it 25 12?

COMMISSIONER YORK: Correct.

1.3

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: And I believe that would be a climate that would be reasonably friendly to their, you know, community of interest needs. I mean, are you concerned that they would be marginalized or, you know, not well represented? Because I don't believe that they'd be put in a district where their needs would be ignored.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: That's true.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I mean, it's something to consider.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: That's true.

MR. D. JOHNSON: Also --

COMMISSIONER LERNER: I mean, I -- go ahead. I'm sorry.

MR. D. JOHNSON: You're also going to be a little bit trapped on a population standpoint because this -- this move would make 12 shorter than it already is and it -- and it doesn't have a lot of places to go; as opposed to 13, as was discussed earlier, there's extra people in 14 you can get to.

But 12 -- 12 and 9, you know, where the border is, the city of Mesa border, you could take 12 back into Mesa or 12 and 8 can go up further into Tempe. But -- but other than that you're kind of -- there's not a lot of places for 12 to go to make up the population.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Is 13 still under and 14 1 2 still over? 3 MR. D. JOHNSON: Correct. 4 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. 5 MR. D. JOHNSON: You could do this and then bring 12 into 13 down on the south edge but -- which is -- oh. 6 Ιs 7 that tribal? 8 COMMISSIONER YORK: Yeah, but she's still got to 9 get more population in 13 so you'd have to move it into -more into Gilbert. 10 11 MR. D. JOHNSON: Right. 12 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Well, you know, at one point 1.3 I had suggested shifting the population east to Lindsay. 14 COMMISSIONER YORK: What's --15 COMMISSIONER LERNER: But I don't know if that 16 was --17 COMMISSIONER YORK: What's --18 COMMISSIONER LERNER: From between 13 and 14 when 19 we were talking about population balancing. This was 20 yesterday, I think. And we settled on -- I think we were 21 going to look and see how the population balanced using this. 22 23 MR. D. JOHNSON: Yes, 13 and 14 we can balance 24 fairly straightforward because both of them are in Gilbert 25 and just straight Gilbert population into 12; it's a

challenge if we take population out of 12. There are places it can go but it would go against your earlier directions, so maybe we revisit those.

1.3

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. I will tell you, I don't actually have an answer today or right this minute, so can we -- would it be okay to table this one right now so we can come back and take another look?

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Absolutely. I view this as healthy deliberation and I too want to make sure if there are minority communities that would be best served within -- I believe LD-12 and LD-13 will be hospitable and good homes and I think we need to fine-tune that and we will do our homework.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER YORK: So currently right now just -- Shereen, just so you know, Lindsay is one mile west of the current boundary of LD-13.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Right.

COMMISSIONER YORK: And it needs to go east to pick up population to balance it, so just understand that.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: Is there a piece you would prefer for it to pick up?

COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, I mean, I don't know what --

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Mehl, can you

please speak up? The transcriptionist is trying to
record --

COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, he asked if I had a suggestion, I think all of us understand the issue, so I mean --

COMMISSIONER MEHL: We'll go look at it.

COMMISSIONER YORK: I can make a suggestion but Shereen is trying to accommodate a minority neighborhood which I don't think we can do unless we move 13 east, which she's also trying not to do because she wants to move it west, so it's a little bit of conundrum and until she has more time I'm not going to make a suggestion.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Yeah. I -- I just pulled up the Asian American population demographics to take a closer look at that. So if we can -- I'll make a note of what you said and then I'll take a closer look about that.

So D13, you're talking about taking D13 back east.

COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, you have to because you can't really take it west because west is 12 and 12 is unpopulated -- underpopulated.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. If you don't mind, I'd like to take a look -- closer look at that but I've written it down for future.

MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah, to balance 13 and 14, as Commissioner is saying, you can take 13 east and pick up a

little more of Gilbert; you can also take the -- the northeast corner 13 north into Gilbert. Either way you're picking up more Gilbert population.

COMMISSIONER YORK: Right.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I think we have options in this area that serve our communities of interest well where we have the luxury of debating the finer points of the constitutional criteria: Compactness, communities of interest, competitiveness. I actually look forward to this debate because that's one of the easier ones I think.

of us to go on record, 13 does serve the Latino Coalition well, it's right in the middle of it; it does serve the Asian community well, it has the highest CVAP Asian percentage of any legislative district we're currently working on. And so from -- from -- from the Commission I think we need to understand this is a good district.

MR. D. JOHNSON: And it's competitive.

COMMISSIONER YORK: And it's competitive.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Doug, can I ask you just to tell us -- you mentioned that -- just so I have both to look at. I've got Doug York's suggestion but can you clarify your suggestion and tell us what that population would be as well, just so we can compare the one that you just gave about the northeast corner.

MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah. So District 13 is 7,600 short and District 14 is 7,300 over, so together they almost perfectly balance. Just 13 needs to pick up 7,600 or 7,500 from 14.

COMMISSIONER YORK: So I would keep the north border of Ray Road because that's the boundary of Gilbert and I would just move it east to probably Greenfield and I would take that south.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: But I would like to -- Doug Johnson had just made a comment about the northeast corner, correct?

MR. D. JOHNSON: All I was saying is, yeah, you can see that they share the border on the northeast side of 13 and on the east side of 13, and so 13 could go north into Gilbert or east into Gilbert or both. Some -- some combination of that territory.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: I was curious about the north piece that you were mentioning, I think it would go to the border on the east side which would be Val Vista I assume, and then it would take it from -- I just wanted to get a sense of population and what the population looks like there.

It would be -- it looks like it would take it from that piece at Cooper and just go across to Val Vista, is that what you were suggesting? I'm just trying to

understand.

MR. D. JOHNSON: I didn't have a specific territory suggestion, I was just saying somewhere along that border, we need to find 7,600 people.

COMMISSIONER YORK: From my standpoint the suggestion I made along Ray Road over to the -- basically to the 202 loop, is it then south -- Greenfield is on the east side of the 202, straight down would be more compact or geography-orientated.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Well, can we hold off on that so I can just look at -- and just by population-wise just for -- let me just pull this out, sorry.

Okay. Just from a population perspective so I have a better understanding of that -- where that step goes down and meets the border of 13 and 14, if you were to take that over, it's kind of like a little panhandle that we have and if you were to just take that over and basically -- so if you were to pick that up at 13 and over to 14, I'm just curious on the population size that's in that area, that's what I thought you were mentioning.

MR. D. JOHNSON: You talking about below Warner and Ray?

COMMISSIONER YORK: No, I think it's the first step off Knox.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: It's the Warner to Ray -- or

not even -- doesn't -- yeah, Warner to Ray -- computers.

COMMISSIONER YORK: But then that's --

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Sorry.

COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, then you cross the Chandler border into Gilbert, it looks a little manipulated, the lower portion is already in Gilbert so you could actually run straight across.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: As you're looking at those details I want to emphasize something that -- can I just say something?

Commissioner York, thank you for bringing back the concept of compactness and contiguity. I mean, all things being equal, if there are going to be political arguments I just want to be transparent out there. I'm going to go back to the Constitution and look for empirical, you know, arguments of how to transcend partisan differences, and I think the reason why compactness and contiguity is in the Constitution is to compel us to reject some of the partisan impulses. So as you're -- you're making these arguments, I please ask you to keep compactness and contiguity in your argument.

COMMISSIONER YORK: Where's the historic district of Gilbert?

MR. FLAHAN: Are you talking about the Heritage District downtown?

1 COMMISSIONER YORK: Heritage, yeah, is that on 2 Gilbert Road? 3 MR. FLAHAN: That is Gilbert Road north of Elliot 4 between Elliot and Guadalupe. 5 COMMISSIONER YORK: Okay. 6 MR. D. JOHNSON: So that area we were just talking 7 about, this is the Warner down to Ray, it's twice as many 8 people as we're looking for, so it's 15,500. 9 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. 10 MR. D. JOHNSON: Or 15,300. 11 COMMISSIONER LERNER: I'd like to just come -- if 12 we can come back to this, I think you've raised some really 1.3 good ideas, Commissioner York. I'd like to take a closer 14 look at them if that's okay. 15 COMMISSIONER YORK: Sure. 16 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I'm not sure what that means 17 in terms of moving forward with decision-making. So when we 18 say further consideration --19 COMMISSIONER YORK: So Brian, let's do this little 20 experiment in the spirit of -- so Higley -- or Ray Road is 21 the top of the Gilbert boundary, take that over to 22 Greenfield and go south until you hit a balance. 23 MR. D. JOHNSON: The area from Ray down to the --24 to the freeway? 25 COMMISSIONER YORK: Correct.

1	MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah.
2	MR. KINGERY: Going into 13.
3	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Sorry, I'm having trouble. I
4	had to restart my computer.
5	Where I'm sorry, because I had to restart.
6	MR. D. JOHNSON: Brian is going to show it on the
7	screen here in just a second.
8	MR. FLAHAN: From here to there.
9	MR. KINGERY: Down to
10	MR. FLAHAN: Down to where we hit population.
11	MR. D. JOHNSON: Everything in the freeway curve.
12	MR. FLAHAN: You want everything? Because
13	Greenfield is a little different, so. Everything that's
14	here?
15	So while grabbing that, Greenfield turns into
16	San Tan Village Parkway there, so if we go out to the
17	freeway there, that would be that little curve.
18	MR. KINGERY: 6,500.
19	COMMISSIONER YORK: How much?
20	MR. KINGERY: 6,500.
21	COMMISSIONER YORK: So if you came down to the
22	bottom of the curve which would be Mercy Mercer Mercy
23	Road.
24	MR. FLAHAN: Come down here right by the hospital.
25	It didn't change, it didn't add any.

```
1
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, I would keep -- I would
 2
         square it up.
 3
                  MR. FLAHAN: Keep going down?
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, no, square it up with --
 4
 5
                  MR. FLAHAN: Grab these over here.
 6
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: -- let's say Greenfield.
 7
                  MR. FLAHAN: Grab that little block and get rid of
 8
         that one.
 9
                  That is 7,176.
10
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: Perfect. That puts the temple
11
         in LD-13.
12
                  Commissioner Neuberg, I don't know how you feel
1.3
         about that.
14
                  CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Can you repeat that and --
15
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: It puts the Mormon temple in
16
         LD-13. How do you feel about that? No, it puts the Mercy
17
         Gilbert Hospital, I'm sorry.
18
                  MR. FLAHAN: Yeah, I think the temple is still on
19
         -- in District 14 right at that corner.
20
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: You're right. Here it is.
21
                  MR. FLAHAN: Warner and Pecos.
22
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: You're right. I'm sorry. So
         that's about --
23
24
                  COMMISSIONER LERNER: It would be good to see the
25
         boundaries of Gilbert in there as you're doing that because
```

I think that cuts into that and I'm trying to not -- I'm doing my best to try to keep these things as close to -- COMMISSIONER YORK: It's in Gilbert.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: -- whole as possible. But that would be a big cut into Gilbert, so I think...

COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, no. Gilbert's already in 13.

MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: I'm sorry, I've been -- I was mistaken.

MR. D. JOHNSON: Whatever 7,600 people you get from anywhere along that border, it's all going to be Gilbert people living there.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: There are communities of interest in Gilbert and Commissioner York brings up the LDS community. I think we need to consider all communities and make sure that they are best, you know, in their districts. Maybe LD-14 is a better fit.

If LD-13 is within competitive reason and there's motivations for an elected leader to do right by all constituents and there aren't inherent -- you know, when we look at, you know, merging populations from my perspective it's not just an issue of competitiveness, it's an issue of are there fundamental incapabilities. You know, I don't see fundamental incompatibilities but having said that, I have

no doubt that I think that they'd be a little bit more represented in LD-14.

COMMISSIONER YORK: Yeah. So the Mormon community is still in LD-14. That little top right-hand corner of LD-13 probably does the least amount to change the current competitiveness of LD-13.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: So that's just -- it's basically going across Ray and along the 202 --

COMMISSIONER YORK: Correct.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: -- that you're talking about.

Does it take any other piece out? Like it has a --

COMMISSIONER YORK: Not really.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: -- that shopping area is San Tan Village, so that's in there.

COMMISSIONER YORK: Correct. There's apartments in there, Mercy Gilbert Hospital.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: And where's the temple again? That's still in 14, right?

COMMISSIONER YORK: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Just in terms of leading the conversation as I'm thinking through all of these decisions, my hope at the end of the day is that it's balanced from a population perspective so that when we reconvene we can be fine-tuning. If we create, you know, ideas that are fundamentally imbalanced, I think that leaves too many areas

to come back and redeliberate. So please let's just keep in mind the fine-tuning that we're doing that is consistent with our responsibility to balance the population.

That is, in essence, one of the first constitutional criteria: Equal population.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: I'm open to this idea, I just would like to maybe think -- I'm looking at some of the demographics and that's kind of where I'm -- that's why I'm taking a few moments, but I think it's an interesting concept that would probably be the least disruptive in many ways, so if I can just take a moment to look at that or if we wanted --

MR. FLAHAN: So it's on the screen there is taking Ray Road over to the freeway, following the freeway and then cutting back over on Greenfield when it goes back east-south, and that takes it down to Germann. That is a population change of 7,633.

And that would leave the temple in District 14. It would be right on the corner.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Could we -- would it be possible to just use the 202? It's a natural boundary there.

COMMISSIONER YORK: We'd have to pick up 7,600 folks to balance.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: How many people would it be

without that bottom piece? Because that would also leave Gilbert, the -- the medical center, there's a lot of medical facilities over in that area.

MR. D. JOHNSON: It would be 60 -- just short of 6,600, so each district would be off by about a thousand people.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. But would -- it would get us closer.

MR. D. JOHNSON: Much closer.

MR. FLAHAN: 6,578.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. I mean I think, just looking at the demographics in there, the -- at least the part north of the 202 going up to Ray Road, just to follow that along could work as a population balance; and also, I think that the communities there could align nicely with District 13.

And actually if you look at -- so at the section along -- I'm saying Toledo Street, but I think that's a continuous -- we'd basically be aligning that -- those -- that street. I mean, otherwise we'd be cutting it almost right in the middle. So I think the north of the 202 would work.

And I think it's a whole complex just looking at the way it's laid out, whether it's apartments or whatever, but...

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC

So I'd be good with north of the 202. That would 1 get us pretty close and then we can kind of fine-tune and 2 3 that would be a good population shift and I think it meets -- and I think these communities have a lot in common. 4 5 MR. FLAHAN: So are you talking about the part 6 that's highlighted blue here on the screen? 7 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Yes. 8 MR. FLAHAN: Okay. Is that a consensus? Do you 9 want us to add that? 10 COMMISSIONER MEHL: We're okay to take a look at 11 it, we're not positive about it but we're good to try it. 12 MR. FLAHAN: All right. Got it. 1.3 VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Let's try it. 14 COMMISSIONER YORK: We've got consensus on the LDs. 15 COMMISSIONER MEHL: I've got just a couple more on 16 the LDs. COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, we don't know where the 17 18 Latino 24 and 26 go, so I don't know if we can make any more 19 changes in the central area. 20 We did have a request that I'd like to consider and 21 maybe you can show us on LD-23. We'd like to move the 22 little town of Liberty into 25. 23 COMMISSIONER LERNER: And why would we do that? 24 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I didn't hear what you said. 25 Can you repeat that, please?

