## THE STATE OF ARIZONA INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

## REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF VIRTUAL TOWN HALL MEETING

Online via WebEx
November 12, 2021
12:06 p.m.

Miller Certified Reporting, LLC PO Box 513, Litchfield Park, AZ 85340 (P) 623-975-7472 (F) 623-975-7462 www.MillerCertifiedReporting.com

Reported By (via WebEx):
Angela Furniss Miller, RPR
Certified Reporter (AZ 50127)

```
1
                  VIRTUAL TOWN HALL MEETING, BEFORE THE INDEPENDENT
         REDISTRICTING COMMISSION, convened at 12:06 p.m. on
 2
 3
         November 12, 2021.
 4
 5
         COMMISSIONERS PRESENT:
 6
                   Ms. Erika Neuberg, Chairperson
                   Mr. David Mehl
 7
                   Ms. Shereen Lerner
 8
          STAFF PRESENT:
 9
                   Mr. Brian Schmitt, Executive Director
                   Ms. Loriandra Van Haren, Deputy Director
                   Ms. Valerie Neumann, Executive Assistant
10
                   Mr. Alex Pena, Community Outreach Coordinator
11
                   Mr. Mark Flahan, Timmons Group
                   Mr. Brian Kingery, Timmons Group
12
         PUBLIC COMMENT SPEAKERS:
1.3
           SPEAKER NAME:
14
           Craiq McFarland
           Joseph Holden
15
           Diane Niemann
           Lyle Aldridge
16
           Sherrylyn Young
           Brandon Martineau
17
           JP Martin
           Thomas Fisk
18
           Shelley Kais
           Jean Saballus
19
           Dana Allmond
           Sydney Chayes
20
           Tim Faulkner
           Tim Faulkner
21
           Lee Stanfield
           Levoy Hurley
22
           Briana Ortega
           Nancy Goodman.
23
           Margaret Hendrickson
           Francesca Pardes
24
           Francis Glad
           George McGaughey
25
           Merrill Livesay
```

```
1
          PUBLIC COMMENT SPEAKERS (continued):
 2
           SPEAKER NAME:
           Rafeal Ihly
 3
           Dale Winders
           David McKibben
 4
           Amy Gaienne
           David Williams
 5
           Tracie Bunker-Metz
           Robert Abel
 6
           Amy Maffeo
           Cheryl Purvis
 7
           Stephen Kelsey
           Stan Cain
 8
           Carole Lindly
           Nolan Reidhead
 9
           James Spiegel
           Jim McFadzean
10
           Dee Maitland
           Ken Zebal
11
           Dion Heimink
           Chris King
12
           Connie Williams
           Anna Clark
13
           John Maynard
           Carolyn Shafer
14
           Karen Wilkison
           Mary Keerins
15
           Karen Wilkison
           Cameron Toering
16
           Howard Ronald Pulliam
           Mary Buckman
17
           Sharon Edgar
           Carissa Sipp
18
           Michael Bryan
           Steven McEwen
19
                   American Sign language interpreters and
20
                   Spanish interpreters are also present.
21
22
23
24
25
```

## 

MS. VAN HAREN: Okay. Good afternoon, everyone.

My name is Lori Van Haren, I'm the Deputy Director of the

Independent Redistricting Commission.

This morning we are joined by the Commissioners and Commissioner Mehl is going to be the chair of the meeting.

Our interpreter is having a little trouble getting parking right now, so as soon as she comes into the building we will introduce her to everyone and make sure that she is available and present.

So I will turn it over now to Commissioner Mehl.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: I now call to order the virtual town hall, Southern and Eastern Arizona. Staff will describe the format of the meeting.

There's a transcriptionist who will be recording every word, so please speak slowly and clearly so we have a clear record of your input.

At this time we'll introduce ourselves. I'm David Mehl and I'm a Commissioner from Pima County.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Hi, everyone. This is

Chairwoman Neuberg, I'm from Chandler; and it's great to

be -- to be with everybody again.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Hello. I am Shereen Lerner,
Commissioner from Maricopa County. Thank you for being

here.

1.3

COMMISSIONER MEHL: And now the staff will describe the format of the meeting and read the rules.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you, Commissioner Mehl.

We've given preference to anyone who hasn't had the opportunity to speak before. Speakers are given two minutes each and each speaker is timed by staff; three speakers will be unmuted at a time. Please do not begin until your name is called, you will be muted after your two minutes.

Staff is timing everyone.

In the chat box we will list the names of the next ten speakers. You must be logged in under the name you used to register in order to speak. We cannot decipher first names only or e-mail addresses or user name that are not consistent with what you used as the name to register. So if you did not log in under that name, please log out and log back in under that name -- at least your first name and last initial.

The chat box helps us to navigate who is speaking and if anybody is having audio issues. If would like to submit a public comment, do not use the chat box. Please go to IRC.AZ.Gov.

In compliance with Arizona's open meeting laws, speakers should confine their statements to the issue on the

posted agenda which is before the Commission.

Speakers must use appropriate language. Foul and/or abusive language will not be tolerated. Any speaker failing to follow proper decorum or any other guidelines may be asked to leave. Any breach of the peace or disruption of Commission public hearing may be the cause of report to law enforcement.

If you have already spoken or someone has made the same comment you wish to make, you do not need to speak in order to be heard; your comments have all been recorded.

Opposing viewpoints may be expressed by the citizens present. As a courtesy, citizens are reminded to address their comments to the Chair and the Commission and not to the audience present. Please show respect for all speakers and avoid personal comments.

Remember, the Commission must hear all sides of an issue to make an informed decision.

So we'll now read the names of the first speakers.

And I believe the first one is going to be Mayor Craig

McFarland, then Frances Glad and then Virginia Abel.

And if you'll just give us one second to unmute everyone.

Mayor McFarland?

Yes, please. Can you hear us?

SPEAKER McFARLAND: Can you hear me okay?

1.3

MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed.

SPEAKER McFARLAND: Okay.

Thank you very much to the Commissioners for -- for listening to us, we appreciate it.

As far as -- I'm just here to speak on Legislative
District 16, which in its current form and after talking
with some staff with some changes they've made, I don't have
the current map, but I am in favor of that. Just wanted
to -- to at least acknowledge that with the IRC.

So thank you very much for that.

As far as District 6 our -- our CD-6, the -- I think there was some changes that were made also on it, and what I was recommending that they include the city of Maricopa in that district if at all possible; and other than that it would -- and in there I think they made some changes to include Eloy and Arizona City, which makes good sense in terms of that being kind of a Pinal-centric district. I know it also includes some of the -- of the more rural counties so -- like Sierra Vista, Agua Prieto, and all of that.

So anyway, I just wanted to add that in there and if they could include the Maricopa piece, Maricopa City -- not county, obviously -- that would be my recommendation and appreciate you listening to my comments.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

1.3

1 And we are just searching for our next three speakers, give me just one --2 3 And okay, Virginia Abel and then Joseph Holden. Virginia, can you hear us? 4 5 Virginia? Okay. If Joseph Holden is ready? Joseph, can you 6 7 hear us? 8 Diane, can you hear us? 9 SPEAKER HOLDEN: Hello? 10 MS. VAN HAREN: Okay. I've got it. 11 So Joseph Holden, can you hear us? 12 SPEAKER HOLDEN: Yes. Can you hear me? 1.3 MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed. 14 SPEAKER HOLDEN: Okay. I strongly support the 15 Southern Arizona portion of draft map 10 as it relates to 16  $T_1D - 17$ . 17 I live in the Houghton Corridor and I do most of my 18 activities in this area and I associate with people in this 19 vicinity and mostly in Vail; and I -- I like the way that 20 map is configured, I think it -- I think it gives us 21 representation with people with similar interests. And I 22 shop here and I dine out here and so forth, and -- anyway, 23 that's what I support, so. 24 And I've talked to my neighbors and they like it as

So that's really about all that I have to say.

25

well.

Thank you.

1.3

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you. Our next speaker will be Diane Niemann and then Virginia Abel.

SPEAKER NIEMANN: Yes. Can you hear me?
MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed.

SPEAKER NIEMANN: Dear Commissioners, thank you for the opportunity to speak here today. I am looking at the stats for the legislative district's draft map and in particular LD-2 in my area.

I notice the population is at 250,000, the highest of any other LD and outside of the constitutional guidance of equal population in each LD. I have a proposition to fix LD-2 and correct the disproportionate population, and at the same time support the Voters Rights Act as well as the previous community of interest comment.

Now, my proposition is that you move Sunnyslope into LD-1. This move will achieve three things: First, support the Voters Rights Act, a very important federal law designed to allow minorities the opportunity to elect the candidate of their choice. Sunnyslope is mostly minorities, mainly Hispanic. Right now the Hispanic voters percentage in LD-2 is only 19 percent as compared to LD-1 which is 27 percent. This will ensure that LD-1 becomes a VRA district and Hispanic voices are represented properly.

Number two, this will equalize the 10,000

population overage from LD-2 into LD-1. LD-2 would go from 1 2 250,000 to 240,000, while LD-1 would go from 231,000 to 3 241,000. This is in line with the Arizona Constitution. 4 Number three, it would ensure communities are 5 representative correctly. As I stated before, the 6 Sunnyslope community is very different from the northern 7 communities of LD-2 and would not be properly represented. 8 I want to thank you again for considering --9 MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you. 10 And at this time the next speaker will be Virginia 11 Abel. 12 And Virginia, can you hear us? 13 SPEAKER ABEL: Yes. Good afternoon. Can you hear 14 me? 15 MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed. 16 SPEAKER ABEL: Thank you. I am -- well, like you said, Virginia Abel. I live 17 18 in LD-3. 19 I was raised in Southern Arizona and moved to 20 Tucson in 1975. Since 1978 we have lived outside the city 21 limits and I am in full support of the concerns expressed by 22 my husband that he expressed previously and may express 23 later. 24 I would like to emphasize though my main concern 25 for our family are their abilities to share and participate

in common interests, in the education of our child, opportunity and access to worship, and the responsibility and privilege of voting for lawmakers and legislation that would consider all concerns of constituents.

We were excluded from voting in city elections and initiatives due to how our district was created.

I was employed by the Flowing Wells School District for 19 years as a school teacher and career counselor. We were unable to participate in bond elections and in our respective school districts.

Future educational initiat- -- initiatives that are being considered in our community are paramount. I believe that the proposed redistricting map would allow diversity point of view and better represents those living in northern Pima County.

Thank you for the time and dedication in serving our community. May God continue to bless our country and the Constitution that allows citizens to participate and self-government through the election of representatives to serve the people with integrity.

