1	STATE OF	ARIZONA
2	ARIZONA INDEPENDENT RED	ISTRICTING COMMISSION
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	REPORTER'S TRANSCRI	PT OF PROCEEDINGS
10		
11		
12	PUBLIC S	ESSION
13		
- 4	Phoenix,	
14	November 9 4:30 p	
15	4:30 p	• III •
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	ARIZONA INDEPENDENT	LISA A. NANCE, RPR, CCR
25	REDISTRICTING	Certified Court Reporter Certificate No. 50349
25	COMMISSION	certificate No. 50349

1	The State of Arizona Independent Redistricting
2	Commission convened in Public Session on November 9,
3	2001, at 4:30 o'clock p.m., at the Independent
4	Redistricting Commission of Arizona Offices, 1400 West
5	Washington, Suite B-10, Phoenix, Arizona, in the
6	presence of:
7	
8	APPEARANCES (telephonically):
9	
10	CHAIRMAN STEVEN W. LYNN
11	VICE CHAIRMAN ANDI MINKOFF
12	COMMISSIONER JAMES R. HUNTWORK
13	COMMISSIONER DANIEL R. ELDER
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	ADDITIONAL APPEARANCES:
3	
4	LISA T. HAUSER, Commission Counsel (telephonically)
5	JOSE de JESUS RIVERA, Commission Counsel
6	TRACI RICCITELLO, Commission Counsel
7	(telephonically)
8	ADOLFO ECHEVESTE, IRC Executive Director
9	DR. ALAN HESLOP, NDC, Consultant (telephonically)
10	DOUG JOHNSON, NDC, Consultant
11	(telephonically)
12	MARGUERITE MARY LEONI, NDC Counsel (telephonically)
13	LOU JONES, IRC Staff
14	CINDY LE, IRC Staff
15	KRISTINA GOMEZ, IRC Staff
16	AMY REZZONICO, IRC Press Information Officer
17	TIM JOHNSON, MC, Computer Consultant
18	LISA A. NANCE, RPR, CCR, Court Reporter
19	HIDA A. MANCE, KFK, CCK, COULT REPORTER
20	PUBLIC PRESENT:
21	TODATO TRADAMI.
22	JUDY DWORKIN
23	JOHN FAR
24	
25	

1	
2	AGENDA DESIGNATED SPEAKERS:
3	
4	DR. ALAN HESLOP
5	DOUG JOHNSON
6	LISA HAUSER
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

24

25

1	Public Session Phoenix, Arizona
2	November 9, 2001 4:30 o'clock p.m.
3	4:30 O'Clock p.m.
4	
5	PROCEEDINGS
6	
7	CHAIRMAN LYNN: Four Commissioners are
8	present: Ms. Minkoff, Mr. Elder, Mr. Huntwork, and the
9	Chairman. Mr. Hall is excused.
10	Present are the legal staff, Ms. Hauser
11	and Mr. Rivera, NDC, Ms. Leoni, and IRC staff are
12	present telephonically.
13	Presentation by NDC: Discussion and
14	possible decisions concerning possible changes to the
15	final Legislative plan for neighborhood clean-up and/or
16	to correct small population traps between Congressional
17	and Legislative lines.
18	MR. ECHEVESTE: Steve, could you start
19	over? We have the tape going now.
20	CHAIRMAN LYNN: Start from scratch,
21	Adolfo?
22	MR. ECHEVESTE: Yes, please.
23	CHAIRMAN LYNN: Call the meeting to order.
24	Present are: Ms. Minkoff, Mr. Elder, Mr. Huntwork, and
25	the Chairman. Mr. Hall is excused. Also present is
	ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE

- 1 Ms. Hauser and Mr. Rivera. Also present by -- these are
- 2 all by telephone at one location or another. NDC,
- 3 Ms. Leoni, and the IRC Executive Director and staff.
- 4 Item II.
- 5 COMMISSIONER ELDER: One further, Alan
- 6 Heslop.
- 7 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Sorry.
- 8 DR. HESLOP: I'm sorry.
- 9 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I said NDC. I wasn't
- 10 being specific, Alan and Doug both.
- 11 Item number II: Presentation by NDC,
- 12 discussion and possible decisions concerning possible
- 13 changes to the final Legislative plan for neighborhood
- 14 clean-up and/or to correct small population traps
- 15 between Congressional and Legislative lines.
- Mr. Johnson.
- 17 DR. HESLOP: Mr. Chairman, let me give
- 18 some tips, if I may, a quick word or two about the trap
- 19 issue.
- 20 I believe all the Commissioners know there
- 21 are two nationally recognized GIS redistricting
- 22 programs, Arcview and Maptitude. We've been using
- 23 Maptitude from the beginning. Both of these provide
- 24 trap identification capabilities. And as we've
- 25 discovered recently, Arcview's automated capability is

- 1 superior to Maptitude. Prior to November 3rd we were
- 2 using Maptitude to identify the traps.
- 3 As I think Doug previously made clear,
- 4 what this really involves is visual inspection of the
- 5 borders of the district.
- 6 We received Arcview's trap finder in
- 7 mid-October, and it was our intention to convert maps to
- 8 Arcview's judicial trap finding capabilities; and for
- 9 one reason or another didn't find reason for that task.
- 10 Also, we believed Maptitude's trap search was
- 11 comprehensive.
- 12 As the Commissioners know, Tim had the
- 13 opportunity run the trap finder program and identify the
- 14 additional traps we are reviewing now. Those traps were
- 15 missed during Maptitude's visual inspection because they
- 16 are extremely small. They were hidden behind the
- 17 district lines, the actual border lines on the map.
- 18 Each of them does have zero population.
- I might note that we've been in
- 20 conversation with Maptitude on this and they are now in
- 21 the middle of developing an automated trap finder that
- 22 will be ready to redistrict other jurisdictions but, of
- 23 course, not Arizona.
- 24 So that's the background to the zero
- 25 traps.

- 1 As you know, there are two other items to
- 2 discuss along this line. One is the Tucson Summit area,
- 3 quite a large area. The Commissioners previously
- 4 discussed it. It involves 36 people. There are voting
- 5 rights implications in the neighboring districts. But
- 6 that is something that the Commission would undoubtedly
- 7 wish to look at.
- 8 And the 3rd item is a request from the
- 9 elections people in Cochise County for a line change in
- 10 Sierra Vista. The Commission's map for Sierra Vista in
- 11 fact follows exactly the Census Bureau's reported city
- 12 boundary for Sierra Vista, but we discover now, from the
- 13 election's official, that that boundary is not correct
- 14 and that it actually divides a mobile home park.
- 15 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Hum.
- DR. HESLOP: According to the election's
- 17 official, the people that lived in the mobile home park
- 18 voted together for years in the park's clubhouse, and he
- 19 would like the Commission not to divide the park.
- 20 Because if we divide it, that would force him to divide
- 21 the park between two precincts. Now, that item involves
- 22 moving 85 people from Legislative District 30 to
- 23 Legislative District 25.
- 24 So there are really three subjects,
- 25 Mr. Chairman, Members of the Commission: Zero

- 1 population traps, the second is the area between Tucson
- 2 and Summit, and the third is the Cochise-Sierra Vista
- 3 mobile home park issue.
- 4 And with that introduction, Mr. Chairman,
- 5 I guess our suggestion is that Doug could briefly walk
- 6 you through the zero population traps, answer any
- 7 questions you may have on them. And then if it's your
- 8 wish, you could then move to the Tucson area and the
- 9 Sierra Vista question.
- 10 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Is it -- do you think it's
- 11 necessary to go through all the zero population traps
- 12 specifically or would you -- I mean we have the
- 13 information. I don't know how much of it needs to be
- 14 put on the record. By identifying them, either by
- 15 number and providing the record with the particular
- 16 printout that everyone has for consideration, we might
- 17 be able to expedite the process. I don't know that we
- 18 need to spend a lot of time on zero population traps.
- 19 DR. HESLOP: I agree if we went into the
- 20 record for that list, few, if any, issues of any
- 21 substance issues would arise.
- 22 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I wonder if, without
- 23 objection, the attachment of the final traps for
- 24 consideration dated November 9th, 2001, from NDC could
- 25 be made a part of the record? And with that done, I