1	COMMISSIONER YORK: It's along the river there in
2	Buckeye and Goodyear, there's a little community of Liberty.
3	CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay.
4	COMMISSIONER YORK: That we'd like to move out of
5	23 and into 25, and I don't know where it is.
6	MR. D. JOHNSON: Can do you have more specifics
7	on where Liberty is?
8	COMMISSIONER YORK: I think it's
9	COMMISSIONER LERNER: What kind of community is
10	that?
11	COMMISSIONER MEHL: We're understanding
12	COMMISSIONER YORK: Farming community, they would
13	like to vote with Buckeye.
14	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. And do you know you
15	don't know exactly where it is?
16	COMMISSIONER MEHL: No. We were inundated with
17	messages from Liberty during lunch, so Liberty wouldn't let
18	our lunch alone. And it sounds like it would be a better
19	fit with 25, it should this actually shouldn't be a
20	controversial one.
21	COMMISSIONER LERNER: It's not that it's
22	controversial, I'm just asking information
23	COMMISSIONER MEHL: I don't know where it is.
24	COMMISSIONER LERNER: I don't know I don't know
25	where it is. I don't know what the population is.

1	COMMISSIONER MEHL: It's over there on the border
2	somewhere.
3	COMMISSIONER YORK: Along State Route 55.
4	MR. D. JOHNSON: Mark has Mark has found it
5	online.
6	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Where it's at?
7	MR. FLAHAN: So where the cursor is right now is
8	Liberty High School. It's basically Jack Rabbit and
9	U.S. 85.
10	COMMISSIONER LERNER: So isn't it already in 25?
11	MR. FLAHAN: Or Maricopa sorry, Maricopa County
12	85.
13	COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, we redrew 23.
14	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Oh, what is it in terms of
15	its current with the new district boundaries?
16	COMMISSIONER YORK: And yeah, that was the noise so
17	I don't
18	CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. Well, we may not
19	exactly know I mean, that's something
20	COMMISSIONER MEHL: We would like you to look up
21	what the community of Liberty is and move it.
22	MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah. And just so you know, so
23	this is eastern Buckeye. So this is it may not be
24	incorporated but it's surrounded by Buckeye.
25	COMMISSIONER LERNER: So I guess we just will need

1 to know the information on the population and the 2 demographics and all that. 3 COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, they would like to be 4 with Buckeye --5 COMMISSIONER LERNER: I don't care. COMMISSIONER YORK: -- so we could work on it. 6 7 don't think there's a lot of population there. 8 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Just to see. It's not 9 like --10 COMMISSIONER YORK: Let's -- can we review the 11 consensus stuff so that Mapping understands. 12 MR. FLAHAN: Do we have consensus on Liberty going 1.3 to D25? COMMISSIONER LERNER: No, I just -- we need to --14 15 I'm not saying we have any problem with it, we just need to 16 know where it is and all of that information so we can look 17 at it again when we --18 COMMISSIONER MEHL: I think she'll at least let us 19 make that change on the map and she can argue back against 20 it if she doesn't like it. 21 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Yeah, I think at this point, 22 we -- I mean, it's not just a big population. Let's just 23 have a consensus, put them where they want to be and we can 24 sweat that later. 25 And -- and I'd like to say, I mean, as we're

debating this, these are wonderful conversations. I hope that we save sufficient time and we will -- we will do whatever is necessary for the quality of the maps on Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday to debate these very finer points. I love it when we have arguments about these kinds of communities.

1.3

COMMISSIONER YORK: Shereen, we also had some feedback that -- Doug Johnson's suggestion along the 20 -- 303 with 29 and 25 made sense, which was basically to move the population south of Bell into 29 -- into 25 and then take the population north of Bell along the 60 into 20 -- crap -- what was your suggestion, Doug?

MR. D. JOHNSON: Just -- and before going to that,

Just real quick. If the folks from Liberty are listening,

my guess is, is that the north boundary is probably the

railroad that you can see there and then the south would be

the canal and then -- if I had to wild guess, the western

border is probably Dean Road and in there is a total of 630

people.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: I just didn't know where it was.

MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah. I'm saying this out loud so hopefully they will inundate your e-mail with it.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: We'll get corrected if we need to be.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Yeah. And just my point is if we as Commissioners get, like, a reasonable map, let's say on Tuesday, then on Wednesday we can argue about these, like, very minute communities that are not exactly perfect.

Just keeping my eyes on the time frame.

COMMISSIONER YORK: Doug, can you review the changes you suggested along Bell Road?

MR. D. JOHNSON: Are you talking about the -- Surprise?

COMMISSIONER YORK: Yes.

MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah, so if we go up to Surprise.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Can you say which districts

you're in as you're going through those, that will be helpful. Thank you.

MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah, this is the border of 25 and 29. This is going back to the discussion earlier today about the far north extension of 25, and so that area highlighted in Surprise there that's in 25 and the green part that's west and north of that we talked about is 28,000 people; and -- and that could come out of 25 and be balanced by taking District 29 west of 303 and that -- which is also 28,000.

Now, we'll have a challenge -- we can put -- we can have 29 come up and pick that northern Surprise area up which will be putting it with the rest of Surprise. It'll

look a little odd but it would make sense; or trying to 1 2 rotate that 328, but 25 would balance. 3 So the top of 25 would come out of 25 and then south of Bell west of 303 would go from 29 into 25 to 4 5 balance it. COMMISSIONER MEHL: Let's do that and we'll look at 6 7 it from there. 8 COMMISSIONER YORK: You all right with that, 9 Shereen? 10 COMMISSIONER LERNER: That's fine with me. 11 MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay. And so for now just for 12 simplicity, my suggestion would be let's just put that 1.3 northern area into 29 and later on if we want to move to 28 14 we can. 15 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Yeah. Yep. MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay. 16 17 COMMISSIONER LERNER: So I mean, I think at this 18 point there are other changes that I know we -- we still 19 want to make but maybe we can just hold those and look at 20 what happens when we see the demographics. 21 COMMISSIONER YORK: Right. I was going to 22 review -- so we had consensus on the Westbrook Village 23 change? 24 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Yes. 25 MR. D. JOHNSON: Yep.

1	COMMISSIONER YORK: We had consensus taking 16th
2	Street down to Campbell in D4 and the Pointe.
3	MR. FLAHAN: Yeah. And north to Northern.
4	COMMISSIONER YORK: Right. We had consensus in D9
5	and 10.
6	MR. FLAHAN: Yeah. Main Street to Val Vista down
7	to Baseline.
8	COMMISSIONER YORK: Yes.
9	And I think that was it.
10	COMMISSIONER LERNER: And then we also had
11	consensus on D13 and that corner that we were going to do,
12	right?
13	MR. FLAHAN: Right. Ray Road to 202 south of the
14	freeway goes into D13 which Brian has on there
15	COMMISSIONER YORK: Right.
16	MR. FLAHAN: which is 6,500 people.
17	COMMISSIONER YORK: Right.
18	MR. FLAHAN: And then we had the change that Doug
19	Johnson just suggested.
20	COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, and the Latino
21	MR. FLAHAN: And the Latino districts, yes, and
22	that is Latino Districts 1, 4, 7, 6 and 5 which goes into
23	draft map Districts 11, 22, 26, 24, 23.
24	COMMISSIONER YORK: Correct.
25	COMMISSIONER LERNER: That's a lot of good

need to do in southern Arizona but it might be better to wait and look at what happened with D23, which I'm really not sure what happens with it when we draw all of this and -- unless, Commissioner Lerner, you'd have anything you want to do?

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Well, you know how I feel about some of southern Arizona, but I don't know if we want to move on to congressional now and take a look at what the impact of these changes are and then -- we have -- I mean, southern Arizona might take a little bit of time as well.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: It won't take much time.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Yeah, you know what, if there are going to be substantive changes, now is the time.

You know, I'm really hoping and I think we all feel this way, that when we reconvene we have a template to fine-tune and not deliberate large issues.

So we can punt the smaller decisions where we need to maybe learn more or -- or consider, you know, alternative perspectives; but if there's something substantive you want, now is the time to -- to put it out there.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: Okay. Then I'll take a stab at a few.

MR. D. JOHNSON: Can I --

1 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Just as a quick consensus, did we get -- I just want to check on the District 4 2 3 changes, can you just repeat what you had on that and then I'll let you go, Commissioner Mehl. 4 5 MR. FLAHAN: Sure. Brian, can you put up District 4. 6 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Just for the District 4 that 7 8 we had talked about, I just want to clarify what exactly 9 we -- where we ended. MR. FLAHAN: So District -- District 4 would go 10 11 west on Camelback to 16th Street and then it would go north 12 to 16th Street all the way up to Northern and then it would 1.3 follow Northern back to State Route 51. 14 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. 15 MR. FLAHAN: And I think that would incorporate a 16 resort that Commissioner York was discussing. 17 COMMISSIONER YORK: Yeah, the Pointe. 18 MR. FLAHAN: The Pointe. 19 So basically move that -- that western edge over 20 one major block to 16th Street and Brian is sort of 21 highlighting it on the screen right now. 22 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Right. 23 MR. FLAHAN: So that yellow piece would go into 24 District 4. 25 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. I just wanted to

clarify. Thank you. 1 2 MR. D. JOHNSON: And clarification on the Latino 3 Coalition districts, when we mention District 5 we're only talking about the Maricopa boundaries of 5 right here. 4 5 there's changes down south we're not incorporating those at that point? 6 7 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Correct. 8 MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay. 9 MR. FLAHAN: Oh good -- Good catch. COMMISSIONER MEHL: Okay. So for Pinal County, 10 11 District 16 is -- is short and District 7 is a bit long, so 12 Florence can be a balance where District 16 can pick up more 1.3 of Florence or all of Florence and D7 would give that up and 14 I think you can get at least reasonably within balance by doing that. Not exactly, but much better than it is right 15 16 now. 17 A similar -- purely for balancing as the 18 District 18's over and 17 is under so at the very southeast 19 corner of District 18... 20 MR. KINGERY: Hold on one second. 21 MR. D. JOHNSON: So Commissioner, just -- so 22 Florence is 26, almost 27,000 people.

MR. D. JOHNSON:

23

24

25

bit of it.

Okay.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: Then I would just take a little

COMMISSIONER MEHL: I think it fits community-wise and all other ways either way and we need to balance some places. The southeast corner of 18 where it borders 17, 18 is over 8,000, 17 is under 2,000 so if you take 3- or 4,000 people here, we'd at least get both of them back within a reasonable range.

And I would stay east of that Pantano wash and look at some of the neighborhoods here. The -- right south of 22nd, instead of cutting on a major road we're cutting through a couple neighborhoods, so I would clean that up first and then -- and then just do whatever looks rational

1.3

And I'd stay east of Pantano wash. So you've got some of the stuff -- yeah, get rid of that stuff.

just to take 3- or 4,000 people from the one to the other.

MR. FLAHAN: That curve is the wash.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: And those are shifting -- shifting --

COMMISSIONER MEHL: From 15 to 17.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: 15 to 17.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: It will make 17 a little more competitive I assume, but it won't have -- it's not enough people to make much of a difference.

MR. FLAHAN: And the highlighted area there is 2,274.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: Is how much?

MR. FLAHAN: 2,274.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

COMMISSIONER MEHL: I would take that and then if you go -- and it will jump slightly, but go up to 22nd Street there with your cursor in the -- in that corner -- in the corner of 18 on 22nd -- yeah, right in that corner. There's some funny splits on a neighborhood, so I would -- I would just clean that up.

> MR. FLAHAN: Okay.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: And -- and then maybe square that out a little bit where you clean up that -- where 22nd drops -- where it goes east-west on 22nd and then drops down, that drop down is not on a street, it's through neighborhoods.

> MR. FLAHAN: Gotcha.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: So I would clean that up first. I just happened to notice that the other day.

Where most of the lines are through at least streets and things, but I would -- I would look to take 3or 4,000 people from 18 to 17 and no magic in there.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Is there -- so 17 was down about 2 and 18 was up about 8,000?

COMMISSIONER MEHL: Yeah. So if you take -- if you take 4,000, then you'd be up 2 in 17 and up 4 in 18, at least that's within --

> VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: That's 30.

1	COMMISSIONER MEHL: within ranges for the moment
2	I think.
3	COMMISSIONER LERNER: So as a thought for 17. What
4	about moving Vail over into 19, that block?
5	COMMISSIONER MEHL: It's already been moved.
6	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Oh, was it moved?
7	COMMISSIONER MEHL: Yeah, I've already conceded on
8	that one a while ago.
9	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay.
10	COMMISSIONER MEHL: I wasn't happy about it but I
11	conceded it.
12	CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I do want to reiterate I
13	think my Republican colleagues don't get enough credit for
14	all of their concessions.
15	I think all of our Commissioners have been
16	remarkably collegial and, you know, focused on compromise.
17	So thank you.
18	MR. D. JOHNSON: So Commissioner Mehl, just what
19	is highlighted on the screen there, those four areas in
20	blue.
21	COMMISSIONER MEHL: Hm-mm.
22	MR. D. JOHNSON: Is 5,200 so it's going to be too
23	many.
24	COMMISSIONER MEHL: Yeah.
25	MR. D. JOHNSON: So we can take about 3,000 but

we're probably going to end up still in the middle of a 1 2 neighborhood unfortunately. COMMISSIONER MEHL: Well, can't you just do that 3 top piece and then take -- and back off somewhere elsewhere 4 5 where you're at least staying on streets and not through a 6 neighborhood? 7 MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah, the one road, you can kind 8 of see the curvy Camino Seco Road. 9 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Camino Seco, yeah. 10 MR. D. JOHNSON: Would that make sense to use as a 11 border? 12 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Where is that? 1.3 MR. D. JOHNSON: If you see where it says Rolling 14 Hills Country Club Estates? 15 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Yeah. 16 MR. D. JOHNSON: Camino Seco is the curvy road 17 going right through the word "Hills." 18 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Sure. 19 MR. D. JOHNSON: But then we -- so that's going to 20 end up being the too many people, but we can take up a 21 thousand people somewhere else along the border into 18 to 22 offset that. 23 COMMISSIONER MEHL: I'd rather just to do it right 24 here and just do it once. 25 MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: And if we can't clean up that 1 one neighborhood, it's probably not the only neighborhood in 2 3 the state that will --4 MR. FLAHAN: Give us a second. 5 COMMISSIONER MEHL: -- be mad at me. 6 MR. D. JOHNSON: They're looking to see where they 7 can... 8 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: You know, Commissioner Mehl, 9 you spoke about Vail and -- and we have made a change in our 10 map moving Vail. I want to make sure that just consistent 11 with our priorities, is Vail being -- you know, in my view, 12 moving it to LD-17, they will still be served well in terms 1.3 of communities of interest political cohesion. Is that in 14 your belief as well? 15 COMMISSIONER MEHL: It is. I think that they would 16 prefer to be in 17 but I think that they are reasonably 17 compatible. They're very compatible with 19 also and 18 they've been there before, and I think it would be a fine... 19 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. 20 MR. FLAHAN: If we clean up the top community and 21 bring in that bottom part on the wash, that is 3,477. MR. D. JOHNSON: But you've got a weird piece 22 23 coming through. 24 MR. FLAHAN: Okay. Get rid of it. How much is 25 that? Three blocks.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: We'll just let you play with that. So that's all a compatible area it's whatever works better line-wise to move 3- to 4,000 people.