Thank you.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

SPEAKER ABEL: That's all.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

At this time we will have our Spanish interpreter

1.3

1 introduce herself. THE INTERPRETER: Good morning. My name is Inez --2 or, afternoon, sorry -- Inez Camacho (phonetic), I'm the 3 4 Spanish interpreter. 5 (Spanish language.) 6 MS. VAN HAREN: Okay. Thank you. 7 The next speaker is Lyle Aldridge, followed by 8 Sherrylyn Young. Lyle, can you hear us? 9 SPEAKER ALDRIDGE: I can. 10 11 MS. VAN HAREN: Please proceed. SPEAKER ALDRIDGE: Yes, my name is Lyle Aldridge, I 12 1.3 live in Tucson on the far northeast side of the Tanque Verde 14 area. 15 My concern at the moment is with the -- the current 16 proposal for CD-6 and -7 which have split the city of Tucson 17 into two districts, which is essentially going to be 18 dominated by the voters of the city. 19 The city only has a population of about 600,000 20 people, perhaps less than that, and yet it will be 21 dominating area -- an area with population of two districts; 22 about 1.6 million people. 23 I believe a -- a map recommendation entitled "Fair 24 CD-6 and CD-7" was submitted about a week ago, and I would

very much commend [verbatim] it to the Commission for

consideration.

And that's about all I have to say at the moment.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

The next speaker is Sherrylyn Young.

SPEAKER YOUNG: Yes. My name is Sherrylyn Young. I've lived for 35 years in the Tangue Verde Valley area.

I would like to say that when the Legislative District 9 map came out, we were very upset because our issues had not been addressed; however, the most recent 10.0 map seems to be addressing our issues and giving us representation that we have not had for the past ten years.

I -- I would like to say that I stand with the -- the citizens of LD-17 and very much like this -- this legislative district.

I would also like to address the CD-6 and CD-7.

Again, I agree with Mr. Aldridge that the city of Tucson should not be divided so that they can contaminate both -- both congressional districts of Southern Arizona. As it stands, CD-6 (verbatim) has focal elections that have nine Democrats and no Republicans, and CD-6 (verbatim) has focal elections that show six Democrats and only three Republicans. So the whole of Southern Arizona is going to have 15 Democrats and 3 Republicans in focal elections.

I don't think that this is competitive and I think that the city of Tucson should be completely within CD-7.

Thank you.

MS. VAN HAREN: Next speaker is Brandon Martineau, followed by JP Martin, followed by Tom Fisk.

Brandon, can you hear us?

SPEAKER MARTINEAU: I can. How are you?

MS. VAN HAREN: Good. Thank you.

Go ahead.

SPEAKER MARTINEAU: Good. Thank you for the opportunity to -- to speak today.

I live in Heber, Arizona, the White Mountains, and I see a problem with our -- with the last Redistricting Commission that -- that reaches into our mountain communities and just takes sections of our communities and puts them in with sections in -- in bigger counties like Flagstaff or Gilbert or -- or some of those outlying cities in the valley, and that essentially erases any voice that we -- we have in the mountains.

What with the -- what I'm advocating for is to have a true rural Arizona district that would include the White Mountains and down the south/central/eastern Arizona.

These communities are communities of interest. We -- our schools interact together, we go to church together, we have similar interests all down from the top of (technical disruption); these are farming/ranching/mining communities that have similar values. And I think like

these -- these communities are large enough to represent their own district and not include these areas in the valley that essentially rob us of our voice because of the population difference.

And I feel like it's time for rural Arizona to have their voice in -- in the Senate and the Legislature by having a true rural Arizona district that only includes mountain communities, farming communities, and ranching communities.

And thank you. Thank you for allowing me to talk today.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you. Our next speaker is JP Martin. JP, can you unmute yourself?

We've sent you the request.

There you are.

1.3

SPEAKER MARTIN: My name is JP Martin and for one year I was an associate member of SAOC with Commissioner Mehl. I consider them the Southern Arizona laziness council.

Chair Neuberg, I come before you today with a sincere concern for the reckless proposed legislative map.

If this map moves forward, I will live in District 17 along with some hard right votes in Vail who are incubating the ugliest kind of hate speech. Yes, Chair Neuberg, there are hate groups brewing in Vail.

If the Independent Redistricting Commission gifts them a ruby red district, then these extremist, anti-Semitic, and violently antigovernment insurrectionists will try to represent me at the Capitol. This goes against the Commission's values to respect communities of interest for the predictable extent.

There are real traditionalists who live 90 minutes from me gathering weekly at a coffee spot; and I could not in good consciousness stay quiet when I found out the intent of Map 9.2 were to create a gerrymandered District 17 so there would be an exclusive Republican district for metro Tucson. These folks are showing up to school districts to arrest superintendents, shut down school board meetings, and continue to evangelize using nationalist language.

Please don't let business organizations follow the toxic practice of keeping bad middle managers.

Thank you.

1.3

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: The next speaker is Thomas Fisk. Tom, can you hear us?

SPEAKER FISK: Yes, I can. Thank you.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

SPEAKER FISK: My name is Tom Fisk and I live in the Quail Creek community in Sahaurita township for the past 12 years. I strongly support the Southern Arizona Legislative District 19 as defined in LD map 10.0.

Quail Creek is an active adult retirement community, has much in common with the adjacent Green Valley to Rio Rico retirement communities, as well as with the Sierra Vista retirement communities. While we pursue numerous activities within our close-knit respective communities, there's also significant interaction between these retirement communities such as golf, pickle ball, biking, hiking, arts and crafts. Also, we all utilize common food stores, automotive and restaurant services, medical and hospital services, as well as numerous worship locations.

Our retirement communities comprise essentially small towns much like the common small towns which make up most of LD-19 in draft map 10.0. Also, we are established, successful, independent individuals not too dissimilar to the ranchers and farmers scattered throughout LD-19.

For these reasons it makes sense for the Quail Creek community to reside in Legislative District 19 as defined in LD draft map 10.0.

Separately with respect to CD, I'm just looking at that, I see much similarity of why Quail Creek and Green Valley areas should be moved from CD-7 to CD-6, which is largely similar to LD-17.

Thank you.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you. Our next speaker is

Shelley Kais. Shelly, can you hear us?

SPEAKER KAIS: Yes, I can.

Thank you very much; and, Commissioners, thank you for your time. It is again quite an opportunity to participate in the governance that we're seeing here today.

I speak first -- I -- I want to save us some time today, so for everything that Tom Fisk just said: Ditto.

I, too, live in precinct 84 in the community of Quail Creek, and Tom has been -- has represented us well with his comments.

My major concern, of course, is the congressional map that demonstrates where Quail Creek would be aligned over to Yuma to include some of the -- to include the TO Nation, as well as including us into a VRA community; it just does not make sense on many levels.

So I am in support of the legislative district map 10 and would request that the -- the Quail Creek/Green Valley area be taken out of the congressional map.

I also speak on behalf of the legislative district in -- up in LD-17. I think that that district is drawn to communities of interest.

And one of the things -- having attended all of the hearings, one of the things that has become very clear is that the people outside of the city of Tucson do not want to be linked in with the city of Tucson.

So if I may just reiterate, I support Tom Fisk's statements for precinct 84 and I support LD-17.

Thank you very much for this opportunity.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

Our next three speakers will be Fred Milner, Jean Saballus, and Dana Allmond.

Fred, can you hear us?

SPEAKER MILNER: Yes. Can you hear me?

MS. VAN HAREN: Yes, please proceed.

SPEAKER MILNER: All right, I just want to thank you for your hard work and diligence in -- in performing the task.

I'm coming from Nogales and I'm going to be talking to you about LD-21 draft 10. My family has been part of this community since before statehood, we've been ranchers and businessman with strong ties to the ballot on both sides of the border.

From the founding of this Republic of the United States, the voice of "we the people" has been our guiding principle. The House of Representatives combined with the Senate, the Electoral College, ensure that not just the voice of pop- (indiscernible) would be heard, but that each candidate would take into account the less populace communities.

And so the reason I'm -- the map that is -- I'm

1.3

talking about, LD-21, creates a poorly drawn district which seems designed to diminish the vote of our county and people; it also breaks up the unity of our county. I'm not sure who is trying to dilute or diminish our voice.

I think more in keeping with our -- with your goals and guidelines would be to combine the entirety of Santa Cruz and Cochise Counties.

Southern Arizona border communities have a unique makeup, culture, and history. We have ranchers, cowboys, wineries, communities tied together with culture, religion, and family values. Proximity to the Mexican border and culture draws and keeps many to our sense of family, civility, and vision.

So as you think where to draw the lines, I urge you to bolster the strong voice of these border communities of interest, please unite Santa Cruz and Cochise Counties as one block of citizens which hold to very similar values.

Thank you.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

Our next speaker is Jean Saballus. Jean, can you hear us?

SPEAKER SABALLUS: Yes. Can you hear me?

MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed.

SPEAKER SABALLUS: Thank you.

I would like to thank the Commissioners for serving

1.3

in this capacity. I think we can be very proud of living in a state that has an independent commission to do the redistricting. So thank you for the tough job you're doing.

My husband and I have been full-time residents of Green Valley since 2018. I have been coming to Green Valley since 1978. I have always considered Green Valley and Sahuarita to be one and the same; you can't tell where one stops and the other begins. That's where I do all my shopping, have my hair done, take my pets, get pet food, get gas; that is the community that I feel a part of.

And so I would like to ask the Commission to keep Green Valley in with Sahuarita in District 21.

Years ago we went to Tombstone and Bisbee as tourists, but I don't consider them to be part of our community. So I would like to stay in District 21 with Sahuarita.

Thank you very much.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

Our next speaker is Dana Allmond. Dana, can you unmute yourself?

And then the next speaker Sydney Chayes. Same thing, Sidney, can you -- oh. We see you Dana.

SPEAKER ALLMOND: Thanks for this opportunity to speak today. My name is Dana Allmond and I'm a Marana resident in LD-11. I'm a mother of four children, veteran,

retired Army lieutenant colonel with more than 23 years of academic service; I'm a 1994 West Point graduate and life-long veteran.

My fellow veterans and I care about redistricting. I totally reject the Independent Redistricting Commission approved draft map for LD-17 which violates the Arizona State Constitution. It is blatantly obvi- -- obvious that this map is designed to prevent competitive districts. Candidates must work for resident votes; voters pick the representatives. Competitiveness is essential to our democracy.

This LD-17 draft map is not geographically compact -- compact and contiguous with a mountain range splitting the district. This heavily gerrymandered draft map to ensure a Republican-safe district indicates a strong fear of free and fair elections.

I call on the IRC members to reject this draft map and approve the LD-17 map version 9.0, which is in compliance with the Arizona State Constitution.

Thank you so much for your attention today.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

Our next speaker is Sydney Chayes.

Sydney, can you hear us?

SPEAKER CHAYES: (No audible response.)

MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed.

SPEAKER CHAYES: Yeah, I'm really new to all this and I -- I'm not very -- oh, boy, I can't throw around the LDs and all that.

The thing that most concerns me is it just looks really odd. I live in Green Valley, I've lived here for 20 years. I heard someone say we have a lot in common wit Sierra Vista and others, but I don't. I don't go over there. This is my community: Sahuarita, South Tucson, Tucson, Nogales. But -- so, my understanding is we want to keep like people -- again, I'm not exactly sure what we're trying to do other than what I keep people -- hear people saying is we need to keep our interests and our communities together. This map looks really odd to me to have Green Valley not be part of Sahuarita, and to have us aligned with Sierra Vista, Tombstone, those are completely different communities than here.

And I keep hearing this word "gerrymandering," that they draw odd lines to give an advantage; and I keep hearing: The Republicans are trying to get an advantage.

