1	STATE OF A	ARIZONA
2	ARIZONA INDEPENDENT REI	DISTRICTING COMMISSION
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	REPORTER'S TRANSCR	IPT OF PROCEEDINGS
10		
11		
12	PUBLIC S	SESSION
13	Phoenix,	Arizona
14	August 10 8:30 a	0, 2001
15	0:30 6	1.11.
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	ARIZONA INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING	LISA A. NANCE, RPR, CCR Certified Court Reporter
25	COMMISSION	Certificate No. 50349

1	THE STATE OF ARIZONA INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING
2	COMMISSION convened in Public Session on August 10,
3	2001, at 8:30 o'clock a.m., at the Pointe South Mountain
4	Resort, Conference Rooms Estrella and Flagstaff, 7777
5	South Pointe Parkway, Phoenix, Arizona, 85044, in the
6	presence of:
7	
8	APPEARANCES:
9	
10	CHAIRMAN STEVEN W. LYNN
11	COMMISSIONER JAMES R. HUNTWORK
12	COMMISSIONER JOSHUA M. HALL
13	COMMISSIONER ANDI MINKOFF
14	COMMISSIONER DANIEL R. ELDER
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE
25	Phoenix, Arizona

1	
2	APPEARANCES (CONT'D):
3	ADOLFO ECHEVESTE, Executive Director
4	AMY REZZONICO, Press Information Officer
5	CINDY LE, Administrative Assistant
6	LISA T. HAUSER, Commission Counsel
7	JOSE de JESUS RIVERA, Commission Counsel
8	LISA A. NANCE, RPR, CCR, Court Reporter
9	TIM JOHNSON, MC, Computer Consultant
10	DR. ALAN HESLOP, NDC, Consultant
11	DR. FLORENCE ADAMS, NDC, Consultant
12	DOUG JOHNSON, NDC, Consultant
13	CHRISTIANA DOMINGUEZ, NDC, Consultant
14	MARGUERITE MARY LEONI, NDC Counsel
15	CHRIS HUTCHISON, NDC, Support Staff
16	IAN RUDGE, NDC, Support Staff
17	MARION PORCH, NDC, Support Staff
18	
19	AGENDA DESIGNATED SPEAKERS:
20	DR. ALAN HESLOP
21	DR. FLORENCE ADAMS
22	DOUG JOHNSON
23	CHRIS HUTCHISON
24	ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE
25	Phoenix, Arizona

1	
2	SPEAKERS FROM CALL TO THE PUBLIC:
3	JOHN MILLS
4	KATHY HANCOCK
5	JIM HARTDEGEN
6	ANDREA GONZALES
7	FRANK SEANEZ
8	PETER MORAGA
9	ED CASPER
10	SUPERVISOR MARY ROSE Willcox
11	LT. GOVERNOR RICHARD NARCIA
12	MAYOR RON DRAKE
13	FRANK SEANEZ
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE
25	Phoenix, Arizona

Public Session 1 Phoenix, Arizona 2 August 10, 2001 8:30 o'clock a.m. 3 4 5 PROCEEDINGS 6 7 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I'll call the Independent 8 Redistricting Commission into session. I'd indicate all 9 Commissioners are present as well counsel, all 10 consultants, and lead staff. 11 The first agenda item, as always, in our 12 meetings, is public comment. 13 This is the time for consideration and 14 comments and complaints from the public. Those wishing 15 to address the Commission shall request permission in 16 advance by filling out a speaker slip, yellow speaker 17 slip, in advance. If you've not done so, staff will get 18 you one. Action taken as a result of public comment 19 will be limited to directing staff to study the matter or rescheduling the matter for further consideration and 20 21 decision at a later time. First is Ron Drake, Mayor of Avondale, in 22 the Southwest Valley. 23 24 Mayor Drake. ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 MAYOR DRAKE:x, IAappreciate you having me

this morning. I have a letter I'd like to distribute, 1 if I may. On behalf of various jurisdictions and 2 3 individuals, including Avondale, Buckeye, Goodyear, 4 Litchfield Park, Tolleson, County Supervisor Mary Rose 5 Willcox, Supervisor Janice Brewer, Congressman Bob 6 Stump, and Bob Pastor, we'd like to request a Southwest 7 Valley meeting be held consistent with the previous 8 conversations on June 26.

9 I would request the Independent 10 Redistricting Commission does add this to the list of 11 meetings that has been currently released. The Southwest Valley was not on that list. But on the 26th 12 13 it was stated by then Executive Director Enrique Ochoa, 14 IRC Director, and Commissioner Andrea Minkoff, at the 15 Avondale meeting, at which there more than a hundred 16 persons that turned out, more than the average of 17 between 50 to 80 at other meetings the Commission has 18 held. It was a good turnout, I think, due to the ease of access to the location, I think the quality of the 19 20 location, and the interest that the people in the Southwest Valley have about this issue. 21 22 We do have different issues than what the 23 Northwest valley has versus the Southwest valley. And 24 one of the biggest reasons is we have a very divergent ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 Phoenix, Arizona population.

1	I understand that the Minority Coalition
2	for Fair Redistricting is also making this request of
3	you.
4	I would respectfully request that you
5	reconsider the list of meetings that you are having and
6	make an addition for the Southwest Valley at the
7	Estrella Mountain Community College at some time in the
8	near future so we can talk about the redistricting plan.
9	I believe that's consistent with Prop 106, the US Voting
10	Rights Act, and the Arizona and United States
11	Constitution.
12	Thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you, Mr. Mayor.
14	The next speaker is Pete Moraga, partner
15	with GSSC.
16	MR. MORAGA: My name is Peter Moraga
17	representing Willow Historic District.
18	I come bearing gifts. I'll hand them out
19	as soon as I'm done.
20	I'm a private citizen that moved into the
21	Historic District about three years ago and have been
22	watching the mounting creation.
23	As we look at the district, we thank you.
24	You've done a good job in certain respects. There are ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE
25	small minor adjustmentsewexthinkzcan be made, and we've

2 just a second. The Historic Districts, like you know, are 3 4 like interest groups. Conversations have taken place already. I won't create a dissertation recreating that. 5 6 I do think it makes more sense for those 7 districts to be together so they get represented and the 8 interests and concern of the district get represented 9 effectively with small adjustment, and I'll hand these 10 to the Commission now. 11 You'll see we sketched them out. They're pretty easy to follow. 12 Also, for information, I'm submitting 13 14 this, which just outlines what the current Historic 15 Districts are. And this is the map that will show what 16 adjustments we recommend, sir. 17 Thank you for your time. 18 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you, Mr. Moraga. 19 The next speaker is Frank Seanez, an 20 attorney representing the Navajo Nation. 21 Mr. Seanez. MR. SEANEZ: Good morning, Chairman Lynn, 22 23 Commissioners. The Navajo Nation would like to defer 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 comment until followingethe, comments on the Navajo

done some work for you already. And you'll see it in

1	Nation.
2	Thank you.
3	CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you, Mr. Seanez.
4	The next speaker is Ed Casper representing
5	himself.
6	Mr. Casper.
7	MR. CASPER: Good morning. Thank you.
8	I just wanted to echo the point the of
9	gentleman that was asking you about to keep the Historic
10	Districts together. That's really the only request I
11	have of you. I won't take a lot of time. The only
12	other thing, I'm an average Joe citizen. I'm very
13	impressed by the whole thing. I think you are doing a
14	wonderful job. I want to thank you.
15	I came down yesterday to see what the
16	process was all about. I see all the compromises you
17	have to make. You are doing a heck of a job. I want to
18	thank you.
19	CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you, Mr. Casper.
20	The next speaker, than during the speaker
21	I have this session for public comment, if there are
22	others, please raise your hand, we'll get them to me,
23	we'll certainly take comments, the than during the
24	speaker I have is Supervisor Mary Rose Willcox, ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE
25	Supervisor for Districte5,xMaricopaaCounty.

1 Supervisor Willcox. 2 SUPERVISOR Willcox: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 3 4 I'd like to read a statement from the 5 Minority Coalition on Fair Redistricting. I'm joined by some of the members. There are a whole lot of others 6 7 that couldn't be here. 8 After reviewing the preliminary drafts of 9 Congressional and Legislative maps, the Minority 10 Coalition for Fair Redistricting strongly commends the 11 Arizona Independent Redistricting Commission and the work of their consultants, National Demographics 12 13 Corporation. 14 Section 2 of the Voter Rights Act of 1965 15 protects voters from any practice that deprives them of 16 their right to vote based on race, color, or language 17 minority. The Coalition is pleased that minority 18 population growth and recommended communities of interest AURs have been taken into consideration. 19 20 While it was evident in discussions at 21 your meeting yesterday that these maps will most likely 22 be changed, we would like to thank you for listening to 23 our presentations and following the guidelines of the Voting Rights Act. As a Coalition, we believe we can 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 support the preliminaryeCongressional map drafted by the

NDC, without any major changes. As the Voting Rights
 Act supersedes any state law, we ask that the Commission
 keep both majority-minority Congressional Districts
 intact; any vital changes should be made around them.
 Although modifications may be necessary, we have no
 qualms with suing should changes be made at the expense
 of the minority community.

8 On Legislative Districts, although we are 9 still studying the preliminary Legislative map, at first 10 glance, we believe it largely complies with the Voting 11 Rights Act as it takes into account communities of interest AURs and the establishment of majority-minority 12 districts. While the map is a good start, adjustment 13 14 requests will be coming from communities in Pima County 15 and other parts of Southern Arizona. Should these 16 changes be made, we believe we can support the current 17 Legislative map. Should all the districts become 18 reconfigured, we have no reservations with taking this 19 to court. 20 We recognize that competitiveness is an issue in Proposition 106. However, compliance with the 21

22 Voting Rights Act and adhering to communities of 23 interest preempts this state law; this is made clear in 24 the wording of the proposition. Any effort to dilute ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE

25 the minority districtsoorianythingnelse should split our

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

communities and we are urging changes be made outside of
areas covered by this federal mandate.
The challenge to the Commission and your
consultants will now be to achieve competitiveness
without damaging our communities. We believe the
preliminary maps drawn by the consultants could easily
be the basis for any lawsuit. As neither the
Congressional nor Legislative maps are final, the
Coalition is confident that each member of the

10 Commission will continue to take our voice under

11 advisement.

12 We're very pleased with the work thus far 13 and hope to stay an active member. 14 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Supervisor, I'd like to 15 remind you, if you have a written copy of your remarks, 16 we'd appreciate having them. 17 SUPERVISOR Willcox: There's one minor change. I'll submit it as soon as I make that. 18 19 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I know we're scheduled to have a presentation from the Gila River community. I 20 21 don't know that they're present yet. Without objection, I'd like to move into our next session. We would take 22 23 them when and if representatives of the Gila River Indian Community join us, and we'll accommodate them 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 since it was a specialoarrangementnfor them to come to

this meeting. Without objection we'll do that. I'd 1 2 also like point out to the Commissioners you've been 3 handed this morning a memorandum, the subject of which 4 is our agenda tomorrow. I'd also like to state 5 publicly, for the record, that we are considering 6 noticing continuation of this meeting for Sunday. 7 Again, that's a precautionary measure because we need to 8 do a significant amount of work both today and tomorrow 9 in order to complete our task this weekend. Should it 10 be necessary to finish up on Sunday, we want to be sure 11 we've properly noticed that date as a meeting date. Consider we may be here until Sunday. We need not be if 12 13 we get everything done by tomorrow. 14 Lastly, for those that were not with us 15 yesterday, I would like to state again that this process 16 is not unlike a journey cross-country in a car with a 17 number of people. And the phrase often is uttered in 18 such a long journey "Are we there yet?" and "When are we going to be there?" And this process is not only 19 lengthy but quite complex. Those with us yesterday 20 21 understand the maps you see on the boards are certainly 22 not final. They say "draft" and they mean "draft." 23 And, in fact, there were certainly some long discussions 24 yesterday about possible changes to those drafts. ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 When wehareicompletedawith this phase of

1 our map development, those maps will be taken around the state for public comment. But those maps will not be 2 finished or final as well. We will not be there yet. 3 4 As a result of input from that round of public hearings, as well as further refinements and analysis by staff and 5 6 Commissioners, there will be additional adjustments to 7 those drafts before final adoption by the Commission 8 sometime in late September or early October. 9 Please understand the journey is complex, 10 goes through a number of iterations and changes as we go 11 forward, and to focus on any one feature, other than to give us the benefit of your wisdom other than how that 12 feature could be improved or changed, would be 13 14 premature. 15 Maps will be final when all features are 16 in place, all testing is done, and we're confident those 17 maps are the best we can do and they're then approved 18 for submission for Department of Justice. That trip continues. We're still on the 19 20 journey. With that said, I'd like to offer any 21 22 member of the Commission to make a similar statement or 23 statement based on things they think you should know. If not, let us move back into the 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 consideration of Congressionalrmapping.

1	We will turn to NDC for an update on
2	direction given them yesterday and to see the work
3	product of, I take it, a fairly long evening. We thank
4	them very much for their hard work.
5	COMMISSIONER ELDER: Mr. Chairman.
6	CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Elder.
7	COMMISSIONER ELDER: A comment.
8	We had a representative ask for an
9	additional site during our travels around the state
10	coming up. I wanted to make sure the public understand
11	and is cognizant we're not going back as many times to
12	the same place. Sometimes we're going to where we can
13	spread the context into another area of the state. A
14	example, I don't know that we're going to Globe or
15	Florence. We heard the Globe meeting area would really
16	like to have further input. It's difficult to get to
17	that site. We'll try to make every effort to get back
18	to areas we can and take requests under advisement.
19	I did want to make clear we're trying to
20	go to other areas within the state to make sure we've
21	gotten as much input and coverage of the state during
22	the public outreach process.
23	CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you, Mr. Elder.
24	Dr. Heslop. ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE
25	DR. HESLOP:ixMr.rChairman, members of the

Commission, thank you. It was a long evening. I think 1 that most of the members of the NDC team had two hours' 2 sleep. Chris Hutchison did not. In fact, I don't think 3 4 he's had two hours' sleep in the than during the 48 5 hours. But good work was done. And we are here to 6 present it this morning. 7 I'm going to call on Chris later to do the 8 presentation since he has done the bulk of the work. 9 Let's move on to the slide. 10 There's a list of instructions that we 11 received. These are the items that we worked on than 12 during the evening. We think that we have done all of 13 14 the things that we were instructed to do. We have a 15 copy of the transcript and have done our best to 16 interpret those instructions, but here's, at any rate, 17 what we worked on. And here, ladies and gentlemen, is 18 the major product of our work. 19 Here is the new plan. The initials NDC are beginning to erode 20 from this draft plan and the initials IRC are beginning 21 22 to take form, or at least that is our hope. 23 Chris, why don't you speak to the plan and tell how it has developed and why. 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 MR. HUTCHISON: AYouoobviously can't see

every area of the state. Also, afterward, we can zoom
 in and use Maptitude to go in and show the Commissioners
 any area you wish.

4 As you can see, we prepared the Northern 5 Arizona District according to the Northern Arizona 6 proposals showed than during the night. Step one, the 7 Northern Arizona District, Southeastern central borders 8 include all of Gila, Graham, and the Greenlee borders, 9 divide Maricopa and divide Fort McDowell, and Mohave and 10 east the Verde Valley, unites Verde Valley, Flagstaff, 11 and Prescott also in the northern district. Yavapai moves west. Yavapai moves west. Yavapai west, Dewey, 12 Humboldt, and Bagdad. I'll move back so you can see 13 14 that graphically.

15 Along the east there you see the foot of 16 Yavapai. That's where the Verde River goes north and 17 then west, south of Dewey-Humboldt and Bagdad. In terms 18 of Mohave, it is north of Lake Havasu and north of the Colorado River Indian Reservation. It does stay south 19 of Kingman, however, and does not go into Kingman at 20 all. There is no city split in Mohave there. It's 21 22 completely contained, unincorporated, the longest, that 23 line is.

24Goals established that were met, it is a<br/>ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE25completely rural CongressionalrDistrict, as was the

issue driving its creation. It does not reach into 1 Maricopa County or Pima County. In fact, it even stays 2 3 out of suburban areas in Maricopa County. In southern 4 Yavapai it unites the tribal areas of Southern Yavapai, 5 Yavapai, San Carlos, the White Mountain Apache, 6 Hualapai, Havasupai. 7 The Hopi Nation is another issue in our 8 thoughts. In the map you saw previously they were 9 included. However, as we mentioned yesterday, they can 10 be included or excluded depending on the Commission's 11 instruction. It's just a matter of how far to include or exclude them from another area. 12 13 This does unite the Eastern Arizona 14 communities, including the entire Eastern Arizona AUR, 15 all of Gila, Graham, Gila, Southern Graham, Gila, south 16 of Eastern, unites the entire Southern Grand Canyon in 17 one strip. 18 You can see graphically, it united more than the Grand Canyon area which is hatched on the 19 20 screen. And other borders are Census places, cities, towns, and designated places. 21 22 The second instruction we received was to attempt to include the area of the University and Tucson 23 into District G, the southwestern district. We were 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 able to achieve that.hoYou'llAseeothe black line was the

draft plan line. And the new line, or amended line, 1 follows with the shading, the brown shading there, goes 2 3 around the University, taking in the University. We did 4 nothing around the entire area, as can you tell. We did 5 not take in all the surrounding community around University. It was a fair amount of population. We 6 7 felt there were some significant questions as to 8 possibly voting rights concerns if you tried including a 9 lot more significant area than that. But we were able 10 to achieve it, and the southwestern district is still a 11 majority Hispanic district. Down in Santa Cruz County, to help with 12 this, we divided it according to the same boundaries as 13 14 it was divided in the legislative plan which I realized 15 we have not addressed yet. It does track along one 16 Census tract, the eastern part of Santa Cruz county 17 there, now in District H, and all of Cochise, Eastern 18 Tucson, and North Eastern Tucson. It also does unite the I-19 corridor, 19 still keeps Green Valley to the east rather than the 20 21 west. 22 Highlighting again. 23 DR. ADAMS: The University area, it reflects a lot of citizen input the Commission received 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 from the Tohono areaPandnTucsoniarea. We failed to

mention before, it reunited Sahuarita, which was divided 1 along the black line there. I realize we reunited that. 2 3 It's one of the few incorporated areas on the map. 4 Green Valley is not incorporated east of Sahuarita. 5 It's reunited to reflect that. It's reunited to reflect 6 Padagonia and other areas to the east. 7 MR. HUTCHISON: Changes in District G, 8 Hispanic, total population is 51.27 to 50.97, drops 9 three points of a percent. Voting age drops a little 10 more than that, roughly. What is that, 4.44 percent. 11 Total minority goes down even more slightly, six one-hundredths of a percent. And voting age is little 12 13 more than that. 14 So just to summarize, Hispanic percentage 15 and total minority voting age both stay above 50 percent 16 and change. Eliminate one city split, Sahuarita, the 17 total county, it splits an additional county. Split six 18 counties now. Split Santa Cruz, Pima, Pinal, Mohave, Yavapai, and Maricopa County. 19 20 Again, to see the area shifted. District H, impact on that, since moving 21 22 things, District H to D and G to H, Hispanic goes up 23 slightly, about three-tenths of a percent. Voting age is slightly less than that. Total minority tracts, five 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE one-hundreds of a percent.x, Arizona 25

1 Here is a map of Yavapai County. You can 2 see the Gila River comes up from Maricopa right through 3 here, tracks the Gila River to the Verde Valley. 4 When working with cities and communities 5 here, it tracks west along Census tracts beneath 6 Dewey-Humboldt and west along Humboldt, west and is 7 united south into Maricopa County. 8 Again, Yavapai County, unites Prescott, 9 Verde Valley, Sedona, and the Flagstaff, Grand Canyon 10 areas to the north. 11 Here's a blowup of Maricopa County. You probably couldn't see it very well. I couldn't on the 12 13 other map. 14 You can see, you can see, okay, let's 15 start from the west and move to the east. 16 The old District A, if you remember, went 17 all the way up and included Mohave County, came over to 18 Northern Coconino to take in the Hopi Reservation, also included La Paz. In the district line, it was the black 19 line coming down and around. Now we were able to do 20 some fairly significant work in Maricopa. We were able 21 22 to completely unite Glendale into one district. Before it was in three. We, instead of having district B, 23 which used to extend all the way around -- B essentially 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 just moves east a little, inowAstaysaentirely within the

1 City of Phoenix rather than going into Glendale. Areas 2 to the north now are split among two different districts 3 to account for growth areas not united in one district. 4 We did receive some input from the Commission on that. 5 Now, District E and A share growth areas 6 in the northern portion of Maricopa. 7 Another significant change, in district D, 8 the South Mountain area, we received input to remove the 9 portion of Glendale, see what happened when we remove a 10 portion of Glendale, this right here, which totaled, if 11 I recall, 58,000 persons, roughly, and add in Ahwatukee which had been split previously under the draft plan. 12 13 I'd report back Ahwatukee now is completely whole within 14 this district. 15 I'll talk about changes in terms of 16 percentages in a moment, but you do, you now no longer 17 split Ahwatukee and you do not have, as Mr. Huntwork 18 said, you don't have a salamander any longer. District E now includes Tempe whole as 19 20 before. Chandler is now split on the plan, is split on Dobson Road where they requested to be split, if they 21 22 needed to be split, and the western portion of Mesa 23 totals roughly 90,000 people, a little less, a little 24 more, they are split into this district. However Mesa, ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 the remaining portionsoofiMesardooconstitute roughly

300,000 persons, so they do constitute a fairly 1 significant percentage of that district to the east. 2 3 Continuing with E, it takes in the Arcadia 4 area south of Paradise Valley, all of Paradise Valley, 5 all Scottsdale, all of Cave Creek, and moves east to 6 Fountain Hills, the unincorporated area of Rio Verde, 7 and the unincorporated areas of Phoenix to an ideal 8 district size. 9 District F, the rest of Mesa, Chandler, 10 Sun Lakes, Queen Creek, Sun Lakes, Gold Canyon, Queen 11 Creek, and the line is State Highway 79. And again, this area out here goes into 12 the northern district. The Salt River and Fort McDowell 13 14 Reservations are included, and then tracks along the 15 Verde River north into Yavapai. Out here, the northern 16 portions of Yavapai have changed according to the 17 Commission's modifications. It unites Glendale. 18 District B is entirely in the City of Phoenix. And we're going to speak to other issues in a second. 19 20 The impact, speaking to that, it does combine Ahwatukee, South Mountain, as a Hispanic area. 21 22 You can see the impact of the percentages. It drops 23 roughly four-and-a-half percent, a little more, in total population, drops it to roughly five percent, or close 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 to it, on voting agePpopulation, zbut does allow for

unification of the City of Glendale. It does drop the 1 Hispanic within the district, the total minority 2 3 percentages, by about five percent. Again, there, you 4 can see the map. 5 These are district registration figures. 6 I aggregated these this morning. You can see the 7 asterisk next to district C. I'll explain that. 8 District C includes Gila County whole. The data received, issues in it are noted on the spread 9 10 sheet received with registration figures. 11 Gila County only contained identifiers of Republican voters, did not include only voters shown 12 with registration figures, 10,198 Republicans. The 13 14 correct figures, if you look at the Secretary of State, 15 I have them. I looked at the corrected figures, and 16 there are roughly 16,000 Democrats, 10,000 Republicans, 17 not registered. So on the spread sheet, 10,000 voters, 18 and so on, something which easily factors in as Gila is counted as a whole. We do have the raw numbers for how 19 many voters are counted in each category. 20 21 I'll throw the map back up there. DR. HESLOP: That's the end of the 22 23 presentation, Mr. Chairman, Members of the Commission. We'd be glad to take questions. 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 CHAIRMANOLYNN: AComments or questions for

1	the consultants?
2	Mr. Huntwork and then Ms. Minkoff.
3	COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: The question I
4	asked during the night and wanted to get advice on was
5	if you just looked at the Phoenix Metropolitan area, and
6	recognize that we needed to add .2 from somewhere, of
7	the .2 addition, where would the ideal place to add that
8	be from? It appears to me that all you have done is
9	create a Northern Arizona District as directed and then
10	by default assign whatever was left over to western
11	Phoenix and adjust accordingly. I'd like to ask the
12	question again, if you don't do that, what is your
13	advice regarding the best way to add the Phoenix area?
14	DR. HESLOP: That can be answered in a
15	number of ways. We are happy with this particular
16	design. These are a very good design. It works very
17	good in the context of the overall plan. Obviously
18	Phoenix can be designed in other ways. This is the way
19	we have it tested in this plan.
20	MR. HUTCHISON: Commissioner, I'll speak
21	to the specific population figures.
22	If you recall, I went through a list
23	yesterday of populated areas around the Phoenix
24	Metropolitan area. We feel the best method is not to ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE
25	pick one area to bearhtheibruntiofnthe 125,000 persons

1	Maricopa County needs. If you see what we've done here,
2	the Apache Junction, Gold Canyon areas, which worked out
3	with the Northern Arizona Congressional District in this
4	case, can be modified, of course, the point is to coming
5	up both sides. By splitting it up, you don't have as
6	much impact on various areas. If you took all 125,000
7	persons from Pinal County, you would have to include
8	Casa Grande in some form or another. This method
9	achieves a lot of our AURs and it doesn't split any
10	cities in trying to do that.
11	COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: The representative
12	from Casa Grande last night indicated including Casa
13	Grande would not be the worst thing that would happen.
14	CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork, if you'd
15	talk into the microphone. We can't hear you.
16	COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: The representative
17	from Casa Grande indicated being included with Maricopa
18	County was the lesser of two evils, as he put it. Why
19	not have you put it as a consideration. You indicated
20	not putting Casa Grande. You are thinking of something
21	I do not know.
22	MR. HUTCHISON: I believe I'm not an
23	attorney.
24	DR. HESLOP: Why don't I respond to that. ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE
25	I thinkhthatxtherrepresentative from Casa

Grande last evening made a statement that I personally 1 had not heard before. What we did have in statements 2 from representatives of Casa Grande was the point keep 3 4 the plan as it does. That was the primary objective of 5 Casa Grande. 6 COMMISSIONER HALL: If you remove Casa 7 Grande, what does it do to the Hispanic AUR? Does it 8 not affect it significantly? 9 MR. HUTCHISON: We believe it does affect 10 it significantly. 11 MS. LEONI: Yes. We believe it would have an impact. If you would like us to test it, we will. 12 It will require invasion of the Gila River Indian 13 14 Reservation. That's important to the total minority 15 population of District G. 16 MR. HUTCHISON: Also a large Hispanic 17 presence in Casa Grande. And it would affect 18 percentages, the presence of the Hispanic community in District G. 19 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I understand. Why 20 21 it would require invasion of the Gila River community? Why would it require invasion of Gila River community? 22 Why not come down and around? 23 MS. LEONI: I was thinking of direct work. 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 You are thinking of working, throughaan arm?