MR. D. JOHNSON: We'll do the best we can.

MR. FLAHAN: How much is that -- that's not...

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I want to make one other point in the, you know, in our map. I believe the Latino Coalition had a suggestion on one of the LDs, I believe it's LD-21, to have a finger to go into Douglas, they felt that there was a population on that southern border of LD-19 that would be better captured within LD-21. I know it's not compact, I know it's not contiguous, but it is something that I think that is worthy of some discussion, that if it doesn't cause any harm to any other communities of interest and it does empower a, you know, minority community, and if the only detriment is a little bit of compactness, it's something that I believe we ought to at least consider.

And to look at it you would need to go back to one of the Latino Coalition's submissions.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: Now, interestingly, they didn't ask for that on the congressional map and we do have a population. I don't have a strong feeling against it but we -- 19 is already a little short of population, if you did

that you might want to take eastern Santa Cruz east from 21 into 19 which would make some people happy and some not, but I think you may need to balance something if you do the Bisbee connection.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: And we probably want to go back to them because I think in this latest one that they just submitted, 4.0 I think, I mean, I actually think it's something that they've been wanting all along so I -- but I know that they didn't put it in this -- I don't think -- can you show us what they submitted? I'm not sure why. It would be interesting to talk to them and I haven't, to find out why they dropped that in this one.

But I do think it is something we should be considering because I agree, Chairwoman, that this has been a concern and community of interest connection.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: And I believe that this is a smaller point. I do not believe this is a major focus of the Latino Coalition but we're at the point of fine-tuning and this is at the point where if you can accommodate communities and better empower them and it is not at any detriment to other communities of interest, that I think should be part of our dialogue.

And we can look at -- this is a small issue and we can I believe study this over the weekend, but it is something that I'm open to, providing, as Commissioner Mehl

alludes to, that the proper population balance and not interrupting the conceptual flow of -- of the entire map.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. D. JOHNSON: I would note just to the point from a moment ago, the D3 in there in the Coalition 4.0 is identical to D3 in our map, so I believe they just kept what was in our map and weren't making a policy change I would presume.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: I -- you know, Chairwoman, I wonder if we want to try -- we can always return back to this, but I wonder if we want to try taking that whole -that arm which I think was really important to them at one point, I don't know why it's not in this one, and then using Commissioner Mehl's suggestion of taking a corner. though I'm a big one for keeping Santa Cruz whole --

COMMISSIONER MEHL: Then let's take eastern Santa Cruz into 19 and take Bisbee into --

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Could be a compromise.

COMMISSIONER MEHL -- into 21.

COMMISSIONER YORK: Whoa, whoa. This gets us back to my argument or my discussion point around the coalition. I'm not sure it's a coalition of interest around the Hispanic community as it is more of a coalition around competitiveness and so --

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Oh, no.

COMMISSIONER YORK: -- I get frustrated with the

constant changes. They haven't been consistent on any of their maps, and I think we need to state that to the public that it -- they continually move their boundaries to satisfy their needs, and so from my standpoint I'm frustrated with this decision.

1.3

COMMISSIONER LERNER: They were -- they had this drawing in until they felt that it wasn't being accepted by us. They removed that arm --

COMMISSIONER YORK: It's to accommodate other areas to --

COMMISSIONER LERNER: -- tail, whatever you want -- COMMISSIONER YORK: -- to tailor other areas to their needs and then --

COMMISSIONER LERNER: No, they removed that because --

COMMISSIONER MEHL: I'm going to overrule my -- I'm going to overrule my close friend, Commissioner York.

COMMISSIONER YORK: I just think the public needs to understand that this -- this coalition in theory for the VRA that we continue to support and adopt and adjust our maps to and be -- be inclusive with, continues to change their boundaries and we need to understand that and so I'm frustrated with their input.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: And given that, we will move Bisbee into 21 and eastern Santa Cruz into 19.

1 MR. D. JOHNSON: Just -- just to be sure I understand, so we're talking about the Bisbee piece, not all 2 3 the way to Douglas? 4 COMMISSIONER MEHL: That's too crazy. 5 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: You know, I appreciate the 6 humor and, you know --7 COMMISSIONER YORK: It's not humor, it's reality. 8 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: You're not humorous, but the 9 rest of us are. 10 COMMISSIONER YORK: It's reality, my God. Nobody 11 else has made all these changes. 12 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Can I just clarify that, 13 though, they heard from us that these changes -- if we had 14 given them to them in the first place, they wouldn't have 15 been making the changes. 16 What happened was they heard from the Commission 17 that it wasn't receptive to this so they did make changes. 18 COMMISSIONER MEHL: We did it. 19 COMMISSIONER LERNER: I know, I'm just clarifying 20 what Commissioner York said. 21 COMMISSIONER YORK: But I think the public needs to 22 understand the frustration. 23 COMMISSIONER LERNER: I just want to clarify that 24 because -- because I don't want it to be from the public's 25 perception that they're just randomly changing their

districts, that's not what's going on. They're making changes based on feedback that they're receiving from the Commission. Those changes are still taking the Latino population into consideration, not pure partisanship. It's looking at their community. And I just want to be clear that there are changes but there's a reason for them. That's all.

And whether we do that now, maybe -- maybe the best thing to do is as the Chairwoman said, let's come back to that, but I'm -- I'm very open to looking at the compromise that we heard.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: This is a small issue. I

like Commissioner Mehl's suggestion. I understand

Commissioner York's frustration. I also, you know, agree

with Commissioner Lerner's perspective on this and I think

that we are -- we have been consistent in allowing the

little fingers, the little thumbs; we have been consistent

with allowing a little bit of deviation from compactness for

the sake of honoring the very essence of what our job is.

One-person, one-vote, 14th Amendment. And so I'm open to this within reason, again provided that it does not cause significant detriment to other communities of interest and -- and with my Republican colleagues arguing about a finger, you know, they -- they maybe want to be a little open to some deviation from compactness and fingers on other

1	arguments. So let's just be consistent.
2	MR. FLAHAN: Can can Mapping confirm what we
3	just heard about the the finger, just make sure that we a
4	hundred percent understand exactly
5	CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Let's keep it.
6	MR. FLAHAN: what you guys are asking about
7	here.
8	COMMISSIONER MEHL: That's on the CD map.
9	CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: We're on the LD maps.
10	MR. D. JOHNSON: He knows. We just want to confirm
11	the finger
12	MR. FLAHAN: On screen I'm showing LD 12.0.1, which
13	I believe is the last map version that we've drawn to
14	include that portion to get Bisbee.
15	COMMISSIONER YORK: But that also includes Douglas.
16	COMMISSIONER MEHL: But that includes Douglas which
17	goes too far.
18	MR. FLAHAN: You guys want to cut it off at Bisbee?
19	That's what I want to confirm.
20	COMMISSIONER MEHL: Yes.
21	MR. FLAHAN: And you want to split Santa Cruz
22	County, is that what I also heard?
23	COMMISSIONER MEHL: Yes. And it's a diagonal split
24	where you take the northeastern portion of the county that's
25	on the other side of the map.

1 MR. FLAHAN: Like we've done before in a previous 2 iteration? 3 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Yes, there is an iteration that's split. The way it was split in a previous iteration 4 5 would be the way to split it. COMMISSIONER LERNER: It was probably the way they 6 7 put it in, right, the coalition? 8 COMMISSIONER MEHL: I don't know that that had 9 anything to do with the coalition but there is a map where 10 we had split eastern Santa Cruz. 11 COMMISSIONER YORK: This is geography. It's a 12 significant mountain range. 13 MR. D. JOHNSON: That actually brings that up -- so 14 there is a question. The northeast obviously in all the 15 iterations where Santa Cruz is divided is put into 19, some 16 of them take the freeway corridor into 19, some stop before 17 that. 18 COMMISSIONER MEHL: No, don't take the freeway. 19 COMMISSIONER LERNER: No. 20 MR. D. JOHNSON: Use the one that gets --21 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Do not take the freeway 22 corridor, just take the... 23 COMMISSIONER YORK: What you've drawn right there. 24 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Just the -- it's just the 25 diagonal. I'm -- I mean, again, I'm all for -- I was trying

```
to keep Santa Cruz whole but let's take a look at what
 1
 2
         happens with this. And that would include --
 3
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: That's just geography right
 4
         there.
 5
                  COMMISSIONER LERNER: Wait. Wait.
 6
                  COMMISSIONER MEHL: Yeah, stay away from --
 7
                  CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: One at a time. One at a
 8
         time --
 9
                  COMMISSIONER MEHL: Stay away from the 17.
10
                  CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: -- please, for the
11
         transcriptionist.
12
                  COMMISSIONER LERNER: This would include Sonoita
1.3
         and Elgin going into 19.
14
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: No.
15
                  COMMISSIONER LERNER: Right?
16
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: No.
17
                  COMMISSIONER LERNER: That's what we're talking
18
         about.
19
                  COMMISSIONER YORK: There's no population there.
20
                  COMMISSIONER MEHL: Yes. Yeah, it would not
21
         include Tubac or the other...
22
                  COMMISSIONER LERNER: Right. Tumacacori.
23
                  COMMISSIONER MEHL: Yeah. Up the other stretch of
24
         the 17, it would not include that.
25
                  COMMISSIONER LERNER:
                                        Right.
```

1	COMMISSIONER YORK: What about Patagonia?
2	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Patagonia, would probably
3	also go into 19.
4	COMMISSIONER MEHL: Yeah.
5	COMMISSIONER YORK: Good dove hunting in Patagonia.
6	COMMISSIONER LERNER: I'm sorry?
7	COMMISSIONER MEHL: I'm not sure really where the
8	Patagonia border what the Patagonia border would be
9	considered, but it
10	COMMISSIONER YORK: There's a population there.
11	COMMISSIONER MEHL: Yes, Patagonia would go in
12	COMMISSIONER YORK: Population on the north side.
13	COMMISSIONER MEHL: Patagonia would go in the
14	19, yes.
15	CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: They fit very well with the
16	wine country.
17	COMMISSIONER YORK: Right. That will be Sonoita.
18	MR. D. JOHNSON: Wine and duck hunting, that sounds
19	dangerous.
20	COMMISSIONER YORK: Dove. Dove. No water.
21	MR. D. JOHNSON: There was a little town just west
22	of Patagonia called Alto.
23	COMMISSIONER MEHL: Elgin?
24	MR. D. JOHNSON: No.
25	COMMISSIONER YORK: Alto.

1 MR. D. JOHNSON: It's a tiny, tiny thing. 2 COMMISSIONER YORK: Yes, that goes into 19. 3 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Yes. 4 MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay. 5 COMMISSIONER YORK: Just for the public, if you 6 ever get a chance to go to southern Arizona, this particular 7 town is gorgeous. The average elevation is almost 3,000 8 feet, it's beautiful grasslands, nice wine country, and very 9 rural. Strongly recommend it and I live in Paradise Valley. 10 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: And Nogales is so beautiful. 11 When we visited there and had our hearing I was shocked just 12 at how gorgeous it was and the beautiful hiking and the 1.3 moderate temperatures, if we want to do a PR announcement 14 for our state. 15 COMMISSIONER YORK: Yeah. 16 COMMISSIONER LERNER: And I agree completely. 17 See, we can all agree on that region being 18 beautiful. 19 COMMISSIONER YORK: Yeah. Gorgeous. 20 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. Anything else on the 21 We've made a remarkable amount of progress in a 22 collegial way. 23 VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Yeah, Madam Chair. Okay, one 24 more -- go ahead, Shereen. 25 I'm going to hold it for this COMMISSIONER LERNER:

time but I -- and I do think -- because I do think we made a lot of progress, but I would like to at some point revisit especially District 16 and 17, portions of those, to talk about how they are not compact in a great way right now and I think we need to take a closer look at those, how we can make them a little bit more compact and align communities of interest, but I'm going to hold off on that right now.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I would like --

COMMISSIONER YORK: And I would argue we also need to look at the White Mountains and figure out how to maybe if there's a way to not have that piece so thumby.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Commissioner Watchman was about to say something when I...

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Yeah.

VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: The only thing, Madam Chair, the Pascua Yaqui tribe, I think I -- I don't know if I see it here, I know we -- I suggested we move it from 23 into 20 and -- in one of the previous versions, so I'm not sure if this 13.1 reflects that change.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Can we please highlight that?

VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Highlight the Pascua Yaqui.

I just want to make sure it's in 20 and not 23. I know I talked about that.

MR. D. JOHNSON: In this map they're in 23.

VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Okay. I think if I

recall, the tribe did make a request to -- to reposition so 1 2 that they're in 20 and not 23. 3 COMMISSIONER MEHL: How -- how many people would that be? 4 5 VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: I think the reservation -the tribe is about 3,800. I think we -- in order to make it 6 7 work, there's about 6,000. COMMISSIONER MEHL: And District 20 is over 4,600 8 9 right now. MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah. 10 11 COMMISSIONER MEHL: So where would we -- what would 12 we take out of 20 and where would it go? 13 VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: That's a good question. 14 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Can you show us that's where 15 they are, because we can probably... 16 MR. KINGERY: Roughly 6,800 with what's highlighted 17 on the screen right now. 18 COMMISSIONER LERNER: So 20 --19 VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Half of that is the 20 reservation, right, but in order to move the other half it's 21 nonreservation community. MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah, you've got to connect --22 23 COMMISSIONER LERNER: And what's the population 24 that's in that particular area again, 3,000 you said? 25 MR. KINGERY: So to connect them, let's see, around

8,000. 1 2 COMMISSIONER LERNER: 8,000? 3 COMMISSIONER MEHL: And that's why it's difficult. They're in 23 with other Native American and there is no 4 other Native Americans in 20. 5 6 VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Right. But we just got a 7 call from Liberty and so Liberty --8 COMMISSIONER YORK: I appreciate that, 9 Commissioner Watchman. We also need to see what the new 10 Latino Coalition suggestion is for 23 and 4, so we can look 11 at that first. 12 VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: I'm just conveying what this 13 tribe has been asking for, similar to what we've been doing 14 earlier, so it's a very simple request. 15 COMMISSIONER YORK: Liberty was 600 people, so. 16 VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Yeah, but it's community, so. 17 You know, we asked about the size of the population later. 18 But the community asked, so this community is 19 asking, so. 20 Thank you, Vice Chair CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: It's an important point and this is the time 21 where we want to consider all of the small communities. 22 23 VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Correct. 24 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I think an important point 25 was raised that regardless of whether, you know, it was go

to 20 or -- is that 23 or 22?

VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: 23.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: It will be -- it will be a politically cohesive district in which there are shared minority views and we've heard consistently those shared community of interest political needs from Latinos,

Native Americans, Asians, and so as I look for maximizing everybody's representation, I also consider whether a community would be marginalized and I do think that it's important to note that I don't think they'd be marginalized. Having said that, if we can make it happen with population I think it would be remarkable.

VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Well, I think they -- I think they sent us some information, they have six different geographical locations and so maybe their request is to see if they can be in the same district with those pockets of land that they have in Tucson. I think they have two other locations in Tucson.

And so being in the same district is what I think is their intention on this. But I understand what you're saying, Madam Chair, in terms of grouping and having like, for example, the Pascua Yaqui in 23, which would keep them with the Tohono O'odham and the Quechan and the Cocopah.

But, you know, since we're talking about changes, this community just reached out and made this suggestion so

I'm throwing that out for discussion. Yes, we're dealing with -- was it 6,000 people, but...

1.3

COMMISSIONER MEHL: I would suggest that we be aware of this and defer it until we see what really has happened with 23 and I would invite you, Commissioner Watchman, then to look at 23 and 20 and give us a suggestion that includes putting them in but what you would then to do make it work.

VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Got it. I just got this, so.

I know you guys were scrambling to do Liberty at lunch and
so I'm sitting here thinking of how to deal with this
community of interest in the Tucson area which happens to be
a tribe. So thank you.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: And regarding -Commissioner Lerner, again I want to reiterate if there are
going to be big changes that are going to be recommended,
please do it now.

You know, I think by the time we reconvene we're going to be wanting to, you know, fine-tune and hone all six constitutional criteria. So I'm not saying we're going to decide today but if there are major issues we need to reflect on, this is the sweet spot to allow us the time to research.

VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: True.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. So -- so my concern

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC

about 16 is just how far it extends and how it kind of goes around communities and reaches into Tucson in the way it does.

I can see an I-10 corridor that could go into this area but -- and then it wouldn't have to do that arm that goes around and that's -- that's where -- that's where the concern is.

I know that at this point you, Commissioner Mehl, you asked for a change in 16 and 7, that was to put Florence in 16.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: Yes.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Is that correct?

COMMISSIONER MEHL: And if you want to put more of Florence into 16 and pull 16 out of here a little bit, I'd be fine with that, but I'm not sure what happens with 23, 20, 21 and 22 if you do that.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: That happens with which ones did you say? 20 -- which districts did you say?

COMMISSIONER MEHL: So --

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Florence now is moved into -COMMISSIONER MEHL: To be real direct, the boundary
of 17 is really important to me and it's a great boundary
that we have, so I don't want to mess with the northern
boundary of 17. So if you want to pull 16 out of Tucson
some, I don't have any problem with that but it's down there

because of population.

1.3

If -- if it turns out that 7 could give up a little more of Florence and 16 could -- could come out of Tucson a little bit, I think that would be good but I'm not sure that it all works.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Is Florence divided in what you did?

COMMISSIONER MEHL: Florence is divided with what we've done, yes.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Yeah, so it would be nice to put it whole either way. A small town shouldn't be divided. I don't know what that does to District 7, but it would be nice if we could get all of Florence in because there's also a connection between Coolidge and that might allow things to modify a little.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: Florence into 16, I would be happy with if we can make it work; and pulling 16 a little bit out of Pima County, I would be happy with if we can make it work.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. So let's --

MR. D. JOHNSON: The challenge is, Florence is 27,000 people.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. You know what, I'm going to hold off on all of that at this point and let us move. I think we were going to go to the north? Is that --

COMMISSIONER YORK: No, I just -- I don't -- we don't have to solve that today.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: And I'll just mention that -COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. I'll just hold off
on -- let's just hold off on 16 at this point.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: And then just, Chairwoman, you've asked us to at least put things on record. I'm really hoping we can find a compromise in the White Mountains, something different than this map, but I'm not going to try to tinker with it today.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I would actually recommend that we not tackle 6, 7, 8. You know, I have some calls tomorrow, I think we've given it sufficient deliberation. We're giving it great consideration and I would like to look at options where we can least minimize the marginalization of communities all across the board by doing right by the Native American communities in the primaries. It's a legitimate concern and let's figure out a solution. I'm still optimistic that -- that maybe we don't have to make too many compromises.

But we'll -- we'll see. I think we need to defer on that. At least, I mean, you're welcome to debate, but I'm not willing to weigh in.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Totally good --

COMMISSIONER YORK: Yeah, we'll wait to get your

1 information.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: -- I think we're --

MR. D. JOHNSON: Can I --

VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: More research is needed, Madam Chair. You're right.

MR. D. JOHNSON: Can I get clarification on 4? And so earlier there's been discussion on taking enough of it to balance, should we just leave it as-is for now or do we want to take a piece of it to balance?

COMMISSIONER MEHL: No, take it to balance where we are right now and then we'll look at it from there.

MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: And Chairwoman, I just had a question. Do you have other suggestions that we haven't yet discussed on the map?

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: No, I'm actually quite pleased with the debate, the suggestions; we're on the same page, we're on the same map, and I think we're making progress and I think we have a lot of time -- well, not a lot of time, but we'll be able to digest this.

Of course, we'll have to ask Mapping when we're going to get the next iterations. I know you maybe think the weekend is coming and it's relaxing, I just want to let you know my first meeting starts tomorrow at 8:00 a.m. and I'll be discussing the most recent maps, so no pressure.

But, you know, it is -- no, no, seriously, no pressure.

I mean, there's a lot of other information I could discuss but -- but this is live and active and moving and I think we could take advantage of the Saturday and part of Sunday to continue to learn and debate as -- as a state, which is what's right about our process.

MR. FLAHAN: No, we -- we agree with you. We want to make sure that you guys are successful on your day off to be able to consume and digest all of this information.

From our perspective if after this conversation we have 30, 45-minute break, we'll get the team going on all the legislative changes that you guys talked about. I don't see any reason why you wouldn't get the maps tonight.

Yesterday we sort of hit 8:30 to release everything both on legislative and congressional. So, you know, our goal is by 10 o'clock tonight to at least release it.

So I don't see any reason we'd bleed into tomorrow for this and we'll release it as they come out, too; we won't hold them all to the end.

COMMISSIONER YORK: And you are going to just go with our suggestions and not totally balance?

MR. FLAHAN: Correct. We're just going to do what we talked about and then we'll bring it back to you guys.

The only balancing we'll do is in Florence that you requested in the two districts.

1 COMMISSIONER YORK: All right. 2 MR. D. JOHNSON: And 17 and 18. 3 COMMISSIONER YORK: Yeah. COMMISSIONER MEHL: I do have a bit of a time issue 4 5 today. So I would ask could we consider just taking this next hour and a half and -- or two hours and doing the CD 6 7 maps and then you have your break and that means if we get 8 it at midnight instead of 10 o'clock tonight, at least we 9 could then have a chance of getting through the CD maps. 10 I'm hoping to be out of here at 3:30 to drive back to 11 Tucson. 12 COMMISSIONER YORK: Can we make it five minutes, 1.3 ten minutes, boy's room, and I think Commissioner Neuberg is 14 with me. She needs the restroom, I know she does. CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Excuse me. Are you -- I 15 16 won't even go there. 17 COMMISSIONER YORK: Yeah, that's good. 18 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I don't think 19 Commissioner Lerner and I are going to go there. 20 COMMISSIONER YORK: So 2:00 o'clock, can we start 21 again 2:00? It's eight minutes. CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Is this a logical break time 22 23 and then we'll return to CD map. I do believe that we need 24 some considerable time for deliberation on CD map. I think

we made remarkable progress on LDs and the fact that we're

25

on the same map. I'm so excited.

Anything else? How long of a break before we come back and do a very streamlined, efficient discussion of the CDs?

MR. FLAHAN: If you give us ten minutes, I think we're going to try to get them started on some of the legislative changes, then we'll come back and do CDs. Is that okay?

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Ten-minute break and we come back. Recess.

(Recess taken from 1:53 p.m. to 2:12 p.m.)

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. We are back from recess and we are returning to Agenda Item Number VI, draft map decision discussion.

We're going to switch to the congressional map. We have two different versions that are being considered, 10.11, 10.12. As we did this morning, I think a reasonable place to start before we entertain a motion would be to very succinctly because we are running short on time, to have my colleagues share what they feel the pros and cons of the maps are; I will go last and share, and then we will entertain a motion and vote for a starting point.

And either side that would like to go first is fine.

COMMISSIONER YORK: I think we went first last time. Your turn.

MR. KINGERY: Would you like me to have both maps side by side?

VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Yes, please.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I think yours is 10.12.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: That's what I -- thank you.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I believe.

I guess one comment I'm going to make just to get started, because it is one of the constitutional criteria and I'm going to be brief on the differences between the maps but I am going to say from a constitutional perspective, the 10.11 does not have the competitiveness that I think we need in our state for the congressional districts.

I also am looking at some of the districts that could be potentially made a little bit more compact and more aligned with communities of interest.

Areas in Pinal County, in particular, and I think the 10.12 map I think does a better job of aligning with communities of interest. We still need to work on District 6 and align those communities in Pinal County with each other.

In the -- in the heart of Phoenix where we have actually the majority of the district, there are a few

changes that need to be made to align with different communities, but I think 10.12 does a better job of doing things for the East Valley, keeping the East Valley together in a coherent way with what's happened in District 4, it does a good job with the Latino Coalition in District 3. I think District 1 still needs to have a lot of work because I think District 8 could take in some of those outlying communities and it's a very natural fit for them.

We want to align as closely as we can retirement communities, and I think that was sort of a goal of both of ours.

I think there are a number of overlaps between the two maps that occurred and I think part of that is -- are things like the retirement communities.

I think 10.12 gets us closer to a fair map a little bit in terms of the way the boundaries are aligned and the communities of interest are, where either party has the potential to have a majority. But it also still respects communities of interest and, by and large, geography.

I don't want to go into more detail at this point.

I think -- I think from -- from our perspective, there's

just a lot of alignment that already exists in 10.12 that

can be tightened up.

We have some population imbalances we know that exists with all of them and we know we need to fix that, but

I think this map gets a really good start on providing balance within the state and balances popu- -- balances the interests of people in the districts in a very -- very good manner.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: And we view that the 10.11 map is -- is the better map to be working from, especially when you look up at D1 and D8. The 10.12 map pulls D1 too far to the east and it pulls -- therefore it drags D8 to the east and it makes it harder -- we're going to need to make changes to all of these, but it makes it harder to make the fixes that need to happen with the retirement communities in the West Valley.

It's easy to take D1 across the interstate to pick up that little bit of New River -- or Anthem that's on the other side of the freeway. Both of them, we need work in D6, but we would -- we would argue that we should start with 10.11.

COMMISSIONER YORK: The only other thing I'd like to point out is that in map 10.12, which is the Democratic map, is that the Latino Coalition in CD-3 is grossly overpopulated and the solution for their map will be to go deeper into Phoenix. And I would argue that that is not the appropriate population balancing because of the fact that the single-family homes on our map up towards North Scottsdale, Paradise Valley, along the DC Ranch corridor and

McCormick Ranch and out to Fountain Hills, it also includes the Salt River Pima community, are more like-minded than the density of inner Phoenix to balance CD-1 and CD-3.

The other thing that I also feel is that we considered a lot of what Commissioner Neuberg suggested as far as our CD-4 East Valley solution and CD-5, our solution in Tucson, our solution with the retirement communities in CD-8, our solution in following the old neighborhoods from Councilwoman -- what's her name?

COMMISSIONER MEHL: Pastor.

1.3

COMMISSIONER YORK: -- Pastor made with -- along with Commissioner Neuberg's suggestions in CD-3. I have some ideas on how to balance that and move some of the Luke Air Force Base and Maryvale population into our CD-9 to put the city of Glendale in line with that. And so that's where our suggestions are.

We feel confident that we can come up with a good map in 10.11 and we will end our comments.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Can I just make one?

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Hm-mm.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Just one comment.

Both maps have a lot of population imbalances so

I'm -- you commented on CD-3 in map 10.12, in map 10.11 you
have major population imbalances in -- in District --

COMMISSIONER YORK: 7.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: -- 7 being way over,

District 5 being way under, District 4 and District 2, so I

think the population imbalance exists in both. That's all I

wanted to mention.

We did the same. We wanted to honor -- we actually did a lot of compromising with this map, doing things that -- if it was just drawing a map without any input, it would be different, but we listened to our Chairwoman to hear what she had to say and then put her suggestions as well as listening to some of the big concerns that the Republicans had and tried to find a balance.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I'd like to start by reiterating, like I said earlier, I'm relieved that I think there's so much more in common than in disagreement and we are on the home stretch and we are going to refine this and we are going to make it right by as many communities as possible.

There are two reasons that I am inclined to support the Democratic version of the congressional map and there are two issues that I'm really struggling with and I believe we can find consensus.

There's something about CD-1 that is really troubling me. I love a lot about it but I feel that right now we have two communities that are being pitted against each other within the same district.

We have -- whether or not New River is included with some of the more -- the further out you go, the more it goes from suburban to more rural and -- and, you know, as I have said all along, I do feel that there needs to be a commitment to the urban issues of Phoenix from a representative from D1. Right now Phoenix will be served by D3 and I think the interests of the communities that are so far in -- in D8 I think are not going to be as supportive as some of the needs with transportation -- you know, urban basic needs of communities.

And when I look at a representative who is trying to meet the needs of New River while also at the same time meeting the needs of Phoenix, I wonder how that is all going to play out. And so I do believe that -- I believe it's 10.12 did a better job of -- of creating a district that's workable. Having said that I'm totally willing to fine-tune.

And the other thing that I -- I just want to bring out in the open has to do with CD-6 because this is -- is going to get political and it has to do with that whether you want to call it a panhandle, whatever boundary is going to go east of where the mayor had remarked, whether it's, you know, east of Campbell or as far as, you know, Commissioner Mehl wants to go, we need a compromise.

Because as I look at that I need to understand how to

balance the communities of interest along with compactness.

1.3

I mean, you know, and where that line falls because the compactness is meant to be an empirical driver to get us away from politics. And so I don't know where personally I fall on this exact boundary.

I'm deeply appreciative that my fellow colleagues are willing to compromise on that.