So I just want to make sure it makes sense.

So I live in Green Valley, all my work is here. I don't go to Sierra Vista, I don't go to Tombstone, I don't go to Bisbee except as a tourist. This is my community and I want to be with the people that are part of my community, which is Sahuarita, South Tucson; and I want to make sure

1 it's fair.

1.3

So just on the surface it doesn't look -- it looks odd. It looks gerrymandered.

So I just want to share those comments and hope you'll take them into your consideration.

And thank you for all your work and service. This is hard work and you've got a lot of opinions. So thank you for your time.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

The next three speakers are Tim Faulkner, Lee Stanfield and Levoy Hurley.

Tim, can you hear us?

SPEAKER FAULKNER: Yes, I can.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you. Please proceed.

SPEAKER FAULKNER: Hello. My name is Tim Faulkner; my wife and I live in Elgin, Arizona.

The Commissioner, they've done a great job on the LD map by placing Elgin/Sonoita area in LD-19, which I believe most of the residents here will be very pleased with. I also support Shelley Kais and Tom Fisk's assessment also.

Though, the CD map needs some work. First, the Elgin/Soniota need to be placed in CD-6 to align with the proposed LD-19 map. Second, remove the areas inside the city limits of Tucson from the proposed CD map -- CD-6 map.

Eastern rural Pima County outside the city limits would be a fine addition in CD-6.

Thank you.

1.3

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you. Our next speaker is Lee Stanfield. Lee, can you hear us?

SPEAKER STANFIELD: Yes. Can you hear me?

MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed.

SPEAKER STANFIELD: Okay.

The IRC is legally mandated to create fair and competitive congressional and legislative districts, that's the wording, quote. So legally the IRC cannot choose to implement either map 9.1 or 9.2 since both violate the fundamental legal mandate for precisely why the IRC was created.

It was publicly expressed that the reason for creating what was drawn as District 17 on 9.1 and 9.2 maps was to create a safe Republican district. That's -- so the goal of making a district safe for any political party is the exact opposite of the IRCs legal mandate, because safe means noncompetitive. Therefore, maps 9.1 and 9.2 are in blatant violation of the IRC's mandate.

In addition, 9.1 and 9.2 also divide up Santa Cruz
County in such a way that it will allow the Patagonia
mountains and the Coronado forest to be part of LD-19. This
will allow the strongly pro-mining LD legislators to give

the go ahead to the mining interest's plan to remove 1 2 1.6 billion gallons of groundwater every year for four years 3 just to uncover the minerals below the groundwater for 4 mining. 5 Now, this is the watershed that serves all of Santa Cruz County and Green Valley. 6 7 So please pass map 9.0, which keeps 8 Santa Cruz County and also Pima -- Pinal County whole and

Thank you.

undivided.

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you. Our next speaker is Levoy Hurley.

SPEAKER HURLEY: I can hear you. Can you hear me?

MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed.

SPEAKER HURLEY: Thank you for your time.

My name is Levoy Hurley. My wife and I live in the unincorporated areas of the Tanque Verde Valley.

I support version 10.0. Ten years ago the Commission gave a disservice to Southern Arizona and we've had no representation in Phoenix for the last ten years. Version 9 will simply continue that failed experiment. Version 10 gives us a balance of somebody -- a representation in Phoenix no matter who is in charge.

 $\label{eq:constraints} \mbox{I also want to say that I do not want to be part of } \mbox{Tucson.}$ 

A couple of years ago my wife and I returned from a vacation driving up Bear Canyon Road, and suddenly the road got quiet; the next day I went out and saw a brand-new road, asphalt, courtesy of the county on Bear Canyon Road. It stopped at the city line.

Last year in COVID, my neighborhood, county again, got brand-new streets. Two blocks away, my friends who got conned into an annexation of the city five years ago on the promise of new streets, better fire, better police, still don't have new streets -- they're terrible; and if you've been paying attention I think you know about the problems Tucson has had with the fire and police.

Ladies and gentlemen, the folks of Saddlebrooke all the way down to -- to Vail, I have friends all over the place, please, we want to stay out of Tucson and we want representation regardless of who is in Phoenix.

Thank you.

MS. VAN HAREN: Three speakers will be Rodney Carlson, Briana Ortega, and Nancy Goodman.

Rodney? Looks like you're unmuted.

Okay. We will come back.

And then Briana Ortega, can you hear us?

SPEAKER ORTEGA: Yes, I can hear you. Can you hear

me?

MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed.

1.3

SPEAKER ORTEGA: Good afternoon, Commissioners. My name is Briana Ortega. I'm an attorney who lives in Tubac, I work in Nogales, and I also grew up in Morenci and Clifton, Arizona, in Greenlee County.

Today I'm urging the Commission to keep
Santa Cruz County whole. At the very least, the Commission
needs to ensure that Tubac is kept within the same
legislative district as Nogales and Rio Rico. As an
attorney I represent people and commercial entities
throughout Santa Cruz County. Several times a week I drive
to Nogales; I also travel to Patagonia and Sonoita to meet
clients there who own businesses or private land.

However, I have never represented people in Greenlee and Graham Counties. Ever. First, it will be geographically infeasible for me to do so because those areas are separated by large mountain ranges and to get there would take a significant amount of time. Second, the legal issues and the policy issues that face the communities in Graham and Greenlee County and those that face in Santa Cruz County are entirely different.

Greenlee and Graham Counties have a lot of federal land and a lot of legal disputes arise from that publicly owned land. In Santa Cruz County legal disputes largely involves the border, the community there, the private-commercial disputes between real estate developers

and produce companies throughout Rio Rico; they're entirely different issues that these communities face.

1.3

More importantly, the communities themselves are different. I grew up in Morenci and Clifton throughout my childhood, those communities are blue-collar workers and families who work for the mines, rent their homes from the mine; Tubac on the other hand is populated by people with white-collar jobs and has a significant retirement community.

Since moving out of Morenci in 2007, I've returned to the area only on one occasion. The communities are entirely different, they are not the same, they are not similar.

Therefore I'm urging the Commission to keep

Santa Cruz County whole or at the very least ensure that

Tubac is kept within the same district as Rio Rico and

Nogales; because as a person who lives in Tubac and works in

Nogales, I see those --

MS. VAN HAREN: Okay. And before we move to our next speaker, which will be Nancy Goodman, I just wanted to let the Commissioners know that Rodney Carlson was having trouble with his mic on his computer but he did submit a map under his name on the IRC's website. So he just wanted to let the Commissioners know that there is a map there for you to review.

So the next speaker is Nancy Goodman. Nancy, can you hear us?

SPEAKER GOODMAN: Yes, I can. Can you hear me?
MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed.

SPEAKER GOODMAN: Awesome.

1.3

My name is Nancy Goodman. I've lived in Oro Valley for eight years. I'm speaking in favor of LD-17 draft map 9.0 and in opposition to map 10.0.

Draft map 9.0 creates a fair and competitive LD-17 in keeping with the voter-approved and constitutionally required criteria for creating legislative maps. LD -- each LD must have at least close to equal population, map 9.0 will have a 4.85 percent deviancy, well below the 10 percent. Map 9 is geographically compact and contiguous, it's essentially a rectangle and even includes some sparsely populated areas of the Catalina mountains.

Draft map 9.0 represents -- respects communities of interest which include Oro Valley, Marana, Casas Adobes, Rillito, and the Catalina Foothills. Each is classified urban-suburban and they share water sources and development concern, as well as transportation corridors of I-10 and Route 77 Oracle Road. Oro Valley and Casas Adobes are in the same Amphitheater School District.

LD-17 map 9.0 utilizes visible geographic features and city boundaries with the majority of the LD in Pima

County. It also follows the Catalina Mountains and Rillito River as the border with another LD. It respects municipal boundaries and does not split towns. LD-17 draft map 9.0 would be very -- would be a very competitive district with a 1. -- 1.1 voter spread.

According to the Pima County Recorder's Office, the Oro Valley's party registration mirrors the state.

Oro Valley should be in a competitive district. Proposed draft map 10.0 does none of these things and is not in accordance with the IRC rules.

Ten years ago Oro Valley and not -- and not even the entire town was stuffed into a gimme for Republicans as a noncompetitive gerrymandered LD-11. Draft map 10 is another shameful attempt to create yet another noncompetitive district, and it's the express responsibility of the IRC to put a stop to this.

Draft map 9.0 is fair and competitive and should be adopted.

Thank you.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

Our next speakers are going to be Margaret

Henderson -- Margaret Hendrickson, Francesca Pardes, and
then George -- I'm sorry, then Francis Glad.

Margaret, can you hear us?

SPEAKER HENDRICKSON: Yes. Can you hear me?

1.3

MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proc- --

SPEAKER HENDRICKSON: So this is Margaret Hendrickson; I've been living in Green Valley since 2004.

And I'm not going to some of the concerns related to Green Valley that I have heard from a couple other speakers, and that is that Green Valley, Sahuarita, and Quail Creek are a unit that functions together in many walks of life in many ways. We worship, play, work, serve, shop together in that area.

As I have been sitting listening to other speakers and looking for maps, I've become increasingly disturbed because I do travel to those areas in District 19, you know, for camping trips or to view mountains or to see wildlife. That area is not -- has nothing to do with where we live here in this populated area that's a community and much more related to Tucson than to any of the wilderness frontier areas to our -- to our east.

So I would just really ask the Commissioners to take a look at that more closely. We cannot be separated from our real community, which is not only defined by -- by boundaries, but also by physical mountain ranges. It's kind of a separate area and -- and should be held together.

So thank you very, very much for listening to my comments today.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

1.3

Our next speaker is Francesca Pardes.

Francesca, can you hear us?

SPEAKER PARDES: Can you hear me?

MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed.

SPEAKER PARDES: I thank you for an opportunity to participate in today's event. I appreciate the time and effort put forth to create fair and competitive LD and CDs to the extent practicable, but I do have a problem with map 10.0.

In 2008 the Supreme Court ruled that the goal of competitiveness cannot be ignored, and in the proposed LD-17 draft map it is -- I believe it is ignored; and it's also not compact nor contiguous, and it separates suburbs from opposite ends of Tucson by a mountain range and a long drive.

As a result of proposed LD-17, I now live in LD-21 which is different than LD-10 which I had been, and it's been gerrymandered and it separates core central Tucson neighborhoods from the rest of the city.

It also shares much of this area from a previously competitive LD-10, and I believe that competitiveness promotes engagement.

And LD-21 goes south to within miles of the border, encompassing many rural towns and cities in a large swath of Southern Arizona that have little in common with Tucson and

I believe vice versa. It is also not geographically compact and it does not use city, town and county boundaries. It also does not respect community of interest to the extent practicable.

In central Tucson community of interests included established neighborhoods and their associations that have shared economic, educational, cultural, and historical interests. I believe it's best for the areas of central Tucson to be included in what was District 18 on the last map, because I think it's -- as a citizen of Tucson for several decades, I believe we have more in common with the Tucson area than we do with that rural. That's what --

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

1.3

Our next speak is Francis Glad.

Francis, can you hear us?

SPEAKER GLAD: Yes. Can you hear me?

MS. VAN HAREN: Yes, we can. Please proceed.