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Yes. 1 2 MR. HUTCHISON: Going south of the Gila River Indian Reservation through? 3 4 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: That would be a 5 way to. I'm making a point. Separate the sheep from 6 the goats. 7 MS. LEONI: I understand. 8 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I do understand 9 the point. 10 MS. LEONI: Casa Grande, if I understand 11 the graphics correct, Chris can give any more details, 12 itself has a significant Hispanic population. CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff. 13 14 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I think you've done 15 an incredible job with the instructions you were given. 16 I like it better. 17 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Into the mike. 18 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: My question is the 19 same question I had yesterday and we didn't have the answer yesterday. I would like an update on where we 20 21 stand now. 22 Except for the issue of competitiveness, I 23 think a lot of these districts do make more sense than the districts we had yesterday. In terms of 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 competitiveness, thePonlyifiguresowe have are

registration figures. While there have been minor 1 changes, there really haven't been dramatic changes, and 2 3 we're left with the same types of districts we had 4 yesterday in terms of party registration with two that 5 might be considered competitive and the other six with 6 very great majorities for one party or another. My 7 question is where do we stand in terms of the 8 competitiveness data that you said you didn't have 9 yesterday, would be forthcoming, and are there adjustments that can be made to these districts to 10 11 achieve more competitiveness without sacrificing some of the other issues we've been dealing with. 12 13 MS. LEONI: On the issue of 14 competitiveness, we have basic data being delivered here 15 today. We had a satisfactory conference call this 16 morning. I don't want to promise an index by this 17 afternoon. By tomorrow morning. 18 Am I accurate on that, Jose? 19 MR. RIVERA: Yes. The hope is that we can do a preliminary of the competitiveness analysis within 20 24 hours and more detailed once so we can finetune 21 22 within a week. The hope is once we get some election 23 data, Tim can start working on it, our expert can start working on it, and we can get something to you within 24 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 hours. And finetuningowould takeoaaweek. I think you

2 morning we can get that to you. COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: With that 3 4 information, what I would like to suggest is we go 5 through this, deal with all other comments we have to 6 deal with, make adjustments if there are adjustments the 7 Commissioners want to make, hold off approving the Draft 8 Congressional map until we have that information, and 9 see if it can be added to what we have already so that 10 when we take this out for public comment, we can address 11 the competitiveness in these districts and maybe even make some adjustments to make them more competitive 12 before we make a draft. I'd like to propose that. 13 14 MS. LEONI: If I can respond to the second 15 part of your question, you asked if it's possible to 16 make adjustments. There are several crucial areas of 17 the state that are getting to a point where I think we 18 are perhaps in danger of impairing one of our Hispanic AURs. In those areas, adjustments for competitiveness 19 may do further damage. So I do want to point that out 20 21 to you. COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I understand that. 22 23 That still gives us six other districts to move around. CHAIRMAN LYNN: Other comments? 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 Mr. Huntwork., Arizona

want something you can look at. Hopefully by tomorrow

 1
 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I have several

 2
 detailed comments on this proposal here. First I want

 3
 to zero in to the Phoenix Metropolitan area.

 4
 First let me say I don't understand why we

 5
 would combine, why we'd take the Hispanic area out of D

6 and combine Ahwatukee. That strikes me as being --7 violating a number of our principles. The Ahwatukee 8 area has much more in common with the southeast valley, 9 and obviously there's -- the area to the north has much 10 more in common with area D. We don't have any 11 statistics or information which would evaluate the total effect of this on competitiveness at this time. And I 12 would strongly suggest we reverse that. It would be 13 14 interesting to see, but in my view in terms of making 15 history setting, reflecting communities of interest, it 16 makes no sense whatsoever.

17 The second thing I really want to focus on 18 is B. For some reason, which I still don't understand, B is still confined. And we have the opportunity here 19 20 to divide the high growth areas, really, at this point, among three other districts. And I think we ought to 21 22 take advantage of that opportunity. There's no reason 23 at all why we can't take some of the population out of parts of B and trade it for everything that goes up to 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 the north. To me thatowould makeomore sense in terms of

keeping populations of these districts even over a 1 2 period of time. COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Can I ask a 3 4 question relating to Mr. Huntwork's last comment? Could you describe for me the current 5 6 northern boundaries of District B? 7 MR. HUTCHISON: Let me put it up on the 8 map for a second. It will just take one second. 9 It starts at Alameda Road, goes east to 10 44th Road, north to Alameda Road, east to Shored Lane, 11 goes to Happy Valley, up to Happy Valley, follows Happy Valley east, tracks up 19th Avenue in the area of Jomax 12 Road. I believe that's the city boundary. In the area 13 14 of Jomax Road going to the east, and then it goes down 15 Cave Creek Road, and east again on Pinnacle Peak, and 16 that would be the northern boundary. 17 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: The eastern 18 boundary --19 MR. HUTCHISON: That's the Scottsdale 20 boundary. 21 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: It seems to me, 22 looking at that, there's some growth that's going to 23 take place there, too. There's lots of areas without any streets in them. And within the next 10 years I'm 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE sure there will be lotseofxstreetsnin there. They may 25

not have potential in other areas. North Pinnacle Peak
 up to the Jomax area, there's lots of development, lots
 of population growth there.

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Finish the tour. 4 5 MR. HUTCHISON: It follows the Scottsdale 6 boundary, western Scottsdale boundary around -- oh, 7 that's the Census Bureau's river bottom. It goes down 8 to the border, still the border of Scottsdale, and here 9 it does not track the border of Scottsdale. It follows 10 Yucca Street, 22nd, Desert Cove, then down 60th to Shea, 11 follows Shea, then south on Tatum, then to Mockingbird. That's all city boundary there. I highlighted the City 12 of Paradise Valley. That's probably the easiest way to 13 14 see it. Then down here is where the Arcadia area is 15 included. 32nd Street going south of Lincoln, the 16 southern border there is Indian School going west. Then 17 north on 15th Avenue, west on Camelback, a fairly good 18 distance. I believe that's the city boundary, Glendale city boundary. 19 COMMISSIONER HALL: Can you zoom back 20 down, please. 21 22 Show me City of Glendale. 23 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Can you get rid of 24 the water? ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 MR. HUTCHISON: ASometimes they show canals

```
1
    as a boundary.
 2
                  City of Glendale. Wrong line.
                  COMMISSIONER HALL: So if I understand
 3
 4
    Mr. Huntwork's comment, he's saying split the growth
 5
    area to the north, in essence trading green for gold, is
 6
    that correct, trade green for gold?
 7
                  MR. HUTCHISON: Or gray for gold.
 8
                  COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Gray for gold.
 9
                  COMMISSIONER HALL: Next question. What
10
    is the issue of Paradise Valley as far as is there a
11
    reason it's in E? Maybe I'm not --
12
                  MR. HUTCHISON: We have an AUR of the City
    of Phoenix. The attempt here, since there's an
13
14
    additional population center, district, completely
15
    within the city, if we could, there are 13,000 people in
16
    Paradise Valley.
17
                  COMMISSIONER HALL: How would you propose
18
    Mr. Huntwork trading gray for gold versus green for
19
    gold?
                  COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Josh, number one,
20
    we're just looking at these for the first time and
21
    there's lots of different ways you could do that. The
22
23
    most simplistic way is take the other off the east side
    of E and move it to the north, wouldn't have to shake
24
                    ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE
25
    very much. The areaPtoethe, northois relatively sparsely
```

populated at this point. But as Andi points out, 1 there's quite a bit of growth area in the north left 2 3 within E. Seems the boundary is interesting, what I 4 really want to think about before I decide whether I 5 think it's really important; and secondly, if we need to 6 do it, how we'd go about it. 7 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I'd like to make a 8 comment. 9 Mr. Elder. 10 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Yes. I, in looking 11 at this, I made the comment yesterday as we were looking at how to do a five-district metropolitan Phoenix area 12 13 concept, that you could put the .2, or whatever the 14 number was, as growth areas on as many of the districts 15 as we could. It appears as though D and B in effect are 16 in held districts, don't have as much potential growth 17 as whatever, green and purple or blue. My sense is to 18 give that potential, I would like to see some sort of an outgrowth area both on D and B, if we possibly could. I 19 don't know where that might follow. Might follow D, 20 looking at Avondale, and D looking to the west, possibly 21 22 there. Should have two districts that should be looked at a little further there. 23 24 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff.

25

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff. ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE COMMISSIONERxMINKOFF:a I think you make a

good point about district D, could add areas looking 1 2 west, Goodyear, Estrella, that area, even Buckeye, which 3 does have growth potential going forward. I don't think 4 the problem is as serious with District B. All we're 5 talking about is 10 years. And there's a lot of areas 6 in District B to fill in. And while 30 years down the 7 line the growth may not be there, in the next 10 years I 8 think there will probably be as much growth in the 9 northern areas of District B. It's in-fill. It will develop before the further north areas that are in A and 10 11 B -- A and E develop. I don't think it's as much of a concern as 12 District B. 13 14 I understand Mr. Huntwork's point about 15 spreading growth among as many districts as we can. I 16 think it's there in district E. 17 If we wanted to add some to district D, we 18 have to go west; but also have to see how that affected some of the other considerations, voting rights 19 20 considerations, and so on, as Ms. Leoni pointed out. There is growth that will take place to the west of D. 21 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I think Avondale 22 23 is growing rapidly right now. There is a lot of growth potential. Look at that area, and we'll see, I think, 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 right in the line of Pgrowth, it rgrowing rapidly right

now. I think that and B are very analogous for growth. 1 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Dr. Adams. 2 3 DR. ADAMS: Commissioner Lynn, members of 4 the Commission, I'll deal with this in area D at this 5 point. We would have concerns about moving further over 6 and how we would compensate for the minority population. 7 If you have some suggestions, we'd be happy to test 8 them. 9 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I'd like to make a couple. 10 Instead of specific microcomments, Chris, if you zoom 11 out with the entire map, there are a couple of areas that in general terms I want to just pose some 12 13 questions. 14 Two, in particular, concern me. I need to 15 understand from the consultants, because all of this is 16 a matter of compensating balances. There is not an 17 opportunity to achieve all the things we'd like to 18 achieve simultaneously without some negative effects on some parts of the state. Two things concern me about 19 20 this map, although generally it's an interesting prospect. The first is that the district A on this map 21 22 unites the west end of the Metropolitan Phoenix area with the river communities on the Colorado River. And 23 to suggest that there is commonality between those two 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 groups of people is anstretch.riButaI understand with

congressional districting, because of the size of the
 district and the number of people that are the target,
 that some large expanses of territory as well as
 differences in community need to be accommodated. It's
 of concern, but I don't know that there is a better way
 to achieve what we're trying to achieve and not have
 that be the case.

8 I think we said yesterday there was, 9 practically speaking, no way to achieve some of the 10 things we were talking about without linking the Phoenix 11 area with, we said, Parker; but it may as well have been 12 any number of the small communities of the Colorado 13 River.

14 The second area that is troublesome, based 15 on continuing testimony that we've heard, is the area of 16 Pinal County. And we have taken some care elsewhere in 17 the state to avoid a situation where counties are split 18 numerous times. There are a number of places where counties have that situation Legislatively and 19 Congressionally. But Chris, do you have the detail in 20 Pinal County to look at? Can we get a little closer? 21 22 In this configuration, first of all, the 23 little appendage, if you will, to the south, I fully understand and personally support. That's Saddlebrooke. 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 As a community of interest, , itrreally does tend to go

1 south to Sun City, Histoso (phonetic), and Avra Valley. We believe we then have three other districts, correct 2 3 me if I'm wrong, represented in Pinal County. 4 If you forget the little appendage to the 5 south, Pinal is split three ways. Again, in terms of 6 achieving other things that we are trying to achieve, it 7 may be impossible to avoid that, but it's of concern. 8 I would like you to take a look at, I 9 understand we have an issue with the Southern Hispanic 10 AUR. I understand that and the significant percentage 11 in Pinal County, in that southern district, may make the issue moot. I'd like you to take a look at it. 12 13 With respect to Phoenix, Chris, if you 14 zoom in on the Phoenix area, if you go to Phoenix area. 15 I share some of Mr. Huntwork's concern with the southern 16 portion of E. I think, it's very clear to me, 17 Ahwatukee, as an example, and as a community, would be 18 far better linked eastward than it would around the mountain and westward. But, again, that may be -- that 19 20 may be possible, given you might be able to do some trading to the east of District D and expand it to the 21 west on the other end. I know it currently mirrors 22 23 Avondale's corporate limits, but there may be some additional communities either -- area unincorporated to 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 the west that may haveomore, of ranoaffinity with the

1 Avondale area than Ahwatukee does with the rest of District D. I'd like you to at least take a look at 2 3 that. 4 To me, I'm intrigued by the map in a 5 number of ways. I think it does a number -- I'm 6 pointing out things I think are problematic. I think it 7 does a number of interesting and positive things in 8 terms of a number of communities of interest, a number 9 of good affinities, maintain commitments we maintained 10 with both AURs and the progression to grid areas we 11 originally set forth. I'd like you to hook at those, and be 12 13 given some time to review them, decide what you might be 14 able to do to tweak the maps in that way. And I 15 understand that you may come back and say it's not 16 possible without doing harm elsewhere. 17 Mr. Huntwork. 18 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: We should switch sides. To do what you suggest, it doesn't seem that 19 20 hard. If you put Ahwatukee back where it was, the Hispanic area back at the top of D, you then have to 21 22 adjust the green district on the left. Presumably that 23 comes further into the growth area. But you could also take it from D. Then you move D further north and 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 further east. You haveeParadisezValley and Arcadia into

B, which make up about 90,000 people in E. We've added 90,000 people. You have to take them out. You could simply move B a little further to the east, add that. I don't know what happens if you add a portion of B, if there's a portion of I 17 to add. That might make just about the right number.

7 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I think there are a number 8 of ways the problem might be solved. I'd like to make 9 options. I didn't necessarily want to dictate one or 10 more. I think what I'd like to accomplish in what I'm 11 saying, I believe in the community of Ahwatukee it has more affinity to communities to the east than around the 12 mountain and to the west. Having said that, in general 13 14 terms, the fact that all of Scottsdale, Paradise Valley, 15 and Tempe are contained in a district, that to me makes 16 some sense, as well as the east district, as well as, 17 quite honestly, the Central Phoenix District makes some 18 sense, District B, to me, as well. So -- again, I understand -- I understand your suggestions. I wasn't 19 20 necessarily suggesting how to solve the problem. 21 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I understand if we 22 did that, did that shift, obviously there are some 23 things I would like to see myself. I guess I'm asking that the consultants take a look at that specific 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 approach. Phoenix, Arizona

1

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Up to a point I 2 3 agree. The only thing that concerns me is taking that 4 northern portion of B, D, what was in D, putting it back 5 in A, because that splits Glendale again. Making the 6 shift, we were able to unite the City of Glendale. If 7 we do have to add to D, rather than doing that, you move 8 west, and there is a connection that could be made 9 between Goodyear, Avondale, Tolleson, those west valley 10 communities, possibly Litchfield Park. I think they 11 mentioned at the meeting had at Estrella they did have a connection, to take that out of A, have some area of the 12 13 northern area to equalize population. 14 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Andi, I couldn't hear 15 what you said about Litchfield Park. 16 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I wouldn't want to 17 redivide Glendale since we just united them. If you 18 took the Ahwatukee area out of D, that's the area we should look to add, rather than move north, move west, 19 Goodyear, Litchfield Park. At the meeting at Estrella, 20 those west valley communities indicated they did work 21 22 together on a lot of things, a lot of regional issues. 23 They have similar issues involving growth, transition from agricultural to more urban development areas. So I 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 think it would be a betterxlinkagenthere. I don't think

that it would impact voting rights issues, since the 1 area you are taking out of D is not an area with heavy 2 3 minority concentrations, minimal minority 4 concentrations. I don't know what the concentrations 5 are moving west. It wouldn't have negative impact. 6 Might have positive impact on that concentration. 7 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall. 8 COMMISSIONER HALL: Thank you, 9 Mr. Chairman. 10 Can we zoom out? 11 I share concerns you alluded to, Mr. Chairman. I think we need to continue to tweak this 12 13 in an effort to accommodate some issues the best we can. 14 I certainly hope for solutions. Meanwhile, I think 15 points made with respect to the metropolitan area are 16 very valid. I recommend the consultants come back with 17 maybe with two, three options and give us an opportunity 18 to maybe to look at some of those. 19 I did want to point out a couple facts important from a positive standpoint. In district C, 20 the total Native American percentage of that district is 21 over 24 percent. I think that is an outstanding job in 22 23 combining as many Native American tribes as possible. Furthermore, I think that that number is higher than any 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 other plan we have seeneorxanyrother alternative that

has been proposed. And certainly with respect to the 1 issue of our communities of interest, that that 2 3 particular district addresses that -- two of those in a 4 very powerful way, the interests of Native American and 5 the interests of rural Arizona. 6 With respect to the other community 7 interest of Hispanics, if you look at Districts D and G, 8 again, as was pointed out, the total Hispanic percentage 9 of D is over 49 percent and total Hispanic percentage of 10 G is nearly 45 percent. Total minority population of D 11 is over 67 percent and total minority population of G is over 61 percent. 12 Again, I think that those are some 13 14 powerful figures in providing an opportunity for those 15 particular communities of interest, and most 16 specifically the Hispanic population, to have a very 17 powerful potential for a very powerful voice in Arizona. 18 Therefore, I would like to make a suggestion, having made those points, Mr. Chairman, that in essence we, as 19 20 a Commission, table this map and instruct our consultants to go and bring back some alternatives 21 22 pursuant to the recommendations that have been made and that this Commission then utilize our time while that is 23 occurring in pursuing and moving to the legislative 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 issues. Phoenix, Arizona

1 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I want to be sure we have, 2 I understand your suggestion, want to be sure we have a full opportunity for all Commissioners to do that. I 3 4 think it a reasonable suggestion. 5 Mr. Elder, Ms. Minkoff. 6 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Yes, Mr. Chairman. 7 Chris, zoom back in on the A, B, D, E 8 area. Maybe come in closer on this area. 9 I guess following the lead given, 10 suggestions, or things, might very well lead us in the 11 right direction, and changes made overnight based on our directions, we took out a piece of area from D to 12 reunite Glendale. I think that is a good approach 13 14 there. If you look at this area right here, and then 15 the consequence of that was to reduce Hispanic and 16 minorities in D. Look at the area here, a fairly heavy 17 concentration, relatively Hispanic area, that could be 18 added into D to bring numbers back to some extent. 19 The other area would be to take the area, 20 these areas here, and reunite some of the west valley communities of Litchfield and, I don't know exactly 21 22 right in here, fairly low Hispanic population at that 23 point. I don't know whether that conflicts with the AURs we had. If that was taken out of this green area, 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 it might then open uphannareaAtozthe north of B where we

1

2 allow that undeveloped area expansion. 3 Look at rotating those around, make sense 4 of the Hispanic population as well as the west valley 5 community amalgamation of the area B to the north. 6 MR. HUTCHISON: That area, not a very 7 Hispanic area there, right here. That's actually within 8 the City of Avondale. The City of Avondale is south and 9 goes all the way around. 10 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff. 11 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I think when we look at District D, it's a district with the largest 12 13 minority population that we have, certainly much more 14 significant than G, which is the other majority-minority 15 district. I think it's pretty safe there also. I'm not 16 sure what areas you are talking about that have enough 17 significant population. I'm not sure there's enough 18 population for what we're trying to achieve. 19 I support Mr. Hall's proposal. It makes a lot of sense. See if there's any finetuning NDC wants 20 to do, that gives NDC time to look at the issue of 21 22 competitiveness, adjustments that can be made that don't 23 negatively impact the issues that can be achieved to get at the issue competitiveness, support what Mr. Hall is 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 suggesting. And I'dPalsoilikertooremind the

could expand into, you know, some undeveloped area to

1 Commissioners we're not going to achieve perfection this 2 weekend. We need to come up with something close enough 3 that the plan works, take it out for public comment, and 4 then it will be readjusted after the public comment 5 period and the second round public comment periods. 6 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork. 7 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I agree. I think 8 we can leave this quickly and get at the other issue. 9 The only thing I wanted to add is the 10 original proposal before D was an excellent proposal, 11 mirrored the Hispanic AUR very well. The testimony in Glendale was not, by any means, unanimous in terms of 12 keeping the city together. There was a lot of testimony 13 14 that stated a natural divide that pretty much reflected 15 that community there. I think that the original 16 configuration of D, switching Ahwatukee and left it back 17 to where they were in the first place, is still a very 18 viable option. CHAIRMAN LYNN: Any other comments at this 19 20 time? What I would propose, then, is that we 21 22 take -- I'd ask everyone's indulgence. When take a break, breaks tend to last longer than they should --23 10, I mean 10. I'll call everyone together after 10, 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 after the break. Phoenix, Arizona

1 We have now representatives of the Gila River Indian Community. We'd like to hear from them 2 3 immediately after the break, finish up any discussion we 4 have in terms of Congressional options we'd like to see 5 from the consultants, and then move immediately into a 6 presentation on the legislative map. 7 Without objection, take a 10, please hold 8 it to 10, a 10-minute break. 9 (Whereupon, a recess was taken.) 10 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ladies and gentlemen, take 11 your seats, please. We'll reconvene. The Commission will stand in session. The 12 record will show all five Commissioners are present. 13 14 We have a presentation from the Gila River 15 Indian Community. 16 I do want to make one or ask one question 17 before we have that presentation. 18 Dr. Heslop, I want to be sure that NDC, as a part of their work for us, is creating a record that 19 will document in sufficient detail the movement from 20 grid to map and then revisions from map to -- from draft 21 22 map to final draft which then will go public. And I want to be sure that is going to be a part of your 23 report to the Commission as we go forward. 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 DR. HESLOP: ixItAiszindeed with creation

for each district for all of these tests we've made 1 notation on the grid estimate involved and will report 2 3 to you on the congressional map that you finally adopt 4 which will have a full record of grid adjustments. 5 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you, Dr. Heslop. 6 If we now may have the representatives of 7 the Gila River Indian Community, with apologies for the 8 delay, Richard Narcia, Lieutenant Governor of that 9 community. 10 Lt. Governor Narcia, thank you being with 11 us today. LT. GOVERNOR NARCIA: Thank you, 12 Mr. Chairman and Members of the Redistricting 13 14 Commission. 15 As was mentioned, my name is Richard 16 Narcia. I'm Lieutenant Governor for the Gila River 17 Indian Community, the largest Indian Community in the 18 Metro Phoenix Community. 19 Thank you for allowing me to come before you and provide general comments with respect to the 20 21 Gila Indian Community with respect to this redistricting 22 exercise. 23 I am hopeful you'll give due consideration to the community's position as you continue with 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 refining your redistricting, mapszand proposals.

1 The community has been taking an ongoing 2 analysis of the proposals, and we're looking to provide 3 you with a more detailed proposal as time permits and 4 before very shortly.

5 The community applauds the mandates of the 6 Commission and appreciates the extremely difficult task 7 you are faced with in creating the Congressional and 8 Legislative Districts that are fair, competitive, and 9 consistent with the principles of the Voting Rights Act. 10 I would like to, this morning, emphasize a 11 few of the principles that the community believes the Commission should consider as you move through this 12 13 process.

14 In a letter provided to you dated August 15 3rd, the community emphasized upholding the concept of 16 community interest, the belief in the importance of 17 combining four Metro tribes in the same Legislative 18 District, similar to the current Legislative District. Comments based on the fact that four independent 19 20 communities, Fort McDowell, Ak-Chin, the Gila River Indian Community, and the Salt River Gila River Indian 21 22 Community, share the same basic cultural, economic, 23 political health, education, and social ties. Further, the Voting Rights Act insures that any district created 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 does not dilute minoritynvotingistrengths. We believe

1

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12 13

14

that keeping the four metro tribes together provides for keeping significant community interest intact. With regard to the most recent maps, and with respect to the redistricting, the community believes the Commission has made some progress in the fact the currently districting proposal incorporates the Tohono O'odham, Gila River Indian Community, and the Ak-Chin Indian Community in the same district. In this regard, the community or the Commission has recognized the communities of interest in combining three Indian communities together. It is our position that in not including the other two areas, metro tribes, the Commission will fall short in recognizing the distinct interests that create the relationship between the four metro area

15 16 tribes. The community also believes that a Legislative 17 District can be drawn that incorporates the four metro 18 tribes while also building upon the current plan proposed by the Commission. It's clear that the Gila 19 20 River Indian community's interest, as defined by 21 community interests, do not parallel that of the City of 22 Casa Grande. That is to say that a refinement to the 23 Commission's current plan could be modified slightly that would accept all -- be acceptable to all interests. 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE With regardito thezCongressional

25

1 Redistricting, we believe the current proposal by the 2 Commission goes a long way in a positive direction in 3 considering communities of interest, combining most of 4 all, the Southern Arizona and Indian communities is a 5 step in the right direction. We applaud the Commission 6 for the work in that regard. 7 I would, as I said, my comments have been 8 pretty general. The community will provide the 9 Commission with a more detailed proposal in the coming 10 weeks, and it is my hope that you will strongly consider 11 the concepts and recommendations provided to you. And I believe that we can all work together to come up with a 12 workable redistricting that benefits all communities and 13 14 the citizens of Arizona. 15 Thank you. 16 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you, Mr. Narcia, 17 very much, for being with us. 18 I would ask as the Gila River Indian communities develop their plan and suggestions, to the 19 20 extent that it is possible, to the extent that there are like or congruent ideas that you would like to share 21 22 with us, that your communication with us speak directly 23 to the plans that are on the table, that is to say as we move through this process, we have preliminary drafts. 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE We will refine thosePdrafts, overzthis meeting period. 25

1

make your comments relative to them, they will be most 2 particularly helpful to us. 3 LT. GOVERNOR NARCIA: We will do that. 4 5 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you very much. 6 I would like to now move to the 7 presentation on the Legislative Draft rough draft map. 8 We will follow a similar procedure with 9 respect to an NDC presentation taking us through the 10 draft map itself. I've asked that we move as rapidly as 11 possible. And again, I want to state this is dynamic both for the public and for the Commission. I'm going 12 13 to try to push the agenda, not for one reason, but I'll 14 try to move us along as rapidly as we can without 15 sacrificing reasonable discussion or input from 16 Commissioners, certainly trying to invite the 17 Commissioners from trying to push back too much, have 18 today be productive, not too long, followed by a long 19 day tomorrow. 20 If we do not have to meet Sunday, have 21 tomorrow be long, try to move the agenda along as 22 forward as possible, I'd ask all of us to keep our 23 comments and attendance sharp, make them as concise and 24 complete as possible. ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 DR. HESLOP:ixWe'llzproceed as speedily as

To the extent you can take a look at those drafts and

```
1
    possible but will go through each of the districts
 2
    briefly.
 3
                   Another note on schedule, Mr. Chairman,
 4
    Members of the Commission, Florence will be returning
 5
    within an hour, hour and a half, with the analysis you
 6
    require on the various points you require on the
 7
     Congressional plan.
 8
                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you.
 9
                   DR. HESLOP: Doug Johnson and Christiana
10
    Dominguez will take us on through the Legislative plan
11
     on a speedy district-by-district dissertation.
12
                   Doug.
                   MR. JOHNSON: Members of the Commission,
13
14
    many of the issues we're going to cover on the
15
    Legislative Districts you are now very familiar with, as
16
    a result of discussing through the state. I'll try to
17
    cover them fairly quickly. Also it's in the legislative
18
    packet, binder, section four.
19
                   Let me start, starting with the Southwest
20
    portion of the state, District Q. This district, we
    followed the instructions to create a Yuma County,
21
22
    entire Yuma County Legislative District. Yuma County,
23
    of course, was a little short, so we go up into the far
    southwestern Maricopa County, I think like 4,000 people,
24
                     ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE
25
    off top of my head, inothat, regionnof the district,
```

about 3,900 in this region of the district up to the 1 Tohono O'odham district line, not into it. This 2 3 district is about 49 percent, almost 50 percent 4 Hispanic. It is 55 percent total minority. Most of the 5 population, as well you might imagine, is centered right around Yuma. This district had very minor changes. 6 7 The grid, what it did, was add the 8 Maricopa County portion in order to get total population 9 equality, to get the total population split by the grid. 10 We also looked at possibly taking Yuma 11 County authority into La Paz, but that would greatly reduce the minority population, drop it below majority. 12 So we decided pick up small population areas outside. 13 14 Going to the next district, district F in 15 your books, the labeling system we have here appears 16 fairly random, is fairly random. With this many 17 districts when drawing, essentially what did, we started 18 where there were specific instructions. 19 Here, there was no conflict, S district, a Hispanic district. It was Native American communities. 20 We worked inside. So labeling will jump around a bit 21 for final maps. We'll prepare more North-South 22 labeling. 23 24 Eastern Maricopa counties very well ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 supported the communityeproposal.onIt does tie in with

our, what I believe is the next district -- no, with our 1 Yavapai district. These two districts are kind of the 2 3 linchpin of this plan. And based on the Commission's 4 directions, the Commission's direction that we attempt 5 to create these districts and see what the result is on the rest of the state. As you can see here, the Eastern 6 7 Arizona County's District and the Yavapai County 8 District that we created, together with the Maricopa 9 areas, we try to isolate from rural districts. We're blending where it drives this. And everything kind of 10 11 drives that. I won't go into all detail, as much as I 12 13 did in an attempt to get through this. 14 The Eastern Arizona Counties are very 15 familiar with this from hearings to keep counties whole, 16 except one piece of the San Carlos Reservation. They 17 are all familiar here with the takes in the southern 18 portions of the Navajo Apache Counties. As requested, different groups requested, it does not cross into 19 Apache lands, but it does cross into distant, semi 20 21 distant regions. The next district is G. This is an area 22 23 you are very familiar with after looking at Congressional District H. It is -- let me put the 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 places on here so youhcaniseeAtheotowns. If there are

1 any towns you want, I'll add them later.