- 1 wonder if we might have a motion to approve the zero
- 2 population trap adjustments contained on that
- 3 attachment?
- 4 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Mr. Chairman, this is
- 5 Commissioner Elder.
- I move we accept traps numbered one
- 7 through 21, which include all of the zero population
- 8 traps, as accepted.
- 9 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I would second that
- 10 motion.
- 11 MR. JOHNSON: Commissioner Elder, if I
- 12 might.
- 13 CHAIRMAN LYNN: One through 20.
- 14 MR. JOHNSON: It's one through 23. But 14
- 15 and 21 both involve population. One through 23 except
- 16 14 and 21 as not being zero pop.
- 17 COMMISSIONER ELDER: I amend my motion to
- 18 respond to that.
- 19 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff.
- 20 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I accept that also.
- 21 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Moved and seconded to
- 22 adopt the zero population changes contained in
- 23 attachment entitled final traps for consideration
- 24 numbered traps one through 23 with the exception of
- 25 number 14 and number 21.

1	Discussion on the motion?				
2	If not, roll call.				
3	Ms. Minkoff?				
4	COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: "Aye."				
5	CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Elder?				
6	COMMISSIONER ELDER: "Aye."				
7	CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork?				
8	COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: "Aye."				
9	CHAIRMAN LYNN: Chair votes "Aye."				
10	Motion carries four to zero.				
11	Now let's go back to number 14.				
12	This is our now famous Precinct Nueve, now				
13	Nueve. Number nine, nine people living in Phoenix,				
14	living near Paradise Valley.				
15	Is that correct?				
16	MR. JOHNSON: That's correct.				
17	CHAIRMAN LYNN: I believe we dealt with				
18	that in a previous meeting.				
19	MR. JOHNSON: Yes.				
20	CHAIRMAN LYNN: There's no action we need				
21	to take on number 14. We just identified it for the				
22	record.				
23	COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: As a trap that is				

CHAIRMAN LYNN: That's correct.

24 going to remain.

25

- 1 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Uh-huh.
- 2 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Then let's move to number
- 3 21 which is in fact the Summit adjustment which involves
- 4 a six-mile wide stretch and 36 people.
- 5 Mr. Johnson.
- 6 MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners,
- 7 just a very brief discussion of this. This is the area
- 8 north of Summit and east of the Tohono Reservation lands
- 9 near Tucson, out of Tucson. It is a very low
- 10 population, although large area. When the Commission
- 11 discussed it before, there was discussion of concerns
- 12 this could be an area of significant growth in the near
- 13 future.
- 14 It's currently in District 30, which is
- 15 the East Tucson District. And to fix this, if we did
- 16 consider it a trap, would require moving it into one of
- 17 the majority-minority districts it neighbors. It
- 18 neighbors three of them, 25, 27, and 29.
- 19 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Uh-huh.
- 20 MR. JOHNSON: Our recommendation is leave
- 21 it as is, given -- given the future growth and size of
- 22 the area.
- 23 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Why is it a trap?
- 24 Most of District 30 is in Congressional District 8 and
- 25 this is the only portion in 7?