SPEAKER GLAD: The weight of having to think of every single Arizonan in a fair and balanced way feels to be a difficult task, but I have all the confidence that you will be successful.

My name is Francis Glad and I am a Native, too, and current resident of Santa Cruz County. I have two sons, one is seven years old; I have two granddaughters, and am fortunate to have a close-knit extended family of 65 in and

spread out through Santa Cruz.

1.3

So our roots are deep here. We are part of the majority-minority district.

Santa Cruz is a community of interest. I mentioned before, dividing Santa Cruz would be like dividing a household because most everyone knows each other; if not in person, at least by name. Our home is rich with art history, nature, areas that need to be protected; businesses big and small, trade routes, entrepreneurship, schools, clubs, religion, strong family values and a unique culture. We depend on each other. We care about the quality of life, clean air, water. We care about having a booming economy, therefore we truly understand the importance of the symbiotic relationship that exists between Mexico and Santa Cruz. The relationship that continues through the I-19 corridor through Green Valley and to Tucson.

I fear that our minority voice has been nullified and that we want our -- and what we want for our home and how we want to be represented cannot be achieved with the map that you have chosen for us.

Please reconsider, please keep all Santa Cruz County and the I-19 corridor together within one district. Thank you for your time.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you. The next three speakers are George, Peggy, and Marrell.

1 George, can you hear us?

SPEAKER McGAUGHEY: (No audible response.)

MS. VAN HAREN: Yes, please proceed.

SPEAKER McGAUGHEY: Good morning, Commissioners.

I'm here today to encourage you to adapt (verbatim) map LD 0055 placing Green Valley in District 21.

My name is George McGaughey and I live here in Green Valley in District 19 where I shop and then go to church in Sahuarita, District 21, splitting our communities in half.

I believe District 19 in the legislative map 10.0 is concerning. Its design disregards some of the goals of redistricting set out in the Arizona Constitution, instead serves the goal of creating a safe Republican district in a predominantly Democratic county; the goal is not in our Arizona Constitution.

District 19 is not compact and contiguous to the extent practicable. It is made up of select

Republican-leaning suburbs from opposing ends of the Tucson area that's separated by a mountain range and a long, long drive.

Designing District 19 to create a safe Republican district violates the constitution requirements that to the extent practicable competitive districts should be favored where to do so would create no significant deterrent to the

other goals.

1.3

Democrat Commissioner Lerner proposed an alternative version of District 17 that was highly competitive but it was rejected on the grounds that it failed to create a safe Republican district.

Many of the constitutional points about the proposed LD-17 can be said of Green Valley's position in LD-19 in the map's version you support. I'm here today to encourage you to adopt the Map LD 0055 placing Green Valley in District 21. I thank you for your time and your hard work and services.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

Our next speaker is Peggy Pena. Peggy, can you hear us?

SPEAKER PENA: Yes. Can you hear me?

MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed.

SPEAKER PENA: Okay. My name is Peggy Pena and I'm a retired letter carrier. I moved to Arizona in 1969 to go to ASU and moved to Cochise County in 1997; I mainly carried mail in Wilcox and my husband worked for the National Park Service.

Like many people in Cochise County, we live in an unincorporated area on a number of acres with animals. My television channels are from Tucson; I go to Costco, major stores, and the movies in the Tucson area. Many of our

friends either live or eventually moved to the Vail or eastern Tucson area, which is also known as the bedroom community for Cochise County.

Because of the above community interest in Cochise County, I would like to see the Commission adopt a map for Legislative District 19 similar to LD draft map 9.0. This map keeps Santa Cruz County intact and includes areas going north of that county line that have similar rural residential areas as Cochise County, including Vail and the Saguaro National Park areas.

I would also like the Commission to adopt a more compact Congressional District 6 map. Our area has nothing in common with Casa Grande and Eloy for they are tied to the Phoenix area.

I have submitted a map, CDF001. It keeps Cochise

County intact and like the legislative maps, start to add

the Santa Cruz County border moving north to include similar

communities of interest in eastern Pima and southern Pinal

County. It keeps Fort Huachuca in the same district as the

Davis-Monthan Air Force Base. It also keeps the Saguaro

National Park with the parks in Cochise County.

I have voted in every election since 1969. I would appreciate it if the Commission would create competitive districts so that my vote counts.

Thank you for your time.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

1.3

The next speaker is Merrill Livesay. Merrill, can you hear us?

SPEAKER LIVESAY: Yes, I can. Can you hear me?

MS. VAN HAREN: Yes, thank you.

SPEAKER LIVESAY: Hi. I'm here to voice my support for LD Map 10.0. I believe this map meets the goal of uniting communities of interest.

I live in Picture Rocks and we are in District 16, which is -- unites us with a lot of other rural areas and I think that's a good fit for us.

I also find that most of my friends and people with common interests live in, like, Green Valley, Tanque Verde, Marana, and I think it makes absolute perfect sense the way you created District 17. And I also know that people in Green Valley, my friends and family do not want to be in with Tucson.

 $$\operatorname{So}$\ I$$  thank you very much for 10.0 and I hope that will be the final map.

As far as the CD maps, I think it's horrible the way -- the last one I saw where you split Tucson in half and Tucson is going to rule everybody. Picture Rocks and Avra Valley, its separated from Marana in this map; and that's where our kids go to school, where we shop, where we eat, where we have friends, and I really hope that you will make

some -- some changes to the congressional districts maps so
Tucson doesn't dominate all of Southern Arizona.

Thank you very much.

1.3

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you. Our next three speakers are Rafeal Ihly, Robert Abel and Shirl Lamonna.

Rafeal, can you hear us?

SPEAKER IHLY: Yes, I can hear you. Can you hear me?

MS. VAN HAREN: Please (technical/audio disruption).

SPEAKER IHLY: Okay. I would like to say version 9 of the draft LD maps is terrible. Communities of similar interest are separated; please don't use it. Version 10 maps are good for southern Arizona, satisfying legal requirements and representing well the various communities of interest. Please make no changes other than requested minor line shifts between districts.

Partisan competitive districts are not needed because communities of interest can and must be kept together. Therefore, I would say keep Marana Oro Valley, Tanque Verde, and Vail together in LD-17. Geography and ease of travel matters little; having like interests represented in the same district is all that matters.

The approved CD map 7.1 looks reasonable for Arizona as a whole but there are problems with CD-6 and CD-7

in southern Arizona. Splitting the city in half has the unfair effect of putting large city populations in each -- the only Tucson minority communities and Native American reservations are represented. Suburban and rural communities are unrepresented. This is done by putting them in the same districts with urban minority and Native American interests that outnumber them.

Tucson has only 543,000 people in the census, and Native American and Hispanic communities like South Tucson and Yuma adds up to less than 800,000 people, less than one CD. Today these communities are controlling two CDs and getting double representation. It is logical and fair to keep these protected, like-minded communities together in only one CD, the western CD-7.

Likewise, it is logical and fair that the remaining suburban rural communities be placed together in one CD, CD-6, with the southeast and north of Tucson with nearly 800,000 people.

I submitted a map called "Fair CD-6 and -7 plan," meeting these and all legally required criteria. Please use it as it represents a better alternative to what we currently have.

Thank you for all your service to the people and the state of Arizona. Good luck in completing your difficult task.

Thank you very much.

1.3

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

The next speaker was going to be Shirl Lamonna, but we got a message she's a call-in user. Unfortunately, we can't distinguish based on telephone, we don't get the information from WebEx if someone logs in as a call-in user. In order to speak, you have to be registered under the name that you used -- or you have to be logged in under the name you used to register.

We'll move to the next speaker which is Dale Winders. Dale, can you hear us?

SPEAKER WINDERS: Yes. Can you hear me?

MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed.

SPEAKER WINDERS: Okay, great.

Thank you, Commissioners, for all your hard work.

Again, my name is Dale Winders. I'm a registered voter who owns my home in unincorporated portion of Pima County just north of Oro Valley.

I'm attending this meeting to let you know I strongly support final draft map 10.0 Legislative
District 17 because it's fair, competitive, and truly represents my community of interest.

Many years ago my wife and I very deliberately choose to live outside of the city of Tucson because we love the rural lifestyle and because politically we have nothing

in common with ideology of the overwhelming majority of the voters in the city of Tucson.

Just one of many examples, the fact that during the looting and rioting last year by Antifa and Black Lives

Matters across America, many Tucson voters were loudly

beating the drum to defund the Tucson Police Department. In direct contrast, the overwhelming majority of those of us who live outside of Tucson, would just love to give our beloved law enforcement heros a big, fat raise in pay rather than defunding their heroic efforts to protect we, the people, from criminals.

Unincorporated Pima County and the smaller towns need a voice in our elections during the next ten years. So please, please approve final draft map 10.0 Legislative District 17 which will provide my communities of interest with that voice.

Thank you for this opportunity to share my concerns with you.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

Our next three speakers are David McKibben, Amy Gaiennie, and then Bonnie Haymore.

David, can you hear us?

SPEAKER McKIBBEN: Can you hear me?

MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Thank you. Please proceed.

SPEAKER McKIBBEN: Thank you.

I strongly support the Southern Arizona portion of draft map 10.0 that relates to Legislative District 17.

This district combines Marana, Oro Valley, Saddlebrooke, the Tanque Verde Valley, and the Houghton Corridor. Although these communities are separated by a mountain, they share more in common than they do with the city of Tucson. Other legislative districts are separated by mountains and even state lines, but they're combined by their common communities of interest.

1.3

Like Marana, Tanque Verde and the Houghton Corridor prefer a more rural and family-friendly lifestyle. In my neighborhood of Hidden Vista Hills, which is located on the east side of Tucson, my family enjoys their hobbies and outdoor adventures on the east side of the city, and we usually avoid Downtown Tucson or the more urban areas of the city.

Legislative District 17 provides a check and balance politically in Tucson and a fair representation in Phoenix.

Thank you very much for your time today.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

The next speaker is Amy. Amy, can you hear us? SPEAKER GAIENNIE: Yes, I can. Thank you.

Hello. My name is Amy Gaienne; I've been a Tucson resident for more than 37 years, and I want to thank you for

giving me the opportunity to speak today.

1.3

My husband and I live off Speedway between Fontana and Kolb and have easy access to many businesses and restaurants. My husband can walk to his doctor's office. We have family members, friends, and neighbors we can easily get together with for socializing, for volunteering, and so importantly for care taking.

In the current draft map V10 my community will be LD-18 which stretches to the edge of Oro Valley and cuts off everything east of Harrison. I only occasionally drive to Oro Valley, it's 45 minutes to an hour away depending in traffic; I use the Houghton Road corridor much more frequently.

Draft map V9 strengthens my community of interest and provides boundaries that are more compact, contiguous, and competitive.

My busy sister is a teacher in Vail. As Vail has grown she can conveniently shop there, but she does need to travel west to Tucson to meet her larger needs. She would never consider driving to Oro Valley or Marana for errands because it's at least an hour or more away; and, yet, the currently proposed draft map V10 places Vail, Tanque Verde, Oro Valley, and Marana in one huge district divided by the Catalina Mountains. How does this proposed district adhere to the constitutional requirement of being a community of

interest, compact, or contiguous?