2	This area is very similar to H, doesn't go
3	anywhere near as far to Tucson as H did, does follow the
4	same line as the Santa Cruz County. As proposed in the
5	Congressional plan, it separates Nogales at the I 19
6	area from separated defined communities of interest.
7	And geographically, a set of hills or a mountain range
8	runs through there.
9	Cochise District, as with congressional
10	District H, is a heavily majority non-Hispanic white.
11	And it is unlike H, also a majority population lives in
12	rural. It is a blending area, high growth areas. It is
13	a rural influence district.
14	The next area we focused on was the Tucson
14 15	The next area we focused on was the Tucson area. I think I'm going to need to import this later.
15	area. I think I'm going to need to import this later.
15 16	area. I think I'm going to need to import this later. Give me one second here. Let me put the places on here.
15 16 17	area. I think I'm going to need to import this later. Give me one second here. Let me put the places on here. I'll put the place names on so you can see
15 16 17 18	area. I think I'm going to need to import this later. Give me one second here. Let me put the places on here. I'll put the place names on so you can see what we're looking at.
15 16 17 18 19	<pre>area. I think I'm going to need to import this later. Give me one second here. Let me put the places on here. I'll put the place names on so you can see what we're looking at. Okay. Let me put this on here so it's a</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>area. I think I'm going to need to import this later. Give me one second here. Let me put the places on here. I'll put the place names on so you can see what we're looking at. Okay. Let me put this on here so it's a little clearer.</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>area. I think I'm going to need to import this later. Give me one second here. Let me put the places on here. I'll put the place names on so you can see what we're looking at. Okay. Let me put this on here so it's a little clearer. Okay. There we go.</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>area. I think I'm going to need to import this later. Give me one second here. Let me put the places on here. I'll put the place names on so you can see what we're looking at. Okay. Let me put this on here so it's a little clearer. Okay. There we go. District H. This is a just over 50</pre>

the most districts that would be enclosed within the
 community of shared interests that we've identified as
 a -- in our Hispanic AUR.

4 You have H, which is a majority Hispanic 5 district. It gives up a portion from the grid district, 6 gives up portions and goes into Santa Cruz County in 7 order to keep unified the Tucson District. Some may no 8 the area well.

9 Here's Tucson Air Force Base, areas we
10 were looking at earlier today. And this is obviously
11 entirely within Pima County.

12 One thing with the configuration of the districts, as you'll see as we walk through these, 13 14 Hispanic percentages are just above 50 percent. When 15 looking at different options, it will be a challenge, 16 obviously, to do a lot, any major reconfiguration 17 without any affect on districts with a major Hispanic 18 percentage. 19 Let me go over to district I, which is also in this area. 20 21 District I is, again, just over 50 percent a Hispanic. This one is 59 percent total minority. It 22 is entirely contained within what we define the Hispanic 23 AUR. We also attempted to follow the city borders as 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 much as we could whileomeetingrournother goals. You'll

see Marana gets divided up, really Picture Rocks and 1 other towns, like this is completely outside of it. So 2 3 where we could, we followed the city borders while 4 meeting the other community definitions we have of AURs, 5 and that is defined by the community. 6 So this one takes in part of, I believe 7 it's pronounced Marana, forgive me if I'm mispronouncing 8 it, South Tucson, unified that, and portions of Tucson. 9 The next district is J, to the side of 10 this here. J is not a Hispanic majority that is 11 strongly a majority white. Essentially our goal here was to keep it within the urban Tucson area. The grid 12 district extended out past Tucson. And we also, this 13 14 districts configuration is also an attempt to stick with 15 Tucson's clear Northeast Southwest configuration. The 16 grid came in and the result tracks how the grid came in 17 with both the result of the grid and congressional 18 layers with the wrong crossing line, as the community testified, extensively. 19 20 So, let me see, the grid district in this case included this community to the east. We attempted 21 22 to unify this entirely within Tucson to avoid dividing 23 up surrounding communities. Next district -- if you have any questions 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 about these as I go letemexknow.zoTrying to meet with

1 the goal of doing this quickly.

2 District E is the district right in here, 3 primarily centered on the Tohono O'odham Reservation. 4 It also goes up, takes in the Gila River, Ak-Chin, and 5 takes -- this is actually a relatively unpopulated, no 6 population here, and it's in our I-19 AUR, takes in this 7 area in an attempt to unite the Tohono O'odham 8 Reservation. Also takes in the Casa Grande here. On 9 the AUR front, it matches both goals to unify the tribal 10 reservations, takes into account the Casa Grande AUR and 11 the I-19 corridor as your Congressional level. Due to community input, we've placed Green Valley on the 12 13 eastern side of that AUR. 14 Next district, just walking through in the 15 order through that you have in your binders, is district 16 K. This is in the north Tucson district. Starting to 17 get up into heavy growth areas of Tucson here. Again, 18 it's a majority non-Hispanic white district. And it, in relation to it's grid district, there was a southern leg 19 extended down into Tucson. We've more unified the 20 district in what would be the hills area of Tucson in an 21 22 attempt to keep it with a community of interest and to 23 keep our Hispanic community of interest that we've established in the AUR to get it down here. 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 This doesetake initheaentirety of these

2 much as possible.

3	So the next district is district L, which
4	is right there, and departing the Tucson area. This is
5	the east Pinal County area.
6	We had had the goal of keeping Pinal
7	County together in one Legislative District as much as
8	possible. We ran into the problem at the Legislative
9	level the same as the Congressional level, in this case
10	tribal reservations playing more of a factor. In this
11	district, there are mining communities, the rural
12	communities of Pinal County, high growth areas of
13	Tucson, high growth areas of Maricopa, both will heavily
14	influence this district to begin with and fairly soon
15	dominate it. We do succeed unifying Queen Creek.
16	Again, the town identified itself as having a more rural
17	character than a Phoenix character, so we do want to, to
18	the greatest degree possible, keep it with a similar
19	community.
20	Again, as we went through with the
21	Congressional District, this isn't a really rural
22	district. It does have a rural flavor to it.
23	The next district in the books is B. This
24	is Yavapai. ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE
25	As we werendrawing the state, at this

1 point the goal to keep united the Legislative Yavapai District became key in this area and that drew this next 2 3 succeeded drawing, united Yavapai County. United 4 Yavapai. Still population short. Picked up a few 5 neighboring unincorporated and small places around 6 Sedona to bring up that population level. It's fully 7 rural, as much as Yavapai County is a rural district, 8 and succeeds in unifying Sedona, as I mentioned. The 9 only city split included in this is Peoria down on the 10 county line, split down on the county line, I believe 11 one person. We head to CC is our essentially Navajo 12

13 reservation district. It does exclude the Hopi 14 reservation. It also comes down to the small pieces of 15 the reservation that are noncontiguous but nearby and 16 works its way over to Flagstaff, combines Flagstaff with 17 the reservation.

18 Obviously this decision, what population is taken in with the reservation, is driven to a large 19 20 part by the already defined eastern counties and district. We do have some changes, choose different 21 22 populations, because the amount of population needed to 23 drive us either south of Yavapai is really not enough people to take out Flagstaff. Flagstaff, you have to go 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 into some other areaParound, it.izSoathis district ends

up being 63 percent Native American and fully outside of
 Maricopa, obviously. The only cities towns incorporated
 Flagstaff and Page. Other names you see are the Census
 designated places.

5 The next district, sliding over, now we've 6 configured this area left with the northwest region. 7 This is a real challenge for us to draw in part because 8 of our desire to keep these two districts drawn in two 9 communities. And the way defined, the way citizens 10 requested they be defined. We had the Hopi that wanted to keep the Native American reservations together and 11 shared the district, picked up reservations to the west 12 as they had requested. Then we ran into this western 13 14 issue. We didn't have enough -- we had too much 15 population to take in all of Mohave, all of La Paz, so 16 the decision where to divide them was a difficult one. 17 What we ended up doing was going to the 18 river AUR the Commission defined. It makes an oddly-shaped district. There is a definite community 19 20 that is shared, river shared, an AUR that runs through 21 the Grand Canyon and along the border, some degree of 22 tourist oriented community district, and we have tribal reservations along the river and tribal to the north. 23 It's noncompact, one driven in large part by the 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 creation of these districts, iniorder to adjust this

1 need, revisit the instruction on these two districts. The side effect after doing that, you're left with 2 3 District D, the inland Mohave LaPaz District. As we've 4 discussed at length, it's the Congressional District, 5 drawing this district, dominated in the west valley 6 regions, Peoria, Surprise, Buckeye, all in here, both 7 Sun Cities, El Mirage, the Sun City plan did achieve the 8 goal of the Commission in keeping El Mirage separate 9 from the Sun Cities. We have those two. 10 To move on, District D is obviously, looks 11 rural. Because of the Maricopa population, it really isn't. 12 13 Working Maricopa now, the next district in 14 the binders is district Y. District Y is really a 15 Peoria north Glendale district, takes in a similar 16 split. Obviously these plans were made before we made 17 the revisions in the last two days. It has a similar 18 division in Glendale to what we drew in the Congressional plan originally. 19 20 District Y, the majority of the non-Hispanic white is drawn, in part, to accommodate the 21 22 goal to keep the Hispanic community of interest that has

23 been defined previously in the AUR together.

 In terms of cities, just Glendale and just ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE
 Peoria, it does stayPcompletelyioutside of Phoenix. As you'll see when we go into detail, other Maricopa Districts, there is the most representation for AURs we've defined in there. It is going to be somewhat more difficult at a Legislative level to move districts around than it was at a Congressional level, although we do have some options to the north.

8 The next district is district T. This is 9 the south Glendale to the west district. You'll see 10 Luke Air Force Base out here, comes all the way in along 11 Glendale. It does include pieces of Phoenix that 12 stretch out here where Glendale and Phoenix' annexation 13 arms tend to mix, and dense population areas in here as 14 well.

15 This also, if you notice the split with 16 Surprise, we went along and Surprise, the road there is 17 Bell Road. It was a difficult decision, obviously, in 18 order to accommodate, keep the Sun Cities together. And El Mirage, what in district T is 44 percent Hispanic, 55 19 20 percent total minority district, that Surprise there is having to be split. The area out here is a couple 21 22 annexation arms from Glendale, mainly unincorporated; 23 however, there is a significant population out there. 24 Other notes on this, oh, adjustments to ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 the grid, this actuallyeextendedzinto Phoenix and did

1 not include El Mirage and Luke Air Force Base. But in response to the testimony we've heard in the various 2 3 hearings, and in the goal to keep Phoenix away from 4 dividing it from as many districts as possible, we did 5 redraw it in this way. 6 The next district is District P, jump to 7 that. 8 This one actually has as many similarities that we were discussing as District D today. This 9 10 district is Avondale, Goodyear, Litchfield Park, 11 Tolleson, and Phoenix. Despite the division of the area out into the west valley, populationwise this district 12 is based fairly heavily in the east. It does work out 13 14 to be just over 50 percent Hispanic, 60 percent total 15 minority, and is another district that is attempting to 16 maximize representation for the area of the AUR that 17 we've drawn in this area. 18 In terms of cities, we split just two cities in this district, Phoenix, which obviously almost 19 has to be split, and also there is a piece of Buckeye 20 that is incorporated in this district as well. 21 22 Go to the next district which is U. Jump 23 there. 24 Zoom out a little bit. ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 Again, Pwe'rexstillzinathe Phoenix area.

1	District U, a non-Hispanic white district
2	whose lines in the south are driven by the Hispanic AUR.
3	And where there was flexibility there we followed to
4	greatest degree possible the AUR Central Phoenix
5	Historical District given the priorities of the
6	district. Prop 106 followed the AURs, the First Central
7	Historical District, to the greatest extent possible for
8	the Central Phoenix valley to the greatest degree. It
9	does not cross the Scottsdale line. You see I-17 in the
10	west, it goes up to the street borders, Thunderbird Road
11	and Shea Boulevard and is similar to what we were
12	looking at today.
13	Next we go to District R.
14	This is primarily the South Mountain
15	District, shows a little better differentiated. And you
16	are all very familiar with this area at this point. It
17	does go to Guadalupe, follows the edge of South
18	Mountain, works it's way up to a mixed Phoenix,
19	unincorporated areas down here.
20	This area is 62 percent Hispanic, 79
21	percent total minority. Obviously it is surrounded on
22	the north by Hispanic or total minority-majority
23	districts. It's limited and can expand without diluting
24	populations or crossing city lines in the east. ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE
25	This ishincludedrentirely within the City

1 of Phoenix, as well, except for inclusion of the City of 2 Guadalupe in the east. This district was modified from the grid 3 4 as were most districts slightly. We added in Guadalupe 5 in response to some testimony from the Coalition for 6 Fair Redistricting and other community interests. We 7 also picked up a couple of additional areas in the 8 northern part of this district in order to achieve 9 population equality without splitting city borders. 10 That brings us to the neighboring District S. 11 This district is 51 percent Hispanic, 63 percent total minority, and changes from the grid 12 district that was similar in this area. We moved it 13 14 south out from what was the Arizona Biltmore Country 15 Club area in order to make both a district that 16 represented a Hispanic AUR better that the Commission 17 had created. 18 The other things that it did, in the southeast we picked up a little land in order to get to 19 20 the city border and use it as a city line rather than a more random line and brought it into Phoenix more. We 21 22 also attempted to respect -- this is both the Scottsdale City border and Tempe City borders down here. 23 24 District D, as we move over, this is the ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE

25 Gilbert and East MesahDistrict.izAsayou can see, as we

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

There we go.

worked out of the Hispanic AUR, we're facing the east valley area around Mesa, Gilbert, and Chandler. We started where the southern districts had come up into this area, attempted and succeeded in unifying all Gilbert within this district taking in, this is actually the eastern and southern Mesa, both some heavily populated areas, mostly annexation arms and unincorporated areas. Gilbert, as I said, was unified. Mesa is split in this district. In changes from the grid. The grid split Gilbert, the grid of Chandler. It changed that to unify Gilbert. This brings us to District Z, Chandler. It unified Chandler to the greatest percent possible, 88 percent. There is only part, this section here, part of Ahwatukee. What happened when drawing District X, we needed additional population to get to the ideal level. It's penned in, the City of Guadalupe. They expressed community interest, and the tribal area, and led us to pick up a piece of Chandler. This district, as you

might imagine, is a majority non-Hispanic white.

referring to -- oh, the colors -- change the colors.

ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE

Phoenix, Arizona

The next district is X, the district just

1 Going all the way through Mesa would be 2 far too big. This one Ahwatukee region, the little 3 piece of Chandler, and southern Tempe, more or less to 4 the highway. Respecting the city borders of Tempe, 5 Chandler on the southern side, Tempe, Chandler, another 6 majority non-Hispanic white, respected from the grid 7 district to respect a number of communities. The grid 8 district had this combined Gila River, or portions of 9 the Gila River, portions of South Mountain, included 10 Guadalupe, did not include as much of southern Tempe. 11 We attempted to identify the different communities of interest in the district, included in the area of Tempe 12 and South Mountain area. We attempted to draw districts 13 14 that respected those communities a little more than the 15 grid districts. 16 Next we have AA. 17 Zoom out so we have a sense of where we 18 are. This is one of two overwhelmingly Mesa 19 districts. I should point out one thing on this plan 20 that we realized. The Census Bureau, and we confirmed 21 22 with Maricopa County and have done a lot of other 23 research to make sure this is accurate, misidentified 24 the Salt River Reservation crossing over into Mesa, the ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 Salt River completelyhoutiof Mesa.naDespite how it

looks, the reservation is not in Mesa. Only part is
 Mesa, only portions, small pieces here, small annexation
 arms and small growing arms. It's entirely within the
 City of Mesa and does not affect Gilbert at all. It's
 another majority non-Hispanic white.

6 In relation to the grid, we separated out 7 the tribal reservation, it clearly reflects a community 8 of interest, on the grid, and combined the two areas. 9 BB, companion Mesa district, adjusted with 10 respect to the tribal border. It does have 29 percent 11 Hispanic population, what some might term a Hispanic influence district, not part of the Hispanic AUR, not 12 linked to the Hispanic AUR. Again, it changes to this 13 14 district as a minor, respected the tribal border, picked 15 up a couple blocks in the Southwest portion to allow 16 unification of Chandler Gilbert in other districts 17 nearby. 18 This one is also entirely within the City of Mesa. 19

20 The last Mesa District is CC, ended up 21 with the rest of Mesa and most of Tempe. You'll see 22 where the old line is. It takes in Tempe north from 23 Southern Avenue and then the highway here. It also 24 includes a portion of Scottsdale. The very far southern 25 arm of Scottsdale isPincluded.riAnother majority

non-Hispanic white. Separated out changes from the grid 1 2 to keep city lines. Next district, far eastern valley 3 4 district. 5 You'll see -- it incorporates the entirety 6 of two tribal reservations surrounding the far 7 eastern -- I'm sorry, Maricopa County, and the majority 8 of Scottsdale is in this district. It also includes the 9 City of Fountain Hills, another majority non-Hispanic 10 white district. 11 Changes from the grid, changes from the City of Phoenix, with respect to city boundaries, we 12 dropped those in order to unify the reservation. 13 14 Here in Scottsdale, I should note it is 15 divided, but Scottsdale's population is larger than a 16 Legislative District; so there is no way to avoid doing 17 that. 18 Now we move over into north Phoenix, 19 District M, another majority white district. Very few adjustments from the grid. The only things we did are 20 21 give up small pieces of Glendale and Peoria to unify 22 those pieces, pick up more pieces of Phoenix than the 23 grid had done, pick up pieces of New River, Cave Creek, 24 and Carefree. I'm not sure where that was. It ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 respects -- does takehinnaxsmallzpiece of Scottsdale,

1 East-West side projects out, to make population equality 2 in that area. This district, I'd note, is an extremely 3 4 high growth district. We regarded it, as discussed in 5 the plan, as having shared interest high growth with 6 this area. 7 Other than the City of Scottsdale AUR, and 8 Yavapai County area here, there is flexibility in how 9 this area is divided, if that is the Commission's wish. 10 Next, District N, Central Glendale, 11 Central Phoenix, which is the corner district here zoom in a bit. 12 This district's shape is driven in large 13 14 part to respect the borders of the Hispanic AUR and is 15 driven, drawn, sorry, does regretfully cross into 16 Glendale, very similar to the grid district as well. 17 Just minor adjustments. 18 We took a extra little piece here to drop a small piece down here to improve the representation of 19 our Hispanic AUR identified and improve the unification 20 of that AUR. This only includes the cities of Glendale 21 22 and Phoenix, and both of those are split. Phoenix, as 23 you well know, has to be split. District O, the Northeastern Phoenix, a 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 little bit to give perspective, it is the area between

Northern Phoenix, is a high growth suburban district. 1 Down here, Central Phoenix, the Paradise Valley 2 3 District. Again, majority non-Hispanic white. It 4 changes from the grid with respect to the Scottsdale 5 City line and gave up portions of the north Phoenix 6 area. And we picked up the Altadena Park Lookout 7 Mountain area to make up for dropping of those two 8 areas. It is entirely within the City of Phoenix. It 9 does not cross into Paradise Valley nor into Scottsdale. 10 Last but certainly not lease, District V, 11 Central Phoenix. This is a 54 percent Hispanic district, almost 66 percent total minority district. 12 Zoom in a little bit. And it also the entirely within 13 14 the City of Phoenix. It respects the City of Glendale 15 city line, and I-10 and other freeways are fairly marked 16 on the south, and marking on the east. 17 That's quick run through of the individual 18 districts. 19 We welcome your responses and 20 instructions. DR. HESLOP: Mr. Chairman, Members of the 21 22 Commission, I was going to ask Christiana Dominguez to 23 give you more detail on the Hispanic and Native American details. In the interests of time, perhaps if questions 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 come up, she can respond.ix, Arizona

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you, Dr. Heslop. 1 2 I would like to suggest a format. Please, 3 this is off the top of my head. If you have a better 4 idea, I'd be happy to entertain that. Because in this 5 instance there are 30 inter-related, changes in one 6 ripple through 30, as opposed to the Congressional maps 7 we had been dealing with prior to this. 8 What I'd like to do is deal with one of to 9 things, either start with general things, the map as it 10 exists, general reactions, as opposed to District O or 11 L, what might need specific attention, and/or go back through the list, a district at a time, and take 12 comments about each of the districts, again in general 13 14 terms. 15 I would ask the Commission if there is a 16 perceived issue or problem with a district, that we 17 merely state the problem and ask the consultants to 18 attempt to correct the problem but not dictate the 19 correction. Because once we do that we will have 20 affected every other district around it and will have rendered other suggestions not possible to correct. 21 22 We'll need to look at this in totality, which means 23 that -- let's talk about what we find troublesome or conversely what we really like about the district and 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 ask it either be correctedxorApreserved depending on the

point of view and provide sufficient time for the 1 consultants to work those problems through and come back 2 to us after a recess with suggested corrections. 3 4 Does that seem reasonable? 5 Would you like to go through it a district 6 at a time? I'm hearing yes and no and that's useful. 7 Mr. Huntwork and then Ms. Minkoff. 8 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork. 9 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: More with this, 10 more with this map than others, we talk about the rest 11 of Arizona, rural areas of Arizona. One section, Tucson in another, Phoenix in another, it might make it easier 12 to keep track. 13 14 CHAIRMAN LYNN: In other words, instead of 15 each of the 30, cluster them geographically, as you 16 suggest. Again I'm open. 17 Ms. Minkoff. 18 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Actually, as we 19 went through them, with certain exceptions, it was done 20 that way. 21 I'm pleased you suggest we ask for changes and don't have to tell them how to do it. That's a very 22 daunting process. 23 One general comment I have to make. I 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 think I'm beginning toosound likeobroken record.

1 Whatever adjustments are made to the entire state,

Legislative Districts, that the issue of competitiveness
be considered to the extent that we have the data to do
it at this point.

5 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall. 6 COMMISSIONER HALL: Mr. Chairman, if I may 7 come back to what you said, I'm agreeing with the 8 general outlined principle. Simply looking at the hour, 9 which is approaching lunch time. In light of that, I'm 10 saying I wonder if it would be better for us to take 11 this in a more piecemeal fashion. Already we have them looking and working on issues we said with respect to 12 the grid. If we were to take an extended lunch and 13 14 provide the consultants some general feedback, in other 15 words, each Commissioner take an opportunity and talk 16 about some general issues relative to general concerns, 17 and then go to lunch and let them tweak, based upon some 18 of those general issues, then I think it would be more useful and relevant come backing district by district, 19 20 try to eat the sandwich a bite at a time and accommodate as best we can as far as the efficiency of the schedule, 21 22 also. 23 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff.

 
 24
 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: That's two extended ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE

 25
 breaks today, an extended ibreak itondo that, and after

the district by district, another extended break. It's 1 only a quarter to 12:00. I suggest we spend about an 2 3 hour going through this, see how well we do the district 4 by district. I don't know how it will long take. I 5 only have five, six things at this point. Let's see if 6 there's more to do at this point. When it comes back to 7 us later on, maybe there will be fewer adjustments we 8 have to make.

9 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I think I tend to favor 10 Ms. Minkoff's scenario just from the standpoint if we're 11 going to keep the comments relatively general with respect to the districts, my sense is it's going to take 12 13 more than a couple hours for those adjustments to be 14 made for districts. That one break, if we limit it to 15 one break, keep it limited to one break for the 16 consultants, I do think your suggestion means we'll need 17 to have two extended breaks.

18 COMMISSIONER HALL: I agree with that, Mr. Chairman. If brevity, all in favor, in light of the 19 fact one break for Congressional Districts, if in 20 21 reality we go through, say, within a short period of 22 time, here are ideas, I think that's feasible; if that 23 doesn't appear to be possible, given I can't predict for the other Commissioners, only for myself, I can go 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 through relatively rapidlyxandrgiveageneral principles

on districts. That's certainly a feasible plan. 1 2 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Let's give it a go, see if we can get it done. 3 4 Mr. Huntwork's suggestion, take it in 5 clusters, as opposed to district by district, let's 6 start as the presentation started. 7 COMMISSIONER HALL: Prior to that, 8 Mr. Chairman, can I make request we receive first of all 9 a summary of districts relative to the three communities 10 of interest, in other words, a summary of Hispanic, a 11 summary relative to Native American interests, and a summary relative to rural versus urban interests? 12 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Without objection, 13 14 Dr. Heslop, can you, or the consultants, can you give us 15 a summary with regard to those AURs? 16 DR. HESLOP: A brief summary or rather 17 more extended summary? 18 COMMISSIONER HALL: For example, how many Hispanic majority minority Legislative Districts are 19 there based on the predraft plan are there, if you will? 20 21 DR. HESLOP: There are nine Hispanic and 22 one Native American. 23 COMMISSIONER HALL: How many districts are there that are rural that are unaffected by any urban 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 area? Phoenix, Arizona

DR. HESLOP: Well, there's -- you know 1 we've had a little difficulty defining that. But I 2 think perhaps you could say four to five rural 3 4 districts. 5 COMMISSIONER HALL: Thank you. 6 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Leoni. 7 MS. LEONI: May I make a clarification. 8 I'm not sure if Dr. Heslop meant to say. 9 DR. HESLOP: Hispanic communities. 10 MS. LEONI: Nine total minority 11 minority-majority districts. 12 COMMISSIONER HALL: I can't hear you. MS. LEONI: Nine minority, total 13 14 majority-minority districts. Six Hispanic majority 15 districts, six districts are majority districts. 16 There are nine total majority-minority districts. There 17 are six Hispanic minority districts. And there are 18 three Hispanic influence districts with percentages over 40 percent. And this is set forth in the binder, 19 Commissioner Hall, tab two. 20 21 COMMISSIONER HALL: Are the three, placed 22 on the record, are the three part of the nine? 23 MS. LEONI: Yes, they are. These three influence districts are in majority-minority districts 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 in terms of total population.ArFornexample, District T

is 44 percent Hispanic in total population. The total 1 minority population of District T is 55 percent. 2 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Ms. Leoni, when you 3 4 describe majority-minority population, are you using 5 total population or voting age population? 6 MS. LEONI: I gave you figures of total 7 population. I also have voting age population, as do 8 you. Tab two, page six, has this data in it. 9 Now there is also a total minority 10 district. Excuse me. Let me check this before I say 11 it. There is also a total minority district which includes a large majority of American Indians, and that 12 is district C. 13 14 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Which is not listed 15 on page six. 16 MS. LEONI: That is not listed. That is 17 the Hispanic chart. It is listed in the second 18 paragraph below. CHAIRMAN LYNN: All right. If we could, 19 then, move through geographically and make comments 20 21 relative to that area of the state and the districts 22 contained therein. 23 Does it make some sense to follow the same 24 order as was presented? ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 So, Doug, eifxyourcould perhaps zero in on

1 the cluster of districts that make sense geographically 2 that mirror the presentation, we'll give you some 3 comments. 4 MR. JOHNSON: Started out with Yuma 5 district, a sort of geographic area. Start there or go 6 with Tucson? 7 CHAIRMAN LYNN: No. Let's start where you 8 started. Comments on District Q or that portion of the 9 state. 10 While others are focusing in, I note that 11 because this AUR takes more -- because this district includes more than Yuma County, it includes parts of 12 13 western Maricopa County and also western parts of Pima 14 county. Ajo, which legislatively has been linked east, 15 is now linked west. And I would ask if there is a 16 practical way to correct that situation only because 17 that linkage is -- has been traditional. And many of 18 the issues that are appropriate to be dealt with in eastern Pima County are centered in the county seat 19 which is Tucson. I understand you can't keep the county 20 whole, but in that instance, it is clearly something I 21 22 would like you to take a look at. Other comments for this area of the state? 23 24 If not --ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 COMMISSIONERxHALL:zoThere are. I didn't

know if going in any order. 1 2 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall. 3 COMMISSIONER HALL: My question is it's my 4 recollection there was some desire for linkage between 5 La Paz County and Yuma. Was there a reason or maybe 6 I -- we didn't go north on that? 7 MR. JOHNSON: Yuma County is 160,000 8 people, so the entirety of the non-Yuma area is only 9 11,000 people. We could certainly have picked up La 10 Paz, but we'd have not gotten far enough for it to be a 11 significant piece, La Paz. And it's a significant piece of an AUR and would have disrupted that. 12 COMMISSIONER HALL: What is the -- what is 13 14 the community in the northeast portion of that district 15 right --16 MR. JOHNSON: This area here? 17 COMMISSIONER HALL: The community there --18 MR. JOHNSON: The noncontiguous piece of Buckeye centered on the prison there. The total 19 Maricopa district is right about 7,000 people. We don't 20 get into any of the truly dense population. 21 COMMISSIONER HALL: What is the population 22 23 of Ajo? MR. JOHNSON: Ajo is 3,700, and the rest 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 of that section of theocountyAisz200 other people.

COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Pardon me? 1 2 MR. JOHNSON: The rest of Pima County is 200 people and 3,700 in Ajo. 3 4 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Any other comments on this 5 section? 6 If not, move on to the next section. 7 Probably around Tucson. 8 MR. JOHNSON: Excuse me, eastern Arizona 9 counties. 10 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Comments on this portion 11 of the map? 12 Ms. Minkoff. COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: The only comment is 13 14 that's what they asked for. It seemed to work. I think 15 it looks fine. 16 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork. 17 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: There are a couple 18 pieces that we fixed that result in the shape of the 19 whole series of districts across Northern Arizona. And this is one of them, and Yavapai is the other. Had we 20 21 not done that, the map I think would look quite a bit 22 different. The ripple effect basically does two things. 23 It doesn't look very good when you get over to A and D. Those districts really bear the brunt of what we did 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 over here, I believe.hoenix, Arizona

I think it would be -- I think it might be 1 possible to create things that make a lot more sense 2 3 around Flagstaff, Verde Valley, that area, the river 4 communities, portions of Mohave, La Paz counties that 5 have been carved out if we had greater flexibility in 6 some of those other areas. 7 I would like to see how, one, fixing 8 essentially both P and B and F are --9 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Basically Eastern Arizona 10 and Yavapai. 11 MR. HUNTWORK: Yeah. I would like to see what would happen if we, one, fixed those and let the 12 13 consultants propose something we do based on other 14 communities of interest, how it would work out. 15 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I don't know that that is 16 sufficient direction to assist. I share the concern. 17 It seems clear that the map as developed was, and maybe 18 I overemphasized, to Doug, I don't know, driven by those fairly large geographic pieces we understand are part of 19 the AUR process in terms of legislative processing. 20 What it did do, it had significant impact where you then 21 22 had to configure surrounding territories in order to 23 make the entire map work. So I don't know what specific instruction we can give you about that. 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 I do sharenthe general concerned raised by

```
1
    Mr. Huntwork.
 2
                  Ms. Minkoff.
                   COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Let me respond. I
 3
 4
    look at F, and I liked it, because it's what people
 5
    asked for and we were able to achieve it. On the other
 6
    hand, as we go throughout the rest of map, I'll point
 7
     out, I'm sure other people point out, people asked for
 8
     things and the map doesn't treat them quite so well.
 9
                   I think it's important we respect AURs.
10
    This was AUR was respected. There were other AURs not
11
     quite so respected.
                   The direction I'd possibly give to NDC is
12
13
    to the extent possible, continue to respect the AUR
14
    represented by District F; however, not over and above
15
    other AURs. I consider them to be equally important.
16
    While I'd like to see this district be maintained, if
17
    some adjustment to it allows us to be more responsive to
18
    several other AURs that were presented to us, then I
    think that's appropriate.
19
                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Elder.
20
                   COMMISSIONER ELDER: I probably voiced
21
22
    Ms. Minkoff's comments in the beginning. If, that's
    what we have as an AUR, that's what the response is of
23
    the people in hearings as expressed in the southern part
24
                    ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE
25
     that I went to.
                        Phoenix, Arizona
```

1	My concerns are going to focus primarily
2	on L, when we get to that.
3	There's some adjacency there we have
4	pretty good-sized problems in that may very well affect
5	F. It relates back to the same mines I brought up
6	several times, other things as far as Mammoth, Globe,
7	areas to the north.
8	I'm really concerned with L, that it's
9	going to affect F and the surrounding areas.
10	COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: And E.
11	COMMISSIONER ELDER: Yeah, and E.
12	CHAIRMAN LYNN: Other comments?
13	Mr. Huntwork.
14	COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: One plan
15	specifically that was presented to us was the Navajo
16	plan. Came down F, the Apache reservations, united them
17	with the Navajo reservation. And that is another plan
18	and reflects obviously a community of interest very
19	strongly. It might be a starting point to an
20	alternative approach, if we wanted to look at one.
21	CHAIRMAN LYNN: Other comments about this
22	portion of the state?
23	Mr. Hall.
24	COMMISSIONER HALL: This was, without
25	ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE question, the most well-supportedoplan by the

1 constituents within the area, a resolution by all County Supervisors and all City Councils and city managers. 2 3 Therefore, I think it was a building block because of 4 the unanimity because of those within there. Because of 5 that, there are some questions within that that have to 6 be addressed. We all have similar concerns. 7 With respect to L, certainly as mentioned 8 by some in public input, that linking L with Casa Grande 9 may well make more sense. I think that there are 10 solutions that still respects the communities of 11 interest as were heard on the record. For starters, we heard what you said, give 12 13 it to you because we don't like the lines; we're not 14 going to give it to you. I think it violates a 15 fundamental principle of a community of interest. At 16 this point here, what I'd say, to instruct the 17 consultants, and then tell our consultants to do 18 something -- to do something different, I think is 19 counterproductive. CHAIRMAN LYNN: Other comments? 20 21 Mr. Huntwork? COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I hear that and 22 23 agree to a large extent. The only problem, it's very complex. When we gave the instruction, we didn't know 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 what the consequenceshwould, be.izInremember talking, you

know, about it to the point of just re-repeating myself 1 2 until Commissioner Hall and others were losing patience 3 with me to say these are tentative decisions and we have 4 to see what the ripple effect was before it was cast in 5 concrete. I said that about F, said it about B, said it 6 about the Pinal County direction we gave as well which wasn't followed nearly as well as the Eastern County's 7 8 direction was.

9 So, again, this is an initial approach and 10 we may very well be able to use parts of it. But it 11 obviously creates problems elsewhere and we need to look 12 at alternatives before we can make a decision on the 13 bottom line.

14	CHAIRMAN	LYNN:	Ms.	Minkoff.
= -	••••••••			

15	COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I'll speak to it
16	more before we get to the map, the Pinal County plan
17	Mr. Huntwork mentioned, which happened to be one county
18	rather than five counties, an extremely well-supported
19	plan by the residents of the area, not supported in the
20	draft map. I'll address that when we get to that area.
21	CHAIRMAN LYNN: Next area, Mr. Johnson.
22	Next is area G.
23	MR. JOHNSON: Next go down to the Tucson
24	eastern area. ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE
25	CHAIRMANOLYNN: ALet'sastart with G the

difficulty, as I see it with G, is that it extends from 1 the eastern part of Tucson, and not so much even the 2 3 Vail area, Tanque Verde area, that area of the state all 4 the way to the New Mexico border down to the Mexico 5 border. It may very well be -- give us an idea when you 6 come back to what the Metro Tucson influence is on that 7 area. 8 MR. JOHNSON: I can do that now. 9 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Okay. 10 MR. JOHNSON: There are 117,000 people in 11 Cochise and 2,700 in this portion of Santa Cruz. So that's 120,000, leaving about 50,000 coming from this 12 13 portion of Pima County. 14 I can come back with what more specifics 15 are right in the Northeastern Tucson area, if you like. 16 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I guess my concern would 17 be, to the extent possible, I'd like to see that the 18 characteristics of this district remain rural without as much Tucson influence. I have a concern about that. 19 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Isn't that some of 20 the Green Valley, Vail, some of the smaller communities 21 22 around Tucson as well? 23 MR. JOHNSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Small communities, 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 not all MetropolitanPTucson? Arizona

1	MR. JOHNSON: 50,000. I can come back
2	with what is exactly Metropolitan Tucson.
3	CHAIRMAN LYNN: The other concern is Green
4	Valley probably has less in common with the border
5	communities than it does with East Valley, Tucson, as we
6	configured it in the congressional map. I'd like you to
7	take a look at some scenario that would reunite that
8	relationship as opposed to going all the way east.
9	COMMISSIONER HALL: What you are saying is
10	include more of the border?
11	CHAIRMAN LYNN: That's one possible
12	solution.
13	COMMISSIONER HALL: I concur with that
14	suggestion.
15	CHAIRMAN LYNN: Other suggestions on this
16	area?
17	Let's go ahead and do Metro Tucson then.
18	Comments on this portion of the map?
19	Ms. Minkoff.
20	COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Mr. Chairman, this
21	is a comment, once again, I'll make when we get back to
22	the central part of the state; but looking at the
23	northern part of the Tucson metropolitan area, I see
24	places like Avra Valley, Catalina, Oro Valley, et ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE
25	cetera, placed with theeruralAmining communities of

1

portion, to bring that back into Metropolitan Tucson, I 2 think would be a better fit. 3 4 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I think, this is the southern border district of L, is problematic in several 5 6 ways. It links portions of east Mesa, et cetera, the 7 suburbs, et cetera, and is taking much of Pinal County 8 in between. There has to be a solution to that. If 9 that's influenced by the eastern valley AUR, there are 10 suggestions for that. 11 Another technical piece I want to suggest is a dividing line between district I and district A, I 12 believe. I'm not necessarily asking you to do that now. 13 14 I believe there are portions North-South along North 15 Sixth Avenue. Traditionally South 12th Avenue is 16 naturally the dividing line between those portions of 17 the community. I suggest looking at adjusting that line 18 westward to South 12th. The other thing, these are so, I know, all 19 20 interdependent, the difficulty of excluding Avra Valley into the district to the far northwest, and maybe it 21 22 can't be helped, doesn't seem to me like it fits. 23 Mr. Elder. COMMISSIONER ELDER: We addressed Avra 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 Valley. That area ishprobablyronenof the larger

Tucson. I'm not sure that's good. The gold-colored

1 agriculture areas. Picture Rocks and beyond is pretty much native desert, a nonagricultural area. The area to 2 3 the east of Marana is agriculture, although in 4 expansion. It seems as though it would link better to 5 there. 6 I keep going back to L as a problem area. 7 But it may work. I don't know what the populations are. 8 What are the populations of Mammoth, the 9 San Manuel area, in relation to Catalina, Oro Valley? 10 What I'm wondering, if we look at the 11 area, District G, looking at trying to make, remove the influence of Tucson, if we extend up across the border, 12 13 picked up the mining communities, there is mining in 14 Douglas, smelters, things that relate through there. 15 Maybe that's a way of taking that anomaly out of the 16 equation in area L and bringing it back in Oro Valley, 17 into the district. It might be one solution possibility 18 to look at, even though it does break the county line. Seems like Pinal County has been broken all to heck. I 19 would like to avoid it. 20 Like communities, the AUR, the glue 21 22 aspects might be better served if we can't bring it into the area that -- eastern counties, you know, the AUR. 23 To the area to the south, we're looking at 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 H initially. There isoanfairlyistrong Hispanic area

that is near Summit. And I was wondering what the shift 1 was in that area. If we took the area along I-10 and 2 3 brought it over to include Summit but left the area 4 north of Tucson, raised it further, that doesn't have 5 much population. Would that not reflect the total 6 Hispanic community? Might be a shift or change you see 7 there, looked at. May not work but it may. 8 I'd like to -- stay on H or talk about the 9 whole valley. 10 I'd like to look at K, problems with K, 11 and probably J, say the basin. We have barriers there that have been 12 13 traditional problems with access campaigning Mr. Owens 14 made comments about, the large campaign areas, areas you 15 have to drive 13 miles around the barrier to get to a 16 polling place. 17 If we could look at the river as an edge, 18 and maybe we have to extend the area that had been the Tanque Verde River marker to include that in K, taking 19 20 it out of the Cochise area when we look at San Manuel so the whole series of ripple effects, similar areas, 21 22 foothill areas, to bring to the south of the areas, San 23 Manuel, I guess area J, there's an I, it must be J, the salmon brown, that would seem to make more sense. 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 CHAIRMANOLYNN: AMr.oHall.

COMMISSIONER HALL: Based on some of those 1 comments, I think that given some of the suggestions and 2 3 testimony we've heard with respect to Pinal County, I'm 4 starting there because I think if you work out to the 5 end it makes it cleaner. I think we've proven that. If 6 you take some suggestions, include Casa Grande with 7 portions of the eastern valley, that cleans up whatever 8 district that is to the south. I can't see it on my 9 computer. Mr. Lynn previously indicated that area was 10 more influenced via Tucson. Take, as suggested by 11 Mr. Lynn, what Pima County cleans up there, with suggestions relative to internal Tucson, utilizing rural 12 13 portions of Pinal, and tying it into the southeast, and 14 it cleans a lot of that up, protects rural versus urban, 15 and accommodates all surrounding areas. 16 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Elder. 17 COMMISSIONER ELDER: To go along with 18 that, now we're looking more specifically, looks like you divided North-South, and it almost makes more sense 19 20 East-West, or the line horizontally at Dudleyville going west and leaving Casa Grande to the north, and Mammoth, 21 22 and those to the south as opposed to dividing East-West 23 now. MR. JOHNSON: Which are you talking about, 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 Pinal County? Phoenix, Arizona

1 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Zoom out so we at 2 look at Pinal. MR. JOHNSON: Okay. 3 4 COMMISSIONER ELDER: In other words, I was 5 looking at here where you have the mines, Dudleyville, 6 south to intersection of 10 and eight, 10 and five, 7 whatever that is, north of Eloy, south of Casa Grande, 8 divide Pinal County North-South as opposed to east and 9 west, might lead to other ideas. I can look at Mammoth 10 San Manuel as part of the mining community, the 11 community of Green Valley, easier than saying San Manuel and Oro Valley, Gold Canyon. Those all fit together, or 12 13 in one district, excuse me, not an AUR. 14 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Further comments on this 15 portion of the state? 16 Mr. Huntwork. 17 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: As long as we're 18 talking about L, I think we ought to take a hard look at the Casa Grande plan itself, just as it was presented. 19 20 It provides division between Phoenix and Tucson, and it does connect communities very well. 21 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff. 22 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I'd like to agree 23 with that. I think Pinal County has been chopped up not 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 because of any competingnplans, ijust because of where

1	they're located in the state. I don't recall anybody
2	saying that the Pinal County plan was not a good idea
3	and messed up their AUR. I'd like to see if we can
4	achieve as much as possible. The areas maybe in play
5	are Saddlebrooke, which probably fits much better with
6	Pima County than Pinal County and Apache Junction,
7	depending on population, and may stay best with Pinal
8	County or may work best with the greater Phoenix
9	Metropolitan area. Those are areas to be flexible,
10	Apache Junction, Gold Canyon, Saddlebrooke, as much as
11	possible. Let's try to see if we can adopt all or most
12	of the Pinal County plan.
13	CHAIRMAN LYNN: Okay. Ready to move on?
14	Yavapai.
15	COMMISSIONER HALL: Mr. Chairman.
16	CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall.
17	COMMISSIONER HALL: I realize we have yet
18	to make a determination relative to the final
19	determination for draft purposes relative to the Hopi
20	Navajo issue which I'm sure we're going to address
21	again. It's my opinion whatever we do, we have to do
22	for both. So given that proviso, as Mr. Huntwork
23	indicated earlier, there is some testimony that the
24	northeastern portion of Yavapai, on the other side of
	ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE

1 be separate, and there are alternatives, given the variables, in the other Northwestern and eastern 2 3 portions of the state. There are other alternatives, I 4 think, within this area. One of the, you know, and 5 again, it's hard to talk about one without the other. 6 There is certainty, however, some tremendous 7 conveniences and strengths of maintaining county numbers 8 we've done with the exception of the City of Sedona. So 9 we can maybe look at, I guess, comments we've had on 10 two, three other issues. I think generally are we going 11 to say to our consultants come back with two, three alternatives. Is that what we're saying? 12 CHAIRMAN LYNN: We can do that or may 13 14 offer some, in attempting to follow the direction 15 they're given they may offer more than one alternative 16 in terms of a solution or choose between or among them. 17 COMMISSIONER HALL: It's likely 18 consultants will offer what alternatives are in Yavapai. I think it's pretty clear. And -- but nevertheless, I 19 20 have a tendency to want to support maintaining talking 21 about those to the extent we can. This is certainly the 22 time I support that. 23 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: One thing we heard loud and clear, I don't recall much dissenting testimony 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 at all, the desire ofhVerde, Valleynis to remain united,

one district, and not be split. Of course, this plan 1 2 doesn't. But the other issue was where do they go. And 3 when I say Verde Valley, mostly it's who they hook up 4 with. While I recall there was testimony both 5 directions, the majority of testimony said we are linked 6 more to the north than we are to the west, that our 7 commonalty of is that it really falls more with 8 Flagstaff than they do with Prescott. Looking at this 9 map, we had to take portions of Coconino County to unify 10 Sedona, then it goes up and takes part of Munds Park. 11 And Munds Park is south suburban Flagstaff. That now is partially hooked up with Prescott rather than with 12 Flagstaff. I would say when you look at Yavapai County, 13 14 in a sense, seconding what Mr. Hall said, that Mingus 15 Mountain is a natural divider. And it seems to me that 16 the entire Verde Valley Sedona area is really a better 17 fit with Flagstaff than Prescott and the rest of Yavapai 18 County. I'd like you to look at that. CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork. 19 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Mr. Chairman, 20 there was a lot of testimony that linked Sedona and 21 22 Verde Valley. That was absolutely true. I think there was very little dispute about that. There was 23 absolutely conflicting testimony about where it should 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 go. I think it was --oit's, inaccurate to say that

1 predominant testimony was one way or the other. I just 2 think it was conflicting. COMMISSIONER HALL: Correct. Some say 3 4 they preferred to be with Prescott. Conflicting, that's 5 correct. There were a multitude of alternatives. Hook 6 them with the west, you are talking, by doing that, 7 though, automatically split about three more counties. 8 And so the ripple effect is significant. One of the 9 factors in this consideration is, at some point, is this 10 Hopi-Navajo issue. And that would significantly affect 11 I think all of the portions of what we do. We haven't got there yet, but A is a 12 rather ugly district. There is strength with respect to 13 14 the -- with respecting the AURs that are represented in 15 public meetings. 16 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Elder. 17 COMMISSIONER ELDER: I would weigh in on 18 the side of the Munds Park area which relates extremely well to areas to the south a ways. Flagstaff, the golf 19 20 course area, resort, similar to Sedona, from that area. Reading testimony, I don't see a strength one way or the 21 22 another of where Sedona and that part fits. It was 23 conflicting at best. COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Mr. Chairman, as 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE

25 the crow flies, MundshParkxisAaszclose to Sedona as

Flagstaff. To get to one place, Flagstaff, I-17, you go 1 Sedona, go through Oak Creek, it takes closer to a half 2 3 hour, 40 minutes. People that live in Pine Wood don't 4 go into Sedona nearly as often as they go to Flagstaff. 5 COMMISSIONER HALL: But it's a beautiful 6 drive. 7 COMMISSIONER ELDER: When we take our 8 mother's to dinner, we go to Sedona. 9 COMMISSIONER HALL: My opinion, it is 10 indisputable relative to splitting Sedona. I think we 11 made the right decision regarding maintaining that community as a whole. 12 CHAIRMAN LYNN: If we may move on to 13 14 another section. 15 MR. JOHNSON: The next area closely 16 related is District C, the Navajo Reservation District 17 with Flagstaff. 18 One item I might point out, might help 19 clarify, the instruction from the Commission, also testimony from Hopi, did mention they were fairly 20 adamant in wanting to be separated on the Legislative 21 22 level. They did refer to, they did mention -- the 23 Commission mentioned it was a lower priority. COMMISSIONER HALL: I had a couple 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 questions. There arehsomexfingersncoming down on the

```
southern border. Is that reservation boundary lines all
 1
    the way across the southern boundary of this district
 2
    until you get to by Winslow? Is Winslow in it or out of
 3
 4
    it?
 5
                  MR. JOHNSON: That shows the reservation
 6
    border.
 7
                  Let me double-check on Winslow.
 8
                  Winslow is out of this district.
 9
                  COMMISSIONER HALL: Winslow is out. Is
10
    Winslow West a split?
11
                  MR. JOHNSON: Winslow West is split. It's
     a Census designated place. When we could, we also tried
12
13
     to make those.
14
                  COMMISSIONER HALL: Holbrook split, or in,
15
    or out?
16
                  MR. JOHNSON: Holbrook is unified outside
17
    of district C.
18
                  CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork.
19
                  COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Particularly with
     this district, I think we have to compare it with the
20
21
    Navajo plan that was proposed. The main thing about the
22
    Navajo plan, the main thing in this avoids the
    relatively dense non-Native American population in
23
    Flagstaff and included additional reservations both to
24
                    ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE
25
     the west and to the south.x, Soritocreated a district
```

which unquestionably we have a much higher percentage of 1 2 Native Americans, two plans on my screen. I don't have 3 this data. 4 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Talking about the 5 Navajo? 6 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Navajo. 7 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: The issue I had 8 with the Navajo plan, my major concern about that, was 9 there was a small area of Apache County kind of isolated 10 among all the Indian reservations, and it didn't seem to be a good fit. They were similar to the issue we had 11 with Congressional Districts of Ahwatukee. It just 12 didn't go there. 13 14 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Other comments? 15 Okay. Move forward. 16 Ms. Minkoff. 17 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Next one I think is 18 District A. MR. JOHNSON: Yes. 19 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I don't know what 20 to tell to do with this district, but please do 21 something. I am imagining an individual campaigning in 22 23 this district or having been elected representing this district, and it's an absolute nightmare. You have to 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 go from Parker, and theeriverAcommunities, and somehow

1 get up to the Arizona strip to Fredonia, to Colorado 2 City, and/or to the Hopi Reservation and Williams, and 3 it's just an impossible district to cover. I think that 4 wherever a representative lives, the rest of the 5 district will never see him or her because it's just 6 going to be impossible. I think something has to be 7 done to create a district to get from place to place and 8 create a district to connect with the people that live 9 there.

10 COMMISSIONER HALL: With all due respect, 11 we're not drawing districts for the candidates, we're drawing it for the people. One of the strengths of the 12 13 district, while excessively ugly, it's while pointed out 14 in the presentation, this would not only it keep intact 15 in the river AUR. Simultaneously, all areas have a very 16 similar interest with respect to tourism, the Williams 17 link to Grand Canyon. Grand Canyon, if not the highest 18 tourist spot, Lake Havasu, the bridge, et cetera, all rely on tourism. With respect to an economic analysis, 19 20 I think there is a significant similarity within that 21 district. 22 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Just want to make 23 sure you understood my previous statement. I wasn't

24 being sympathetic to candidates or elected officials, ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE

25 it's the people theyPrepresent.izThey have a right to

see those people from time to time. I'm not concerned 1 with making it easy on the candidate except we've heard 2 3 in many public meetings where current districts are very 4 oddly shaped and people campaigned, they never see their 5 legislators, "They're never here. They're supposed to 6 represent us, but we have no way to connect to them." 7 So that's my point. 8 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork. COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: With respect to 9 10 that point, as a way to indicate population, the cluster 11 is? MR. JOHNSON: I didn't develop a specific 12 13 cluster by county. The population density is heavily 14 along the river, about 7,000 in the Hopi Reservation. I 15 believe there's around 60,000 total through this region. 16 I'm not exactly sure how the notes appear to go through 17 or where the dividing line is. I can give you specific 18 numbers. 19 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: In general, the 20 situation -- in a couple situations we did hear citizens say the representative of my district never comes to see 21 22 me. Some people say this is my representative and he didn't know he represented them. Not only the more 23 spread out it is, the more clustered the population is 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 in one area, I thinkPthengreaterzthat problem becomes.

I do think, Andi, I think you put your 1 2 finger on an extremely important point. CHAIRMAN LYNN: You get the sense of the 3 4 comments of the Commission. 5 Moving on. 6 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Next section. 7 MR. JOHNSON: Next section, District D, in 8 land and the Maricopa district. CHAIRMAN LYNN: Any comments on this 9 10 section? 11 I think, to the extent, one of the things is talking about to the extent you are going to do 12 something with that western-northern-eastern district 13 14 across the top of the state. It might have some 15 influence in terms of at least section D in this part of 16 the state. So -- okay. 17 Moving on. 18 MR. JOHNSON: Now we move on into the 19 Maricopa County. Let me pull this. 20 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I have a couple 21 questions about District Y. First of all, I notice you 22 split Glendale. There was some testimony from Glendale saying it was okay to split them. They gave suggested 23 lines as to where they would like to be divided, 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 MR. JOHNSON:x, Yes. zoSouth divided on

Northern. That's where we divided them. 1 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: The other question 2 3 is about Peoria. Westbrook Village is part of the City 4 of Peoria. Is that a Y or is that in the area of the 5 Sun Cities? MR. JOHNSON: I don't know Westbrook 6 7 Village. 8 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Westbrook village 9 is North of Union Hills and east of Sun City. I don't 10 know the exact boundaries of it. It's part of City of 11 and Peoria but linked much more in terms of commonalty of interest with the Sun Cities. 12 MR. JOHNSON: The district line followed 13 14 is the city border there, so it's east of Sun City and 15 within the District Y Peoria district. If it's north, I 16 can give you the street border, if you like. 17 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: The printed 18 information you gave us says something about the Peoria border southwest of Westbrook. I'm not sure I 19 understand that. 20 21 MR. JOHNSON: I'm sorry, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Reading from the 22 23 printed material you gave us, go with District Y. I'm not sure what you've written about Peoria. Peoria, 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 split the city borderhsouth, and iwest, Westbrook Drive.