- 1 MR. JOHNSON: The only portion in this
- 2 part of the state, yes.
- 3 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Okay. So that most
- 4 of this area is in Congressional District 8, but these
- 5 36 people are in Congressional District 7.
- 6 MR. JOHNSON: Right.
- 7 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Thank you.
- 8 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Doug, this is
- 9 Commissioner Elder. Would you give me the demographics
- 10 of those 36 people?
- 11 MR. JOHNSON: They are -- there are a
- 12 couple of Hispanics among them, definitely not the
- 13 majority by any means.
- 14 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Well, when you made
- 15 comment it may be a minority-majority or voter rights
- 16 issue, it's based on dilution of the adjacent districts
- 17 by Anglos?
- 18 MR. JOHNSON: Right. Obviously 36 won't
- 19 be significant impact. It's concerns about the future
- 20 growth.
- 21 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Might ultimately
- 22 change the character of whichever minority-majority
- 23 district we moved it in, if we moved it?
- 24 MR. JOHNSON: Right.
- 25 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Okay.

- 1 CHAIRMAN LYNN: What is the impact of
- 2 leaving it the way it is? Not in terms of demographics
- 3 of the district, are we creating another district like
- 4 District Nueve for the Pima County Elections Officer in
- 5 the same sense that it creates a mini precinct?
- 6 MR. JOHNSON: That is a concern raised.
- 7 It would have to have its own precinct because it's a
- 8 different Congressional and Legislative District, but
- 9 it's its own precinct for a six-mile wide area as
- 10 opposed to its own precinct for a small city block. The
- 11 other piece is it's just south of Tucson. When there's
- 12 a city election, it has to have a separate election
- 13 anyway.
- 14 MS. LEONI: Mr. Chairman, if I may.
- 15 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Leoni.
- MS. LEONI: This is unincorporated, is
- 17 that what you are saying?
- 18 MR. JOHNSON: Yes.
- 19 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Let me ask a
- 20 question related to the last statement, Doug. When you
- 21 talked about the fact it's not part of the city limits
- 22 of Phoenix.
- MR. JOHNSON: Tucson.
- 24 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Also not part of
- 25 the City limits of Phoenix, too. If a city election,

- 1 they'd have to vote in a separate precinct. Does that
- 2 mean 36 people might vote in precinct X for state, city
- 3 elections, but precinct Y for -- or not allowed to vote
- 4 in municipal elections? I'm wondering if that might be
- 5 more confusing than the trap.
- 6 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Ms. Minkoff, this is
- 7 Commissioner Elder. There is an area, 36 square miles
- 8 annexed by the City of Tucson, I believe, by the 2000
- 9 election. This area, I don't believe, went that far
- 10 west. This portion may be the City of Tucson as well.
- 11 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I thought what I
- 12 heard from Doug, it's not City of Tucson.
- 13 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Doug, using the 2000
- 14 election TIGER files.
- 15 MR. JOHNSON: Right.
- 16 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I was thinking it's
- 17 not part of the City of Tucson, creating a situation
- 18 very confusing, one place for state elections, one place
- 19 for city elections. That's a worse place than a trap.
- 20 MR. JOHNSON: I just, going back to my own
- 21 comment, I'm not entirely up to speed on how they handle
- 22 city elections in the City of Phoenix, whether they
- 23 create a separate precinct. I think they create a
- 24 separate precinct.
- 25 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: If these people are

- 1 not in the City of Tucson, they'd not be part of a
- 2 precinct for the City of Tucson.
- 3 CHAIRMAN LYNN: If not in an incorporated
- 4 area.
- 5 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I'd like to toss
- 6 in my two cents. Something this big with as much growth
- 7 potential does not seem to be a trap. I would -- I
- 8 think we ought to leave it the way it is.
- 9 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I agree. I think
- 10 it's a temporary trap at best.
- 11 CHAIRMAN LYNN: If the consensus is we
- 12 need to leave it the way it is, no motion is required on
- 13 this one. It currently is in that district. It will
- 14 remain so unless we do something affirmatively to move
- 15 it.
- 16 Hearing no motion on the affirmative side,
- 17 if we may move to Sierra Vista.
- 18 MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chairman, let me ask,
- 19 has everyone had a chance look at this or should I
- 20 describe in detail where we're talking about?
- 21 CHAIRMAN LYNN: What's your pleasure,
- 22 ladies and gentlemen? I think I understand it. I
- 23 looked at the diagram, understand the description.
- 24 The important point here is that the
- 25 trailer park, mobile home community, is not in the City