1.3

In creating a safe haven for one political party, how does it fulfill the requirement of being a competitive district?

Proposed draft map V9 much more closely adheres to IRC's constitutional requirements by creating districts that more closely represent communities of interest and are more compact, continuous (verbatim) and competitive than current map V10.

Please support V9 and thank you for listening.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

Our next speaker is Tracie Bunker-Metz. Tracie?

It looks like we lost Tracie.

After Tracie will be David Williams. David, can you hear us?

SPEAKER WILLIAMS: Yes. Can you hear me?

MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed.

SPEAKER WILLIAMS: Okay.

Good afternoon, everyone. My name is David
Williams; my family and I have lived in the Tanque Verde
Valley area of Tucson for more than 20 years. Thanks for
the opportunity to address you today about District 17 as it
appears in your adopted draft legislative map.

I'm very much against the proposed design because, first of all, of its sprawling, noncontiguous shape, but

most importantly because of its lack of competitiveness.

I'm sure that you would agree that successful democracy is based on energetic competition of ideas and candidates.

And, if so, then in our Arizona system of organizing democracy into districts, if we favor one party over another to create a safe district we're, in effect, working against democracy. Ideally all 30 districts would be competitive, but we're way beyond that.

In the past we created what you might call "legacy of fiefdoms of perpetual empowerment." Of the 30 districts, 24 are now considered safe.

The problem with that is that winning candidates in safe districts can be more relaxed, less worried and less responsive. How does that serve us well? I want my representatives to feel accountable and concerned about the well-being of our district all the time. That's their job.

So I'm requesting that you reject the safe district rationale of the adopted map in favor of actual democracy by adopting Commissioner Lerner's LD test map version 9.0.

It's much more compact, contiquous, and competitive.

Thank you very much.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

Our next speaker is -- it looks like Tracie is back. Tracie, can you hear us?

SPEAKER BUNKER-METZ: Hello. Can you hear me?

1.3

1 MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Tracie, go ahead. 2 SPEAKER BUNKER-METZ: Hi. I live up here in --3 in -- well, what would be LD -- or, what is it? I'm sorry. In LD-17 if the -- if the 10 map is adopted. 4 Dale 5 Winders spoke very eloquently. I agree and support everything that he said, also along with Tom Fisk. You 6 7 know, those two men being more eloquent than I can be at 8 this point in time, I -- I would like to use their words to 9 express my opinion on the -- the draft map for 10, and if 10 you could support the draft map for 10, that would be great. 11 We, my husband and I, moved up here in -- into 12 Catalina just a year ago to get further away from the 1.3 influences of the city of Tucson. I don't want -- I really 14 don't want to have anything to do with Tucson besides 15 driving along the outskirts of it. 16 So, you know, the community of interest up here I 17 think is, you know, people who like the rural areas, who 18 like being away from the cacophony of chaos and crime that 19 is in the city of Tucson, the disrespect that is shown to 20 the citizens of Tucson from the -- from the city council. Like I said previously, I would like to stay away 21 22 from there and be -- and have the map 10 adopted, please. 23 Thank you for your time. 24 MS. VAN HAREN: -- is Robert Abel. 25 SPEAKER R. ABEL: Can --

1 MS. VAN HAREN: Yes, Robert. Go ahead.

SPEAKER R. ABEL: Okay. Thank you for letting me speak.

My name is Bob Abel and I strongly support the southern Arizona portion of draft map 10.0 that relates to LD-17.

Our families lived in Rancho Del Sol subdivision for 35 years. Our beautiful rural subdivision borders Sweetwater Preserve in Pima County, but our neighborhood kids go to Tucson schools which makes no sense at all.

The kids in subdivisions north of us go to

Flowing Wells and Marana schools. Most of the churches,

hospitals, and restaurants and other amenities that support

our lifestyle are in Marana and Oro Valley, not the city of

Tucson.

The last 30 years we could not vote on initiatives and candidates that are supposed to be representing our community based on the old map. We cannot vote in any Tucson elections. This is clearly taxation without representation.

Our neighborhood has not been equitably represented, with dissimilar communities in LD-3. In my opinion the last redistricting map violated the Voting Rights Act by not respecting communities of interest.

Because we live outside the city limits of Tucson, we can

not even get our candidate on the ballot to oppose an 18-year incumbent that cares more about the inner city and south Tucson than communities to the north and east.

In conclusion, with this new proposal for LD-17, our interests with our neighbors and rural areas of Pima County will finally be represented.

Thank you for this opportunity.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

1.3

It looks like Shirl Lamonna was able to log in. We just sent you a request to unmute. Can you mute yourself, Shirl?

SPEAKER LAMONNA: Can you hear me?

MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Thank you.

SPEAKER LAMONNA: Sorry. Thank you.

Good afternoon. My name is Shirl Lamonna; and I live in Oro Valley. And thank you so much, Commissioners and Chair, for endeavoring to ensure fair maps that are based solely on competitive -- not based solely on competitiveness.

Many of us in southern Arizona have been denied a voice for the past ten years since the last gerrymandered redistricting occurred, so we appreciate -- we really do -- that you are taking the time to listen to us.

I strongly support the current LD-17 map. Those of us who live in Oro Valley, Marana, Saddlebrooke,

Saddlebrooke Ranch, and the Houghton Corridor can be suburban communities for the common reasons. We wanted a peaceful lifestyle with public safety, good schools, convenient shopping and restaurants, views and open space, well-maintained roads and good medical care, and we can find all of this within these communities; we have no need or interest to go into Tucson or other urban areas.

The city of Tucson doesn't share these interests and priorities. As has been said before, we've got nothing in common with them and we don't belong in the same LD or CD with them. And that's why it's so important to align our communities of interest as you've done on the LD-17 version 10 map. Geography and other arguments that you've heard today shouldn't sway you to make any changes to it. Our similar interests must be the deciding factor. We're entitled to the voice we've been denied so long and appreciate your efforts to make that happen.

Thanks so much.

MS. VAN HAREN: Next speaker is -- or next three speakers are Amy Maffeo, Cheryl Purvis, and Stephen Kelsey.

Amy, can you hear us?

Amy?

1.3

SPEAKER MAFFEO: Yes. Thank you.

Good afternoon. I would like to speak in favor of draft map 10.0 as it relates to LD-17. Combining regions

like Saddlebrooke, Saddlebrooke Ranch, Oro Valley, Marana,
Tanque Verde Valley, and Houghton Corridor due to their
similarities is sensible.

1.3

We -- my neighbors, my husband, my family and I, we shop, we recreate and worship in these districts and, frankly, we deserve better representation.

Also, these unincorporated regions of Pima County are home to those of us who have purposefully chosen to live outside of a large urban area. Many of us fled midtown Tucson to seek refuge from the city of Tucson. I see LD-17 as a place that residents like me can find a voice.

Thank you for your time and your consideration.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

Our next speaker is Cheryl Purvis. Cheryl?

SPEAKER PURVIS: Hello, can you hear me?

MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed.

SPEAKER PURVIS: Thank you.

I'm Cheryl Purvis, a resident of Broadmoor, a midtown Tucson neighborhood; I've lived there since 2019. I moved from a suburban area in Nevada to a midtown in order to enjoy the amenities of a city.

District 17 of the currently adopted legislative map violates the guidelines under the Arizona Constitution with respect to at least three goals. It violates Goal C, districts shall be geographically compact and contiguous;

Goal E, district lines shall use visible geographic features, city, town and county boundaries and undivided census tracts; and F, competitive districts should be favored.

This proposed district is neither compact nor contiguous and the visible geographic boundaries are not respected. The almost 57,000 acre Pusch Ridge Wilderness area and Santa Catalina Mountains separate the northwestern from the southeastern part of the district.

The district as proposed would be highly noncompetitive and has been proposed specifically with the unconstitutional goal of being noncompetitive. On the other hand, the map proposed by Commissioner Lerner as LD test map version 9.0 is much more competitive and also respects the constitutional roles of being compact, contiguous, and respecting visible geographic features; and, therefore, that map, Commissioner Lerner's map, should be adopted as the proposed draft map for District 17.

With respect to my midtown neighborhood, Broadmoor, Commissioner Lerner's map is also superior to the currently adopted legislative draft map.

In the currently adopted map, my neighborhood is part of proposed Legislative District 21 which stretches at least 70 miles to the Mexican border, a largely rural and sparsely populated area, which is unlikely to have much in

common with the neighborhood concerns we share with other Tucson midtown neighborhoods; and, therefore,

Commissioner Lerner's map should also be adopted with respect to the placement of the Broadmoor/Broadway Village neighborhood in her District 18.

Thank you.

1.3

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

The next speaker is Stephen Kelsey. Stephen?

SPEAKER KELSEY: I assume you can hear me.

MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please pro- --

SPEAKER KELSEY: Good.

So I live at the corner of Harrison and East
Broadway in Tucson; and I first want to just second all that
Shirl just said. I agree that the proposed Legislative
District 17 is not a good choice, the one in 9.0 is the one
I would support.

I'm concerned because for where I live this map of LD-17 as proposed would cut us off from our immediate neighbors in the most impractical way; and it is as you -- as I look at it, really a blatant gerrymandering to carve out a safe district for Republican candidates and that does undermine the goals of redistricting as set forth in the Arizona Constitution.

I want to look at this, though, from a slightly different perspective perhaps, and this is from my

background in church planning, designing that -- for Episcopal churches over the years in different places where what we have done is identify centers of activity, which is really looking at neighborhoods where the building of community and new congregations will be encouraged and likely.

Center of activities, that's been said, a natural gathering place for people who live in a given area, where they already meet and worship, socialize, recreate and so on.

The purpose of this is specifically to build a sense of consensus, mutual trust, unity of purpose -- and this essential for democracy to have a place -- neighborhoods that are grounded in irenic relationships which encourage an open exchange of ideas, experiences, and sentiment as opposed to dismissive and mistrusting relation- --

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

Our next speaker is Sandi Eghtesadi. Sandi, can you unmute yourself?

After Sandi is Stan Cain and then Carole Lindly.

Stan Cain, can you unmute yourself?

SPEAKER CAIN: I was wondering, can -- can you hear

24 me okay?

1.3

MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed.

SPEAKER CAIN: Okay. Thank you very much.

1.3

My name is Stan Cain. I'm a resident of Pima

County where I raised my children in the public school

system. I'm currently spending most my time having

face-to-face conversations with residents and businesses in

the current Legislative District 9 with whom I've shared the

following fact in respect to your draft map version 10

legislative district and version 7 congressional district.

The consensus from conversations with members of the current

LD-9 community is not in support of the proposed map

(technical/audio disruption) as submitted; they need minor

changes and work towards a new draft proposal. Although

nothing is perfect, we simply can and must do better.

We need to remember the statute is likely (technical/audio disruption) to these maps; that they need natural barriers that is enumerated, including visible geographic features, city, county boundaries and undivided census maps. The combined city/county areas not only do not represent communities of interest, they both share differences in demographics and in the representation of (technical/audio disruption) taxation district.

It seems to be more than just an adjustment when communities that are distinct just in terms of city versus county and compactness is the grossly (technical disruption).