MR. JOHNSON: Let me pull that up here. 1 2 Westbrook Drive is the curvy northern 3 border here. It appears that, assuming from the Census 4 blocks, these are probably Westbrook. 5 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I think that's 6 probably Westbrook Village. 7 MR. JOHNSON: The majority includes 8 Peoria, Westbrook Village. 9 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I suggest since a 10 portion is the gray district, what is that District D, 11 the gray colored district. 12 MR. JOHNSON: Yes. COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Look at including 13 14 Westbrook Village, that portion right next-door to Sun 15 City. They do a lot of things together. It seems to be 16 a better fit. 17 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Other comments on this 18 section? 19 Let's move on. General Metropolitan Phoenix. 20 21 Ms. Minkoff. COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Yes. I had a 22 23 suggestion on District S, S as in Sam, in the central part of the city. I'd like to echo the comments earlier 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 today from a representative, from the Phoenix Historical

District AUR. A lot of it is contained in District S. 1 2 There is a small area to the west and south of District 3 S, currently a part of District R. It makes District S 4 a little more of compact shape and it unites, I think, 5 four Historic Districts that really have much more of a 6 connection with the current population of District S 7 than they do with District R, the neighborhood, historic 8 neighborhood Palmcroft, and I think one other there. 9 But they are all in that little tongue of R that juts 10 into S.

11 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: For what it's 12 worth I would like to ditto that. I'm familiar with 13 that area of Phoenix. I think that would be relatively 14 obvious.

15 One other thought on top of that, I had 16 more of a chance to study some of the other plans than 17 our own plan because of the amount of time we've had it, 18 and a group of folks in the Congressional, in the last couple days, it makes difficult to be specific about 19 other things. If you look in general areas, when you 20 get in the north, the things above I-10, in general, I 21 22 think as it goes out, it's a vague comment. If you look 23 at the minority plan, Hispanic plan, it comes closer to describing the way people live and travel, and so on, in 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE those areas than thePhorizontalidistrict, particularly O 25

U and S, a chance to spread out. So . . . 1 2 CHAIRMAN LYNN: You are suggesting that the districts just to the east of I-17, in that 3 4 depiction, might be better represented with a vertical 5 rather than a horizontal representation. 6 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Primarily, yes. 7 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: In other words, to 8 combine that -- to combine U and O and redivide them 9 along a North-South axis? 10 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Something like that, yes. It's not quite that simple. 11 12 It's very hard to see. I have several maps in front of me. 13 14 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Yes, you do. 15 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: The red lines are 16 the Hispanic plan. 17 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Again, the instruction to 18 the consultants is along the lines of what we've said. Take a look at those two particular 19 districts and look at a vertical rather than a 20 21 horizontal division. 22 Ms. Minkoff. 23 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: We can do the east valley, also. 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 I recallohearingrtestimony from the City

1 of Tempe begging us to put them into one Legislative 2 District saying it really seemed to work, that the 3 community is just sort of a model community, landlocked 4 community, is not going to grow north, southeast, or 5 west. Maybe up. They asked us to please, please, 6 please, please put them into one Legislative District. 7 I would ask you to please examine doing that. 8 Population is a little low. If I recall, population is 9 just shy of 160,000. 10 I had input from people in Guadalupe that 11 said while they are very, very comfortable being in the kind of district that they were put in for Congressional 12 13 Districts, they felt in terms of a Congressional 14 District, that is where their interests lie. In terms 15 of a Legislative District, the Justice Courts they use 16 are in Tempe. The school districts their children go to 17 are in Tempe. There are a lot of intergovernmental 18 agreements sharing sales tax for Arizona bills and development just down road. It might be a way to pick 19 20 up extra population, five, six thousand, put them with Tempe. And then the very southern portion of 21 Scottsdale, to fill that out. I think that taking 22 Scottsdale as far north as Camelback Road, combining 23 with Tempe, is really combining apples and oranges, two 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 communities that don'toconnectrthatawell.

1 The extreme portion of Scottsdale, south 2 McDowell, wherever you need to go to get population, 3 makes a much better district, also responds more closely 4 to the public comment we had and meetings we went to. 5 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall. 6 COMMISSIONER HALL: Correct me if I'm 7 wrong. Wasn't Guadalupe included in R to supplement 8 Hispanic equals in that district. 9 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: R is pretty heavily Hispanic. Guadalupe is Hispanic. 10 11 COMMISSIONER HALL: I asked a question. NDC, what would that do with respect to the minority 12 13 issue, if you take Guadalupe out. 14 MR. JOHNSON: I don't recall exactly what 15 the numbers are. Prior to saying that, one of the 16 reasons for linking that, as the Commission, the Mayor 17 of Guadalupe requested, put it with Tempe, the past 18 Mayor asked -- we were torn both ways. 19 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Yeah. Guadalupe, 20 because small, is probably an area that's going to be in play. I'm suggesting if you need to find more people to 21 22 add to add to Tempe, it's too small to be a Legislative 23 District. It may be an area you look at. I'm not suggesting it's the only area. I think there's 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 consideration -- incidentally, rDistrict R, as currently

drawn, is 62 percent Hispanic; and it's only about 21 1 percent non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic. It will 2 remain a strong majority-minority district regardless of 3 4 where Yuma ends up. 5 MR. RIVERA: Just for clarification, the 6 person she referred to was Mayor at the time she said 7 that, is now no longer Mayor, has been voted out since 8 then. 9 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: They had a coup. 10 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Further discussion on the 11 east valley area? Comments on district L, earlier impact all 12 the way up into Apache Junction, the Queen Creek area, 13 14 again, restate those. 15 Mr. Huntwork. 16 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Go back again over 17 the dividing lines of the districts in Mesa, primarily 18 the districts in Mesa, the south dividing line. CHAIRMAN LYNN: North-south -- southern 19 20 boundaries. 21 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: East-west and southern dividing lines? 22 CHAIRMAN LYNN: There's time to accomplish 23 24 that. ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 MR. JOHNSON:x, Theibigapicture, the border

1 between the western most Mesa District and Central Mesa Districts, North Country Club Drive and Main Street. 2 3 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I'd like to remind members 4 of the audience, if you think you are having a private 5 conversation in the corner of the room, you are not. 6 The acoustics are such we all hear what is going on on 7 cellphone conversations, even what's on the cellphones 8 themselves. So if you'd be so kind, if you keep your 9 cellphone on, put it on stun rather than ring. We'd 10 appreciate it. It would be helpful. 11 MR. JOHNSON: Continuing along, the border on the west is the city line here between Mesa and 12 13 Tempe. Continue around the southeast arm, stick with 14 the city border. Going back to divisions within Mesa, 15 essentially along Gilbert Road, this is East Broadway 16 Road. After it comes up --17 Oh, this is a city line. And here, this 18 jogs around to population equality requirements. Roughly it comes up to Main Street and then runs up to 19 20 East Booth, up around 97th to Brown, and continues northward, jogs along Norris Street to Nursery Pass 21 22 Road. I believe this is the reservation, yes. And then 23 over in the far east edge, District L, the lines there, 24 Meridian line, picking up again on East Broadway, no, ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 Crismon Road there, theeMesa Districts.

1 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Other comments or 2 questions? 3 Mr. Hall? 4 COMMISSIONER HALL: Mr. Chairman, I'd like 5 request when we come back we have statistics with 6 respect to proposed alternatives and party registration 7 data. 8 CHAIRMAN LYNN: As I understand, those are available, can be made available to us as we --9 10 MR. JOHNSON: Yes. We do have the data. 11 The only reason we don't have it at this point, Chris, 12 is the one, the party registration expert, he might have passed out at this point. 13 14 CHAIRMAN LYNN: All right. Other sections 15 of the general Phoenix area map you'd like to discuss? 16 We may be almost finished. 17 Any additional comments on the legislative 18 map before we ask the consultants to do their work? 19 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I don't have any more. 20 21 COMMISSIONER HALL: I think plenty have 22 been made. 23 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Let me ask this question. Is this then the appropriate time to break to allow the 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 consultants to do theirework?Arizona

1 Lisa, bear with me. We're about at a 2 place we can take a break. Dr. Heslop, I want to ask this question: 3 4 We sent one part of the team to work on Congressional 5 adjustments as we directed. 6 DR. HESLOP: I'd like to think they're 7 working. They may be sleeping. However, I'm sure 8 they're finished. 9 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I guess my question is 10 this: You'll give us an estimate of how long you think 11 it will take to look at this. What I'd also like to hear is when the other material we asked to be developed 12 might be available so, again, we don't lose time in 13 14 terms of waiting for some of it to review it. 15 DR. HESLOP: My suggestion will be we 16 complete the analysis on the Congressional plan. I'm 17 not sure of it. I'm not certain. I'm pretty certain 18 with the time involved, the time involved is sufficient to have completed the work and when you come back that 19 20 could be presented to you. 21 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Leoni. 22 MS. LEONI: Commissioner Lynn, with the 23 indulgence of the Commission, I'd like to place a cellphone call to the other half of the team to confirm 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 that progress has beenosufficient.na

CHAIRMAN LYNN: I understand what you are 1 asking for. My assumption, Dr. Heslop, you're telling 2 me the Legislative adjustments will take four hours to 3 4 make. I'd simply reverse out a portion of that to cover 5 other work, break for a shorter duration is all I'm 6 asking for. 7 DR. HESLOP: Exactly what I'm leading to. 8 I suppose if the Congressional plan took an hour, we'd 9 like four hours, so you take three. CHAIRMAN LYNN: Is that a reasonable 10 11 amount of time for you? 12 Again, the goal is to make substantial progress in this break to be able to have us look much 13 14 more closely at the revisions to the Legislative map 15 when we return. 16 Is four hours sufficient? 17 DR. HESLOP: It is, sir. 18 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Let's wait for a moment, 19 get confirmation on the Congressional revisions. If so, it appears as though we'll shortly stand in recess for 20 21 approximately three hours. 22 MS. LEONI: Commissioner Lynn, we were 23 simultaneously calling each other. I got her message system. She doesn't have mine. 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 They are on it he Averynlast of the

```
1
    instructions.
 2
                   I feel within a short time, a reasonable
    lunch break, they will be prepared to be back.
 3
 4
                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: Then we will take a
 5
    three-hour break so as to give this team sufficient time
 6
    to begin the Legislative work, hear from the other team,
 7
    and move expeditiously into the Legislative report.
 8
                   Any objection to that schedule for the
9
    balance of the afternoon?
10
                  Is that acceptable?
11
                   COMMISSIONER HALL: Are you saying 4:00?
12
                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: 4:00. We'll recess until
     4:00 p.m., at which time we'll hear back from the
13
14
    Congressional group and then subsequently the
15
    Legislative group.
16
                  Without objection, we're in recess.
17
                   (Recess taken at 1:00 p.m. until
    approximately 4:00 p.m.)
18
19
                   CHAIRMAN LYNN: I'd like to call the
    Commission back into session.
20
21
                   I'd like the record to reflect all five
22
    Commissioners as well as legal counsel and the
    consultants are present.
23
                   We'll return to NDC for an updated report
24
                    ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE
25
    of the CongressionalPmapnfollowingnthis morning's
```

1 instructions. 2 Dr. Adams. DR. ADAMS: Mr. Chairman, Members of the 3 4 Commission, it sounds like I have a mike here, so let's 5 move forward. 6 The first thing that we were asked to do, 7 first thing discussed by the Commissioners, was the 8 reverse move of Ahwatukee. We were asked to move that 9 territory into District B. And what we have now done is 10 move it back out. There were several options for the consequences of such an action. And I want to just talk 11 to you a little bit about that. We tested, added back 12 13 the Hispanic community, a very simple test. All you 14 have to do is go back to the plan we presented to you 15 and you will see we have about 20,000 persons from 16 Ahwatukee in that particular map, so Ahwatukee was 17 divided and then Glendale was also divided. 18 We also tested adding the West Valley cities. The consequence of that, there was insufficient 19 20 population to add to that territory in that area. I'll show you a slide in just a minute that will show you 21 22 that. And then as a result, I'll also show the diluted 23 result of the Hispanic community. And then the third test we did, I'll get into this a little more, adding 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 territory from DistricteB, xthatidistrict that is in the

sort of North Central Phoenix area, into District B.
 And this did strengthen the minority district. It also
 facilitates another concern of the Commissioners, which
 was the possibility of moving District B to the north to
 take in some of that growth area.

6 Now here is a map that shows you the 7 populations, that was point two on the slide, a possible 8 move over to the west. I have little notes. I hope you 9 can read the numbers on the map. But as we can see, we 10 have 3,810 persons in Litchfield Park; 18,911 in 11 Goodyear; Tolleson, that's 4,974. If you move over Buckeye, 6,537. You are still short if you move all 12 Ahwatukee out, moving 67,000 persons out of area. Still 13 14 short even if you go up to Surprise, still short four, 15 five thousand persons.

16 So what you are doing, a little pointer 17 here, now you can see the cities. So you would then 18 even have to fill in possibly Sun City West, Sun City, those areas, or the one scenario, if you didn't go up as 19 20 high as El Mirage, Surprise, on the border, it's very difficult to pick up population in that area. 21 22 I don't know, Marguerite, if you want to 23 speak to the effect of that. We'll wait until there are questions on that, if that's all right. 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 Okay. PSoenow, werlookaat the results of

the Ahwatukee reverse. District D gains population from 1 District B. Glendale south. That's not correct. It's 2 3 Bethany Home south. Sorry for that correction. It was 4 actually Bethany Home south. Ahwatukee moves east to 5 join Tempe, Paradise Valley, moving up north to Paradise 6 Valley, Scottsdale District E. You'll recall that that 7 is similar to what we had before. And we talked a 8 little bit about the consequences of moving east as 9 well. I know that that is a question in the 10 Commissioners' minds. District B moves north to the 11 county boundary sharing growth areas with district E. 12 Okay. So here you see a map of the consequences. You can see that that territory, that the 13 14 black line is the line of our previous districts. And 15 I'm going to point to the area that was in our original 16 map you saw last night, that area. A portion of 17 Glendale which was not restored back to District D is 18 still united with the rest of Glendale. The territory that we took into the district is right here and came 19 20 out of District B and came into District D. I'm going 21 to let Marguerite Leoni tell you the numbers, telling 22 District D --23 MS. LEONI: The iteration of the plan that we discussed with you this morning had resulted in a 24

ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 impairment of the community, thezSouth Mountain Hispanic

AUR. It had brought in communities that had not been 1 identified with it. And the result of that was also a 2 3 reduction in voting strength from an original 59 moving 4 up to 60 percent, which was the original proposal, to 5 about 55. We also confirmed that as a result of that, 6 the voting age population dropped just slightly below 50 7 percent. This modification of that brings the district 8 back up to about 57.2. And once again the voting age 9 population is over 50 percent.

10 Moving, as an alternative to taking in the South Phoenix area, moving this district to include 11 territories west, once again brings in largely 12 13 dissimiliar neighborhoods but once again results, 14 because of the numbers and the percentages, results in a 15 great dilution of voting strength in District D. A not 16 heavily Hispanic area becomes less and less so as you 17 move toward the California border.

18 DR. ADAMS: Thank you, Marguerite. 19 Okay. Moving on. I'm sure that it's in 20 the Commissioners' minds the possibility of moving the Ahwatukee area into the Chandler, Mesa, and Gilbert 21 22 area. To do so would cause a ripple effect on District 23 C, the rural district, and/or possibly District G. It would necessitate the division, major division of the 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 City of Mesa and likelyedivisionzofaother east valley

1 cities. So let's just take a look at that area. And I'll try to point out to you the concerns. 2 3 If you move this territory through this 4 way, you are going to have to somehow take population 5 out this way or this way. The population that you would 6 pump out this way into G is going to cause problems with 7 G. Any ripple effect is going to cause you difficulty, 8 because you've got 76,000 persons moving through here. The impact on C would be the possible addition of urban 9 10 areas to the rural district, which I know is a 11 difficulty and goes against what the Commissioners had hoped to achieve. 12 13 As you can see, we have a boundary up 14 here. Say we contained it to this area and just rippled 15 around through this district and back this way, then you 16 would have a very awkward connection of Mesa rippling 17 around this way into District F. And the problem right 18 here has to do with basically a rock and a hard place. 19 You have an Indian Reservation here that is part of District C and is united with other Native 20 American populations, and you have the Hispanic AUR 21 district right here. And, therefore, you are going to 22 23 have this corridor. We did have, though, a lot of testimony 24

ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 that it is appropriateotoiconnectoAhwatukee to the 1

Scottsdale area. So that is what we have done in this

2 particular map. 3 Now, there were some other concerns. And 4 one of those concerns was the Mohave County connection 5 with Maricopa County. One alternative to that creates a 6 U district, basically upside down U, an umbrella. It 7 would take in the rest of Mohave and La Paz into 8 District C and drop most of Yavapai County into District 9 A. I think Chris has described that configuration for you before. Another alternative is a return to a 10 11 modified draft District C as we presented last night. And so that is another possibility. 12 I know if you want to revisit that, we 13 14 could present that to you. Another possibility, Pinal 15 County splits, we have Saddlebrooke, that didn't seem to 16 be a concern to Commissioners, or at least one 17 Commissioner. The mining portions east of Queen Valley 18 is another split. And then the third split is the Apache Junction split, if I can find my little notes 19 20 here. 21 The mining portions of -- portions east of 22 Queen Valley, in fact what I'm going to do, I think I 23 have it up here -- well, here we go. Let's address this one, and then I want to get -- no, I want to go back to 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 the map. Phoenix, Arizona

```
1
                   Let's go back to the map and see if we can
    take a look at that.
 2
                  Doug, if you could -- why don't we bring
 3
 4
    up that map.
5
                   I really want to speak to the situation in
 6
     Queen Valley and Apache Junction. The splits. Yeah.
 7
                   I'm not going to be drawing.
 8
                   What I want you to see here are the
9
    consequences or problems with the splits.
10
                   This split moves Apache Junction, which is
11
     a heavily nonminority community area, into the -- into a
    connection with the City of Mesa and, thereby, keeps
12
     this area as a fairly strong minority district. So
13
14
    that's one split that you have here. Then you also have
15
     the mining communities here. Again, restoring those
16
    communities back to Pinal County will give you issues
17
    with the minority community in District G.
18
                   And we've already spoken to Saddlebrooke.
                  Marguerite do you have anything to add to
19
20
    that?
21
                  MS. LEONI: Yeah.
22
                  DR. ADAMS: I should think there's some.
                  MS. LEONI: I want to point out that
23
    Apache Junction is less than 10 percent Hispanic. So
24
                     ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE
25
    bringing that town intoethe, southern Arizona AUR would
```

be dilutive of voting strength. 1 DR. ADAMS: Okay. Doug, let's go back to 2 3 the -- let's go back to the presentation. 4 Okay. 5 Okay. An additional voter concern was the 6 Apache Junction Casa Grande with the Phoenix Metro area. 7 Here you see some actual numbers on that situation, if 8 has been addressed a little bit before talking about the 9 Pinal County splits, the consequence is more than 25,000 10 persons in District F, the alternative one for balancing 11 those persons, we would ripple through the Hispanic AUR. A second alternative for balancing that population, 12 13 those 25,000 persons, if you move them down in -- if you 14 move the Casa Grande area into, into the Phoenix 15 Metropolitan area alternative, would be to add 16 population from Ahwatukee or Sun Lakes that border 17 District G. You know the consequences of both areas 18 being added to District G, the alternative three for possible balancing would be to move population into 19 20 District C. You see we have numbers for Apache 21 22 Junction and Casa Grande with their Hispanic 23 populations. And I believe that addresses all the 24 concerns raised. ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 Here ishtheimapAofzthat area so you can

1 actually see what I was talking about.

2	Moving Casa Grande into the area with
3	Apache Junction into what we're calling the Phoenix
4	Metropolitan area district would be causing ripples
5	through this way, possibly, and might balance population
6	by pulling population out here, just doing it within the
7	city district and pulling population out of Sun Lakes or
8	possibly pulling population out from Ahwatukee. Another
9	possibility is to ripple through the Hispanic AUR and
10	pull population in this area from there. So those are
11	the consequences.
12	And Marguerite and I would be happy to
13	answer any of your questions.
14	I hope I spoke sufficiently to the move of
15	District B up into that northern territory. I don't
16	have the final numbers on that, but that is something
17	that can be fairly simply adjusted.
18	It very likely would necessitate splits of
19	Scottsdale, and you should be aware of that.
20	CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff.
21	COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I want to go back
22	to the issue about the mining communities in eastern
23	Pinal County.
24	DR. ADAMS: Uh-huh. ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE
25	CHAIRMANOLYNN: AAndi, ainto the mike.

1 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I'm not sure I 2 understood. I'm not sure I understood your explanation 3 of that. 4 DR. ADAMS: We have one more. 5 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: It looks like 6 that's an area of about 7,000 population. I'm not sure 7 if I'm completely accurate. I think it's somewhere 8 around there. And if that were added into District G, 9 it seems to me from a voting rights standpoint, it 10 enhances the district rather than detracts from. The 11 only question is where do you take the 7,000, approximately, people out of District G from someplace 12 else? I don't have an answer. 13 14 DR. ADAMS: Commissioner Minkoff, we 15 looked at the area, and it does not enhance that 16 territory. I have the numbers on a note here, and I'm 17 not finding it. There's a mining area of 7,295 persons, 18 and the percentage Hispanic population is 46.4 percent Hispanic population, 3,383 persons. 19 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Is there a 20 21 significant Native American population in that area? DR. ADAMS: I'd have to check that. I'd 22 23 be happy to check that for you. COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: It seems to me it's 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 not going to make a greatiimpactzonaDistrict G from a

voting rights standpoint. My only concern is if you put 1 7,200 people in, where do you take 7,200 people out? 2 3 DR. ADAMS: Exactly. We'd certainly be 4 happy to explore that for you. My concern is particularly about District G. The attorneys can speak 5 6 more eloquently to this. It is the fragile majority 7 total Hispanic population already. And I don't know 8 whether there's any comments from the attorneys on that. 9 MR. RIVERA: We spoke about it yesterday. 10 I have to look at the numbers, as I stated yesterday, 11 once you start looking at the total minority total population, the plus 18 voting population, start 12 13 dropping close to 50 percent, the closer you get to --14 below 50 percent, it becomes a bigger concern. 15 I'm not quite as eloquent as Dr. Adams. 16 DR. ADAMS: Say again? 17 MR. RIVERA: I'm not quite as eloquent as 18 Dr. Adams. MS. LEONI: I want to point out another 19 20 concern about this particular district. There is testimony and testimony in the record that the numbers 21 22 in the south Arizona AUR need to be higher than in other 23 areas, because of other complicating voter disenfranchisement issues, such as noncitizenship, a 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 significantly higherPlevelxofAunderage persons in an

1	urban area. So the numbers that might be functional in
2	a South Phoenix more urbanized group just aren't going
3	to work there. And we have testimony and written
4	testimony to that effect in the record.
5	CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you.
6	Mr. Huntwork.
7	COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: It looks to me
8	like common sense is we have the choice really between
9	adding the population back into D, back the way it was
10	originally, or by taking the population out of B in the
11	way it's being proposed in this map. I would like to
12	take a closer look at a new B to see what has happened.
13	One particular question before we do, in making those
14	adjustments, did A stay the same so that only
15	essentially B and D, B, D and E were adjusted?
16	DR. ADAMS: A has stayed the same to this
17	point. As we made as I said, we haven't made the
18	final adjustments to that B district and the Scottsdale
19	district and E district. We haven't made the final
20	adjustments to that. When we do, we could possibly have
21	some sharing and rippling of the western border of B
22	with District A just just to equalize population
23	there and to make the lines a bit cleaner.
24	Do you want to actually bring the map up ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE
25	and take a look at it?oeWexdon'tzhave final numbers. We

1

can show you the configuration, and I can point out to you the areas I'm talking about. 2 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Yes. I'd like to 3 4 see that. 5 DR. ADAMS: Okay. This is the 6 configuration of District B. District E at this point 7 is a bit overpopulated. As I said, it would necessitate 8 probably some revision of Scottsdale. What I'm 9 suggesting is possibly over in this area we could do 10 some straightening out of the line possibly with some 11 trades of territory. 12 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Essentially A would move some further east. 13 14 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Into the mike. 15 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: A move further 16 east. B moves further east. 17 DR. ADAMS: B move further east 18 straightening the line, moves further that way. B moves further that way. 19 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: You said under this 20 21 configuration, E is overpopulated? 22 DR. ADAMS: E is overpopulated. 23 DR. ADAMS: E is overpopulated. We have 44,000 to many in E. You have too many people in 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 Scottsdale. TalkingPaboutxmovingo44,000 out of

Scottsdale and dividing Scottsdale. 1 2 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: More than a little bit. 3 DR. ADAMS: Talking Congressional 4 5 Districts? Not really that much. COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Zero in on the 6 7 southern boundary of B. I want to understand where that 8 has moved to at this point. 9 DR. ADAMS: This is Bethany Home. And you 10 probably want streets. Let's see if I can get you 11 streets. I have the streets but not the labels, 12 so -- I may get Doug over here to get the labels on here 13 14 for me. 15 Okay. Now you can see the labels. I 16 don't know if you want to walk around the district. I'd 17 be happy to walk around the district. 18 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: See the box. 19 That's all I want to know. 20 DR. ADAMS: Just move the box down. 21 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: There. Okay. Just in terms of the effect on D, tell me 22 again, is this as good as the original configuration in 23 terms of the --24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 DR. ADAMS:niThisrisn't quite as good as

the original configuration of D. It's two percentage 1 2 points below the original, two, to and a half below. 3 Is that correct, Marguerte, two, 4 two-and-a-half percentage points below? 5 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Other questions? 6 Mr. Hall. 7 COMMISSIONER HALL: So what is your 8 recommendation to do, what to do with the extra 9 population? 10 DR. ADAMS: Extra population in E? If you 11 want to achieve what you want to achieve, having those districts go north, I would recommend a small division 12 of Scottsdale. When I say "small," talking about 44,000 13 14 persons in Scottsdale. There is a little bit, could 15 move Paradise Valley in there, you narrow that, and let 16 me move back out, take a look at that. 17 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: That's only about 18 13,000 people I think. DR. ADAMS: Also territory just south of 19 that, have to include part of the City of Phoenix. 20 21 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Arcadia. DR. ADAMS: Come into that as well. I can 22 23 show you that. COMMISSIONER HALL: The question is, 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 correct me if wrong, Pthenquestionois whether to have

districts go north or to divide Scottsdale, right? 1 2 DR. ADAMS: Well --COMMISSIONER HALL: Well --3 4 DR. ADAMS: One or the other. You run 5 into a problem of going into the eastern area. 6 COMMISSIONER HALL: Right. 7 DR. ADAMS: You can have -- let me turn 8 off the streets so you can see this a little bit better here. Paradise Valley. A small portion of Phoenix 9 10 here. This territory could move back in here, does make 11 a longer V and narrow neck between the Ahwatukee, Tempe area, Scottsdale, move Paradise Valley into District E. 12 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: In terms of 13 14 principles, B perhaps E, less compact, but would 15 preserve the preservation of Scottsdale. 16 DR. ADAMS: Preserve Scottsdale. 17 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Other questions or 18 comments? 19 DR. ADAMS: Chairman Lynn, members of the Commission, one more slide. We were also asked to look 20 at what the Hopi connection would be. So I went ahead 21 and took a look at that. If it's the Commissioners 22 23 pleasure, I'd be happy to show you. CHAIRMAN LYNN: Without objection. 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 Okay. PThencorridorothat we have in the

slide is the following: It affects four persons. And 1 this is the connection. You see it's a fair narrow 2 3 connection. In our other map we have a somewhat wider 4 connection went through the Moenkopi Village, and then 5 so we only have one neck connection. But in this 6 particular connection we have two. We have one up to 7 the Hopi Reservation, and then we have a small original 8 Hopi Village. 9 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff. 10 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: As I recall, the 11 population of the Hopi areas is about 7,000 people? 12 DR. ADAMS: That is correct. COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Then we would have 13 14 to move 7,000 people out of District A into district C 15 to achieve this. Do you have any suggestion where that 16 population would come from? 17 DR. ADAMS: That's correct. I'd suggest 18 we'd probably be moving some lesser populated areas. I'd be welcome to suggestions from the Commission as to 19 20 what the lesser populated areas of District A are to go back into that district. We do have some area areas in 21 22 Yavapai County and some underpopulated areas. CHAIRMAN LYNN: In terms of the effect --23 24 I'm sorry. ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 DR. ADAMS:niThat'szall right.