I hope that we are able to look at it truly from a lens of communities of interest and the compactness and worry about fine-tuning competitiveness afterwards. But I do feel that the Democratic map captured a little bit more of that compromise.

And so from that, again, I think that we can find consensus and move together, but I would be a little more comfortable starting from 10.12 primarily again I'm going to say from the CD-1 point. And I know that this is opening a bit of can of worms but I think we need to better craft this district where there aren't as many inherent conflicts between communities.

So if there's no further discussion, I'll entertain a motion.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: I move to move forward with map 10.1.2.

 $\label{thman: Vice Chair Watchman seconds} % \end{substitute} % \end$ 

1 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: If there's no further debate, 2 Vice Chair Watchman? 3 VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Aye. Commissioner Mehl. 4 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: 5 COMMISSIONER MEHL: No. Commissioner Lerner. 6 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: 7 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Aye. 8 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner York. 9 COMMISSIONER YORK: No. 10 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Neuberg is an 11 aye. 12 And with, that we start from 10.12 and I suggest we 1.3 dive into D1. COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, my suggestion would be we 14 15 start with D3 since it's overpopulated --16 COMMISSIONER LERNER: We could --17 COMMISSIONER YORK: -- and D9 is underpopulated. 18 So if we looked at the northwest corner of D3 and we took 19 75th Avenue, which is sort of where if I remember correctly, 20 Doug Johnson suggested from his Glendale redistricting 21 experience was sort of where the Latino community ended, which was in the original suggestion down to Indian School 22 23 and across the 101 towards Luke Air Force Base and picked up 24 the top portion of D7 and D3 there and put that in D9, that 25 should balance D9 which is short and take some population

out of D3. 1 2 COMMISSIONER LERNER: So -- so my only concern is 3 which population we're moving out of D3 to make sure that that's still -- again, we're now changing a Latino 4 5 community. COMMISSIONER YORK: No, we're not. You added on to 6 7 that yesterday. 8 COMMISSIONER LERNER: I'm sorry? 9 COMMISSIONER YORK: You added on to it yesterday. 10 The Latino Coalition always ended about 75th Avenue and the 11 northern boundary was Northern. 12 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: And I want to reiterate, I 1.3 deeply value the submission by all groups who give thought, 14 but it's our collective responsibility to make decisions for 15 the whole state, not to just single-handedly take on 16 recommendations. So let's deliberate all recommendations --17 18 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Sure. 19 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: -- ourselves. 20 COMMISSIONER LERNER: So Commissioner York, now 21 that I've pulled up my -- my map, can you just repeat that? And I'm just looking -- because I'm looking at the Hispanic 22

So if you could just repeat what your suggestion

is, I can follow along as you're going.

23

24

25

CVAP on my map.

1 COMMISSIONER YORK: So my recommendation is basically founded by what Mr. Johnson told us. He said 2 3 yesterday the Latino Coalition along Northern in the city of Glendale and somewhere between 67th and 75th Avenue; am I 4 5 right on that? 6 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Can you draw that out on the 7 maps in front of us, please? Just so we can see which 8 section. 9 COMMISSIONER YORK: 75th south to Indian School. 10 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Yeah, I was just hoping that 11 we'd see it on the map in front of us. 12 MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah, he's bringing it up. 1.3 it's the -- three miles in. 14 COMMISSIONER YORK: Come on, Brian. 15 MR. D. JOHNSON: And just to clarify just so the 16 record's clear, when I was talking about 75th I was talking 17 about Glendale, I don't know the demographics of the Phoenix 18 portion we're talking there. Not to disagree but I just 19 don't want to be quoted about them. 20 COMMISSIONER YORK: No, I understand. That leaves 21 the portion of the Maryvale that's heavily Latino and which is a city of Phoenix neighborhood in CD -- CD-3. 22 23 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Does that get everything out of 24 7? 25 COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, she already took most of

1 that out. 2 Indian School is the next block down -- I'm sorry, 3 to Camelback. 4 There you go. 5 Down to the brown also in CD-7. Yes, please. MR. D. JOHNSON: Not the brown. 6 7 COMMISSIONER YORK: Because the top of Avondale is 8 Indian School. 9 Brown also, please. Include the brown, please, because we have to take population out of 7 as well. 10 11 MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay. 12 COMMISSIONER LERNER: If you're going down to 1.3 Indian School, could we do it just by going to Camelback? 14 I'm still -- I'm okay with doing some of these --15 COMMISSIONER YORK: You have to move 53,000 people. 16 This includes Luke Air Force Base, it makes Glendale whole, the little toe on Maryvale that you picked up above CD-7 is 17 18 probably more aligned with the Luke Air Force Base than it 19 is with the greater Latino community. 20 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Maryvale is definitely 21 aligned with District 3. COMMISSIONER YORK: Right. And it is in District 3 22 23 currently. 24 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. I thought that you 25 were suggesting -- okay. No, I think --

1 COMMISSIONER YORK: No, I'm suggesting population 2 that doesn't -- there's a corner -- can you put on the map 3 for Glendale? MR. FLAHAN: Can we do what? 4 5 COMMISSIONER YORK: The outer boundary of the city of Glendale. 6 7 MR. FLAHAN: Yes. 8 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Would this be about the right 9 population? 10 MR. FLAHAN: Total population going into 9 would be 11 just shy of 75,000. 12 COMMISSIONER LERNER: So we don't need to have that 13 many moved out of there. I mean, we can certainly look at 14 moving some of that over to 9, but we may not need to go that far. 15 16 MR. FLAHAN: It takes 7,972 out of District 7, that western edge, and it takes 67,000 exactly out of District 3. 17 18 That's drawn in the blue boxes there. 19 COMMISSIONER YORK: That's pretty good. 20 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Out of District 3? 21 COMMISSIONER YORK: Yeah. COMMISSIONER LERNER: We only need 43,000 to 22 23 balance that, so I think we -- that's why maybe we can go to 24 Camelback and do pretty much the same cuts that 25 Commissioner York is suggesting. More than happy to

1 compromise that. 2 COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, my issue with District 3 3 doesn't include the Homestead Phoenix neighborhood on the west -- eastern side, so I was going to add that back in. 4 5 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Add that in? 6 COMMISSIONER YORK: Into District 3, yeah. 7 MR. FLAHAN: And Brian let me know we missed a 8 couple blocks on D3 so it's approximately 67,000. There'll 9 be some more additions -- couple extra blocks. 10 COMMISSIONER LERNER: How big is that Homestead 11 Because this is 20,000 more than what needs to be 12 pulled out of --13 COMMISSIONER YORK: Right. I understand. 14 COMMISSIONER LERNER: So, I mean, could we look at 15 it with just using Camelback as a boundary instead and see 16 what the population is on that? 17 COMMISSIONER YORK: Sure. 18 MR. FLAHAN: Okay. So if we use Camelback as the 19 southern border there and go from D3 into D7 it totally 20 moves 33,557 people into District 9, District 3 loses 21 31,709, and District 7 loses a little over 1,800. COMMISSIONER MEHL: And if you took District --22 23 District 7 from Indian -- Indian School up, what is that? 24 Took that quadrant and put it into 9?

COMMISSIONER LERNER: District 7 is underpopulated,

25

1	though.
2	COMMISSIONER MEHL: It won't be.
3	MR. FLAHAN: That adds about 6,000.
4	COMMISSIONER MEHL: I can solve that.
5	MR. FLAHAN: 6,000.
6	COMMISSIONER LERNER: So where would we be then
7	I've been trying to write this down.
8	If we take that piece from District 3, I'm
9	comfortable with what Commissioner York has suggested, that
10	would be a very easy compromise for us to make.
11	CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Can you just share with I
12	mean, I'm trusting you, but can you remind me who this group
13	is that you're switching?
14	COMMISSIONER YORK: The community west of the
15	Latino area of Glendale.
16	CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: It's west of them, correct?
17	COMMISSIONER YORK: Moving towards the Luke Air
18	Force Base.
19	COMMISSIONER MEHL: North of Indian School in 7 is
20	taking the non-Hispanic portion of that district that
21	shouldn't be in that district.
22	COMMISSIONER YORK: It puts it into
23	COMMISSIONER MEHL: 9, which is a much better
24	community of interest fit.
25	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Indian School to Camelback is

1 a very high Latino population, but if we go north of Camelback, that's why I was suggesting the "Camelback up" 2 3 piece that Commissioner York had mentioned, I'm comfortable with that, because that --4 COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, the boundary we've always 5 seen from the Latino Coalition is 19th Avenue on the east 6 7 side, which it is currently, and then 75th or 67th depending 8 on what iteration of their suggestion on the western 9 boundary and that's what I was moving. 10 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Yeah. Moving Glendale, 11 making sure we get that west of 75th, north of Camelback, 12 south of Northern between 101st and 75th, that's kind of 1.3 where you're going, right? 14 But those could go into -- you're putting them into 15 D9? 16 COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, because D9 was short. 17 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Right. 18 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Where is Avondale's northern 19 border? 20 COMMISSIONER YORK: It's right there on Indian 21 School. 22 COMMISSIONER LERNER: So I think the --23 COMMISSIONER MEHL: So I'm taking the piece north

of Avondale and putting it into 9 which there's a -- it's a

better fit into 9. It's -- it --

24

25

1 COMMISSIONER YORK: I would argue that the piece on the west side of the 101 is a better fit. 2 3 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Yeah, there's not -- that 4 particular piece that's north of the freeway that you're 5 talking about? COMMISSIONER YORK: West of the 101. 6 7 COMMISSIONER LERNER: And north, right? 8 COMMISSIONER YORK: Correct. COMMISSIONER LERNER: Yeah, that particular piece 9 10 would be an okay fit, you're right. 11 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: That's consensus. 12 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Okay. So everything outside 1.3 the Avondale border up there --14 COMMISSIONER YORK: Shereen, how would you feel about -- so right now we're leaving this little sliver of D3 15 16 sticking out above Avondale, how would you feel about going into 9 as well? 17 18 COMMISSIONER LERNER: That little piece that we're 19 seeing right there? 20 COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, half of it we just agreed 21 to put in 9 because that's the Camelback boundary. 22 COMMISSIONER LERNER: So wait, you're talking 23 about --24 COMMISSIONER YORK: Camelback to Indian School, a 25 hundred and -- what is that, Brian?

COMMISSIONER LERNER: If you can draw it on -- can you draw Commissioner York's proposal on the map, that helps because I'm looking at...

MR. D. JOHNSON: Sure.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: I'm looking at the demographics while he's -- while we're looking at all of that just to kind of see.

MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah, so from the earlier discussion it was taking the area north of Camelback into District 9, this would add the area between Camelback and Indian School into District 9.

COMMISSIONER YORK: The eastern boundary being 99th Avenue so it sits on top of D -- D7.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: I'm just getting lost with all of the changes a little bit.

COMMISSIONER YORK: There's not that many, Shereen.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Just trying to keep track,

that's why I'm asking to be drawn, because if we have -- if

we see it I can easily look at the demographics and compare

and go, okay, that doesn't remove a community that shouldn't

be there.

And can you also -- I always look this up.

Can you also remind me of the boundaries, we talked about this yesterday, of Maryvale? I want to make sure we're not moving them into District 9.

1 COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, that's the little toe on the village that sticks across the freeway. The rest of 2 3 Maryvale is on the east side of the freeway in District 3. 4 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Right. I just want to be 5 sure that we keep them whole if we can do that. COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, that -- that little area, 6 7 as Doug Johnson attested to yesterday, is definitely a lot 8 different than the west side of the freeway -- east side of 9 the freeway. 10 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Yeah, so you're -- you're 11 talking about just that west piece? 12 COMMISSIONER YORK: Correct. 1.3 MR. D. JOHNSON: Just to -- just to -- I think I 14 was talking about Glendale. I don't know Maryvale. 15 COMMISSIONER LERNER: No, I'm good with this. 16 COMMISSIONER YORK: You put a map up there and you described it. 17 18 MR. D. JOHNSON: We did put the map up, yes, and we 19 can pull that map back up. 20 MR. FLAHAN: Give us a second and we'll pull that 21 up. COMMISSIONER LERNER: I mean, could we move that 22 23 piece, that small piece that you're talking about of 24 Maryvale just into District 7? 25 I know you're trying to balance the population, I'm

all for that; I'm all for moving some populations around --1 2 COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, basically --3 COMMISSIONER LERNER: -- but I'm just trying to think in terms of where they would best be served. 4 5 COMMISSIONER YORK: I think they'd be better served with the Luke Air Force Base and the west side of the 101 6 7 then, because that District 7 goes all the way down to 8 Tucson. 9 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Right. 10 COMMISSIONER YORK: See that little toe right 11 there? The 101 -- see how that -- so you have some 12 agriculture, you've got some open space with the Agua Fria 1.3 River and then you spill over into the Luke Air Force Base. 14 So that bottom boundary is Indian School. 15 So the top -- the majority of Maryvale is in CD-3; 16 Grand Canyon University, all of that stuff. 17 COMMISSIONER LERNER: So taking Glendale west of 18 the 101 --19 COMMISSIONER YORK: Correct. 20 COMMISSIONER LERNER: -- into D9? 21 COMMISSIONER YORK: Correct. 22 COMMISSIONER LERNER: We can do that. I mean, that 23 seems like a very good suggestion to help with the 24 population. So that would be one place to start with that, 25 right?

COMMISSIONER YORK: Correct.

MR. D. JOHNSON: Are you talking about Glendale or are you talking about Maryvale that you're talking about here?

COMMISSIONER YORK: We're talking about both.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Glendale -- I mean, I am concerned about Maryvale being placed in a district -- in District 9 so that's something we need to come back to look at Maryvale, but the piece of Glendale to go with Luke Air Force Base and all of that that you're talking about makes sense; but I'm concerned about Maryvale.

And we can come back to that piece but we can certainly agree on some of this that we've talked about.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: What's the population of Maryvale that's not included right now that we're looking at? Just roughly.

COMMISSIONER YORK: You had it there once, you just didn't give it to us.

MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah, it was -- well, the piece south of Camelback and west of 99th was 13,650.

COMMISSIONER YORK: A little bit here.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Just as we want to do from a constitutional perspective, if we can keep it together as much as possible it would be great. But, you know, if we're taking Glendale west of the 101 into D9, that completely is

fine; putting Maryvale west of the 101, if we can find a way not to do that, I think it would be better -- it's going to be better served in D3 where it is or in D7. Just it's such a heavily Latino community, it really would be better for it.

1.3

COMMISSIONER YORK: The majority of it's in D3. I would argue the west side of that community is not Latino.

Anything west of the freeway is predominantly Arizonan.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: The community itself is

that -- I mean, I'm more than happy to see about making some

of these adjustments, I'm just trying to acknowledge

Maryvale and that their interests are going to be different

from District 9.

