ARIZONA INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

Wednesday, October 5, 2011 2:56 p.m.

Location

Fiesta Resort - Galleria Ballroom 2100 South Priest Drive Tempe, Arizona 85282

Attending

Colleen C. Mathis, Chair Jose M. Herrera, Vice Chair Scott Day Freeman, Vice Chair Linda C. McNulty, Commissioner

Ray Bladine, Executive Director
Buck Forst, Information Technology Specialist

Mary O'Grady, Legal Counsel Joe Kanefield, Legal Counsel

Reported By:
Marty Herder, CCR
Certified Court Reporter #50162

1	Phoenix, Arizona October 5, 2011
2	2:56 p.m.
3	
4	
5	PROCEEDINGS
6	
7	(Whereupon, the public session commences.)
8	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Good afternoon. This meeting
9	of the Arizona Independent Redistricting Commission will now
10	come to order.
11	Today is Wednesday, October 5th. And the time is
12	2:56 p.m.
13	Let's begin with the Pledge of Allegiance.
14	(Whereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance was recited.)
15	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Let's begin with roll call.
16	Vice-Chair Freeman.
17	VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: Here.
18	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Vice-Chair Herrera.
19	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Here.
20	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Commissioner McNulty.
21	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Here.
22	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Commissioner Stertz.
23	(No oral response.)
24	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: We have a quorum.
25	Other folks around the room today, our legal

1	counsel, Joe Kanefield and Mary O'Grady.
2	Our mapping consultant, Willie Desmond.
3	We have a court reporter, Marty Herder.
4	Our chief technology officer, Buck Forst.
5	And in the back of the room, we have Mr. Ray
6	Bladine our executive director, our public information
7	officer Stu Robinson, and public affairs coordinator or
8	outreach coordinator Karen.
9	And anybody else that I'm missing?
10	Okay. I think that's it.
11	Our next item on the agenda after call to order is
12	working on the legislative map, but we I understand I
13	had hoped that actually the meeting could begin in my
14	absence.
15	I had a work commitment that I couldn't get out
16	of, so I apologize for the lateness, but I thought the
17	group that there would be a quorum where you guys could
18	begin public comment, but unfortunately we didn't have a
19	quorum. So the meeting had to be delayed for the start.
20	I have a few folks here who would like to speak,
21	so we thought we'd go ahead and just start with public
22	comment now. And unless and if you prefer to wave me off
23	and say you'd like to talk at the end of the meeting, that's
24	fine too.
25	But just I'll go ahead and read names and go from

1	there.
2	So, let's see, our first speaker is Patrick Kraus,
3	government relations director, City of Chandler, from
4	Maricopa County.
5	VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: I think it's Patric.
6	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Oh, sorry.
7	It's Patric?
8	PATRIC KRAUS: Yes.
9	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Sorry.
10	PATRIC KRAUS: Madam Chair, my mayor is on his
11	way, and he I just put my submittal in case he couldn't
12	be here. He's like a mile away.
13	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. We'll come back to
14	you.
15	PATRIC KRAUS: Thank you.
16	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Sara Presler, mayor of the
17	city of Flagstaff.
18	SARA PRESLER: Good afternoon, Independent
19	Districting Commission, Madam Chair, members of the
20	Commission and to the staff, thank you for the opportunity
21	for the address you related to the legislative district map.
22	We want to emphasize to you on behalf of the city of
23	Flagstaff my name is Sara Presler, S-A-R-A,
24	P-R-E-S-L-E-R that there were two versions presented at
25	the previous meeting on Monday when we got together. There

1 | was option one and option two.

2.

2.2

And we provided the preference for option two over option one on behalf of the municipality.

Last night we had a public meeting where, again, redistricting was on our public agenda before the city council and the entire community and streamed through 65,000 homes, 100,000 homes in the metropolitan area, to which the council unanimously gave the thumbs up that version two is in a better direction for our region than version one for the following reasons.

Number one, compliance with the Voting Rights Act is essential. In particular, Sections 2 and 5 should be at your attention, not only from the Navajo Nation but across the state of Arizona.