CHAIRMAN LYNN: In terms of the effect, 1 overall effect on C and A with this switch. 2 DR. ADAMS: Uh-huh. The actual numbers on 3 4 that we have not run. I will run those numbers for you. I believe it's a small percentage. You've seen maps 5 6 with the Hopi, in fact our original map have the Hopi 7 out. And it will be similar to those numbers. 8 COMMISSIONER HALL: How many people does 9 the corridor affect? 10 DR. ADAMS: Four persons. 11 CHAIRMAN LYNN: The small corridor with Moenkopi? 12 DR. ADAMS: I don't believe that affects 13 14 any persons. 15 COMMISSIONER HALL: Does the corridor, 16 little island of Navajo, affect any Hopi? 17 DR. ADAMS: I didn't hear the question. 18 COMMISSIONER HALL: Right there, in Navajo land. Does that corridor affect any Hopi? 19 DR. ADAMS: Not that I'm aware of. Mainly 20 we're looking at a connection of District A and a Hopi 21 connection. I don't believe there's a great effect. 22 23 COMMISSIONER HALL: So the numbers --Doug, could we bring that map up. It's 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 fairly simple to letPyounknowAthatnright now.

I have all these wonderful young men that 1 are absolute wizzes on the technology of this. I'm very 2 slow. I can do it. It just takes me longer than it 3 4 does them. Thank you for bearing with me on that. 5 Here is the actual interactive map. Let's 6 go ahead and zoom in on that area. See if we can take a 7 look at it. 8 Doug, I'll ask you to come back again. 9 I have numbers here, hard to read. Looks 10 like zero, zero -- it looks like zeros in both of those spots. I don't know if you can see that there. I see 11 12 here. I'll turn off the reservation land and 13 14 we'll be able to see that better. 15 There you see zero, zero -- so it is not 16 affecting any population to do that. 17 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Other comments or 18 questions? All right. Can we --19 Do you have a full map incorporating the 20 21 recommended changes? DR. ADAMS: We have a map incorporating 22 23 the recommended changes except for the Hopi connection. That's on a separate map. 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 As I said, nwe, dorhaveathe alterations that

1 we would need to make to those districts that would be. 2 We'd be happy to make those on instruction from the 3 Commission. We'd be happy to make any final changes 4 that you would like to see, bring them back to you, not 5 final changes. Of course, it's a working map, at this 6 point. If these are the recommendations that you 7 approve of, we'd be happy to finalize those 8 recommendations and bring it back to you with the data 9 so you can take another good hard look at. 10 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork. 11 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Taking them separately, there's a common principle the way I would 12 13 look at these two situations, that is generally people 14 over geometry, where we have to choose between those two 15 criteria. 16 With that in mind, it looks to me as if 17 the best solution for B is the new configuration, adding 18 Paradise Valley, Arcadia, to primarily make up the population difference. And that way we divide 19 20 Scottsdale as little as possible, that being one of the 21 units of representation that we have recognized. And 22 furthermore, a city that we're otherwise told to keep 23 intact as much as possible. Secondly, and equally importantly, from my perspective, I believe we should 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 separate the Hopi and the iNavajo.on And this provides us

a way to do it. It is not aesthetic. It is not 1 2 compact. But it does recognize communities of interest. And that -- I believe that allows us, within the meaning 3 4 of Proposition 106, to do this. And I think that it is 5 the right thing to do. CHAIRMAN LYNN: Those are two separate 6 7 issues, obviously. 8 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Yes. 9 CHAIRMAN LYNN: At least --10 COMMISSIONER HALL: Two separate issues. 11 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Deal with them separately. 12 Mr. Elder. COMMISSIONER ELDER: I wanted to know if 13 14 Mr. Johnson, Dr. Adams, zoom out, hold the north area, 15 its affects of the Hopi separation. 16 DR. ADAMS: Commissioner Elder, as I said 17 before, we're looking at a partial map here. 18 What we did was actually look at that 19 connection. But -- so we're not looking at the other map that we had previously done. But I can add this 20 21 particular -- if this is something of which you approve, we'd be happy to add it to the map. 22 23 I don't know why it's not coming back. CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall. 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 COMMISSIONERxHALL: zoFor those of us that

kicked dust off our boots before we stepped into the 1 valley, I wonder if we can handle some metropolitan 2 issues one at a time. What I heard is five to six or 3 4 seven changes. What I'm trying to understand in my mind 5 are the cost benefits of each of those changes. Some of 6 those appear to me to make sense. Some of those appear 7 to be merely convenient for whatever purposes, and one 8 can only expect what some of the purposes may be. At 9 the expense in my opinion of splitting cities, 10 municipalities that unnecessarily need to be split, so 11 I'm wondering if we can kind of take, start with the changes made south in B and go through those one at a 12 time and determine a little of those internally, address 13 14 them one at a time. I'll address the rest of the 15 Hopi-Navajo issue, also. 16 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Dr. Adams, can you walk us 17 through those changes specifically? 18 DR. ADAMS: I'm not sure, Commissioner Hall. Changes south of Tempe? 19 COMMISSIONER HALL: Start wherever you 20 prefer. 21 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Essentially changes to 22 23 District E. COMMISSIONER HALL: B, D, and E. 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 COMMISSIONERxELDER: on Ahwatukee, Guadalupe.

1	COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: The whole thing
2	starts with pulling Guadalupe out of out of Ahwatukee.
3	COMMISSIONER HALL: That's what I'm
4	saying. Show what occurs.
5	COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: My understanding
6	is we didn't change F.
7	COMMISSIONER HALL: Well, we have
8	overpopulation in E, so the reason says that those
9	goes east, she indicated, or south. E has
10	overpopulation which trickles to E or C.
11	MR. HUNTWORK: Doesn't trickle to F.
12	Ahwatukee was never in F. Zero sum game.
13	COMMISSIONER HALL: Excess population in
14	Е.
15	COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Comes out in B or
16	D, entirely three districts.
17	COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: The original
18	suggestion, part of Scottsdale, put it in with Northern.
19	COMMISSIONER HALL: In other words, trade
20	a portion of Scottsdale for Ahwatukee?
21	COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: My question, if E
22	is overpopulated, then something has to be
23	underpopulated. Is that District D or B?
24	DR. ADAMS: B. ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE
25	COMMISSIONER: MINKOFF: a E is overpopulated.

```
1
    B is underpopulated.
 2
                  COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Originally B, D,
 3
    and E had population of three districts. They still do,
 4
    because the all movement has taken place among the three
 5
    districts. Therefore, we would -- in order to make a
 6
    change, if we decided we wanted to do it, we'd find the
 7
     appropriate population from District E and presumably
 8
    move it into District B.
 9
                  DR. ADAMS: That's correct, Commissioner
10
    Minkoff. As we discussed previously, and the point here
11
     again, starting in this area, as we move up through this
    area, you can pick up Arcadia, can pick up Paradise
12
    Valley and put it into B. It does, again, elongate B a
13
14
    little more and narrows this neck. That's one thing you
15
    can do. That would make it less of a problem as far as
16
    adjusting the population of Scottsdale and a split of
17
    Scottsdale.
18
                  COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Can you zoom out so
    we see all the moves in figure B and E?
19
20
                  Fine.
                  DR. ADAMS: Is that far enough?
21
22
                  COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Fine.
23
                  COMMISSIONER HALL: Do you have the party
    registration figures on the proposed adjustments?
24
                    ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE
25
                  DR. ADAMS: niWe haven't run those figures
```

```
1
    yet.
 2
                  COMMISSIONER HALL: Have not?
                  DR. ADAMS: We had a fairly tall order
 3
    this morning. We'd be happy once we made -- if this
 4
5
    direction suits the Commissioners, if we're going in the
 6
    right direction, we'd be happy to make a final, some
 7
    sort of final adjustments to bring it into population
8
    compliance, run the numbers for you. So --
9
                  CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall?
10
                  COMMISSIONER HALL: Mr. Chairman, I make a
11
    motion they incorporate those changes and let us look at
12
    that, including the division of the Hopi-Navajo
    District, and let us see what the impact is in the
13
14
    overall map.
15
                  COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Second.
16
                  CHAIRMAN LYNN: I wonder if we can
17
    separate those.
18
                  COMMISSIONER HALL: I make a motion we
19
    make proposed changes in B, D, and E.
20
                  COMMISSIONER ELDER: Second.
21
                  CHAIRMAN LYNN: It's been moved and
22
    seconded.
                  Discussion?
23
                  If not, all in favor, say "aye."
24
                    ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE
25
                   (Vote taken.), Arizona
```

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Chair votes "aye." 1 2 Motion carries unanimously. If you will effect those changes for draft 3 4 map purposes, do the appropriate analysis that has been 5 asked for in terms of all the variables that we're 6 trying to balance, and we'll take a look at that when 7 that's ready to come back. 8 DR. ADAMS: We'll be happy to. 9 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall? 10 COMMISSIONER HALL: Well, I guess for 11 purposes of discussion, really, I guess we want to 12 analyze, so for purposes of discussion I'd make a motion we look at the overall map effects of Districts C and A 13 14 similar with the Hopis, Hopis and Navajos. 15 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Second. 16 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff. 17 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I suggest if we 18 have to move population out of District A and into 19 District C that you look to Yavapai County to do that, and there's certainly enough population to strip Yavapai 20 21 County, part of District A, and get approximately the 22 7,000 people you need. 23 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Elder? COMMISSIONER ELDER: Mr. Chairman, I would 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 like to leave that tohNDC's, recommendation. I am not so

1 sure that I would want to look at a specific location to pull that from. The division we started with over 2 3 dividing Yavapai one way east or west appears as though 4 it takes into act what the citizens gave us in our 5 meetings from the standpoint of Mingus Mountain, where 6 they wanted things divided. So I would rather not go in 7 there and modify that unless NDC comes up with good 8 reasons as to why we should or should not. I'd like 9 them to review it, report back to us based on our 10 citizen input where we should get population as opposed 11 to directing them to one location. CHAIRMAN LYNN: As always, we like the 12 13 benefit of your wisdom on any issues if you see a better 14 or more preferable way to accomplish the concept we're 15 trying to achieve, regardless of the methodology to get 16 us there. 17 There's a motion on the floor. 18 Further discussion? 19 I guess for me, this goes back to one of 20 the more difficult decisions we have to make. Again, the final decision is some weeks off, in fact, more than 21 22 a month off. The question in my mind is which map we 23 want to take out for public comment. Is that a map that has a, I'll say, a more contiguous and compact northern 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 district, for lack ofhaebetterrdescription, or one that

separates the Hopi and Navajo which we all understand is 1 possible. We all understand that it can happen with 2 3 minimal impact on other communities in the state. And I 4 don't know that it matters much. We're going to get 5 testimony from all sides on whichever configuration we 6 show. I'm not so sure we shouldn't -- well, that's 7 fine. We'll just figure out which way the motion goes 8 and do it that way and get testimony.

9 COMMISSIONER HALL: Mr. Chairman, I think 10 we've looked at, earlier in this series of meetings, 11 looked at districts without, I'm suggesting by reason of the motion, we look at the districts with it, make a 12 decision. I'm not suggesting by reason of the motion we 13 14 make a decision which map goes out for public comment. 15 I'm not sure we may or may not be close. We looked this 16 morning at what the other one looked like. Let's look 17 now at what this one looks like. In light of the 18 additional changes of the Phoenix Metropolitan area, we have the two, maybe put them side by side, then try to 19 20 come to a final conclusion is what I'm trying to 21 suggest. CHAIRMAN LYNN: On that basis for that 22 purpose, I'd certainly support the motion to look at it. 23 Further discussion? 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 If not, halliin favornsay "aye."

1 (Vote taken.) 2 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Chair votes "aye." 3 Motion carries unanimously. 4 DR. ADAMS: Dr. Adams, if you can, put the 5 maps in place. See the totality of the changes we've 6 asked you to present. 7 COMMISSIONER HALL: If I may reemphasize 8 without being redundant, I would like, as we look at 9 both situations, both in metropolitan and rural, we do 10 have, as party registration figures also begin to move 11 down, an avenue for those important considerations, 12 also. DR. ADAMS: Commissioner Hall, I'll assure 13 14 you, all reports that come out from us now that we have, 15 that registration data will be accompanied by -- will be 16 part of our data sheet. 17 COMMISSIONER HALL: Thank you. 18 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Are there other 19 adjustments you wish suggest relative to congressional maps for this next round of review? Are there other 20 21 portions of the map you'd like the consultants to model 22 and look at in terms of any other adjustments? 23 Mr. Elder? COMMISSIONER ELDER: Yes, Mr. Chairman. 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 Dr. Adams, nthererwasna discussion in the

Power Point that made the comment I believe there was --1 area G was fragile and that there was something we were 2 3 doing to either F, either F or A, which had the affect, 4 or may have affected G. Is that the case? 5 DR. ADAMS: Commissioner Elder, in the 6 instructions you have given me, that should not be a 7 problem. 8 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Okay. Thank you. 9 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff. 10 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I'd just like to be 11 reminded, how much of the Tucson metropolitan area population, approximately, is in District G? 12 DR. ADAMS: I'd have to take a look at --13 14 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I don't need to 15 know right now. 16 DR. ADAMS: We need to bring the 17 information back. 18 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I'd like to know how much in Tucson. Is that truly going to be a second 19 rural district or is it going to be a urban dominated 20 21 district? I think we need to know that. DR. ADAMS: I can look it up for you now? 22 23 Could be okay if I brought the information back? COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: That's fine. 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 CHAIRMANOLYNN: AMr.oHuntwork.

COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: In the interest of 1 2 leaving no stone unturned, one thing we learned is there 3 still remains an area of the Hispanic AUR in southern 4 Glendale which contains approximately some 7,000 people, 5 if I understand correctly, because it was the --6 essentially the compensating balance for Ahwatukee. 7 That raises the question of whether you could enrich G 8 by coming down the, on the western side of the valley 9 and connecting, as there is a reservoir of Hispanic 10 population currently not being used for -- in that way. 11 There seems to me to be a lot of reasons not to do that, but with G being as fragile and close to the line as you 12 13 seem to be suggesting it might be, I would submit that 14 we can't -- we have to consider that possibility. 15 DR. ADAMS: Mr. Huntwork, I just want to 16 be clear. So you are thinking that if we redivided 17 Glendale and brought that population and rippled it 18 through District D, is that the suggestion? COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Yeah. 19 DR. ADAMS: You want to look at what that 20 would do or the possibility of moving some population 21 22 from D into G. COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Put back into D. 23 And that means the western part of D would become part 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 of G which is alreadyhcontiguouszto, I believe.

1

25

CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff.

2 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I understand the 3 objective of trying to do that, but I think it really 4 wrecks havoc with the South Phoenix AUR. The area that 5 probably would be taken out of there is Avondale or some 6 of the extreme southern part of the district, and that's 7 really the heart of the district, the northern part. 8 The stretch of Glendale was not. 9 I think we really need to get some advice 10 from NDC as to whether we need to put more people into

10 from NDC as to whether we need to put more people into 11 District G. If we don't, I think that we're really 12 causing detriment to an AUR that was very strongly 13 presented and very carefully thought out.

14 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff just asked a 15 question a moment ago that goes to another point that 16 should be made on that suggestion. Ms. Minkoff asked 17 what percentage of the Tucson population has influenced 18 that district, if it also had a Phoenix neighborhood and had a dual urban influence on that AUR. And I don't 19 20 think that gets us where we want to be, where we want to 21 be, either. 22 Those are some of reasons, Jim, it might 23 be good and proper for not considering that. But take a 24 look at it, if you like. ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE

COMMISSIONERXHUNTWORK: Mr. Chairman, I

recognize those things and I agree with them. On the 1 2 other hand, I heard Ms. Leoni say 10 times G was 3 fragile, haven't tested it completely, so on and so 4 forth. I'm wondering if there was that possibility. 5 With the changes identified in B, it became clear it was 6 possible and we ought to take a look at what it would 7 do, not that anybody is pushing it at this moment. 8 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff. 9 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I think if there's 10 a need to change the composition of District G, probably 11 a better place to look is part of Santa Cruz County, which we took out of it. That might work a little bit 12 13 better, then we can pull some of the Tucson Metropolitan 14 Area. There's a lot of flexibility on which parts of 15 Tucson we'd pull out of G and put in H. If we need to 16 make any adjustments from a voting rights standpoint, 17 that might be a better way to do it. 18 DR. ADAMS: Chairman Lynn, Commissioner Minkoff, Members of Commissioner, my recommendation 19 20 would be certainly to take look at that, not to make any 21 moves, however, at this time, until we have our further 22 analysis so that we find out just how -- what sort of 23 solid ground we are on there. We do have a body of evidence from the Hispanic Coalition showing a community 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 of interest in that AUR.niAndAliwould be reluctant to

1

2 so. CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall. 3 4 COMMISSIONER HALL: Mr. Chairman, I 5 recommend we move on to Legislative Districts. I think 6 they have enough information. There's such a thing as 7 too much. I recommend we switch gears. 8 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Do the consultants feel 9 sufficiently tasked with changes to the Congressional 10 maps at this point you can get that done for us before 11 the evening is out? 12 DR. ADAMS: I will be returning to work on 13 this, going back into the part of this complex, and 14 working on that, and Doug will come assist me with the 15 numbers. If you give me some sort of idea of what the 16 time is going to be for the rest of afternoon and 17 evening, that would be helpful to me, what you have in 18 mind. 19 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Are we prepared with the 20 Legislative report? 21 Our goal would be to hear legislative 22 report at this juncture, to -- once we've heard 23 Legislative report, I think it would be appropriate to have our second call to the public of the day so that 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 that does not occur tooelate initheaevening and so the

touch it without having a good, sound reason for doing

1	public can have the benefit of both reports having come
2	back to speak to us. At that point we'd be prepared to
3	revisit the Congressional, if they are ready.
4	DR. ADAMS: Okay. I will I will be
5	working on the map. And with assistance from Doug, I
6	will be preparing the numbers. One difficulty we have
7	is at 5:00 o'clock the office here closes where they
8	have the printer. But we can get you, as he's tell you
9	what numbers are, show you a spread sheet up on the
10	screen, possibly put the spread sheet onto computers, I
11	believe.
12	CHAIRMAN LYNN: There's that very
13	important word, graduated into plastics today, kin Co.
14	DR. ADAMS: Five miles away I'm told.
15	CHAIRMAN LYNN: We'll be flexible.
16	The sense is we won't have a complete and
17	quick concurrence on the entire Legislative map. We'll
18	have plenty of time for you to work your will on the
19	Congressional map.
20	Mr. Huntwork.
21	COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: There's Fry's
22	across the street, the cheapest place in Arizona to buy
23	printers. Keep that in mind, too.
24	CHAIRMAN LYNN: All right. ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE
25	Are wePprepared?rizona

1 Let's take -- make -- we're becoming 2 famous for, A, starting late and, B, taking 10 minutes 3 for a break that last a half hour, neither is something 4 I like being famous for. Take a 10-minute break, and I 5 mean 10 minutes. 6 (Recess taken from 5:18 until 7 approximately 5:41 p.m.) 8 CHAIRMAN LYNN: If the Commissioners will 9 take their seats. 10 Dr. Heslop. 11 DR. HESLOP: Chairman Lynn, you asked a number of questions and asked for a number of 12 13 experiments or tests to be made of the Legislative draft 14 plan. Doug Johnson's efforts are recorded on the Power 15 Point that he's going to share with you. You will, of 16 course, remember that we haven't had much time to 17 develop responses to your questions. 18 Doug. MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, 19 20 we obviously received a large number of requests in the earlier session. And so this is an effort to walk 21 22 through the different ones and address issues raised and 23 present to you both significant problems, if there are any with an issue, how an issue might be resolved, and 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 where we're at with creating maps, ncreating resolutions.

1 The first question brought up was the 2 question of putting Ajo with the rest of -- or with 3 Tucson to unify it with more of its county. We have 4 some definite options that we can take with that. Let 5 me zoom in and show you on the map here. There are only 6 3,900 people in this whole area, as we talked about, I 7 think about 3,200 in Gila.

8 Options here, number one, just pick up 9 some more unincorporated people closer in to Phoenix, 10 put this with the rest of Pima County. Option two, these somewhat resolve themselves I think when get into 11 the larger picture issues, would be to create a Yuma, La 12 13 Paz Legislative District, given the populations of the 14 two counties, and have La Paz remain divided; however, 15 we could move up that way, would be working with the 16 established river AUR there.

17 So I just want to take these largely in 18 the order raised. Obviously resolution of this will depend on the larger question going on up north, how 19 that is resolved. We have looked at it. Even if we do 20 end up needing to take suburbs around Phoenix, there's 21 22 only 3,900 people of what we're looking for. It would not significantly alter the character of this district. 23 It would remain dominated by Yuma. 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE I'll comeeback toithat when we get to the 25

1 large questions. 2 In Maricopa, we had a couple of questions. The first two I'd like to address are in Districts Y and 3 4 D, unifying the Westbrook neighborhood. Let me zoom in 5 on this area. Actually I'll change the color of 6 District Y so you see this a little bit better. 7 One more time here. 8 We looked to this and don't see any 9 significant problems achieving this. What would happen 10 is that the Westbrook area of Peoria here would move 11 over into the western district, which is District D. This is already a split of Peoria. Essentially this 12 split of Peoria could move further or allow us with more 13 14 city splits no significant impact on AURs. When we 15 finish that we'll do that. 16 The next question raised was the Central 17 Phoenix historical area, Districts R and S. Central 18 Phoenix is an area we actually looked at a lot when drawing these lines because it is one of those difficult 19 areas where two AURs overlap. The request was to take 20 more of this population in District R and perhaps 21 22 instead of a leg going up here make more of a straight 23 across dividing line. We looked at this issue. The problem that 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 occurs here is it improves xrepresentation and

unification of the historical AUR; however, the 1 character of District S is actually affected 2 3 considerably. District S is a borderline majority 4 Hispanic district, and we drop well below 50 percent 5 Hispanic for that to occur. The reason for that is that 6 the character of the communities right in this region 7 are simply different from this region, and it would 8 alter S's overall character significantly. So we'd 9 recommend not doing that because of concerns about 10 dividing those communities and maximizing representation 11 for the Hispanic AUR, regretfully, at the expense of the Central Phoenix Historical. 12 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Could you show me 13 14 where the break between Sun City and R is in this 15 scenario? 16 MR. JOHNSON: The computer does well when 17 doing eight districts, not so well when there is 30. 18 Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff. 19 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I have a question 20 about that. If you put those areas into District S, you 21 22 have to take something out of District S. 23 MR. JOHNSON: Right. COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Is there a 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 population in DistrictoSnthatAiszsimilar in composition

to what you are putting in that you could pull out and, 1 therefore, retain the characteristics or makeup of 2 District S? 3 4 MR. JOHNSON: That's definitely the 5 question we looked at in considerable depth. Not only is this Smith Historical District also not the most 6 7 compact approach to take, the issue we encountered is 8 the nature of the community right along the line here, 9 the character and concerns of that are distinctly 10 different than the community up in the northern reaches 11 of this district. COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: What about the 12 13 extreme eastern end of the district? 14 MR. JOHNSON: Pardon me? 15 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: The extreme eastern 16 end of the district? 17 MR. JOHNSON: Where we try to go would be 18 kind of move this into S, R, pick it up somewhere along a different border. 19 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I'm talking 20 21 about --MR. JOHNSON: Over here? 22 23 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I lost my district labels. The extreme eastern end of the district borders 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 of R and CC. Phoenix, Arizona

MR. JOHNSON: Oh, right in here. 1 2 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Yeah. MR. JOHNSON: We could look into and did 3 look into bringing District R into here. It would help 4 5 the historic district. This is a fairly lightly 6 populated area. We'd Actually have to come 7 significantly into the population here. 8 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: What kind 9 population shifting here? 10 MR. JOHNSON: Numbers? 11 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: With the historic and --12 MR. JOHNSON: Let me put numbers on here. 13 14 Let me zoom in here so we can make more sense out of 15 this map. 16 Zoom in, population of each block in the 17 area, you see it's extremely densely populated and 18 you're getting into thousands of people here. 19 Let me jump over to the area you're talking about in the east here. 20 21 In here we do have a couple of thousand in 22 these three blocks right through here and somewhat of the population down here, not nearly enough to get 23 equality with the areas we're talking about moving on 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE the other side. We'dhhavextoAcomen--25

1 Let me show you a little bit here. We'd have to come fairly significantly over and end up, on 2 the compactness side --3 4 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Actually have to 5 come further over. I think it helps compactness rather 6 than hurts it, connects more easily to R as you move 7 over. 8 Doug. 9 MR. JOHNSON: As you move over, it's less 10 of a compactness problem in terms of horizontally, 11 moving the vertical leg up. Into the vertical leg I think -- when I first looked at this, we looked at 10 12 configurations of this board. You end up all way to the 13 14 district above it. 15 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: That's all right if 16 you carved out the hole, if you -- if you went all the 17 way up to the district to the north, the one, there's 18 Country Club, Arizona Biltmore, et cetera, and cut the 19 north-south line at the eastern end of that district. My concern is for these four neighborhoods that I 20 suggested putting into S, knowing the character of those 21 22 four neighborhoods, their community of interest is not 23 with District R, you know, any more than Ahwatukee is with -- Ahwatukee is with the Congressional District we 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 wanted to take them outeof., Itiwould do a real

1 disservice to the people to take them out of District R if we moved them to District S and we look at S and try 2 3 to find comparable population to try to move into R so 4 that the districts remain balanced, because they just 5 really belong in District S. 6 MR. JOHNSON: We can certainly do that. 7 The option focused on in at the time, we 8 had the population here. 9 You make a good suggestion, and we'll 10 check out the impact of doing that with the district. 11 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Thank you. MR. JOHNSON: The next question raised was 12 the -- almost MOUS combination of districts. It was 13 14 kind of fun making this slide. Very similar to what 15 Dr. Adams was talking about earlier, the Congressional 16 front, involving a few more districts. And we also, MOU 17 and S, M is here, O, U, and S. The discussion was the 18 east-west nature of these districts as they're drawn, how in this community, the more community of interest 19 20 tends to be on the north-south access. S as I just described is a very touchy district similar to G in the 21 Congressional plan. But for M, O, and U, we can 22 23 certainly do that. We don't anticipate any impact on 24 local communities. ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 Scottsdalenis, already asplit in a small

```
1
    piece up here.
 2
                  The issue you ran into in the
 3
    Congressional plan having to do an additional city split
 4
    doesn't enter here. We can do this.
 5
                  I apologize for any not having maps of
 6
     these done during the time. I preferred to answer more
 7
     questions in a general manner than have details. We can
 8
    draw the plans, if it's the Commissioners' wish.
 9
                  CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff.
10
                  COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: If splitting those,
11
     changing the configuration, the two to really look at
     are O and U. If you start trying to split M, you know,
12
     it covers such a large area and it's already got sort of
13
14
    a north-south configuration, it's going to become a
15
    couple awfully long skinny looking districts. O and U,
16
    it makes sense to explore them.
17
                  CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork.
18
                  COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I mentioned this
    earlier. I was also talking about S, partly because of
19
    the reason Andi has been focusing on. By taking out
20
     that historic area it then causes S to become pretty
21
    elongated. But really, if you manage to consolidate
22
23
    that less, and it's more vertical as well, and it may
    drive some of the other changes.
24
                    ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE
25
                  MR. JOHNSON:x, Theinext question that arose
```