- 1 of Sierra Vista. The action requested here, or
- 2 suggested, is simply to reunify the trailer, mobile home
- 3 community, so all of it is in the same district. The
- 4 district does not include the City of Sierra Vista.
- 5 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Just so that I
- 6 understand, was the Census information incorrect in that
- 7 the Census information listed a portion of this trailer
- 8 park in Sierra Vista when in actuality it is not?
- 9 MS. HAUSER: Mr. Chairman.
- 10 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Hauser.
- 11 MS. HAUSER: One of the things
- 12 jurisdictions needed to do was communicate effectively
- 13 with the Census Bureau to make sure boundaries and
- 14 jurisdictions were correct. I can only surmise there
- 15 must have been a slip in communication with the Sierra
- 16 Vista Census Bureau which resulted in what you just
- 17 suggested, the Census Bureau has the wrong boundary.
- 18 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Okay.
- 19 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Is there an affirmative
- 20 motion on this issue?
- 21 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Mr. Chairman, I
- 22 would move that we unify this particular area.
- 23 How should we describe it for purposes of
- 24 the motion? Does it have a name?
- 25 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Well, you might call it

- 1 mobile home community at the southeast corner outside
- 2 Sierra Vista.
- 3 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: As to the mobile
- 4 home park adjacent to the southeast corner of Sierra
- 5 Vista, I move we unify the mobile home park adjacent to
- 6 the southeast corner.
- 7 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Second.
- 8 MS. HAUSER: Mr. Chairman.
- 9 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Hauser.
- 10 MS. HAUSER: Since you attached the NDC
- 11 report, it's page four, not a trap. It's described on
- 12 page four of the document, page four.
- 13 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Not a number.
- 14 MS. HAUSER: It has specifics of the move.
- 15 CHAIRMAN LYNN: We'll note for the record
- 16 this is the same attachment we made part of the record
- 17 and contained on page four.
- 18 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Actually, for some
- 19 reason mine came through as page five. I have a page
- 20 four that is blank.
- 21 CHAIRMAN LYNN: It's identified well
- 22 enough as it's the only Sierra Vista change we're
- 23 looking at.
- 24 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: The change
- 25 excludes the entire trailer park from Sierra Vista

- 1 rather than including it?
- 2 MR. JOHNSON: Right, excluding. Excluding
- 3 the trailer park from Sierra Vista.
- 4 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Doug, the trailer
- 5 park precinct, does it split a Census tract, or a split
- of a Census block or tract to be able to accommodate
- 7 this?
- 8 MR. JOHNSON: In the document, we're
- 9 talking about identifying two Census blocks, the
- 10 entirety of two blocks.
- 11 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Includes both
- 12 annotated here.
- 13 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Two complete Census
- 14 blocks, 85 people, 47 registered voters, or 47 voting
- 15 age. I don't know which.
- 16 MR. JOHNSON: Registered voters.
- 17 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Okay. 47 registered
- 18 voters.
- 19 Further discussion on the motion?
- 20 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Mr. Chairman, for the
- 21 record, I believe it's a good move. Makes it more
- 22 compact, makes it easier for voters and/or political
- 23 people that wish to run in District 2, wish to achieve
- 24 the goals we're looking for.
- 25 Based on access, and compactness, you

- 1 know, I agree with the shift.
- 2 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I think it's also the case
- 3 it's the intent of Cochise County and was not a move to
- 4 put the City of Sierra Vista into a district with
- 5 southeastern Tucson but was to leave the entirety of the
- 6 remainder of Cochise County intact within a Legislative
- 7 District. This also accomplishes that as well.
- 8 COMMISSIONER ELDER: I would move the
- 9 question.
- 10 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Any further discussion?
- 11 If not, roll call.
- 12 Ms. Minkoff?
- 13 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: "Aye."
- 14 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Elder?
- 15 COMMISSIONER ELDER: "Aye."
- 16 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork?
- 17 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: "Aye."
- 18 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Chair votes "Aye."
- 19 Motion carries four to nothing and is so
- 20 ordered.
- 21 Those, ladies and gentlemen, then, are the
- 22 additional traps and population adjustments that need to
- 23 be made.
- 24 Are there other items under Item II we
- 25 need to consider at this time?