Second, compliance with the constitutional requirements is essential. And we cannot compromise on the constitution.

So it's important then that we look at the values placed and look at maps under the lens of the constitution.

Third, competitiveness. It's very important that either party or an Independent have an opportunity to have a fair and meaningful electoral process in our district.

We believe that the citizens are best represented at the end of the day by someone who is elected in the most competitive manner.

Many of you know, but if you don't, municipal elections are nonpartisan elections. You see the best person moves forward, and so I can speak firsthand by sharing with you that competition is a good thing and the ability to have an open dialogue and to be able to be responsive to multiple parties is important.

And, finally, communities of interest. Fellow commissioners and Madam Chair, tomorrow you'll be hearing in particular from chief executive officers and business leaders from the Flagstaff area, as well as Flagstaff Forty and the chamber of commerce and municipality will be back tomorrow to emphasize to you the importance of not regressing in our representation of native populations, the importance of having a map that is competitive. But at the end of the day, an importance to have a map that represents communities of interest. And for, us there have been multi-million dollar significant investments from our municipality and from our business community to try to develop meaningful and intentional economic agenda for our region that will benefit the entire state.

So in a congressional district we gather many communities of like interest. In a legislative district we become more narrow.

So we have opportunity and will continue to consult with the Navajo Nation.

The Hopi Tribe should be here tomorrow we expect. 1 2. I just want to thank you for your engagement of the public. And on behalf of the all citizens in the city 3 4 of Flagstaff, we just want to reemphasize to you to focus on the Voting Rights Act, the constitution, competitiveness, 5 6 and communities of interest. 7 Thank you for your time. 8 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you. 9 I would just note to the public anybody who does 10 plan to address us later this week, this is a special 11 situation where we're doing public comment right now. Public comment will be at the end of the meeting the next 12 13 few days, so just so you can plan accordingly. 14 SARA PRESLER: Thank you. 15 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Leonard Gorman, executive 16 director of Navajo Nation Human Rights Commission. 17 LEONARD GORMAN: Good afternoon, members of the Commission, Madam Chair, people in the audience and 18 19 listening on the Internet. 20 I just want to express a few things. 21 First is the maps that were published on Monday. 22 The options one and two 8As. Navajo Nation has very strong 23 concerns about option one 8A. 24 Primarily because it does not meet the Voting 25 Rights Act requirement threshold for the current district,

Legislative District 2. And that is a source of our very strong concerns.

2.

2.2

Second, Navajo Nation respectfully requests of the Commission to perhaps give the Navajo Nation and the City of Flagstaff the opportunity to come to some resolutions over some concerns as to the option two 8A concerns.

And we have been diligently making an effort to work on perhaps some alternative opportunities to come to some resolution over some of the issues as Navajo has strong concerns about the community of interest over the competitiveness. And we understand and appreciate and respect the City of Flagstaff's concerns with regard to assuring competitiveness, and it will be part of their iteration.

We would like to have an opportunity to come together with the City of Flagstaff, perhaps this evening or early tomorrow morning, and bring back hopefully a map that would be of agreement.

And Navajo Nation wishes to relieve the Arizona Redistricting Commission from wrestling with concerns and issues of the northern part of the state of Arizona.

So we'd like to make that request to, to the Commission, and start with other areas of the map in the state of Arizona and see if it gives us an opportunity to come back in the morning.

1	Madam Chair, thank you.
2	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you.
3	Our next speaker is Jay Tibshraeny forgive my
4	mispronunciation mayor, city of Chandler.
5	JAY TIBSHRAENY: Good afternoon.
6	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: And if you could spell your
7	name for the court reporter.
8	JAY TIBSHRAENY: Yes, my name is Jay Tibshraeny,
9	mayor of Mesa(sic). You know how to spell my first name;
10	right? J-A-Y. An easy name. Tibshraeny is T-I-B, as in
11	boy, S-H-R-A-E-N-Y. I just learned how to spell it
12	recently.
13	Anyway, thanks for letting me come and testify
14	I'll try and be brief. And thank you all of you for your
15	work.
16	I don't know if you all realized what you were
17	getting into. I followed this real close ten years ago when
18	that process occurred, and it's time consuming. I'm sure
19	that the pay is worth it and thank you for doing it.
20	I was going to testify on the legislative map.
21	Our preference is option two.
22	It's by far and away our preference is option two
23	in Chandler.
24	It's more compact for our community. It protects
25	our community and the communities of interest within our