1 is Maricopa Tempe. And the goal was unite to Tempe. The discussion was, instruction was to look to putting 2 3 Guadalupe with Tempe, if necessary, and maybe a small 4 edge of Scottsdale. And as is discussed at the time, 5 Tempe and Guadalupe come up 7,000 short. The small edge 6 of Scottsdale is probably an acceptable option. We did 7 analysis of numbers here. 61,000 people are currently 8 included in CC, 61,000 Scottsdale residents. We have to 9 find a place for 54,000 to go north from that. This is 10 not to say that cannot be done. Certainly it can be 11 done. What will be the impact of this? Go to the map. It is a larger issue. We're talking about CC down here, 12 13 which currently consists of north Tempe, south 14 Scottsdale, and Mesa. People in Scottsdale go north, 15 given we've discussed S, they can't go north without 16 altering S. They hope to preserve the city borders they 17 do preserve. They do have various options and we 18 discuss in more detail exactly what the impact is. 19 Following our discussions -- no, wait. 20 But following our discussions coming up later in the presentation, what is going in Yavapai, the edges here, 21 22 the eastern edges, as you know well, will impact the 23 edge of Phoenix here. 24 I want to say we can certainly do this. ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE

25 We can look into it.PhWhatxwe'llzneed to do is prepare

1 options based as they relate to options we relate to the 2 outside. COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Just one addition. 3 4 It appears we've had further communication from 5 Guadalupe, it appears now unequivocal they don't want to 6 be with Tempe. About 7,200 people. Now shifting less 7 of Scottsdale, now 14,000. Most would stay in that 8 district. 9 MR. JOHNSON: That actually would simplify 10 that. 11 So, as I said, we'll look at this in toto with the other questions we're talking about here. 12 13 Issue number two, I should mention as 14 well, down in the south of CC, currently Tempe is split 15 almost in half, as you know, and the lower half is 16 merged with the Ahwatukee neighborhood. 17 Let me -- each time I change color, a 18 different color of the map, it messes up a section I go 19 to next. See this district, what would happen is 20 21 this population unites with the northern population. As you discussed, Ahwatukee is a big issue. However, this 22 23 would have one -- this is already a piece Chandler with Ahwatukee. Come south, and we put Ahwatukee with 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 Chandler. An optionPweecan,do,ithere are a lot of

1 options, there's a ripple effect, and things to 2 consider, moving on to Tucson, the area in question, there was a question of how much of the Tucson 3 4 metropolitan area is in this far eastern district. 5 Get the big picture here to begin with. 6 The District G is all this area. Running the numbers, 7 we're essentially looking at the population located in 8 the area around the eastern edge and down, not going 9 very south, around Vail and right along the southern 10 border of Tucson here. What I came up with from those 11 numbers is there are 16,000 people in Tanque Verde and about 10,000 people in the other unincorporated areas 12 around there. Works out to about 15 percent of District 13 14 G is what we might consider the Tucson immediate 15 metropolitan area. An argument might be made the Tucson 16 metropolitan area is growing further and further. 17 That's the area immediately in the vicinity of these 18 towns. We're looking at options of how to reconfigure this district. The first and most obvious one is to 19 20 unify the Santa Cruz County within District G and to drop the 25,000 people that are in the more Tucson 21 metropolitan area. This is a very appealing option 22 23 because we always enjoy reducing the number of county 24 splits in this plan. It does have one concern, the I-19 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 corridor, we try to unify, xwithitheaexception of Green

Valley, given we've already divided partially Green 1 Valley, it's not a huge concern. We're not dividing 2 3 something not previously divided. The only concern it 4 raises is 9,000 more people here than there are right 5 around Tucson. We could go further out, take a very 6 small piece of Pima County into this district, which 7 actually is a pretty good option; however, it will 8 impact a larger question as well. 9 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff. 10 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I think that also 11 raises a problem for the adjacent district which I believe is District E. If you pull out the western 12 13 Santa Cruz county, you change the composition of that 14 district. 15 MR. JOHNSON: That's a concern. 16 COMMISSIONER ELDER: I would echo that. 17 E, without having Santa Cruz, a portion of the Hispanic, 18 that's a majority Legislative District right now. Without Santa Cruz in there and picking up other areas 19 20 adjacent in there, I don't think it would be, anymore. MR. JOHNSON: The other two options that 21 22 we considered would be more of a northern move, could be done in two ways. One, this is a larger issue I'll get 23 24 into in the Eastern Arizona Counties area. If the ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 decision were to divideeup,, itrwould be fairly compact.

I realize that given the level of community support, the fact is the AUR option is not very excited by that. And it has a piece I looked at that would work but would also have some impacts we're not thrilled with which would be just to work our way north through here, pick up some of these communities we talked about that share a somewhat rural character.

8 I should point out District G is not what 9 we consider up here a rural district, even in this 10 configuration. It is part of Tucson, and this area is 11 also somewhat urban. It's what we consider a rural influence or heavily rural district but not dominated. 12 13 So that is an option, of course, we can 14 look at to bring up more into Pinal County and take in 15 these communities. Or if the decision is probably 16 elsewhere to divide the Eastern Arizona Counties, pick 17 up the area down here. 18 It's not something I'm looking for any

19 direction at this point until we look more at the larger 20 issue. 21 I think last, two kind of relatively minor 22 to the northern issues. Tucson, there was a question 23 actually when we were looking into it, I wanted to ask

24 for a little more clarification from the Commission. ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE
25 The question was aboutousing 12thoAvenue rather than

Sixth Avenue as a division between Districts H and I in 1 here. This is the Sixth Avenue border. 12th Avenue is 2 3 a little further to the west, I believe. I was 4 wondering if the Commission could describe, were you 5 interested in using a dividing line all the way far 6 south or just above Tucson? I notice you were not 7 giving clarification earlier. 8 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Actually, it would be most 9 helpful south of downtown. MR. JOHNSON: Okay. 10 11 CHAIRMAN LYNN: South of downtown. Not south of Tucson, south of downtown Tucson. 12 MR. JOHNSON: Okay. 13 14 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Running directly south on 15 12th. 16 MR. JOHNSON: Okay. 17 When we looked at this area in general 18 terms, I think we can fairly swap out some pieces of this border as long as we're not looking at the whole 19 20 thing, given the additional direction, we can go look at that in more detail. We'll need to clarify that area. 21 22 I think we can do it without any significant impact on the character and the local communities, the character 23 of these districts and local communities. We'll need to 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 check that given additional, instruction.

1 The other requests in the Tucson area was the I-10 portion of District H. And moving with the 2 3 Summit district, and swapping that with the Corona de 4 Tucson area into a Tucson district. And let me show you 5 what we're going to do here. This is south of I-10 6 here. Again, this is one we seek a little clarification 7 from the Commission on, because we have two different 8 communities south of I-10 here, one south of I-10 at the 9 Y, the other is the region here. This can be swapped fairly easily and brought out. This region, as you 10 11 discussed, is sensitive in character, a borderline majority-minority, due to the arms here are not very 12 compact, if significant communities here could justify. 13 14 I was wondering if the Commission could provide 15 additional instruction on which neighborhoods. 16 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Last question or was 17 it which? 18 MR. JOHNSON: Or was it the area south of the 10 and 10, 19, Y, or were you more interested in the 19 area south of the 10 down near the area south of the 20 district? 21 22 Essentially this area we can do without too much trouble. 23 This area ends up significantly impacting 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 this district and hashproblemsrwithathe Hispanic AUR in

1 that area. 2 It's fine. We don't -- if you have 3 additional direction at this point, or come back at 4 later point, too, if you like. 5 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Elder. 6 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Well, initially what 7 we are trying to do is get an urbanized area, thinking 8 it has considerably more impact to the southeast than it 9 is. I thought there was like 40, 50 thousand there, as 10 opposed to 14 thousand plus the 10. Corona de Tucson is 11 an extreme growth area. And it's new housing, probably non-Hispanic if we looked at the numbers there, as well 12 as area over by Vail and eastern Tucson. 13 14 If we trade any area at all, that could 15 dilute Hispanic area. It is also in a growth area where 16 it relates more to Tucson than it relates to rural areas 17 around there. 18 That lower yellow area south and west of the I-10 has just been annexed into the City of Tucson, 19 and I believe in that boundary. So within the City of 20 Tucson there there's like 15 people, maybe more than 21 22 that, it seems like 28 square miles, and almost no people to vote for the annexation. So it could go any 23 24 direction and not affect us one way or another. ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 MR. JOHNSON:x, Wercannfollow up on this and

get a little more definition of the community on this 1 2 issue. 3 So now in terms of more big picture 4 issues, the Pinal County question, this was actually a 5 heavy focus of the time during the break there. And 6 they were really to -- I mean the main interest is in 7 unifying Pinal County, at least up to the Apache 8 Junction area. One of the suggestions, a good one was 9 to look at the Casa Grande Legislative plan or 10 Legislative proposal. And this is the border of the 11 Legislative District proposed by the Casa Grande group. The issues that we encountered with this district 12 13 primarily impact Legislative District E which, in the 14 draft proposed plan is the Tohono O'odham 15 majority-minority Gila River District. 16 What happens when you unify the majority 17 of Pinal County which a division of the Gila River and 18 Casa Grande area from the Tohono O'odham and the border communities? Again, we have two AURs really overlapping 19 20 each other and presenting us with these difficult decisions? The concern that we have with the Casa 21 22 Grande proposal is that it would divide those communities. Both of them would have some Hispanic and 23 total minority percentage, but neither would be a 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 majority district. Bothnof, these owould become

nonmajority-minority districts. And that raises 1 significant concerns for us given the division of the 2 Hispanic AUR that we have there. 3 4 The other ideas we took to unifying this 5 area essentially all run into the same problem. The 6 Casa Grande, Gila River areas are so key to E, 7 maintaining E and the area the Commission defined as a 8 difficult question. The only way to unify Pinal in a 9 significant way is to divide the AUR we defined in the 10 district E. 11 So even if we change the Apache Junction nature of this AUR, take it out as discussed, Pinal 12 County as a whole is 29 percent minority. Obviously 13 14 there is significant population outside the AUR. We're 15 unable to come up with a scenario we'd be comfortable 16 recommending to the Commission. 17 If the Commission so chooses, we can 18 certainly recommend something along that line. 19 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork. COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I seem to recall 20 21 that the original proposal excluded the Gila River Indian community. Are you sure that it was included? 22 23 It was included. MR. JOHNSON: This is the only proposal 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 I've seen. If therePiseanotheriproposal, we'd be

1 willing to look at that one as well and see if it has 2 other options. COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: If the -- if the 3 4 reservation, Gila River --5 MR. JOHNSON: This is Ak-Chin. 6 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Ak-Chin, if those 7 were excluded, what effect would it have on the 8 population? 9 MR. JOHNSON: You would still be losing 10 the significant Hispanic population of Casa Grande, and 11 this district, district E, being so borderline in its character, it would drop below a majority-minority 12 district. It would be a much more minority influenced 13 14 district than if you took all of it out. It would still 15 drop below that percentage. 16 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff. 17 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Nobody ever said 18 this was going to be easy. MR. JOHNSON: That's for sure. 19 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: But the problem 20 that I see with the districts as they are currently 21 22 drawn is more in district L than it is in district E. 23 District L is really a nightmare. You have a significant portion of the Phoenix Metropolitan area. 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 You have a significantoportionrofothe Tucson

metropolitan area. It is the only district with both 1 metropolitan areas. And then you have the small 2 3 communities of eastern Pinal County trapped between two 4 metropolitan areas and really kind of left out in the 5 cold. And so when I suggested you go back and look at 6 the Pinal County AUR. It was really out of concern as 7 to what district L does to the three communities. 8 Because it leaves the part that is part of the Phoenix 9 Metropolitan area vying with the Tucson area, leaves 10 Tucson vying with Phoenix, and leaves the rural part of 11 Pinal County with no one to speak to them. So I really think that that district L 12 should not stand as it is currently drawn. 13 14 I'm looking for some guidance as to ways 15 we can change that so that -- it's not good for any of 16 the communities. It just creates problems for the three 17 communities, doesn't work for any of the three 18 communities in the district. That's my concern. Trying to go back to the Casa Grande AUR doesn't do it. There 19 has got to be some other way that doesn't create a 20 district that I don't think works for anybody in the 21 22 entire district. 23 CHAIRMAN LYNN: I want to reinforce that 24 comment in its entirety and indicate that even if it ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 means going back and Preconfiguring nmany of the southern

Arizona districts in order to come up with an 1 appropriate configuration that doesn't result in 2 3 district L being anything like it is now, at least take 4 a look at it. I'm not sure how many different moves on 5 the chess board need to be made to do it. I would hate 6 to take that district out and try to defend it in any 7 way against any principle that we've come up with so 8 far. 9 Mr. Huntwork. 10 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: It depends on 11 where you start. And you end up -- this seems like it's almost been painted into a corner. L is such a bad 12 configuration, it looks like what is left over after --13 14 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: The other 29. COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Start off in a 15 16 different place, end up in a different place. I have 17 the Hispanic Plan for Fairness, Fair Redistricting, 18 superimposed. I think if I'm reading correctly, it basically, it's most of Pinal County in a single 19 20 district. 21 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: So much 22 information. 23 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I'm pretty sure. But it's an approach and started at a different place, 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 but obviously it wasPalsoia, movezto aprotect the minority

interests. And if you are going to think about starting
 in a different place, you might take a good hard look at
 that plan, because it certainly doesn't have this
 problem.

5 MR. JOHNSON: Commissioner Huntwork, if I 6 may, you mentioned earlier how many of the issues 7 interrelate here. One of the hopes I've not yet had 8 time to look at in detail, the south Tempe question, 9 actually will prompt a lot of this urban population and 10 the Mesa moving east. So there is some chance, and I 11 have not looked at the details and numbers, that will take out a significant portion of Apache Junction into 12 that area. So might have two problems that help to 13 14 solve each other. It will, however, still leave these 15 rural areas with the southern, a part of it, the Tucson 16 part of it.

17 As you say, we're trapped between AURs 18 that overlap and the border regions down south. It is difficult decisions. We're definitely, keep it as a 19 20 priority to look at in terms of not having at least both urban areas like we did. 21 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Elder and then 22 23 Ms. Minkoff. COMMISSIONER ELDER: Mr. Johnson, do you 24

ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 have any idea at allPifewexlookedoat the Casa Grande

1 AUR, Casa Grande submitted what population, what we now currently called L, is in relation to district E, and 2 3 say everything in Pima County, how much of E, do those 4 populations balance? It almost looks as though let's 5 take L, to an extent, all the way west, and approximate 6 the Casa Grande AUR, take everything that was in Pima 7 County and move it toward -- so it matches up with the 8 population there. I'm wondering if those two balance. 9 MR. JOHNSON: Essentially moving toward --10 E, take in the green area here, the south end of L, is 11 that what you are referring to, L west? COMMISSIONER ELDER: Yes. L moving west. 12 13 It might mean that that brown area, now Picture Rocks, 14 ends up moving south. And that would allow us to unite 15 the E with parts of Oro Valley and the area out there. 16 The problem with that is we heard testimony saying that 17 the Tohono O'odham really don't have much to do or 18 there's no glue really between them and Oro Valley and resort valleys up near Catalina. I'm not sure if it's 19 Catalina, Marana, Picture Rocks. It all becomes one 20 21 district. Then whatever it is Valencia, another one I 22 never heard of, Valencia Wells. Three Points come into the southern district. 23 I'm with Commissioner Minkoff. We need 24

ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 look at the whole regionnfromAL,zE,aI, whatever the

1 other one is to the west. 2 So I -- I have a hard time trying to 3 justify any of those three, four districts, yet having 4 characteristics that makes them whole or unified. We 5 have dichotomies all over that area. 6 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff. 7 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: As I look at this 8 more, trying to find a solution for the problem, which I 9 haven't found yet, it really seems to me that the entire 10 southern portion, all those districts, beginning with Q 11 going across E, Q, G, not way into Metropolitan Tucson, I'm not as familiar with that community, where decisions 12 should be made I'd defer to our southern contingent on 13 14 the Commission for those. I think we need to relook at 15 all districts is the only way. If we say what we move 16 out of E to put into L, what do we put into E to make 17 that work? Then -- I'm not sure that's the way to do 18 it. This portion of the state, maybe the way to do it is to partially start over. I can't see anything we can 19 20 do with these existing districts that's not going to cause a nightmare in Pinal County. 21 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Hall and then 22 23 Mr. Huntwork. COMMISSIONER HALL: Is -- what is the 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 letter of the southeastedistrict?onWhat is the letter?

MR. JOHNSON: G. 1 2 COMMISSIONER HALL: IS G a minority-majority district? 3 4 MR. JOHNSON: No. G goes all the way to 5 Cochise County. 6 COMMISSIONER HALL: Here's my question. 7 We all talked about problems. Here's a solution. We 8 all see problems. I'm wondering, per chance, make G majority-minority. The concern was minimizing E as 9 10 majority-minority, if I understood what you said 11 correctly. 12 MR. JOHNSON: Yes. 13 COMMISSIONER HALL: Make G 14 majority-minority by coming further west along the 15 border. I think that would address that issue. Then E 16 is obviously going to have to go further north, likely 17 incorporate some more of Metropolitan Phoenix, just 18 speculating here, which then I believe leaves more room 19 for Pinal, more wiggle room with Pinal to accommodate 20 something that may more closely reflect maybe even what 21 Casa Grande proposed, or something to that effect. 22 It's my opinion that the combination of 23 Pinal ought to come from Casa Grande and work to the eastern portion of Pinal and then come west. And if 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 there's going to be tooemuch population there for the

most urban portion of Pinal, it would be tied to the 1 urban portion of the valley and connecting the more 2 3 outlying areas of Pinal. 4 I'm just simply throwing out an idea. And 5 I think as Mr. Huntwork indicated, there are other 6 suggestions that have some components that may correlate 7 with that, with some of those suggestions. 8 But our goal, as I understand it, is to 9 have sufficient majority-minority representation, and 10 maybe shifting that to the southeast and then allow the 11 flexibility of what you currently call E, would address the Pinal problem so they're not, if you will, in a box, 12 and still leave other additional AURs intact. And maybe 13 14 that would be a solution. 15 MR. JOHNSON: We can definitely look at 16 that, see where it takes us. 17 COMMISSIONER HALL: What I said, does that 18 make any sense to you, Doug? 19 MR. JOHNSON: It does. Conceptually it's 20 a very appealing proposal. 21 The concern, not having looked at it in 22 specifics, is it would almost definitely require 23 splitting Cochise County between the border, just because Cochise is also not a majority-minority 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 district. Phoenix, Arizona

COMMISSIONER HALL: I think Cochise stays 1 completely intact as it is. You pull population out of 2 3 eastern Tucson, that urban area, come down and pick up 4 more of the border communities, accommodate population 5 needs, simultaneously pick up additional Hispanic 6 population there for making it majority-minority, purely 7 speaking theory. I'm simply asking you to test that to 8 determine in reality if that theory is true to allow 9 more flexibility with respect to E. If we gain 10 population in the north, it may well allow more 11 flexibility with Pinal. MR. JOHNSON: We can certainly test that. 12 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork. 13 14 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I want to point 15 out, I think Joshua pointed out the equivalent of G 16 pretty much as it stands, the Hispanic plan. I think 17 also part of the component of freeing up Pinal County 18 was that the Tohono O'odham Reservation, which would 19 remain intact, went west, and that Yuma County was 20 united with parts of La Paz County going north, which had another component to it, the river community 21 22 component. If those have to go up to the Phoenix Metro community at all, they should all stay south and, in my 23 opinion, stay south as a unit. 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 COMMISSIONERxHALL: zoThe difference being,

1	Mr. Huntwork, between what I heard, from I've heard
2	Representatives from the Cochise Board of Supervisors,
3	is they view themselves as rural. Some plans proposed,
4	I think, to make that district urban influenced. To
5	what degree, I couldn't tell you. What I'm suggesting
6	is there ought to be an attempt, and I welcome
7	consultants to correct my perception, what I have heard
8	the perception to be, an attempt to unify the border
9	communities and maintain the capability of the
10	majority-minority district and rural influence district.
11	COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Josh, that's
12	exactly I'm looking on the Hispanic plan.
13	COMMISSIONER HALL: So am I.
14	COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: It looks like,
15	just barely, Cochise entirely, Santa Cruz entirely,
16	picks up primarily rural areas.
17	COMMISSIONER HALL: Does not in L, the
18	upside down L to the north of that goes right into
19	Tucson.
20	COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: It's very hard to
21	tell.
22	COMMISSIONER HALL: It does.
23	COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Does indeed.
24	COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: No, they are not. ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE
25	COMMISSIONERXHALL:zoI'm saying that goes

1 into urban areas. COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Okay. I 2 understand then. 3 4 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: That district is 5 numbered nine in the Coalition for Fair Redistricting 6 plan and it's not a majority-minority district. 7 COMMISSIONER HALL: I'm saying that's 8 maybe an idea. It's obvious we're all concerned about 9 Southwestern Arizona, and I think that that may get us 10 to where we need to go. And, you know, nothing is going 11 to be hundred percent win-win, but I see there's more winners or losers in some of those ideas. 12 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Elder. 13 14 COMMISSIONER ELDER: I'd like to propose 15 another option alternative I would like NDC to look at. 16 We had fairly good testimony from this region here, this 17 is the Rio Rico, Nogales, Santa Cruz area, they had more 18 links to the Tucson area, the highway, socioeconomic, NAFTA, a whole series of things there, and it always 19 20 tended to seem like when we were getting out and voted on the issue in Sierra Vista, it was different from what 21 22 the issues were in Santa Cruz and they would like to 23 potentially be separate from that. I was somewhat surprised when I saw that plan that was, you know, I 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 guess called Hispanich--nix, Arizona

1 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Coalition for Fair --2 COMMISSIONER ELDER: The Coalition that 3 4 connected those two. Conversely, it makes sense in the 5 border area of Douglas, Naco, and that, it relates to 6 the border issues on Nogales, I'd like you to take a 7 look at if it takes a look at taking urban areas out so 8 it does not affect G. 9 Look at this area up here. San Pedro 10 Valley runs right down through here and links up some 11 fairly strong environmental groups as well as it brings in the mining groups, and it has things, Bisbee, Douglas 12 had in common with Mammoth. Instead of rotating around 13 14 clockwise, maybe look at looking and questioning whether 15 that's the Southern or Southwest portion of the EACO 16 plan in F, whether it might not be something we look at 17 that inclusion as opposed to this inclusion, test both, 18 have a test of the numbers of how it relates to numbers, and Hispanic, and those. 19 MR. JOHNSON: That is actually a very 20 appealing option from the communities' view, working way 21 22 up, because of shared interests. It won't be a very 23 pretty district. To unite the community, achieve other goals, we may put up with that, or the Commission may 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 put up with that. Phoenix, Arizona

We'll test that and a draw map on it. 1 2 CHAIRMAN LYNN: It's quite clear because 3 of the communities of interest, population distribution, 4 and land configured in the State of Arizona, it's very 5 difficult to win a beauty contest drawing districts. 6 The best you can do is draw districts connected by 7 community of interest and other perspectives that make 8 them similar as opposed to the geography, because there 9 is too much distance between groups of population. 10 When you get into urban areas, it's just a 11 matter of finding an appropriate fit. What you are trying to do is find patterns already established in a 12 13 community rather than drawing something that looks 14 regular or, you know, in some way an ideal. You are 15 working with the existing patterns that are already 16 well-established. 17 All right. Other comments on this portion 18 of the legislative grid or the map? 19 Let's move ahead. MR. JOHNSON: Pinal, we've talked B. 20 District A. This is the big issue. And 21 22 because it requires a considerable amount of drawing, I was not able to complete a plan in -- before this 23 24 readjournment. Let me walk through where we're at on ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 this issue. Let me moven he coalition line here. And

1 let me move Casa Grande.

2 As we look at this area, and based on the 3 discussion earlier today, this darker region is not an 4 actual proposed district. This is really what we look 5 at as the population influx, is how we look at it. 6 We're kind of caught between this is Verde Valley, 7 Sedona, and Flagstaff, which share a considerable 8 community of interest and yet are similar to Maricopa 9 desperately looking for other .2 percent or so of their 10 district. There are a couple of factors in play here. 11 One, as we discussed earlier, Yavapai would be -- we would like to keep intact as a community of interest. 12 13 Given the maps we saw this morning and the conflict of 14 interest that are represented by those maps, it is very 15 difficult to keep Yavapai intact. We're still needing 16 to find an area for this population to go into. One 17 option that we will definitely look at very seriously 18 when we have more time to draw lines is Navajo with the Arizona strip district. I think there's definite appeal 19 to such a district. That will not answer all of our 20 questions in that it will solve what do we do with areas 21 22 up here. We still have this section of area in play and 23 the remainder of Yavapai. Another approach we would like to take and test and bring back to you the results 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE of that test is kindPofeworkingiouraway up from Yuma. 25

I started off the list of issues today, 1 option issues, north from Yuma taking in most of La Paz 2 3 County, unifying the rest of La Paz with Mohave. And 4 that approach leaves a small remainder of population, 5 then fairly compact, that would be merged with pieces of 6 these two of our population in the Mesa area and 7 portions of Yavapai. 8 One thing that I've tempted to do 9 throughout this part of the testing is to see if we can 10 minimize our splits of AURs. We pretty much realize 11 have to split Yavapai in attempting to find an answer not to split the Eastern Arizona Counties. 12 I would like, if the Commission is 13 14 agreeable, to definitely consider that so you have an 15 understanding if we did have to divide the Eastern 16 Arizona Counties, what would that achieve on other 17 fronts. 18 So if that is acceptable to the 19 Commission, we will look at that as well. CHAIRMAN LYNN: Doug, that's -- that last 20 21 scenario is appealing from a number of stand points. I 22 direct you, if you have a copy of the large binder, take a look at testimony from the river communities about 23 that scenario relative to the Legislative Congressional 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 Districts. It's veryhinstructive.na

Mr. Hall. 1 2 COMMISSIONER HALL: With the proviso I 3 recommend with respect to Mohave you take the 4 reservation, make sure that both those reservations are 5 with the Navajo reservation, know what I'm saying? 6 MR. JOHNSON: Yes. 7 COMMISSIONER HALL: Come across there, 8 combine the Native American influence as much as 9 possible. 10 Is the Native American reservation in the 11 orange area, approximately --12 MR. JOHNSON: 130,000 people in the orange 13 area there. 14 COMMISSIONER HALL: You are saying --15 MR. JOHNSON: It's a nice, compact 16 district going up here. The problem is this side is 17 also short population. 18 COMMISSIONER HALL: Where are you 19 suggesting we get the additional population? 20 MR. JOHNSON: It's probably, I'm reluctant 21 to take a guess at it, my instinct would be, inversion 22 keeps the Eastern Arizona Counties intact. This population would come across the Arizona strip, and the 23 orange area uniting more with Mohave, possibly with 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 Western Yavapai. Yavapaiiwouldiendaup with

1 significant --2 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Ms. Minkoff. COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: If you keep the 3 4 Hopi community as part of that district, you add another 5 7,000. 6 MR. JOHNSON: Yes. 7 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I don't think it 8 changes anything, if you pull into and extend that orange area into the Hopi areas and do that as well. 9 10 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Elder. 11 COMMISSIONER ELDER: One area I would like, I guess in the same vein, is we've got this area, 12 I guess District D, in here. One of the things I'd like 13 14 to take a look at, there were several comments from 15 communities that said the road runs right down the 16 middle of the community, though it happens to be a good 17 divide for us, don't divide the town, don't divide our 18 city. The Town of Kingman, city there, if possible when 19 looking at that district, if there is potential that there is population, population I guess from Black 20 21 Canyon Highway to Black Canyon City across, if we're 22 going to divide Yavapai, maybe that part goes to the 23 south, and this part comes down to make a more compact, regular District D. I believe that is what it is. And 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 that would make sureParound, thei Kingman area,

1	development along the highway gets included in the
2	entire district.
3	CHAIRMAN LYNN: Mr. Huntwork.
4	COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: What is the
5	population left in C after we've taken out Flagstaff?
6	How much has to be added to that?
7	MR. JOHNSON: At this point in the
8	drawing, it is essentially exclusively Navajo Nation,
9	104,000 people.
10	COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: If you added the
11	Arizona strip, how much would that be?
12	MR. JOHNSON: Gets close. Have to come
13	down somewhat into Mohave here.
14	COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Don't come down
15	into Mohave. Just address the reservation.
16	MR. JOHNSON: Just reservation, not
17	enough.
18	COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: How much is it?
19	MR. JOHNSON: I don't know off the top of
20	my head.
21	COMMISSIONER HALL: Basically, that
22	proposal is basically that northern district which he's
23	proposing, it is the same district that is on the map.
24	If you pull it up on the map, you can look. You have to ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE
25	come down and add Mohave.ix, Arizona

1	COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Have to get from
2	down in Mohave or come down into Navajo and Apache.
3	MR. JOHNSON: Right.
4	COMMISSIONER HALL: Not enough in either.
5	They only have 104.
6	CHAIRMAN LYNN: Other comments in this
7	section?
8	COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Mr. Chairman,
9	please bear with me. What I want to see is the Navajo
10	plan.
11	Can you show the Navajo plan?
12	MR. JOHNSON: Oh, yes. I was just doing a
13	selection here to see the selection.
14	Doing it earlier, the Navajo plan actually
15	comes down, it fairly compact up north. The way to
16	achieve that is essentially coming down into the Eastern
17	Arizona Counties, picking up two reservations down here
18	encompassing most of
19	COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: It's hard to see.
20	The Navajo plan is the way we would actually look at it.
21	MR. JOHNSON: As soon as it finishes
22	running. I'm checking population of the plans.
23	COMMISSIONER HALL: While pulling up, Jim,
24	the Navajo plan is not supported by the White Mountain ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE
25	Apache plan. They supported thezEACO Plan.