Again, I'll continue to work out the minor changes needed and I thank you for hearing my comments.

MS. VAN HAREN: Okay. Thank you.

The next speaker is -- I don't know if -- okay.

Carole Lindly, can you -- can you hear us?

SPEAKER LINDLY: Yes, I can. Can you hear me?

MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed.

SPEAKER LINDLY: Thanks. Good afternoon.

I'm Carole Lindly and I live in LD-10. I'm a native Arizona -- Arizonan and I now live very close to where I grew up.

For the last ten years people like me have not had sufficient representation in Pima County. One of the most populace counties in the state of Arizona really deserves fair and equitable representation of our interests.

Draft map 9.0 does not accomplish that and I believe it's disingenuous to say otherwise, it's simply the status quo and I respectfully ask that you reject that map.

I strongly support the southern Arizona portion of draft map 10 that relates to LD-17 and represents my community of interest. When considering communities of interest for these areas, there's no doubt that we have far differing concerns and issues and have made different lifestyle choices. This is where I cultivated friendships, do the vast majority of my shopping, go to church, and take

advantage of the excellent recreational areas.

Due to their rural aspect, interest, and community alignment, Saddlebrooke, Marana, Oro Valley, the Tanque Verde Valley, and the Houghton Corridor should be kept together. It's no accident that people choose these places to live rather than in the city of Tucson. Please keep rural and suburban areas together rather than combining us with urban areas.

Additionally, a check and balance on the city of Tucson needs to be implemented and the unincorporated areas of Pima County along with the smaller town provide for that through LD-17. Additionally, I totally agree that the city of Tucson should be kept in one congressional district, not split.

For the first time in ten years LD-17 provides all southern Arizonans a voice. Please allow us this opportunity.

Thank you very much. Appreciate your help and all of your work.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

The next speaker is Nolan Reidhead. Nolan?

SPEAKER REIDHEAD: Yes. Can you hear me?

MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed.

SPEAKER REIDHEAD: Yes, I want to appreciate the Commission for all the hard work that you're doing, it's a

1.3

difficult task and -- and we appreciate your time and effort.

1.3

I live in unincorporated Pima County next to Oro Valley and have so for about 23 years now, and I want to continue to support you in your proposed map of 10.0.

I'm hearing a lot of talk that legislative -- the proposed Legislative District 17 is not -- is not according to the Constitution because it's not competitive. Well, as you -- as you the Commission know, the competition is only to be considered where there is no significant detriment to the other goals. So these -- these corridors that you're talking about: Oro Valley, Marana, Saddlebrooke, the Tanque Verde Valley, the -- the Houghton Corridor, they do share these similar values with respect to security, proper representation, election, schools, police force, so I would encourage you to support these -- that map LD -- 10.0.

And I'm hearing a lot that it should be more competitive. Well, L- -- the proposed LD-17 is only plus 9 for Republican. Whereas if you look at LD-20 and LD-21, LD-20 is plus 52 for Democrat and LD-21 is plus 33, but we're not hearing anything about -- about that lack of competitiveness there.

So competition is the last issue. I think those other factors have been met and so I would encourage you to continue to support 10.0; and I think it meets all factors

1 of the Constitution. And, again, I really appreciate your time and thank 2 3 you for the opportunity to speak today. 4 MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you. 5 The next three speakers are James Spiegel, Jim 6 McFadzean, and Dee Maitland. 7 James, can you unmute yourself? 8 There he is. 9 SPEAKER ZEBAL: And I want to --10 MS. VAN HAREN: I'm sorry, Ken. Ken? I'm sorry, 11 we're calling James Spiegel now. 12 James, can you hear us? 1.3 SPEAKER SPIEGEL: Can you hear me? 14 MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed. 15 SPEAKER SPIEGEL: Okay. Great. Thank. 16 First of all, I would like to thank you for the 17 opportunity to speak. What Carole Lindly and Nolan Reidhead 18 just said is exactly my sentiments exactly. 19 I strongly support recent legislative draft LD-10.0 20 specifically for LD-17 and also for LD-19. My wife and I 21 live in Saddlebrooke Ranch, we lived in Saddlebrooke before that. Both -- both communities have much more in common 22 23 with the residents of Oro Valley and Marana than we do with

the nor- -- with northern Pinal. This is where we shop and

go to restaurants, have all our service -- most of our

24

services come from there, service providers and utilities as well.

We also believe that Marana, Tanque Verde, and Houghton Corridor residents chose a rural lifestyle and they've chosen not to live in midtown Tucson, which has nothing in common with the larger urban areas. And as Nolan said, the unincorporated Pima County areas and the smaller towns do not need a check and balance and LD-17 provides that. It does give conservatives a voice where we haven't had in the past and in 9.0 is an all-Democrat map stifling that voice.

For LD-19, which includes rural southeastern

Arizona, it give strong represe- -- representation to

ranching, mining, and agricultural interest in the area,

which is why we like that. It keeps Cochise County

together, which is where our son-in-law grew up, and

combines this area with ranching communities in Sonoita and

Patagonia.

So I'd urge the precinct committee members to support LD map 10.0 specifically for LD-17 and LD-19. And, again, thanks for the opportunity.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

The next speaker is Jim McFadzean. Jim, can you hear us?

SPEAKER McFADZEAN: I can. Can you hear me okay?

MS. VAN HAREN: Yes, please proceed.

1.3

SPEAKER McFADZEAN: Okay. Thank you.

Good afternoon, Commissioners. I wanted to personally thank you for your time, as your charge is one that has consequences on all Arizona citizens for the next ten years. Your -- so your task is one without -- with a lot of merit, thank you.

I wanted to express my strong support of Southern Arizona portion of draft map 10.0 that relates to LD-17 as drawn. I feel it fairly represents my community of interest such as shopping areas that my wife and I frequent for groceries, household needs, et cetera. Our favorite restaurants are in the area between Oro Valley and Marana with few exceptions. Our church is in the area LD-17 as drawn; our medical care, hospital, and doctors are all in LD-17 as drawn.

And more importantly, while I -- while my kids are grown and I do reside in the Marana Union School District, I am a PC, precinct committeeman, and I've been very active in school board issues and Marana -- Marana Union School District is one which I have attended and, therefore, it's something that I really want to keep within LD-17 as drawn.

My wife and I both love to hike. Everything -every -- every trail, every trailhead that we want to hike
is in LD-17 as drawn. I'm a swimmer, the swimming

facilities are all in the same LD-17 as drawn.

So, in conclusion, everything that you have put in LD-17 satisfies what I feel is necessary for the area and I want to thank you for your time and consideration and I urge you to keep LD-17 intact as it's currently drawn.

Thank you.

1.3

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

Our next speaker is Dee Maitland. Dee, can you hear us?

SPEAKER MAITLAND: Yes. Can you hear me?

MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed.

SPEAKER MAITLAND: Very good.

Thank you for doing this. My name is Dee Maitland and I live in Marana in the Dove Mountain area that was developed by Commissioner Mehl.

I'm asking you to please create a legislative map that has more competitive districts. Competitive districts ensure that the political parties advance their best and their brightest, they assure candidates run on solutions and completions not political affiliations, and they assure that elected officials could be held accountable for their bad acts.

Safe districts on the other hand produce candidates like Paul Gosar who thinks it's fun to create a video showing him stabbing and killing a female colleague. Safe

districts like the one I'm currently in produces a representative that follows tutus, support of the Fry (phonetic), is a no keeper and will have the insurrection.

Safe districts allow the safely elected to ignore the will of the voters and close their competitors out of deliberations. How many times have 60 or 70 percent of voters been in favor of something only to see it never advance because it challenges the power or inclination of the party elected by a slim majority, as is currently happening?

I submitted legislative map LD 0028 for the sole purpose of keeping Pima County as intact as possible and assuring Marana and Oro Valley were not in Pinal County. I ended up with a competitive district by accident. Surely you could do better on purpose.

If you must gerrymander, please gerrymander competitive districts, not a district like LD-17 as it appears on your map 10.0.

Thank you so much for hearing me, and you're doing --

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

The next speakers will be Ken Zebal, Diane Dvoskin, and then Dion Heimink.

Ken, can you hear us?

SPEAKER ZEBAL: I'm Ken, and like many of the other

1.3

people, I support map 10.0 and I congratulate you on a job well done for that; and I also support LD-17.

Map 10.0 as proposed and LD-17 go a long way to ensuring my wife Liz and I as well as our family and friends here in Saddlebrooke and neighbors (technical/audio disruption) get fair, equitable, and sufficient representation. Something we haven't had.

The center of my universe, so to speak, is northern Pima County and southern Pinal County. That includes Catalina, Oracle Junction, Saddlebrooke, Saddlebrooke Ranch, Oro Valley, and Marana. We shop locally, we see the local medical professionals, and we belong to the Vista Church in Catalina, church of about 325 people. We do need your support making sure that we get adequate representation.

One of the things that I want to do is be sure that you know that we are currently very underrepresented and we need the IRC's strong support on map 10 and LD-17 to ensure that we will finally get fair and equitable representation.

Thank you so much.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

Our next speaker is Diane Dvoskin. Diane, can you hear us?

We'll move to Dion Heimink. Dion, can you hear us?

SPEAKER HEIMINK: I can hear you. Can you hear me?

MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed.

1.3

SPEAKER HEIMINK: Good afternoon, Commissioners, and thank you for your time.

I want to speak about the recent district draft map drawn by the Independent Redistricting Commission. I strongly support map 10.0. My wife and I have lived in Oro Valley for the past 29 years. We chose to move to this community after escaping New York in 1992.

My wife and I chose Oro Valley because of the excellent schools along with its stunning beauty and the safety this community provided me and my family. The people of Oro Valley really love their police.

I support the southern Arizona portion of the map that would create LD-17. It would combine Saddlebrooke, Saddlebrooke Ranch, Marana, Oro Valley, the Tanque Verde Valley, and Houghton Corridor.

Residents of these areas have long believed they have much more in common than -- than the urban areas. We shop here, we recreate here, we use the exceptional hospitals and medical facilities this area provides.

Much like Marana and Oro Valley, the residents of
Tanque Verde enjoy a rural lifestyle, as does the
Houghton Corridor. These residents chose not to live in the
city of Tucson because they wanted a more rural and peaceful
lifestyle. I feel -- okay. And -- and they mostly feel
that they don't have anything in common with the larger

urban areas.

1.3

Unincorporated Pima County and the smaller towns really need a check and balance on the city of Tucson and I believe that LD-17 would provide that.

LD-17 gives Republicans in Southern Arizona a fair and balanced voice, in contrast with some of the maps like 9.0, which would create a Democratic-controlled area stifling, you know, conservative representation.

So I would appreciate your support of map 10.0. I thank you for your time and consideration and your hard work to the people of Arizona.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

Our next speaker is Chris King. Chris, can you hear us?

SPEAKER KING: Yes, ma'am. Can you hear me?

MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed.

SPEAKER KING: Okay. I want to show my support for the current map of -- or, the current map 10.0, as a 17-year resident of the Houghton Corridor and the Vail School District, of which I'm also a member of the Vail School District governing board.