- If not, we will move to Item III which is
- 2 certification of the final Congressional and Legislative
- 3 Districts to the Secretary of State.
- 4 Ms. Hauser, do you have a specific motion
- 5 relative to Item III?
- 6 MS. HAUSER: Mr. Chairman, I prepared the
- 7 necessary documents, and we'll circulate them for
- 8 signature based on a vote that would be taken at this
- 9 time to certify the Congressional -- excuse me, certify
- 10 the Legislative Districts based on the changes made here
- 11 today.
- 12 I'm thinking of a short -- I'm trying to
- 13 think of a shorthand way to describe those, just based
- 14 on the changes made in this particular meeting. And
- 15 because of the potential for a change in the
- 16 Congressional, if there had been a desire to reconsider
- 17 anything else, we're having you issue new certifications
- 18 for Congressional as well so they're issued on the same
- 19 day.
- 20 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Very well.
- 21 The Chair would entertain an affirmative
- 22 motion to certify to the Secretary of State
- 23 Congressional and Legislative Districts including
- 24 changes made today at the November 9th meeting.
- 25 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Mr. Chairman, I would

1	so move.			
2	CHAIRMAN LYNN: It's been moved.			
3	Is there a second?			
4	COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I'll second.			
5	CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you, Ms. Minkoff.			
6	Discussion?			
7	COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Mr. Chairman.			
8	CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork.			
9	COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: At our previous			
10	meeting we added to the motion authorization for our			
11	counsel to attach the appropriate legal descriptions to			
12	the certifications. I assume we would do that again,			
13	Lisa, that is you will not have those legal descriptions			
14	prepared before circulating the form of the			
15	certification, will you?			
16	MS. HAUSER: That's correct.			
17	CHAIRMAN LYNN: Without objection, we'll			
18	add that to the motion.			
19	Further discussion?			
20	If not, roll call.			
21	Ms. Minkoff?			
22	COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: "Aye."			
23	CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Elder?			

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork?

COMMISSIONER ELDER: "Aye."

24

25

1	COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: "Aye."				
2	CHAIRMAN LYNN: Chair votes "Aye."				
3	Motion carries four-zero and it is so				
4	order.				
5	MR. RIVERA: Mr. Chairman, maybe discuss				
6	how to pass them around, how practically to pass them				
7	around?				
8	What, going to the door, as Lisa is going				
9	to mail to Traci down in Tucson for Commissioner Elder				
10	and Commissioner Lynn's signature; Commissioner Elder,				
11	what we would like to do is make arrangements with you				
12	and Traci in the Tucson office, 792-7836, to arrange				
13	when you can sign it either on Sunday or Monday. And				
14	then we'll mail them to Commissioner Hall who will then				
15	Federal Express them to Phoenix where we can have				
16	Commissioner Minkoff and Commissioner Huntwork sign on				
17	Monday or Tuesday, possibly Wednesday.				
18	MS. HAUSER: Later than that, Jose.				
19	MR. RIVERA: Possibly Tuesday, probably				
20	Wednesday.				

- 21 MS. MINKOFF: Notify us where to sign?
- MR. RIVERA: Or get them to you where you
- 23 can sign.
- 24 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: We don't do
- 25 anything until it gets to you?