COMMISSIONER YORK: And I would argue that -
COMMISSIONER LERNER: And see if we can find a way
to finally balance.

COMMISSIONER YORK: -- that section is going to be very much like Luke Air Force Base and the west side of 101.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Is that the section that you have marked right now?

COMMISSIONER YORK: Correct.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Yeah, there's a whole section right along the 101 if you go that is still a complete part of Maryvale. If you go east of hundred -- west of 107th Avenue up Camelback and go west a little bit to the

border of -- that's Maryvale. You've got Campbell -- you've got it going -- and if you just take that little block out, you'll basically get the rest. It's just taking that block out to keep Maryvale whole.

And, again, just -- I think the people there would be better served to be in either 3 or 7. It's -- I would show you on my map but it's just a small piece. The rest of it all can move as you've been suggesting.

COMMISSIONER YORK: I just think that looks funky.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: It may look awkward but it

allows that community to be whole.

COMMISSIONER YORK: And I would argue the community west of the 101 is not the same community that's in CD-3 that's 51st Avenue and Indian School.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: It's all part of the same community.

COMMISSIONER YORK: It's part of the same village, true, but it's not the same community. Anything west of the freeway is different.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: I'm looking at the demographics right now.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: That's what I want to look at.

COMMISSIONER YORK: It's the city of Phoenix that juts over there, I don't know what to tell you.

1 COMMISSIONER LERNER: I'm looking at the demographics right now and the little piece that I just told 2 3 you that goes over on the west side of the 101 is a very high Latino community that is a continuation of the east 4 side; and then after that, all of the rest of it that you 5 were talking about going west could easily go to District 9 6 7 as you've been suggesting. But just this one piece here is 8 part of that other community and that's all I'm talking 9 about in terms of changing what you were talking about. That would still allow for 90 percent of what you're asking. 10 I'm just asking that community to be kept whole or 11 12 in -- again, it could move into 7 or 3, but it should be in 1.3 a district with a -- majority-minority district. 14 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: If it had to be split, could 15 it be split between D3 and D7? 16 COMMISSIONER LERNER: I'm completely comfortable 17 with that, yeah. 18 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Depending on population? 19 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Yeah. 20 So you're not opposed to CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: 21 splitting the area --COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, but Commissioner 22 23 Neubera --24 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: -- I just want to make sure 25 that they're --

1 COMMISSIONER YORK: But Commissioner Neuberg. 2 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: What? 3 COMMISSIONER YORK: CD-7 runs to Tucson. 4 COMMISSIONER LERNER: It's not ideal to split it 5 but my concern --6 COMMISSIONER YORK: If we're going to keep 7 Shereen's request, we should keep it with Phoenix. 8 COMMISSIONER LERNER: I'm fine -- all I'm saying is I think it needs to be served by a majority-minority 9 10 district. Okav. 11 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: That's what I was getting at. I wanted to understand, it's not the issue of splitting 12 13 Maryvale in and of itself, it's understanding the 14 demographics and putting them in the right district. 15 Let's -- I see your map over there, looking at the 16 density, you know, I'll -- I'll give it deeper thought. 17 Let's look at where the Latino population is and --18 and make sure that the denser areas go in a 19 majority-minority district, but I'd like to be open-minded 20 to Commissioner York's point that maybe some of the 21 demographics in Maryvale change as it goes west and I'm open to splitting it to keep communities of interest together. 22 23 We've -- we've split many communities for the sake 24 of keeping like-minded people together so they could elect a 25 representative.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: So maybe we just come back to that little piece. We know that we're going to take a bunch of what Commissioner York suggested and move it to D9 and then we'll come back and have everybody take a closer look at that. But I'm completely -- I'm comfortable. I just want it to be in that particular type of district. So let's move --

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. Well, Mapping just brought something up, is there something you would like to highlight for us with what you're bringing up with these different shades? I presume you're looking at population density.

MR. D. JOHNSON: He was just responding to your comment about wanting to bring up the Latino population, so this is the map if you wanted to see it.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: And we're also still looking at taking the non-Avondale portion of 7 over to 9.

MR. FLAHAN: Yeah, before we move on let's make sure that we have -- there's a lot of discussion about Indian School and Camelback and where the boundaries are. Let's make sure we have a clear set of boundaries on where the consensus is before we move forward.

MR. D. JOHNSON: And a question I think is, are we using Camelback or are we using the Glendale city border?

COMMISSIONER YORK: I would suggest the Glendale 1 city border. 2 3 COMMISSIONER LERNER: I agree. COMMISSIONER YORK: Shereen, maybe we follow the 4 5 river there on that little toe? MR. D. JOHNSON: And then on the District 7 piece, 6 7 are we including that piece of Avondale that comes north of 8 Indian School or leaving that piece of it? 9 COMMISSIONER YORK: We're including that in 9. 10 Shereen, you see the little toe on the western 11 boundary there on the west side of the river? 12 COMMISSIONER LERNER: That far left piece? 1.3 COMMISSIONER YORK: Yeah, there's no population 14 there and you could put into 9 as well and kind of maybe 15 make it not look so --16 COMMISSIONER LERNER: So it would just be going 17 down and crossing the river right there. 18 COMMISSIONER YORK: Does that make sense, Brian? 19 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Yep. 20 COMMISSIONER YORK: In the west -- far western toe 21 of Maryvale, crosses the Aqua Fria River, the section --22 census blocks west of the Agua Fria River would go into 9 as 23 well. 24 COMMISSIONER LERNER: I don't think -- yeah, I 25 think you're right, there's not pop- -- there is one batch

1	of population.
2	COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, it's mostly sand pits,
3	cement pits.
4	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Yeah, I don't know why it
5	comes up as something right. So you're talking about
6	using the river?
7	COMMISSIONER YORK: Correct.
8	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Makes sense to me.
9	MR. FLAHAN: To CD-9?
10	COMMISSIONER YORK: Yes.
11	MR. FLAHAN: What about the section of D7 there?
12	COMMISSIONER YORK: It goes into D9.
13	COMMISSIONER LERNER: North of Indian School.
14	COMMISSIONER YORK: Correct.
15	MR. FLAHAN: Including the little piece of
16	Avondale, 9?
17	COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, yeah. Makes it clean
18	but
19	MR. FLAHAN: Okay. Just making sure you wanted to
20	move that into 9, not keep that with Avondale.
21	COMMISSIONER LERNER: So basically we can in
22	that toe that you're talking about, we're basically
23	following the river.
24	COMMISSIONER YORK: Correct.
25	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Moving that into D9 and then

we're taking the north of Indian School piece of D7 --1 2 COMMISSIONER YORK: Correct. COMMISSIONER LERNER: -- and moving that into D9. 3 4 COMMISSIONER YORK: Correct. 5 MR. FLAHAN: All right. We're good on the mapping 6 side now. 7 COMMISSIONER YORK: The only other -- the other --8 since we're going to work around D1, the other suggestion we 9 would like to make for D3 and that's kind of part of the 10 reason why I was trying to take the section of Maryvale into 11 D9 is that we feel strongly that the Homestead -- Phoenix 12 Homestead Historic District needs to be included in D3 as it 1.3 was on our map. So the --14 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Can you give the boundaries 15 of that, please? 16 COMMISSIONER YORK: Yeah, I can. 17 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Thank you. 18 COMMISSIONER YORK: So if you -- McDowell is the 19 eastern -- or northern boundary on the eastern step of D3. 20 So that -- that district sits in basically 32nd Street is 21 its eastern border up to Indian School and over to the other 22 step. 23 COMMISSIONER LERNER: What's the western border? 24 COMMISSIONER YORK: Western border is -- I was 25 going to use the 51 because that's what's already there.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: And why would they be better off in D3 rather than D1?

COMMISSIONER YORK: We're just following

Councilwoman Pastor's suggestion and Commissioner Neuberg's suggestion from our original efforts to keep the old historic districts in the downtown as a congressional district. That's all.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Well, they would be if we made some modifications to District 1 to make it more of an urban district, which is what we were talking about. I don't know if it --

COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, these -- these are our suggestions.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Yeah, we may need to return to that but I was compelled by Councilwoman Pastor's argument just about the communities of interest and it was keeping the historic neighborhoods together, and I believe even Vice Chair Watchman trying to include Indian School Park in that area.

VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I believe that was part of -I don't know the boundary of that. But if the population
balance could work, I do think they are natural communities
of interest together but we may need to see how that fits

with the D1 rebalance.

1.3

But, you know, I've already gone on record, I found a lot compelling about Councilwoman Pastor's argument, I think she knows her area, she represents that area, just keeping the historic district together, the LGBTQ communities, the bioscience area, and it does do a better job of keeping the historic neighborhoods together.

COMMISSIONER YORK: So if I was to be allowed to sort of show on the map also the Indian School Park area, the next step up would be Indian School, the eastern boundary would be 7th Street and it would go to Camelback and over to the western boundary of D -- CD-3, which is 19th Avenue.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Indian School is in D3 now.

COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, it's the border of D3 and D1.

MR. KINGERY: What was the eastern boundary?

COMMISSIONER YORK: 7th Street.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Oh, it's on the border, yeah.

You know, there's no population at Indian School -- on Indian School and so I don't know that it needs to be moved into that district.

COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, that was just to include --

COMMISSIONER LERNER: It's actually just a park.

COMMISSIONER YORK: That was to include Commissioner Watchman's request.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Right. Well, I don't know if he was requesting to move it but he wanted to draw everybody's attention to that community.

Commissioner Watchman?

VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: It's a historic location.

There's a lot of talk about how Indian schools were created and recognized these days, but it's an historic area and the combination tribes look to it but I don't have a preference at this point whether it should be in D3 or D1. I'm just recognizing that we're talking about historic locations in the Phoenix area, so -- and I'm open with any location right now.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: And D1 is already underpopulated so I'm concerned about starting to pull some things out.

COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, we can help with that.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: I'm sure you can.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I'm interested. I want to solve D1.

But let's not deviate from finishing D3.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Well, I'm not -- I'm not -- I think I'd like to come back to that Homestead as we look more at D1 and what's going to happen to it, I'm not

convinced that it needs to be moved into that district because you're going to have historic locations in D1 as well. They cross over to different districts and it goes to the fact that an urban district will have historic properties and should have historic districts within it and parts of District 1 are going to have that, there's no avoiding it because there's going to be too many of them to put into District 3.

1.3

But again, if District 1 is a more urban setting, it's going to actually have part of that Central Phoenix area and what you just mentioned, Chairwoman, with the LGBTQ area and some of those going in. So that one historic district doesn't necessarily have to go to D3 if you look at where the others are as well.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: So you're wanting the LGBTQ community with Fountain Hills and Carefree and Cave Creek?

COMMISSIONER LERNER: No.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I just want to keep our eyes on -- this is an inclusive and I believe coherent district in CD-1 because I believe it's urban suburban and I believe that those trends are only growing more and more in common. But as we're looking at these -- I mean, there's true urban and then as it spreads out, so just be sensitive with who you want to put in that CD-1 that will include these communities of North Scottsdale and whether it's Cave Creek

Fountain Hills, et cetera.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Right. Right. So I am sensitive to that --

COMMISSIONER YORK: My thoughts --

COMMISSIONER LERNER: -- I'm not saying I know what I --

COMMISSIONER YORK: My thoughts to echo

Commissioner Neuberg's thoughts of like communities and also
trying to create more urban, my suggestion would go -- would
be to take D1 into Tempe down along the 101 down to the 60
and over to CD-3, and that would basically take the ASU
campus whole and the area around there and the student
housing and put it with south Scottsdale, the entertainment
districts, the -- the spring training facility, Papago Park,
the zoo, and it would give Commissioner Neuberg I believe
two -- two districts she could be excited about as far as
density, urbanization, inclusiveness for both communities in
Maricopa County.

I just see Scottsdale, Paradise Valley more aligned with Tempe than I do Downtown Phoenix and so from my standpoint I think that's a better fit. I don't know how many people that is. We added quite -- we took quite a few people out of CD-1, we need to add quite a few back in but that's how I would do it.

The other thing we can include is the Mesa

Riverview Park area which we talked about, getting the Salt River community involved with, which then would bring in the Cubs spring training facility and that would put five spring training resort/hotel environments together, which would make also communities of interest and like-mindedness for their congressional representative.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Can you -- yeah, thank you -- show us the population?

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: If I'm understanding

Commissioner York correctly, I believe those communities do

fit well. I mean, in terms of just thinking about whether

it's light rail in the East Valley or light rail in Phoenix

and just transportation issues and, you know, the dramatic

growth and change. I mean, I see these communities as

working very well together.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Tempe -- that part of Tempe is different from south Tempe so it certainly would be -- and you talked about going down to US-60. What's the population there?

MR. FLAHAN: A little over 88,000.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: I'm sorry?

MR. FLAHAN: A little over 88,000 and that does end and follow I-10 up, so it doesn't go all the way up to touching D3.

COMMISSIONER YORK: Right, and then what did we add

1	to took out with those two steps which included the
2	historic neighborhoods.
3	MR. D. JOHNSON: You mean the what do you mean
4	by the two steps? The area west of 10, I presume?
5	COMMISSIONER YORK: I'm talking about the stuff
6	that we took into the historic neighborhoods, the Indian
7	School geography and the Phoenix Homestead geography.
8	MR. D. JOHNSON: Oh, you're talking the net effect
9	on D1.
10	COMMISSIONER YORK: Right.
11	MR. D. JOHNSON: Oh, I thought you were trying to
12	revise what we had in the blue here. Okay.
13	MR. FLAHAN: The McDowell to 32 east change to
14	SR-51 was about 22,000 population.
15	MR. D. JOHNSON: The other one was 12,500, so we've
16	taken 35,000 out and put 88,000 in.
17	COMMISSIONER YORK: We took 30,000 out with
18	Glendale in CD-9.
19	MR. D. JOHNSON: But that was District 3, I'm just
20	talking about District 1.
21	COMMISSIONER YORK: Okay.
22	COMMISSIONER LERNER: I just am not sure what this
23	is doing and I think it would take a big
24	COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, CD
25	COMMISSIONER LERNER: it takes too many people

1	and puts it into D1. D1 D1 does need people but this
2	it needs 23,000.
3	COMMISSIONER YORK: It needs 22,000 people.
4	COMMISSIONER LERNER: It needs 23,000, right?
5	COMMISSIONER YORK: 23,000. I think that would be
6	pretty close, to be honest with you.
7	COMMISSIONER LERNER: But that was saying you
8	said that would be 80,000?
9	MR. D. JOHNSON: Right.
10	COMMISSIONER LERNER: That's too many people. I
11	kind of like the idea
12	COMMISSIONER YORK: We took some roughly 30,000 out
13	and put it in 3.
14	MR. D. JOHNSON: And it would it started it
15	starts 23,000 short.
16	COMMISSIONER YORK: Pick up 88. Take 30 out.
17	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Which part are you saying
18	that you added into 3? I'm sorry.
19	MR. D. JOHNSON: He's talking about the Davis
20	Homestead Historic District and Indian School.
21	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. But we were going to
22	take a closer look at that before we just moved that.
23	COMMISSIONER YORK: Well, no, I'm just telling you
24	what population I think makes more sense than pushing CD-1
25	down into Downtown Phoenix, which has nothing to do with

North Scottsdale or the communities of Desert Ridge or the communities of Paradise Valley. I see Tempe more aligned with this, so I like this idea, you know.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: I don't disagree, it might be a good idea.