Map 17 is the most representative of our area. It provides -- while the Vail School District will still be broken up in the three separate legislative districts as it currently is now, this provides more of the district area to

a -- basically two of the districts, the Vail School

District will sit majority in District 17 and I believe it's

19, the one that encompasses Cochise County.

The -- keeping this together, this

Houghton Corridor together, is part of the reason we bought
our house here. It was outside the city of Tucson when we
bought it and then incorporated into it. It's part of the
Houghton Corridor and Tanque Verde Valley moving up to
Marana, which is where we do most of our -- most of our -our life. It's school, it's church, it's friends and family
and keeping that there.

Tucson, the Democrats that have sat here and talked about competitiveness today are all well and good to talk about competitiveness unless it gets inside the city of Tucson where they have fought for decades for keeping Tucson noncompetitive by keeping -- or keeping ward-only voting off -- voting off of the ballot. If they truly want competitive districts, then I want them -- I implore them to bring ward-only voting to the city of Tucson.

Thank you for your time, thank you for the effort and work that you have put into making the maps. We appreciate everything that you do and we look forward to what you guys come up with.

Have a great day.

MS. VAN HAREN: Our next three speakers are Anna

Clark, John Maynard, and then -- I'm sorry. Excuse me.

Connie Williams, Anna Clark, and then John Maynard.

Connie, can you hear us?

SPEAKER WILLIAMS: (No audible response.)

MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed.

SPEAKER WILLIAMS: Yes. My name is Connie
Williams. I've lived in Santa Cruz County for 30 years,
retired from the Tubac Rio Rico School District, and serve
on boards or otherwise activity engaged in six community
groups in Patagonia, Green Valley, Tubac, and Nogales. I
think civic engagement is important.

I follow the IRC proceedings, testified at the Nogales listening tour, and submitted a draft map that offered a workable solution for Sonoita and Elgin. I respect the challenges you-all face to create fair maps.

I'm testifying today because I am deeply concerned that small Santa Cruz County has been split into two legislative districts.

Two years ago U.S. Congress designated the Santa Cruz Valley as a National Heritage Area to celebrate our valued history and its unique natural and cultural identity. The Santa Cruz Valley runs from the border with Mexico north, parallel the I-19 corridor through Tucson. Slicing out Tubac and Tumacacori removed two significant historical and cultural points, the Tubac Presidio and the Mission in

Tumacacori, from cohesive legislation in the heritage area.

Patagonia and the Patagonia Mountains are part of the heritage area. The Patagonia and Sonoita Creek Preserve is a featured highlight, and southeastern Arizona is headwaters of the Santa Cruz River. Absorbing all of northern and eastern Santa Cruz County into LD-19 is without merit and geographical or community of interest terms, our economic ties, or our historical identity.

Please respect our wishes and the boundaries of the Santa Cruz River watershed to keep Santa Cruz County whole in LD-21 and link via the Santa Cruz Valley and I-19 through Green Valley and Sahuarita.

Thank you.

1.3

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

Our next speaker is Anna Clark. Anna, can you hear us?

SPEAKER CLARK: I can hear you. Can you hear me?

MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed.

SPEAKER CLARK: Thank you.

Good afternoon, Commissioners, and thank you for your time today and letting us voice our opinions.

I'm a long-time resident and a very active member in the Oro Valley community. Today I would like to voice my support for the Southern Arizona portion of LD map 10.0.

LD-17 specifically combines Saddlebrooke,

Saddlebrooke Ranch, Marana, Oro Valley, the Tanque Verde
Valley and the Houghton Corridor. Residents of
Saddlebrooke Ranch and Saddlebrooke are part of our
community. They have much more in common with us in
Oro Valley and Marana than they do in northern Pinal; they
shop here in Oro Valley, get medical treatment here, go to
church here and their friends are here.

Just like Marana, the communities of the Tanque

Verde of the (technical disruption) in a rural lifestyle, as

does the Houghton Corridor. As residents of these

communities we have actively chosen not to live in the city

of Tucson and have nothing in common with these urban areas.

Like others that have already said before me, unincorporated Pima County and the smaller surrounding towns need a check and a balance on the city of Tucson, LD-17 provides that.

Finally, LD-17 gives Republicans in southern

Arizona -- in south Arizona a voice. In contrast, maps -
LD map 9.0 creates an all-Democrat map silencing that voice.

I strongly support map 10.0 and would like to see it adopted as our final map.

Marana, Oro Valley, Saddlebrooke,
Saddlebrooke Ranch, the Tanque Verde Valley, and the
Houghton Corridor belong together.

Thank you for our time.

1.3

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you. Our next speaker is John Maynard.

1.3

SPEAKER MAYNARD: -- very much, Commissioners. I appreciate the hard work, obviously you put a lot of work into drafting the maps as we've gone along.

I'm going to strongly support draft map 10.0 as drawn and in particular LD-17. It is absolutely my community of interest. It's where four of my children and eight of my grandchildren live; it's where I work, it's where I worship, it's where I shop, two of my favorite restaurants are nearby, my favorite coffee shop; it's where my grandchildren go to school, where my older daughter teaches school and my younger daughter works. I have friends and neighbors that have similar lifestyles, interests, educations, and background. I belong to and attend meetings of business and civic organizations in the area.

I routinely attend school board meetings in the area. By the way, I'm not a domestic terrorist. It's absolutely perfect. Please adopt it as-is.

Thank you so much for your time.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

The next speaker is Carolyn Shafer. Carolyn, can you hear us?

SPEAKER SHAFER: Yes. And you can hear me?

MS. VAN HAREN: Yes.

1.3

SPEAKER SHAFER: Thank you.

And thank you for the opportunity to speak today about the redistricting process. I have not only resided in the Santa Cruz County for 26 years, I have lived an actively involved life in working with many people across the county who are focused on protecting and enhancing the true wealth of this county, our public lands and urban (technical/audio disruption) and our cultural history. As the owner of a retail business for 15 years in Patagonia, I know firsthand that this area is a world-wide destination for nature-based activities.

Santa Cruz County is the smallest county in Arizona based on geographic size and is one of the smallest based on population and per capita income. It is also reported that Santa Cruz County has the highest quality of life. I believe that quality of life reflects the residents being actively engaged in cocreating a thriving community for all.

Based on my experience I implore you not to divide
Santa Cruz County into different legislative districts. All
of Santa Cruz County is a community of interest with visible
geographic features. The headwaters of the Santa Cruz River
is in San Rafeal Valley, and the Sonoita Creek watershed is
a major tributary to the Santa Cruz River.

A significant part of Santa Cruz County is

1 identified as a global biodiversity hotspot recognized by 2 many scientists as one of the top regions in the world most 3 in need of protection for species survival. The Patagonia 4 Mountains on the southeastern Santa Cruz County border are 5 key to Santa Cruz County's future well-being. 6 In order to best protect this community of 7 interest, it is critical that all of Santa Cruz County be in 8 one district rather than being divided in two and assigned 9 to other districts. 10 Thank you. 11 MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you. The next speaker is Karen Wilkison. Karen, it 12 1.3 looks like you have two different devices there and you're 14 going to want to mute one so we don't get that echo. 15 SPEAKER WILKISON: Can you hear me? 16 MS. VAN HAREN: Yes, Karin. You've got to mute 17 your other -- other device that you're on. 18 SPEAKER WILKISON: Well, I turned it off. 19 Is it okay now? 20 MS. VAN HAREN: Karen, we're still getting a pretty 21 bad echo. 22 SPEAKER WILKISON: Okay. 23 I -- I -- I --24 MS. VAN HAREN: Okay, Karen. We'll come back to 25 you.

So the next speaker is Mary Keerins. Mary?

SPEAKER KEERINS: Yes, I'm here. Can you hear me?

MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed.

SPEAKER KEERINS: Thank you. My name is Mary

Keerins. I moved to Arizona in 1985. I spent 23 years as a successful employee benefits insurance consultant working with large employers in southern Arizona, including Tucson Electric Power, Tucson Medical Center, El Rio, Tucson Airport Authority and more. I am now retired and I live in the Catalina Foothills near Sabino Canyon.

I'm here to object to the draft map 10.0 for LD-9/17 district. This district does not meet the IRC criteria in several ways. As drawn, LD-17 map is not compact and contiguous, you would have to leave LD-17 and cross other LDs to drive from one part of the district to the other; this map does not represent communities of interest being kept together. The Foothills area has little in common with Vail or the far northern section of this district; and it is clearly not competitive according to the mapping specialists' reports.

All three of these are specific requirements for the IRC. At the last meeting in October, it was specifically stated that this map was drawn to create another Republican district in Pima County. This is unconstitutional. This goes against the IRC regulations and

should not be permitted. I urge the entire Commission to return to LD test map version 9.0 as proposed by Commissioner Lerner.

Return LD-17 to a compact district recognizing communities of interest and making it a competitive district.

Thank you.

1.3

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

Our next speaker will be Karen Wilkison. I think we fixed it. Can you hear us, Karen?

SPEAKER WILKISON: Thank you. Can you hear me?

MS. VAN HAREN: Yep. That sounds great.

SPEAKER WILKISON: Great. Okay.

I'm Karen Wilkison and I live in what is now Legislative District 9. It has been a competitive district that has worked well for 10 years.

I'm concerned about the proposed map of LD-17 because it does not comply with the requirements for redistricting set out in the Arizona Constitution. It is not compact, it is not contiguous or competitive.

By circling around the parameters of Tucson from Oro Valley to Vail, it connects disparate communities that have very little to do with each other.

The result of this odd-shaped district would be a disservice to all voters -- Republicans, Democrats, and

Independents -- because it favors one party. When one party is obviously dominant, politicians don't have to work for votes, voters don't get involved, and the outcome is predetermined. Competitiveness in every district makes politicians more responsive and encourages engagement.

In coming up with the new map, please don't make competition less important than the other criteria.

I urge you to use draft map LD-9.0 that Commissioner Lerner proposed.

Thank you for your work.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

And the next speaker is H. Pulliam. Can you unmute yourself? We've sent you a request to unmute.

There we go.

All right, we'll move on to Cameron Toering.

Cameron?

SPEAKER TOERING: Good afternoon, Madam Chair and members, my name is Cameron Toering. I'm a previous nonpartisan legislative staffer and a current certified limited practice student under Rule 39(C) of the Arizona Supreme Court.

I'm here today to voice my concern regarding

District 17 and its major conflict with the case law under

Arizona Minority Coalition for Fair Redistricting versus the

Arizona Redistricting Commission. I have great respect for

Vice Chairman Mehl (verbatim) and his dedication to grouping together Marana, Oro Valley, and Saddlebrooke; I think it's a fantastic idea.

Further, I have grave concerns that grouping together members of the Houghton Corridor like myself who actually live within the Tucson city limits may be a violation of the communities of interest case law.

Communities of interest -- as you guys I'm sure have heard 7 trillion times, you guys are much more patient than I -- are very important to making sure we comply with both Arizona court law and Supreme Court law in designing proper districts.

The residents of Vail, Tanque Verde, and the Houghton Corridor live most of their life and spend most of their time within metro Tucson. This drawing of LD-17 with Oro Valley, Saddlebrooke, and Marana raises concerns about the geographic features and the exclusion of communities of interest.