1 MR. RIVERA: The	only	thing,	if
-------------------	------	--------	----

- 2 Commissioner Elder can get hold of Traci.
- 3 MS. HAUSER: He'll get hold of Traci.
- 4 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Let me give you my
- 5 home number.
- 6 MS. HAUSER: Let me give you my home
- 7 number.
- 8 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I'll be working tomorrow.
- 9 COMMISSIONER ELDER: I'm working Monday.
- 10 If Jose is at the game, let's relay it Monday.
- 11 MR. RIVERA: I know it's out of the
- 12 agenda, but is Commissioner Elder coming to the
- 13 tailgate?
- 14 COMMISSIONER ELDER: I'm going to try.
- 15 I'll get together with where it is.
- 16 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: And wear a maroon
- 17 and gold Jersey.
- 18 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ouch.
- 19 What I'd suggest, I don't know if this has
- 20 been communicated to Traci, I will be working, and
- 21 Traci, Traci's office and mine are in the same building.
- 22 I was going to sign Monday at my office. If that's in
- 23 any way convenient for you to stop by on Monday at One
- 24 South Church, the documents will be there for your
- 25 signature.

- 1 COMMISSIONER ELDER: That will work out
- 2 fine. I have meetings down there also.
- 3 Okay with you?
- 4 MS. RICCITELLO: That's perfect with me.
- 5 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Come in and make sure we
- 6 get them signed.
- 7 MS. RICCITELLO: Sounds great.
- 8 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Anything else on the
- 9 certification?
- 10 MR. RIVERA: I don't think so.
- Once we get it back to Lisa, she will take
- 12 care of getting it together with the proper paperwork
- 13 and getting it taken care of.
- 14 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Anything else?
- 15 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I'd make a request
- 16 when they finally are certified, the attorneys e-mail us
- 17 and let us know when it's a done deal.
- 18 MS. HAUSER: We'll do that. And you'll
- 19 also receive your own personal copy for your records.
- 20 MR. RIVERA: To be placed on your
- 21 Etch-A-Sketch.
- 22 (Laughter.)
- 23 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Is it having any
- 24 impact on the schedule with the Justice Department?
- 25 MS. HAUSER: No. We've been moving

- 1 forward with the preclearance submission.
- 2 The only reason you have to have it
- 3 certified and official is prior to submitting to DOJ.
- 4 We'll be fine there.
- 5 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Okay.
- 6 I'd also ask as things happen during that
- 7 that happen you feel is important for us to know, you
- 8 keep the lines of communication open.
- 9 MR. RIVERA: We'll do that also.
- 10 CHAIRMAN LYNN: For anyone's information,
- 11 I plan to be in Phoenix Friday of next week. If there
- 12 is anything you need me to do, I'll be happy to do that.
- 13 I will be in the Phoenix area on Friday.
- 14 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Lucky for you.
- 15 COMMISSIONER ELDER: You are assuming, of
- 16 course, somebody needs you.
- 17 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I am making that
- 18 assumption, obviously erroneously.
- MR. RIVERA: We'll always need you,
- 20 Mr. Chairman.
- 21 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Some of you more than
- 22 others.
- I don't see anything else on the agenda we
- 24 need to accomplish.
- 25 Have yourselves a wonderful weekend.

1	We'll adjourn this meeting of the
2	Independent Redistricting Commission.
3	(Whereupon, the hearing concluded at
4	approximately 5:03 p.m.)
5	
6	* * *
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

25

1	
2	STATE OF ARIZONA )
3	) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA )
4	
5	
6	BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing hearing was
7	taken before me, LISA A. NANCE, RPR, CCR, Certified
8	Court Reporter in and for the State of Arizona,
9	Certificate Number 50349; that the proceedings were
10	taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to
11	typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing 27
12	pages constitute a true and accurate transcript of all
13	proceedings had upon the taking of said hearing, all
14	done to the best of my ability.
15	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am in no way
16	related to any of the parties hereto, nor am I in any
17	way interested in the outcome hereof.
18	DATED at Phoenix, Arizona, this 4th day of
19	December, 2001.
20	
21	
22	LISA A. NANCE, RPR Certified Court Reporter
23	Certificate Number 50349
24	
25	