COMMISSIONER YORK: Maybe don't go all the way down to the 10, I don't know where the balancing is. I still think the new Mesa Riverview Park area needs to be included in District 1 like we did in the LDs, with LD-8.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: I don't disagree that this is a good -- this might be a good fit. I see that there's some logic to it, I'm just saying I don't know how to -- how far we go. I like the idea of certainly putting some of the baseball groups together, I think that's kind of an interesting thought to have that.

So I'm not saying -- I just am -- I'm just saying we're at -- we probably have to talk more about where the line goes but I think you have a really good, interesting suggestion as part of that. And I agree that the alignment, certainly we've talked about that from a legislative perspective between north Tempe and south Scottsdale, that there is good connections there.

Don't look at this as I'm saying no, I'm just saying it's an interesting concept that I -- I -- just we probably have to look a little closer at to see where we

would maybe make that split because it would be as part of it.

MR. FLAHAN: If you were to add the spring training fields over there that's on the map, that's another 1,700 people.

COMMISSIONER YORK: Right.

MR. FLAHAN: That also cleaned up the --

COMMISSIONER YORK: You know, we really weren't totally prepared to tackle this map full on. So based on Commissioner Neuberg's thought process and we saw some other areas to balance or talk about, I know Commissioner Mehl needs to leave here pretty quick.

Is there --

minutes and I'd like to say, I mean -- I like where you're going, Commissioner York, and I am deeply sensitive to ensure that Phoenix, which is -- I'm ashamed to say I don't know where it ranks in terms of population, is it number eight now? But, I mean, I -- you know -- five? Okay. Phoenix, I mean, it is a major central city in our nation and -- and we can't just have one member of Congress that keeps the urban interests.

So -- but the urban interests of Tempe, south
Scottsdale, Mesa, there's so much incredible synergy going
on in our state with urban-minded colleagues and so as long

as we feel that the representative coming out of that district is going to serve our urban interests well, I'm going to be really comfortable with that.

So thank you.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: And I  $\operatorname{\mathsf{--}}$  and I will agree. I think that there are parts of that.

One suggestion I have since we are running out of time would be since we do have population balancing to do, which is really the biggest part of this map now is how can we make -- align those communities together.

Should we -- I mean, we can continue to go through this or we could come back with proposals using the same map and see if we can come to alignment, I think that's what Commissioner York was inferring right now is maybe thinking through a little bit more on how to make some changes on the map.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Actually I think that would be most expedient and just take advantage of the time and give direction to Mapping about some ideas so we can explore.

And then let's save time before Commissioner Mehl leaves, we don't have to close the meeting, we can finish the last items, but we do need to discuss Sunday if we're going to convene.

But, please, let's give direction to Mapping and if

it could be all on the same page that would be fabulous.

1.3

COMMISSIONER MEHL: Well, at some point I'm going to have to talk about D6, so.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Do we want to do that now or -- I mean for D1 right now I don't think we decided where the Tempe split would be, but I think we're in agreement that somewhere along the line part of Tempe will go into D1.

I think -- just to finish up what Commissioner York was talking about, just to clarify, I think we can certainly look -- we don't need 80,000 people to go in there, so if you wanted to finish with that and then Commissioner Mehl, go ahead and --

COMMISSIONER MEHL: Well, I think on the D1-D4 we need to take a closer look at it because we weren't really fully prepared and were caught off guard a bit again. So we need to re-look at all of that and we'll revisit that whenever we reconvene.

I've spent over 50 years in Pima County, I love
Tucson, it's been a great place to live, I care a lot about
what happens in Tucson, and the things I'm going to suggest
are not compromise proposals, they're really going to be
proposals based on what I know to be the right thing to do
for the -- for the state of Arizona and for the -- for Pima
County.

MR. D. JOHNSON: I guess understandably,

Commissioners York and Mehl may not have their comments ready to give us in just ten minutes, I don't know if Commissioners Lerner and Watchman might be ready and can give us --

1.3

COMMISSIONER MEHL: I'm ready to address D6-D7, so I think we want to just jump there.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Well, the question is are we going to stay on the same map because I have a feeling that my colleagues have very different ideas. I would like to stay on the same map, I mean, you know, I would like to give a little latitude to my Republican colleagues who, you know, did not get their way on the map and we can reconvene and nothing is locked in and we won't lock in prior to when we reconvene but do we need two maps or one map. And -- and...

MR. D. JOHNSON: Well, I don't think in 15 minutes you're going to be able to get direction from both groups for two maps, so perhaps we just confirm what we have at this point.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Well, but I'd like -- I'd like to be able to add to the direction so that -- because I believe there's additional direction that are important to my colleagues.

MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: So I am going to ask my colleagues to really compromise and maybe each of you could

make recommendations that are the most important to you.

Understanding that, I know where you want to go anyway and even if it's not in the map we can still reconvene and debate it.

So I would like to give Commissioner Mehl some time to go with his ideas and then turn it over briefly to my colleagues to add in.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: The key issue with D6 is where it's going to end up within Tucson and how do you divide Tucson; and frankly, I have been -- there are numerous maps that have been submitted that take D7 out very far east into Tucson, out to Kolb, out to Craycroft, out to Wilmont, and I've had a lot of lobbying by people to try to do that.

The proposal that I had to go to Alvernon and drop down is very much a compromise proposal itself. And the idea that we want to have the university community combined with Downtown Tucson is a proper idea, it's a proper community of interest. But factually speaking, drawing a line at Campbell doesn't do that. It would be like saying let's take the University of Arizona and put it in with Downtown Tucson but, in fact, you say those words but you draw a line through the middle of the campus and only put half of it in. That's what we're doing with this community of interest.

Some of the most significant neighborhoods that are

a huge part of the university community are east of Campbell. Fifty years ago when the university was a third the size it is today I lived on Mabel Avenue -- Mabel Street just east of Campbell, I then moved to a home on -- on Third Street near Country Club east of Campbell, the university has grown three times since I've been there. The university community has expanded dramatically to the east.

The president of the university lives east of Campbell. It's nonsensical to suggest that by drawing a line at Campbell you've included the university community.

Alvernon is the minimum to the east that makes sense for that line. And so I would -- I will take a very strong position that that's where the boundary should go to.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: But I believe the boundaries in both maps, they're already further east than Campbell, correct?

COMMISSIONER MEHL: No, I'm talking all the way from the Rillito River down -- down to Broadway would all be part -- part of that.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: So the compromise --

COMMISSIONER MEHL: Which is the map that -- that I had -- had drawn. I've lost track of the numbers now and whenever that was -- and -- and that is the proper dividing line and it divides -- Alvernon is four -- a little over

1 four miles from downtown Tucson. The city boundary is at Harrison which is 11 miles from downtown Tucson. Alvernon 2 3 is less than half way dividing the major portion of the urban area, so it -- it's the right place to have it. 4 5 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Can you clarify, Commissioner 6 Mehl, what exact -- not a compromise but based on your 7 argument about what you feel is right for the area, what are 8 the exact boundaries? 9 COMMISSIONER MEHL: The Rillito River on the north, 10 Alvernon on the east, and connecting down into what's 11 already in District 7 down to Broadway. 12 It's not that giant of a difference from this but 13 it's a significant difference. 14 And it doesn't -- it doesn't radically change the 15 make up of District 6. 16 COMMISSIONER LERNER: It does change that. 17 COMMISSIONER MEHL: It's a highly competitive 18 district even with these changes. 19 COMMISSIONER LERNER: So we received --20 Commissioner Mehl, I --COMMISSIONER MEHL: I would like to continue. 21 22 COMMISSIONER LERNER: I'm sorry. 23 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Up south of Casa Grande I would 24 come straight across and get rid of -- and make the district

be more compact by coming across and you pick up Mammoth and

25

Oracle.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So where you have that rectangle up there -- yeah, just bring that all -- straight across over to -- quite a -quite a number of miles -- yeah, over to there.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I would like to include this suggestion in the map. I think we've studied other options and I -- and I think I believe I've seen and heard much testimony about -- my colleagues on the left about their preferred boundaries, I believe Commissioner Mehl has mostly offered compromise versions.

I'm most interested actually in what is right for communities of interest first and foremost absence of partisan issue. So there's a coherence to what you're asking for and I don't want anybody to make any conclusions about what I'm asking, but I'd be interested in having this be in a map for us to study over the weekend.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Can I comment because I wasn't allowed -- but I started to and then Commissioner Mehl wanted to continue with his Casa Grande before to make that comment.

I just pulled up the letter and I don't think we should be discounting the letter from the mayor.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Can I just say something?

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Sure.

I think this is immaterial CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG:

because I've read the mayor's letter, I've spoken to the mayor, I understand what is being asked, we've studied it. I don't think I've studied sufficiently the community of interest argument that Commissioner Mehl is -- is proposing, and so for my own sake of education when I'm looking at competing maps, which I have done from day one, I'm very interested in seeing where this goes. It doesn't mean that it's going to, you know, be our new starting point but -- but I'd like to see it.

1.3

If you trust, you know, that this is an ongoing open debate and dialogue about what makes sense for the most communities of interest. Don't be afraid of it, it doesn't -- at least in my mind I'm not afraid of it.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: And if there would need to be some population balancing if 6 has too many people then, in the Casa Grande area would be a place that you could pull back from slightly.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: I would agree that Casa

Grande should go into District 2 if that's what you're

suggesting, Commissioner Mehl. I think it's a natural --

COMMISSIONER MEHL: Either --

COMMISSIONER LERNER: -- with Coolidge and the other communities in that area.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: Depending how the populations work out.

1 COMMISSIONER LERNER: It could go Coolidge, 2 Maricopa and Florence and that's a natural community of 3 interest area. COMMISSIONER MEHL: What I'm specifically saying is 4 5 because right now Casa Grande is being split and it's okay to be -- it's been split many, many times through the 6 7 years. I'm not saying take all of Casa Grande out, I'm 8 saying if there's a population balance you could pull a 9 little bit out of Casa Grande. 10 MR. KINGERY: What's shown on the screen right now, is this the line? 11 12 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Yes. 13 MR. FLAHAN: That's about 4,500. 14 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Yeah, there's not many people. 15 Yeah. 16 MR. D. JOHNSON: So if we move 38,000 people out of District 6 that -- into District 7 down in Alvernon and then 17 18 we add in 4,500 so we're net about 34,000 out, that will 19 leave District 7 about 25,000 over. 20 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Have you accounted for 21 District 7 past Alvernon there? MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah, that's the 38 -- so 38,000 22 23 with the Alvernon. 24 Okay. 25 COMMISSIONER MEHL: I'd like you to just draw it

1 this way and we need the weekend to study it. 2 MR. D. JOHNSON: Sure. 3 COMMISSIONER MEHL: And actually you could pull back from 7 on the east side would be the first place. I 4 5 would actually pull that back somewhat on the -- on that east side south of Broadway could come back to help account 6 7 for -- for a portion of that. So what's the road there? 8 9 MR. FLAHAN: Kolb. 10 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Kolb, it could come back to 11 Kolb, and that's probably a pretty good amount of 12 population; and, in fact, why don't you look and see what 1.3 that is. 14 MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah, we can certainly do that, 15 it's going the wrong way though. We need to take population 16 out of 7. 17 COMMISSIONER MEHL: I thought you said 7 was over. 18 MR. D. JOHNSON: I'm sorry, did I say 7? I meant 19 6, sorry. Sorry, 6. COMMISSIONER MEHL: Oh, then don't do that. 20 21 MR. D. JOHNSON: Right. Okay. Sorry. COMMISSIONER MEHL: So how much is 6 over? 22 23 MR. D. JOHNSON: So 6 started 67,000 over and then 24 it gave up 38,000, that leaves it 22,000 short; and then it 25 picked up 4,500 in Pinal -- I'm sorry, left it 22,000 over;

```
then it picked up 4,500 in Pinal, which is making it 2,600
 1
 2
         over -- or 26,000 over, if my quick off-the-top-of-my-head
 3
         math is right.
                  Yeah, so 7 is -- I'm sorry, 6. Friday afternoon.
 4
                  6 is about 20 -- 20-some thousand over at this
 5
 6
         point after those changes.
 7
                  COMMISSIONER LERNER: Can I make a suggestion for a
 8
         compromise potentially, Commissioner Mehl, that might help
 9
         some of that population as well?
10
                  Instead of Alvernon, what about Country Club? That
11
         splits the difference between Campbell and Alvernon.
12
                  COMMISSIONER MEHL: But you're going the right
13
         direction. I agree with adjusting that but 6 is over so you
14
         need to go farther east, so instead of Alvernon you would go
15
         to Swan.
16
                  COMMISSIONER LERNER: No, 6 is over, right?
17
                  COMMISSIONER MEHL: Yeah.
18
                  COMMISSIONER LERNER: Oh, but I think that's --
                  COMMISSIONER MEHL: Yeah. I would take -- we'd go
19
20
         from --
21
                  COMMISSIONER LERNER: We don't want to go further
22
         east.
23
                  COMMISSIONER MEHL: I would go further east.
                                                                 Τо
24
         balance that --
                  COMMISSIONER LERNER: I'm trying to find a
25
```

compromise between Campbell and Alvernon and split the difference, which is really -- because in terms of meeting also those communities that are there with the campus and where it's located and the historic neighborhoods and where they're located. So I'm just trying to find -- and then we can figure out the balancing piece.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER MEHL: I would like to have this drawn the way I'm asking and then we can look at it.

So I would move the boundary east of Alvernon to take in additional population to balance.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I'd like -- again, I would like Commissioner Mehl to be able to complete his thought and to look at it on a map cohesive with a community of interest forgetting partisanship, I like that.

Commissioner Lerner, even though it's not on a map, we've seen so many iterations, can you succinctly articulate what you would want so that when we're all studying we can keep in mind what your recommendation is?

> COMMISSIONER LERNER: Just for this area? CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG:

Yes.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. Well, just for District 6. Again, the boundary -- some of that boundary right now -- I would recommend if we're not going to do Campbell as a compromise, trying to think about what

Commissioner Mehl said, I think last time I had -- the

boundaries that we have right now would be ideal but otherwise I would say use Country Club instead of Alvernon.

1.3

I know that gets us too many people into 6. As part of that, I'd go up to Casa Grande and I would move Casa Grande out of 6 and put it into 2 because I'd like to link it, which is always what I'd like to -- link with Coolidge and Florence. I really believe Coolidge, Florence, I think and Casa Grande are naturally linked, and I think that will help remove some population as part of that into District 2.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: Can I just ask what the population of Casa Grande that's in 6 is?