By excluding residents of Tucson proper from metro
Tucson I worry about limited voter disenfranchisement.

With that, I'd be happy to take any questions. And thank you, Commissioners, for putting up with all the public comments. I have to say, you are all much more selfless than I would be in your position.

Thank you again.

1 MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

1.3

Our next speaker is H. Pulliam.

SPEAKER PULLIAM: Yes, as I got unmuted now, can you hear me?

MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed.

SPEAKER PULLIAM: Can you hear me?

MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed.

SPEAKER PULLIAM: Can you hear me?

MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. We can hear you.

SPEAKER PULLIAM: Okay. Good. Thank you.

My name is Howard Ronald Pulliam, Ron Pulliam, I go by the middle name; I live in Patagonia and I moved to Arizona in 1971 and I lived in Patagonia, Arizona.

So many points have been made and I appreciate the willingness of the Commission to listen to -- to the voters and our concerns. Most of everything has been said, so I'll just simply say I strongly favor map 9.0 for a variety of reasons, one of which, of course, is we've heard over and over again, don't split Santa Cruz County. We are a cohesive unit that we -- we -- we need to work together.

I want to bring up something that hasn't come up, and that is as many of you may know or some of you may know, that in 1890 John Wesley Powell proposed that political subdivisions in the west should be based on watershed basis, and that's certainly true for our community, the headwaters

in Sonoita to the Santa Cruz River. The Sonoita Creek is a community that shares a water resource that needs to be protected, we need to work together, and it's a logical way to -- to organize our government.

I want to thank you for your -- listening to me and urge you very much to support keeping Santa Cruz County together and map 9.0.

Thank you very much.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

The next four speakers will be Mary Buckman, Sharon Edgar, Matt Parrili, and then Carissa Sipp.

Mary Buckman.

1.3

SPEAKER BUCKMAN: Hello. I know that Florence is going to be having an in-meeting person tomorrow, but I'm not able to go to that. Can I go ahead and give my comments today?

MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed.

SPEAKER BUCKMAN: Okay. So I live in Coolidge and the communities that are part of my daily life and connections are mainly Florence, Casa Grande, Eloy, and San Tan Valley somewhat.

So these are increasing in population because the home development, but most of those might be involved with going to Tucson/Phoenix metro area for jobs. We're primarily agricultural and rural. So because of that, I

would like to propose the recommendation for redistricting of LD-16 and CD-6.

So for CD-6 I would recommend Eloy and Florence and southern San Tan to be added. It's very important that our rural communities do have communities of interest held together.

And then for LD-16 I believe Pima County should not be a part of it at all. Again, keeping Pinal County separate from Pima County is also adhering I believe to the community of interest criteria.

So my other concern is the proposed map -- of the proposed map goes all the -- that goes all the way to Gila Bend in Maricopa County. Instead, I would suggest adding San Tan Valley, which would be a natural fit, more of a -- the rural Pinal County and again part of the community of interest criteria.

So just to recap that, I'd like to keep both LD-16 and CD-6 in Pinal County only, not in Pima County or Maricopa County.

Thank you again for listening to my concerns and the work you're doing for endeavors.

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

The next speaker is Sharon Edgar. Sharon, can you hear us?

SPEAKER EDGAR: Hello. I'm from Coconino County.

I would like to talk about the draft map version of CD-2.

If I'm reading the map correctly, it has Ak-Chin,
Gila River, Havasupai, Hopi, Haulipai, Kaibab-Paiute,
Navajo, Pueblo of Zuni, San Carlos Apache, San Juan Southern
Paiute, Tonto Apache, White Mountain Apache, Yavapai,
Yavapai Apache, Yavapai Prescott Tribe, they're all in CD-2.
I'm very concerned that you've diluted their votes by adding
in Prescott and that part of Yavapai County to make CD-2 a
noncompetitive Republican district.

Prescott is highly Republican and that area of
Prescott will be in a Republican district; whether they're
in a district with the Navajo Nation or they're in a
district with Mohave County, they will be represented either
way, but not so much for the Navajo Nation. The only reason
to put Prescott in a district with the Navajo Nation and a
dozen other tribes is to dilute the Native American vote.
Please don't do that.

Please make CD-2 a highly competitive district.

Thank you for listening to my concerns. We appreciate your service to this state.

MS. VAN HAREN: Our next speaker is Carissa Sipp. Carissa?

SPEAKER SIPP: Hi. I'm here today to talk about the constitutional bound objectives and the Commission and how they are being disregarded in the draft maps for

southern Arizona, specific to the LD-17 creation at the bidding of a partisan map submitted to legally disguise as public submittal.

The creation of the politically safe district for the party submitting the map unfairly impacted other districts: LD-21, LD-10, and CD-2.

The objectives of the draft maps are as follows in no particular order: Districts shall comply with U.S.

Constitution and Voting Rights Act; congressional districts should have equal population to the extent practicable and state legislative districts shall have equal population; districts shall be geographically compact and contiguous; district boundaries shall respect communities of interest; district lines should -- shall use visible geographic features, city, town and county boundaries and undivided census tracts; competitive districts should be favored where to do so would create no significant detriment to the other goals.

These criteria absolutely all matter.

Competitive -- competitiveness as ruled by the Arizona

Supreme Court in 2008 is absolutely critical to the survival of -- of the democratic practices and choices of the leaders. The Court rules that the competitiveness goals cannot be considered less mandatory than the other goals, ignored, nor regulated to a secondary role. Yet, in

shifting LD-17 from a competitive to a noncompetitive district in service of partisan outcomes, the Commission is doing exactly this, drawing this district for a safe district for one party, the party that submitted the map.

As a result of this noncompetitive party-leaning southern Arizona mapping of LD-17, you have impacted LD-21 into a gerrymandered district that separates core Tucson neighborhoods from the rest of Tucson; and it also shifts much of this area from a previously competitive district into a safe Democratic district and makes LD-17 a safe Republican district.

We need competitive districts that are drawn responsibly in accordance with the Constitution and not across party lines. The best way to represent voters is to give them a competitive --

MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

Our next two speakers and our last two speakers will be Michael Bryan and then Steven McEwen.

Michael?

SPEAKER BRYAN: Thank you, Commissioners, for being here and thank you for your attention.

My name is Michael Bryan, I'm an attorney residing in central Tucson for the past 20 years. I would like to emphasize to the Commissioners that the IRC was created by Arizona voters primarily to generate more competitive

districts than when the current legislature continued to control the process.

To quote the Arizona Constitution regarding the IRC commission: "To oversee the mapping of fair and competitive congressional and legislative districts."

But far too many of the maps currently under consideration fail to provide the vast majority of Arizonans with competitive districts at either the federal or state level.

I therefore urge the Commission to reevaluate how that has prioritized their mission away from striving to provide some minimal number of competitive districts and towards maximizing competitiveness in as many districts as mathematically and constitutionally possible.

I also remind the Commissioners that political party affiliation alone does not and cannot define community of interest. Therefore using party ballots primarily and explicitly to ensure GOP majority districts in Pima County and especially to provide an oft-quoted check and balance of metro Tucson is not a constitutionally sound basis for mapping district in southern Tucson.

If your goal is to minimize the legal risk to your final maps, adopting maps to slight other criteria, especially ethnic and cultural minorities, to favor the creation of a safe Republican district in Pima County would

certainly fail that goal.

1.3

Thank you for your time.

MS. VAN HAREN: Okay. And our final speaker is Steven McEwen.

SPEAKER McEWEN: Can you hear me, ma'am? Can you hear me?

MS. VAN HAREN: Yes. Please proceed.

SPEAKER McEWEN: Thank you.

Good afternoon, Madam Chairman and members of the Committee.

My name is Steve McEwen, I'm a resident of Rio Rico and the chairman of the Republican party of Santa Cruz County. By overwhelming consensus I've been asked to speak for the conservative citizens of Santa Cruz County.

We'd like to begin by addressing the approved map of proposed LD-21. In many ways dictated by constitutional guidelines, this map is a total failure. Although numbers may imply we are Democrat in numbers, we are first a voter community in a very rural -- and very rural along with the city of Nogales. We have a unique culture and our own values, principles, and morals that we live by. Urban society does not share these principles and have proven it in the last ten years of failed representation as a result of the gerrymandering from the last IRC.

We strongly suggest that our little county not be split up and that the Committee considers we have large ranches in this region and a very rich mine, South32.

1.3

We would respectfully argue we have much more in common with proposed LD-19. We would suggest that you add Santa Cruz County in whole with LD-19. This change would put Santa Cruz County in compliance with all six guidelines.

We feel your efforts in creating congressional districts is nearly success; we do have some concerns about proposed CD-7. Once again, we would emphasize that we are rural area and have little in common with community interest in urban society.

Understanding that CD-7 will not be created in a Republican majority, we would ask that competitive numbers be within 20 percent so that our issues on the border are represented by the people and not by the politics who watch NBC.

As with our culture on the border, the issues here are ours to manage and suffer with. It is our fences that are being torn down, our mines that are being sequestered by special interest groups, our families that are being forced into drug trafficking, our women and children that are being stolen and sold in human trafficking, and our schools and hospitals that are being overburdened with nontax paying people when they come here illegally --

1 MS. VAN HAREN: Thank you.

That was the last speaker. I want to let everyone know again that you can -- if you didn't get a chance to speak today or if you aren't able to attend any of the in-person meetings, that you can submit your comments for -- at several different locations on the mapping hub at IRC.GO--- I'm sorry, IRC.AZ.Gov.

And I'll turn it back over to Commissioner Mehl to adjourn the meeting.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: Today was very interesting and we had a lot of very -- very good comments, so we appreciate that.

Please go online and submit a map using our mapping software at IRC.AZ.gov.

Our next in-person public hearing is tomorrow,

Saturday, November 13th, at the Croc Community Center in

South Phoenix and in Florence at the Town of Florence

Community Center.

 $\label{eq:And with this, we hereby adjourn the meeting.}$  Thank you.

(Whereupon the meeting is adjourned at 2:02 p.m.)

"This transcript represents an unofficial record. Please consult the accompanying video for the official record of IRC proceedings."

1.3

1	$\underline{C} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{R} \ \underline{T} \ \underline{I} \ \underline{F} \ \underline{I} \ \underline{C} \ \underline{A} \ \underline{T} \ \underline{E}$
2	STATE OF ARIZONA )
3	) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA )
4	BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were
5	taken before me, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter No. 50127, all done to the best of my skill and ability; that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to print under my direction.
7	
8	I CERTIFY that I am in no way related to any of the parties hereto nor am I in any way interested in the outcome thereof.
9	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I have complied with the
10	requirements set forth in ACJA 7-206. Dated at Litchfield Park, Arizona, this 5th of December, 2021.
11	A Latin
12	Angela Furniss Miller, RPR, CR
13	CERTIFIED REPORTER (AZ50127)
14	* * *
15	I CERTIFY that Miller Certified Reporting, LLC, has complied with the requirements set forth in ACJA 7-201 and
16	7-206. Dated at LITCHFIELD PARK, Arizona, this 5th of December, 2021.
17	$\gamma_{M}O$
18	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC
19	Arizona RRF No. R1058
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	