COMMISSIONER ELDER: E A C O. 1 2 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I also have a problem with what the Navajo plan does to Apache County. 3 4 There's just a small area in Apache County that is stuck 5 in the middle there and doesn't seem to fit in. 6 COMMISSIONER HALL: Not only that, but it 7 looks like the pelican on some side station show with a 8 beak up here and feet down here. 9 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Do we have to characterize 10 districts? 11 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Is the Reservation on the state split or is it a separate reservation? 12 MR. JOHNSON: It actually is divided. It 13 14 is divided. The hatched area is the reservation. And 15 the line is here. They come down the county line. 16 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: No. COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I think that's --17 18 COMMISSIONER HALL: There's legal issues with this, if I'm not mistaken. 19 Is that right, Mr. Rivera. 20 21 MR. RIVERA: I didn't hear it. COMMISSIONER HALL: With this plan, 22 23 there's legal issues, packing and others, if I'm not mistaken? 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 MS. HAUSER: ixNotrnecessarily; but yes,

there are legal issues there. 1 2 MR. RIVERA: Yes. CHAIRMAN LYNN: Further comment on this 3 4 portion of the map? 5 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Do you think you have --6 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: Mr. Chairman. 7 COMMISSIONER HUNTWORK: I would like to be 8 briefed more fully on what the legal issues are at some 9 point soon. 10 CHAIRMAN LYNN: One question --11 COMMISSIONER HALL: All evening, Jim. 12 CHAIRMAN LYNN: We'll have that 13 information. 14 Doug, do you feel you have significant 15 direction on this section of the map to test some 16 options? 17 MR. JOHNSON: Yes. Again, I apologize for 18 not being able to come to you with more highly developed 19 proposals. I do appreciate the additional direction. And we'll test out the things we've discussed here. 20 21 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Okay. 22 Is that it? 23 MR. JOHNSON: The last point is party registration data. We actually have data on one of the 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 laptops. That was theolaptopApreparing Congressional

1	data.
2	CHAIRMAN LYNN: Tomorrow, if it is
3	tomorrow when you return with the work product assigned
4	to you, if those calculations could be included, that
5	would be helpful.
6	Ms. Minkoff.
7	COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I'd also like to
8	suggest when you are doing these, if you have that
9	information, as long as it does not negatively impact
10	other things we're trying to achieve, you look at that
11	data and when trying to decide where to draw a line,
12	draw on the side of competitiveness.
13	MR. RIVERA: Mr. Chairman
14	CHAIRMAN LYNN: One second.
15	Mr. Rivera.
16	MR. RIVERA: I would make the
17	recommendation to hold off until after we have
18	COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: Can't hear you.
19	MR. RIVERA: I make the recommendation to
20	hold off on that instruction until Executive Session.
21	CHAIRMAN LYNN: Executive Session later
22	this evening for that will be addressed. We can convey
23	that instruction subsequent to that Executive Session to
24	consultants after we give consideration in Open Session. ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE
25	Mr. Johnson, xareryoun

1 MR. JOHNSON: That is all the issues I 2 have done. CHAIRMAN LYNN: Are there other 3 4 legislative inputs at the moment before we move ahead? 5 Then let me suggest the following. 6 First, a 10-minute break. Again, try to 7 keep it to 10 minutes. We are close to 7:00 -- it's 8 almost 7:00, and it's getting late. I would then 9 suggest, following the 10-minute break, we have call to the public. The public has now seen the Legislative and 10 11 Congressional mapping and draft form and instructions we've given, and we'll give people an opportunity to 12 13 comment. And that means we need to place a phone call. 14 There was a member of the public that wished to speak, 15 and we were going to contact him by phone. Now would be 16 a good time to do that. I'd then suggest we go into 17 Executive Session following the call to the public and 18 that at the end of the Executive Session we determine whether or not we need to continue the meeting this 19 20 evening or whether we could recess at that point and wait until we have work product tomorrow morning on both 21 22 the Legislative and Congressional maps. 23 Does that sound reasonable? COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: I have a question. 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 CHAIRMANOLYNN: AMs.oMinkoff.

1	COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: If at the end of
2	Executive Session we determine
3	CHAIRMAN LYNN: We don't determine.
4	COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: We need to go back
5	into Open Session.
6	CHAIRMAN LYNN: Anything we need to do
7	with consultants, we do that following Executive Session
8	in Open Session.
9	We'll proceed in that fashion.
10	Following the 10-minute break, we'll
11	proceed in that fashion.
12	Try to keep it to a 10-minute break.
13	(Recess taken from 6:51 until
14	approximately 7:03 p.m.)
15	CHAIRMAN LYNN: I'd call the Commission
16	back in session.
17	For the record, all five Commissioners are
18	in the room.
19	Public comment. This is the time for
20	consideration and discussion of comments and complaints
21	from the public. Those wishing to address the
22	Commission shall request permission by filling out a
23	speaker slip in advance. Action taken as a result of
24	public comment will be limited to directing staff to ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE
25	study the matter or reschedulingzthe matter for further

consideration and decision at a later date. 1 2 The first speaker slip is from Sylvia Laughter. I don't see her here. I hope she'll join us 3 4 at a later date. 5 John Mills. MR. MILLS: John Mills. I'm a resident of 6 7 Arcadia. As far as the Congressional District for 8 Arcadia goes, I'd like speak to that. Currently in District E, as you've 9 10 lettered it, you're talking about moving it to District 11 B, as you've labeled it. I support that. Paradise Valley and Arcadia have much more in common with that 12 area than Phoenix and going north than it would with the 13 14 Scottsdale and South Tempe and Ahwatukee area as you 15 have drawn. 16 There are a number of issues between 17 Scottsdale, Paradise Valley. In many things, it's much 18 better if we were with Phoenix than we were in with the Scottsdale area. 19 20 Thank you very much. 21 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you, Mr. Mills. 22 Next is Kathy Hancock representing -- with Jamieson and Gutierrez representing the Hopi Tribe. 23 MS. HANCOCK: Thank you, Chairman Lynn, 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 and all Commissioners.cenix, Arizona

I'm here today on behalf of the Hopi 1 2 Tribe. Chairman Wayne Taylor asked me to be here. CHAIRMAN LYNN: If you could speak into 3 4 the mike. 5 MS. HANCOCK: I didn't want to blow you 6 away. 7 CHAIRMAN LYNN: The acoustics are poor. 8 MS. HANCOCK: I guess I'd never --9 Chairman Taylor asked me to appear here 10 today to reiterate the Hopis' strong desire to remain 11 separate from the Navajo Nation in both its 12 Congressional and its Legislative representation. 13 I understand and appreciate the desire to 14 address compactness or contiguousness. My English 15 teacher in high school would shudder to hear me say it 16 that way, contiguousness; however, it is critical to 17 remember that the Hopis' isolation inside the Navajo 18 Reservation surrounded by a population 10 times its size 19 is a result of federal, so-called, management of Native peoples it little understood over a hundred years ago. 20 21 The Hopis represent a highly disparate 22 community of interest from the Navajo. They cannot 23 remedy their geography. But they can strive for a political voice free from the much larger historic 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 opposing voice of thehNavajo.ArTheir numbers are not

greatly significant relative to district population 1 numbers. Their urgent need is significant. Their 2 3 access to the political process in both our Nation's and 4 our State's Capitols rests with you. 5 They respectfully request this access be 6 supported over a geographic trip of history on a people 7 who have lived on the mesas of the Colorado Plateau for 8 over 1,000 years. 9 Thank you. 10 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you, Ms. Hancock. 11 Next, Jim Hartdegen, representing Casa Grande, Casa Grande Chamber of Commerce. 12 MR. HARTDEGEN: Mr. Chairman, Commission 13 14 members, if you thought I got upset over the 15 Congressional stuff, it's really nothing. I'm here to 16 talk about the Legislative stuff. 17 You know, I'm learning a lot, and every 18 day you should try to learn something new. All day, I 19 learned a whole bunch. The trouble is Mr. Johnson and the gang was here, and I guess we're kind of naive in 20 21 Pinal County, trying catch up with the rest of the 22 world. 23 After 106 was passed, some of us got together and we viewed, voted for the passage 106. We 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 were so chopped up inhtheipoliticalaprocess in the last

1 reapportionment for personal gain from people that 2 wanted to run for Congress and the rest that really 3 didn't care about the constituents, they chopped the 4 place up for their own political gain. That's the 5 truth. We thought we'd get together and we'll try to 6 follow the Voter's Rights Act, try to follow 106, and 7 we'll try to do everything right, try to make sure 8 there's balance, spend money, and we spent time, and we 9 came up with our map that we have. And as far as we 10 know, it meets those definitions. But we didn't know we had to satisfy the border. We didn't understand that. 11 12 I guess we didn't have all the rules in front of us to understand that Casa Grande, Pinal 13 14 County, Western Pinal County, had to satisfy the border 15 question. 16 Mr. Johnson blew you guys off when you 17 wanted to see it. He was up hear listening. He's not 18 going to react to this, because he's -- they already got their mindset, guys. I'm sorry. 19 20 Sitting down here in the audience listening to you, you have great questions, listening to 21 22 you guys. I'm an expert listener, professional meeting 23 goer. These guys are not going to go back with anything that looks like this. I want to tell you that. 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 And, youoknow, again,aI don't know what we

can do. If you go along with their recommendations, you
 might not go -- why even come to Florence September 8,
 whatever the day is? Why even come? It will be too
 late.

5 Chairman Lynn, the car, we're already at 6 Disneyland. We don't have to ask: Are we there yet? 7 Because we're playing by rules we don't understand. 8 Pinal County, you know, we're worried 9 about this county being chopped up, that county being 10 chopped up.

11 Pinal County is the slice and dice of 106. It is. And I realize we're in a very particular 12 13 situation between two big metropolitan counties of the 14 state, populationwise. We realize we have tremendous 15 Indian communities to the north and south of us. You 16 know, we know that, because we live down there. But I 17 didn't know that we had to satisfy somebody's whim on 18 Nogales down there.

19 This is a good plan. I don't care what 20 the travelers to the west say. This is a good plan. 21 And I think that you ought to take a look at it and you 22 ought to tell your paid staff to take another good look 23 at it.

24 You know, I -- I'm sorry. I have got to ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE25 say this, because I representAaicommunity that is just

as passionate as I am that this thing. We thought about 1 it for 10 years. We've griped about it for 10 years. 2 3 The people in Casa Grande said our salvation is 106. 4 And so I would hope before this weekend is up that you 5 ask your staff to look at this plan one more time. It 6 will solve your mining problems. And that eastern 7 county shouldn't be sacrificed to East Mesa and Casas 8 Adobas. I mean -- East Mesa is on Mars. Casas Adobas 9 is on Jupiter. A conservative Republican on Casas 10 Adobas is a liberal in East Mesa. They have nothing in 11 common. But I would really, really ask you to take a look at this. 12 13 I know that you have -- I know you like 14 our presentation. And I know that a bunch of you guys 15 like this map. And it's you that has to make up your 16 mind and vote and not Mr. Johnson. 17 Thanks. 18 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Andrea Gonzales, also from Casa Grande. 19 20 Ms. Gonzales. MS. GONZALES: Mr. Chairman, Members of 21 22 the Commissioners, thank you. 23 Clearly it's been a long and arduous process over the last couple days, and numbers have been 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 bounced around, lineshhavexbeeniswitched, people's minds

have been changed, rechanged. And similar to what 1 Mr. Hartdegen said: Again, Pinal County seems to be a 2 3 sacrificial lamb in this process. 4 There's a lot of emphasis on keeping other 5 counties together while lines have been drawn through 6 Pinal County. Our interest is in, of course, keeping 7 Casa Grande together. 8 Do not split that city as it was the last 9 time. 10 I am also asking you to keep in mind 11 majority-minority districts. There are ways to make that area a majority-minority district. If you want to 12 include Tohono O'odham Reservation, for example, in that 13 14 area, it does become a minority-majority district. That 15 would be fine. 16 Maybe Mr. Hartdegen just said something to 17 the contrary. Maybe some of eastern mining industries 18 would be better represented by -- I believe Commissioner Minkoff made the suggestion earlier about the lower 19 20 portion, combining the lower portion mining communities and putting those together. 21 22 If we're looking, based on retrogression, 23 retrogression based statewide, not countywide, it seems as though the Congressional and Legislative plans thus 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 far focused on Casa Grande's Hispanic population.

Clearly there is interest there, yes. But at the 1 Congressional and Legislative level, it can't continue 2 3 to be the only guiding force in Pinal County. There are 4 other interests to be considered. 5 I just ask the Commission keep those in 6 mind. 7 Thank you. 8 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you, Ms. Gonzales. 9 This is the last slip I have. If others 10 wish to speak, please fill out a slip, get it to me. 11 The last speaker slip is Frank Seanez from the Navajo Nation. 12 13 Mr. Seanez. 14 MR. SEANEZ: Thank you, Chairman Lynn, and 15 Members of the Commission. 16 It's been really good to be with you the 17 last couple days and nights, and I'm looking forward to 18 a couple more here. And I would like to, in addition to 19 thanking the Commission, I'd like to address a few 20 matters now relative to the Legislative District 21 proposed for inclusion in the Navajo Nation. I'd like to address provisions of the '93 plan, bench mark plan, 22 last legally enforceable plan. 23 The numbers for the Native Americans 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 within that district, has nwell Aaszthe voting age numbers

within that district when in Phoenix with the 2000
 Census numbers. As well, I'd like to address the
 numbers contained within the proposed Legislative C,
 taking both those matters. I'd like to address the EACO
 proposal, and I'd also like to address the Navajo-Hopi
 issue.

7 The Navajo Nation is concerned with the 8 numbers contained within proposed Legislative District C 9 as related to the numbers contained in current District 10 Three when it feeds with 2000 Census numbers as 11 indicated in your consultant, National Demographics Corporation's, report on page five. The Legislative 12 13 District bench mark plan is the 1993 plan. That's the 14 legally enforceable plan at this point. On page eight 15 of the July 25th, 2001, report, National Demographics 16 Corporation indicates that the only simple majority 17 district, which is, affects Native Americans, is 18 Legislative District Three. When it feeds with 2000 Census data, 75 percent, Census data, Native American 19 data, of that 75 percent, 75 percent of that Native 20 American population is voting age. 21 22 I'd like you to contrast that with the 23 proposed District C which is on the draft map, which was first made public on the 9th. Legislative District C, 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE

```
25 as indicated by the numbers, which support that, there is
```

a Native American population of 63.41 percent. Of that, 1 2 there is only a voting age population of Native 3 Americans of 57 percent. That is a drop of roughly 12 4 percent in the net Native American population and a drop 5 of 13.5 percent in voting age population. 6 I believe, and we certainly have counsel 7 who advise in Executive Session, that may provide you 8 with a difficulty both in terms of Section Five 9 preclearance as well as a Section Two matter relating to 10 Voting Rights Act. 11 I'm going to recommend that the Commission give that consideration in Executive Session. 12 13 As well, the proposal for the Legislative 14 District C would include the City of Flagstaff. The 15 City of Flagstaff is not currently contained within 16 Legislative District Three and may be one of the reasons 17 for the drop in the Native American population. The 18 inclusion of Flagstaff is not supported by the Navajo Nation. And I believe if you refer to at least the 19 20 documentation provided by the Flagstaff Chamber of Commerce, not the City of Flagstaff, but President of 21 22 the Chamber of Commerce, Dave Marr, that the City of 23 Flagstaff did not request alignment with the Navajo Nation but rather with communities which they felt have 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 a common interest, Sedona, xWilliams, Verde Valley.

I don't believe that --1 2 As well, the Navajo Nation is concerned 3 with configuration of the proposed Legislative District 4 C, because we believe that that configuration will pit 5 Native American voting strength against Hispanic voting 6 strength. I don't want to talk about incumbents or 7 where it's from. We believe it will involve a net loss 8 in minority representation at the state Legislative 9 level. 10 With regards to the Eastern Arizona 11 Counties Organization, we understand that it's been stated here that one of the driving forces in the 12 13 development of the Legislative District proposal was the 14 inclusion of all five counties in the Eastern Arizona 15 Counties organization within a single Legislative 16 District. We're not talking about a single county, not 17 Apache County, not independent portions of Navajo or 18 Apache, or rather non-Navajo portions, because the recommendation would be to include both White Mountain 19 and San Carlos Apache land bases within a single 20 Legislative District as encompassed by the EACO 21 22 proposal. 23 There may be a certain degree of inaccuracy with regards to the position of the White 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE

25 Mountain Apache tribehrelativertoothis matter. I do

1 note that there is, I believe, within the record of the Commission a July 5th letter from the White Mountain 2 3 Apache Chairman, Mr. Dallas Massey, which indicates 4 support for the EACO proposal. I believe that's true. 5 However, as indicated by the White Mountain Apache's 6 attorney, Mr. Baker Fosterfield (phonetic), at the 7 public hearing in Hon-Dah, and as reported in the NDC 8 report of July 25th, 2001, on page 25, there is also a 9 resolution of the White Mountain Apache Tribal Council, 10 the governing Council the White Mountain Apache Tribe, 11 which indicates the desire of the White Mountain Apache Tribe to be aligned in a Legislative District with the 12 13 Navajo Nation as well as contained within the 14 supplemental materials provided by the Navajo Nation. 15 Commissioners, it is the wishes of the San 16 Carlos Apache Tribe, as expressed not by Tribal Council 17 resolution but by their Vice Chairman, it is also the 18 desire to be aligned in a Legislative District with the 19 Navajo Nation. 20 I understand that there are very strong desires expressed by the Eastern Arizona Government's 21 22 Organization to have the alignment as it is set forth 23 exactly on the proposed Legislative District plan at

```
24 this time. However, I think that there needs to be an
ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE
25 understanding that whenethat isiestablished as a driving
```

1 principle, that it leads to certain other consequences, other things follow that might not be as advantageous to 2 3 other interest groups, including the Navajo Nation, as 4 well as perhaps the entire citizenry in the State of 5 Arizona; the point being if that was not made the first 6 and driving principle of the Legislative District map. 7 I would like to move now to the issue of 8 amalgamations of the district proposal. There have been 9 statements made that there are legal issues with regard 10 to the Navajo Nation's proposal with regards to packing. 11 We simply don't believe that that is true. However, you can receive guidance in Executive Session. 12 13 As I said previously, the Legislative 14 District Three will reinforce numbers. In the 2000 15 Census data, it indicates Native American population of 16 75 percent. As indicated by supplemental information 17 provided by the Navajo Nation, Navajo Nation information 18 for that, supplemental districts include Native American population of 77.7 percent, only 2.2 greater than 19 20 Legislative District Three now when infused with the 21 2000 Census information. 22 Finally, I would like to move to the 23 Navajo-Hopi issue. As indicated by members of the Commission, 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 when -- what you do withnthe Congressional District

1 might as well be with the Legislative District. I think it's extremely important to address that matter. I've 2 3 heard statements before that perhaps the first thing 4 which was considered in the entire redistricting process 5 was the necessity of separating the Navajo and Hopi. 6 There is no adjudicated requirement for 7 the separation of Navajo and Hopi. That may come from a 8 misreading of the Case Arizona for Fair Representation 9 vs. Symington. However, I would like to point out, and 10 a careful reading of that opinion will indicate that 11 that was the result of a settlement between the parties, The Navajo Nation was not a party to that suit, and it's 12 13 inclusion within the Court's Native American compromise 14 was not determined to be necessary in any fashion. You 15 can receive further advice about that. 16 It's not a requirement of the Voting 17 Rights Act, not a requirement of Section Two of the 18 Voting Rights Act. I would like to stress that. With regards to dilution, there is no 19 20 dilution or retrogression issue with regards to the Hopi Nation under all of the legal precedent which is set 21 22 forward. There is not a possibility of retrogression as 23 far as the Hopi Nation goes. As the Commission has heard, as early as the past couple minutes ago, there is 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 not the possibility of other HopiiNation being a voting

majority in either of the Congressional or a Legislative 1 District. That is not possible. And when the courts 2 3 look to retrogression issues, they look to retrogression 4 of Hispanic interests and Native American interests. 5 Both Navajos and Hopis are Native 6 Americans, and they certainly share a lot more common 7 interests on the basis of being Native Americans than 8 they are separated either by their past or their current 9 disputes in living so close together as two Native 10 American groups. 11 Further, there is no indication before this Commission, nor is there any indicated within the 12 13 record of the Arizona for Fair Representation vs. 14 Symington case, which indicates that the Hopis as a 15 politically cohesive group vote differently than Navajos 16 do. There may be an assumption that is the case. There 17 may be claims that is the case. However, there is no 18 proof of that. There is indication, however, within the amicus brief filed in that case, in fact, Navajos and 19 20 Hopis in significant occasions, they vote the same way. 21 Political cohesiveness is what you are 22 looking at. Unfortunately, with regard to those 23 matters, you don't have your necessary information to look into that. Hopefully that information will be 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 provided soon by EDS, hinformation, nso you can have the

```
1 benefit of that.
```

2	Further, there is no information before
3	the Commission, significant information, on voting
4	registration between the Navajos and Hopis.
5	One thing I think would give relevancy is
6	review of maps. The only way to achieve contiguity for
7	Hopi in a district in Congressional or Legislative
8	separate from the Navajo Nation is by utterly abandoning
9	compactness. Either have the current trunk that goes up
10	to the Hopi or you have the much more attenuated
11	umbilical cord which is set forth in the Northern
12	Arizona Congressional District as we saw this afternoon.
13	And I would recommend against such an abandonment of
14	compactness. It is not necessary.
15	The Navajo Nation maintains it would not
16	be helpful either to the Commission's efforts or to the
17	long-term interests of the Navajo and Hopi people in
18	learning to live together more harmoniously in the
19	future.
20	As was indicated, there is no possibility
21	of geographic separation of the Navajo people and Hopi.
22	There's only the hope that Navajo and Hopi can learn to
23	live together more harmoniously. There's certainly no
24	legal requirement they be separated, but the Navajo ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE
25	Nation continues to seeknunification of both groups,

both Congressional and Legislative Districts. 1 2 Thank you Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN LYNN: Thank you, Mr. Seanez. 3 4 Are there other members of the public 5 wishing to be heard at this time? 6 If not, we'll close this portion of public 7 comment. 8 At this time I think it would be appropriate, if it is the wish of the Commission, to 9 10 move into a brief Executive Session pursuant to A.R.S. 11 38-431.03(A)(3) to receive legal advice and consultation 12 of our attorneys. 13 Is there a motion? 14 COMMISSIONER MINKOFF: So moved. 15 COMMISSIONER ELDER: Second. 16 CHAIRMAN LYNN: It's been moved and 17 seconded. All in favor, signify aye. 18 (Vote taken.) 19 CHAIRMAN LYNN: Chair votes "aye." 20 Motion carries. 21 Ladies and gentlemen, if there is public session following Executive Session, it will be brief 22 and, number two, quite uneventful. 23 24 I'd guess Executive Session will run ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 anywhere from a halfPhourito hour.naIf we run public

session, we'll recess, or have a brief amount of recess. 1 2 It will be brief, and you won't miss much, and we'll go over it again in the morning. 3 4 We'll ask you to leave us, if you will. 5 (Recess taken from 7:35 until 6 approximately 7:46 p.m.) 7 (Whereupon, the Commission adjourned to 8 Executive Session at approximately 7:47 p.m. until 9 approximately 9:37 p.m.) 10 (Whereupon, the Commission resumed Open 11 Public Session at approximately 9:39 p.m.) 12 CHAIRMAN LYNN: The Commission will stand in regular session, and we'll recess until 8:30 tomorrow 13 14 morning; same time, same place. 15 (The following is a submission received 16 this date from the Town of Guadalupe, 9242 South Avenida 17 Del Yaqui, Guadalupe, Arizona 85283-2598, 18 hand-delivered.) "Memorandum. 19 "Dated: August 11, 2001. 20 21 "To: Chairman, Redistricting Committee 22 "From: Town of Guadalupe 23 "We wish to reside in the South Phoenix area in the new redistricting plan. 24 ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE 25 "Sincerely, ix, Arizona

```
1
                  "Margarita Cota, Mayor, Town of
    Guadalupe.")
 2
 3
                  (Whereupon, the hearing adjourned at
 4
    approximately 9:41 p.m.)
 5
                           * * * *
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
                    ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE
25
                        Phoenix, Arizona
```

```
1
 2
    STATE OF ARIZONA
                         )
                         )
                            ss.
    COUNTY OF MARICOPA )
 3
 4
 5
 6
              BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing hearing was
 7
     taken before me, LISA A. NANCE, RPR, CCR, Certified
 8
    Court Reporter in and for the State of Arizona,
    Certificate Number 50349; that the proceedings were
9
10
    taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to
11
    typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing 215
12
    pages constitute a true and accurate transcript of all
    proceedings had upon the taking of said hearing, all
13
14
    done to the best of my ability.
15
                   I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am in no way
16
    related to any of the parties hereto, nor am I in any
17
    way interested in the outcome hereof.
18
                   DATED at Phoenix, Arizona, this 28th day
19
    of September, 2001.
20
21
                              LISA A. NANCE, RPR, CCR
22
                              Certified Court Reporter
                              Certificate Number 50349
23
24
                     ATWOOD REPORTING SERVICE
25
                         Phoenix, Arizona
```