MR. FLAHAN: It looks like it's all of it, 53,658.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: So that might help with some of that balancing.

MR. FLAHAN: Yeah, all of Casa Grande is in District 6.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: So if we can move that out of District 2 that might provide some of that balance, Commissioner Mehl.

MR. FLAHAN: So move Casa Grande out of District 6 into District 2.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Into District 2 and basically what you're doing is aligning it with the surrounding communities, with Coolidge, Florence, Sacaton, where they do a lot -- they have a lot in common. It also gets rid of

that piece right up there that we've all talked about that we don't want that arm reaching all the way north, and so I think it makes it that district more compact.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: And I understand what

Commissioner Lerner is saying but I've still directed what I have directed to be drawn and we will keep her thoughts in mind as we look at it.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Yeah, we could do one of two things.

Commissioner Mehl, I know you need to go, you know, it's possible that, you know, you can leave and we still have a quorum. If the Commissioners -- I mean, this is a very small difference and if the Commissioners would like to give different guidance on this specific area to give us options, I'm open to that, you could have a little extra time after 3:30; or, alternatively, I am hearing what you're expressing, Commissioner Mehl is giving directions for the map. I'm open to either one.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Well, I -- I would like to not continue without Commissioner Mehl here. I would love to hear his feedback always, is that what you meant in terms of giving --

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Well, no, it's really just an issue, he's already given us feedback and I'd like to be

able to look at his map completely just in this area, we're not relitigating anything else, but I want to give you the same exact option because you have other areas that you have in mind and he doesn't need to be here for that. If vou want to give specific instructions to the Mapping team as it relates to, you know, 6 and 7 and -- well, and 2 if it -and it's your call because your -- you know, he --Commissioner Mehl is going to get the map that he likes to look at, I want to look at it, and I want to make sure -- I don't want to come back on Monday and start, you know, opening up all of this is if that's when we're going to convene.

So if there's something you want to look at, now is the time.

> COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: So you want to continue?

COMMISSIONER LERNER: I quess I'm still -- I'm confused because we might end up with two maps and I thought we were going to do our best to compromise and try to work with one.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Yes, well, you know what, it would be two maps but it's two maps that are identical except for one small, little, tiny area that we could study over the weekend.

> COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I think that's a wonderful place to be in. 2 3 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: So let's really just focus on 4 5 this area. So just like on the CD-10 development 6 MR. KINGERY: 7 history goes for CDs, the 11 series, we'll have 11.0 and 8 that will be on the core changes that are agreed upon by 9 both sides; and then we'll have two maps branching off that, one with Commissioner Mehl's and York's feedback and then 10 11 whatever your feedback and Commissioner Watchman, we'll have 12 that map version ready. 1.3 MR. D. JOHNSON: Just before Commissioner Mehl 14 goes, can we confirm we have the agreed-upon changes? 15 COMMISSIONER MEHL: I think I went to Kolb. 16 MR. D. JOHNSON: Sure, I can do it. 17 So the first we've got Glendale west of 75th going 18 from District 3 to District 9; the District 7 population 19 north of Indian School going from District 7 into District 9 20 and the little piece of District 3 west of Aqua Fria going into District 9. 21 22 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I thought that was part of 23 our collective deliberation. 24 MR. D. JOHNSON: Yes. 25 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I didn't think that --

MR. D. JOHNSON: Yeah. I'm trying to confirm --1 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Oh, okay. Thank you. 2 Thank 3 you. Okay. 4 MR. D. JOHNSON: Just to clarify. 5 And then I don't think we had collective agreement on Davis Homestead historic or did we? 6 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: We wanted that. Let's keep 7 8 that in the collective map. 9 MR. D. JOHNSON: Okay. Great. And then --10 11 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: The only difference is when 12 we went down to Tucson. 1.3 COMMISSIONER YORK: I quess I would like more time 14 to study CD-1. I made a suggestion to run -- to go into 15 north Tempe, I don't know -- I don't know what -- I still 16 don't know quite how this map quite works. I know Commissioner Lerner's desire, I know Commissioner Neuberg's 17 18 desire, but I would like some more time to study if --19 COMMISSIONER LERNER: So for now not do the 20 Homestead change, is that what you're suggesting, to hold off and let's take a closer look at it? 21 COMMISSIONER YORK: No, I'd hold off on all the 22 23 that stuff. 24 MR. D. JOHNSON: Even Glendale? 25 COMMISSIONER YORK: No, I'd do the Glendale stuff.

1 COMMISSIONER LERNER: The Glendale stuff we all 2 agreed upon. 3 MR. FLAHAN: So just the west part of D3 and Glendale stuff and top part of D7 --4 5 COMMISSIONER YORK: Correct. MR. FLAHAN: -- would be in the collective stuff; 6 7 everything else, no. 8 Okay. All right. 9 COMMISSIONER MEHL: And you're good on... 10 MR. D. JOHNSON: And then we've got the Alvernon to 11 Broadway change, the straight across in Pinal, and then I 12 believe at the end you said to keep going east of Alvernon 1.3 until District 6 is balanced --14 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Yep. 15 MR. D. JOHNSON: -- see where that line ends up. 16 MR. FLAHAN: And in Casa Grande, population balance 17 in Casa Grande. 18 MR. D. JOHNSON: Just around the edges? 19 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Well, I think we -- so the 20 final direction was to balance not in Casa Grande but going 21 east. 22 MR. D. JOHNSON: Right. 23 MR. FLAHAN: Gotcha. 24 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: And Commissioner Mehl, before 25 you go, I'm going to use our discretion and move to Agenda

Item Number VII to discuss next meeting date. We have the option to meet on Sunday. We still have three full deliberation days: Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday. Maybe we could use the full weekend to study. I think we're actually getting extremely close and have some real fine-tuning to do which is more education, but I'm open to the collective thoughts of my colleague about what the best schedule for us is.

1.3

COMMISSIONER MEHL: I'm available Sunday and happy to do Sunday and I'd rather almost err on having us have more time depending on other Commissioners' availability than having less time just to make sure that we can get this done.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: I'm open to whatever the decision is.

COMMISSIONER YORK: I'm available on Sunday as well.

VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: I'm open on Sunday.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. It sounds like the Commissioners want to meet so that we just feel comfortable. We have the time in the agenda, it is at 9:00 a.m. You know, we will have a quorum at 9:00 a.m. to open the meeting, we can always go to recess, but what would be the, you know, best time for us to convene?

And I would suggest that we plan for a short day,

1 you know, not a full day. So we can, you know, be well 2 rested for Monday. 3 COMMISSIONER MEHL: If it's going to be a short day, then I'd rather start a little later because I'm going 4 5 to be staying overnight anyway; again, depending on others' issues but, you know, starting at 10:30 or 11:00. 6 7 COMMISSIONER YORK: 11 o'clock, I think. CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Well, why -- if we're doing 8 it later why not like 2:30 -- I mean, well, do 2:00? 9 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Anything like that that's fine 10 11 with me. 12 COMMISSIONER YORK: After -- after 12:00 would be 1.3 fine with me also. Whatever time you want. 14 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Same here. 15 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: We should ask Counsel. 16 I mean is this, you know, we're convening at 9:00 17 and then taking recess until some time in the afternoon, 18 that's fine? 19 Okay. 20 COMMISSIONER MEHL: I would suggest 1 o'clock then 21 at least -- I want to make sure we --CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Well, 2 o'clock is when the 22 23 football games end. 24 COMMISSIONER MEHL: Ah. 25 COMMISSIONER LERNER: 2 o'clock.

1	COMMISSIONER MEHL: I defer to the football game.
2	CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: No, I don't care. Whatever.
3	We do get to staff, you know, we're being
4	presumptuous.
5	COMMISSIONER YORK: I like that.
6	MR. FLAHAN: What time would you think you
7	COMMISSIONER YORK: There's a Suns game on Sunday
8	night as well.
9	CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Oh, at 6:00.
10	MR. D. JOHNSON: Our thought is we'll want time
11	we want you to have time to deliberate and give us
12	instruction and then we have to go map later on Sunday, so
13	we don't want to start too late.
14	CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay, so what's the sweet
15	spot, 1:00?
16	COMMISSIONER YORK: 1 o'clock.
17	CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: 1 o'clock on Sunday. We'll
18	start the meeting at 9:00 per, you know, our agenda but for
19	the public, please aware that there won't be anything
20	interesting happening until 1:00 p.m.
21	COMMISSIONER MEHL: Thank you very much and thanks
22	for accommodating me today taking off.
23	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Drive safely.
24	COMMISSIONER YORK: Keep your tires on.
25	COMMISSIONER MEHL: I will try to.

1 (Whereupon Commissioner Mehl exits at 3:31 p.m.) 2 3 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: All Commissioners have high 4 blood pressure now. 5 So we will now return back to Agenda Item VI, draft 6 map decision, and I believe it is now the opportunity for my 7 Democratic colleagues to give a little direction on the 8 specific area. 9 COMMISSIONER LERNER: So I'm not going to make a 10 huge number of changes or suggestions at this point. 11 Just a few to focus on this one area like you said. 12 Again, my recommendation is to move Casa Grande out 1.3 of D6 into D2. 14 MR. FLAHAN: On that change I have one question for 15 you. 16 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Sure. 17 MR. FLAHAN: On the northwest border there's a 18 little piece that is not inside Casa Grande that would get 19 stuck in D6, we'd have to move it somewhere. 20 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Just move it where you need 21 to move it. 22 MR. FLAHAN: Okay. Because there's another piece 23 south of that that we would need to move too. 24 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Yeah. No, we want to make 25 that contiguous and as compact as possible.

So that's -- that's one of the -- I think that does a lot in terms of balancing between 2 and 6 as part of that. I think at this point -- just looking, that -- I mean from that -- at that piece right there is probably the biggest piece I want to do up in there, that area.

And then in the Tucson area, the border that we have right now is on Campbell I think; is that correct?

MR. D. JOHNSON: Yes.

MR. FLAHAN: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Yeah. So in that -- I mean,

I -- I'm comfortable leaving it there. Again, I am happy to

compromise potentially, you know, with Commissioner Mehl

when he's -- when he's back and we can take a look at those

numbers, at moving it out slightly but I know that that

doesn't help because it's going to add to D7.

In terms of -- what did you say District 7 was at this point population-wise? We were down, are we back overpopulated? Or are we still lower?

MR. D. JOHNSON: It started at 25,000 short and then we're losing 1,700 up in -- north of Avondale; am I right?

COMMISSIONER LERNER: I mean, maybe we don't need to worry about it right now and we'll come back to taking a look at D7 in terms of the balancing.

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC

For this -- for the purposes of this, knowing and

stating very clearly I'm hoping to compromise with Commissioner Mehl on those boundaries. I know that the campus goes to Campbell, there are historic neighborhoods, there are areas that we can look at and hopefully find a balance between what he's suggesting and what I think would be best for that area.

1.3

So I'm not going to make any changes in that area at this point.

I think the biggest change right now is the Casa Grande.

There are some things that I think we could do better up -- and I just want to mention these up in -- and it's maybe for the future but District 5, I'm not sure if we have all of San Tan Valley in there. Can you tell me if we do because I just would like to be sure that it's all included.

Which also might help population balancing since we moved Casa Grande into D2.

MR. D. JOHNSON: It's all in D5.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: It's all in 5, okay. Great.

And then the only other suggestion that I would have and I don't know population-wise how this would affect, but could Gold Canyon, since they talk a lot about being aligned with Apache Junction, move from District 2 over to District 5? I think just -- we heard a lot of testimony

about their relationship and also the US-60 corridor. So just to keep them together.

Is that okay?

All right. For now, that's all I'm going to recommend at this point. I think it --

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: It was just to focus on those areas. Thank you, Chairwoman.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. And now before we move on, Commissioner York, is there anything you want to add to the map that Commissioner Mehl -- now that you've had a little bit of time to digest things.

COMMISSIONER YORK: At this time I don't have anything to add.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. With that, we will again return to Agenda Item Number VII. Next meeting date will be Sunday the 19th -- December 19th, 9:00 a.m., for an official start with true deliberation beginning at 1:00 p.m.

With that we'll move to Agenda Item Number VIII, closing of public comments.

Please note, members of the Commission may not discuss items that are not specifically identified on the agenda. Therefore, pursuant to A.R.S. 38-431.01(H), action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing staff to study the matter, responding to any

criticism or scheduling the matter for further consideration 1 and decision at a later date. 2 3 We'll move to Agenda Item Number IX, adjournment. I'll open it up for a motion to adjourn. 4 This is Commissioner Lerner. 5 COMMISSIONER LERNER: 6 I move to adjourn. 7 VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Vice Chair Watchman seconds. 8 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: With no further discussion, Vice Chair Watchman. 9 10 VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Aye. 11 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG? Commissioner York. 12 COMMISSIONER YORK: Aye. 1.3 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Lerner. 14 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Aye. 15 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Neuberg is an 16 aye. 17 And with that I thank everybody for your extreme 18 hard work, your good faith, your stamina, enjoy whatever 19 part of the weekend and to our broader team as well. 20 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Can I just make a quick 21 statement? I wanted to thank you, Chairwoman, because we 22 23 actually got a huge amount done today and I appreciate the 24 fact that we're trying to work on one map as much as 25 possible. I think that that's giving us a lot of progress.

```
I just want to stay thank you.
 1
 2
                   CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Thank you. We'll see
 3
          everybody.
 4
                   (Whereupon the proceeding concludes at 3:37 p.m.)
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
                   This transcript represents an unofficial
23
         record. Please consult the accompanying video for the
24
25
          official record of IRC proceedings.
```

1	$\underline{C} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{R} \ \underline{T} \ \underline{I} \ \underline{F} \ \underline{I} \ \underline{C} \ \underline{A} \ \underline{T} \ \underline{E}$
2	
3	STATE OF ARIZONA )
4	) ss.
5	COUNTY OF MARICOPA )
6	
7	BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were
8	taken before me, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter No. 50127, all done to the best of my skill and ability;
9	that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to print under my direction.
10	I CERTIFY that I am in no way related to any of the
11	parties hereto nor am I in any way interested in the outcome thereof.
12	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I have complied with the
13	requirements set forth in ACJA 7-206. Dated at Litchfie Park, Arizona, this 10th of January, 2022.
14	A REPORT
15	Angela Furniss Miller, RPR, CR
16	CERTIFIED REPORTER (AZ50127)
17	* * *
	I CERTIFY that Miller Certified Reporting, LLC, has
18	complied with the requirements set forth in ACJA 7-201 and 7-206. Dated at LITCHFIELD PARK, Arizona, this 10th of January, 2022.
19	
20	MCR
21	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC Arizona RRF No. R1058
22	
23	
24	
25	