1 community, including our older areas of the city that have 2. large minority populations. Under this option, we are not as diluted by other 3 4 municipalities as we are in the other option. 5 This will make it more likely that somebody from 6 Chandler has an opportunity at least to be elected, contrary 7 to the other map. And as the fourth largest city in the 8 state of Arizona, that is important to us. 9 So those are my comments on the legislative map. 10 And I know you guys are taking feedback. 11 And then the only comment I would like to make on 12 the congressional map that had been passed and is now 13 receiving input from the citizenry. Given that how that map 14 has turned out, I think that Chandler has a lot more in 15 common with the competitive district, and we're broken up 16 into two now. But I would be very happy if all of Chandler was in the competitive district. I think it's more in line 17 18 with my community and the way my community interacts in the 19 state. 20 Thank you. 21 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you very much. 2.2 Our next speaker is Arch McGoyle --23 ARCHIBALD MCGILL: McGill. 24 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: -- McGill, representing self, 25 from Maricopa.

1	ARCHIBALD MCGILL: Yes. I'm a resident of
2	Desert Mountain in northern Scottsdale, and I far prefer
3	option two.
4	It doesn't appear to me that the community of
5	interest in option one is correct. We have much more in
6	common with the surrounding communities of Carefree. We are
7	in Scottsdale. And Cave Creek.
8	And so I far prefer and the people I have
9	talked to at Desert Mountain far prefer option two.
LO	As it relates to the congressional districts, I
L1	just don't understand how you have broken that up. I've not
L2	had an opportunity to study it in any great depth. But just
L3	looking at the squiggles on the map, it just didn't make
L4	sense to me, and I would like to understand what the logic
L5	is of that.
L6	Thank you.
L7	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you.
L8	Oh, can you spell your name for the court
L9	reporter?
20	ARCHIBALD MCGILL: It's my real name is
21	Archibald, A-R-C-H-I-B-A-L-D. My last name is McGill, M-C,
22	capital G-I-L-L.
23	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you.
24	Our next speaker is Mohur Sidhwa, representing
25	self, from Tucson.

1 MOHUR SIDHWA: Although I wasn't here Monday, I 2 was paying attention by Internet. One of the maps, and I think it may have been 3 4 option two, I don't know enough about Maricopa County, but I do know my own city, Tucson. And in one of them, the center 5 6 of Tucson seems to have been split apart into more than one 7 district. 8 And I don't think that's appropriate, because 9 that's where we have downtown and right next to that is the 10 university. 11 Right next to that is -- are where the professors 12 live, I think Sam Hughes neighborhood. 13 And you go north, again you are talking about UMC. 14 And basically that area is a community of interest 15 from an economic sense. And with regards to the

And basically that area is a community of interest from an economic sense. And with regards to the intellectual give and take that is required in a university area, and also with regards to, I guess, education funding, university funding, all that stuff, I really do think that the core of Tucson should not be broken up.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Yes, we do need to find population someplace.

The other problem I had with that particular one, we have two very delightful natural boundaries, as per the constitution. We have a river and we have I-10.

So we seem to have kind of -- part of that one District 10, is what I'm talking about, seems to go north of

1	river quite a bit into a completely different area.
2	A lot of retirees over there.
3	And then you have, you know, the university also
4	down south.
5	I just wanted to suggest you look at option one.
6	I think that was the McNulty option, I hope. I
7	don't know my options. Sorry.
8	But whatever that option was, but the one where
9	the university area is part of the center of Tucson, not the
10	one that goes all the way north of River Road. That one was
11	kind of frightening.
12	Thank you.
13	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you.
14	MOHUR SIDHWA: You'll have to figure out which
15	option I'm talking about, because I was looking at the two
16	options.
17	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you.
18	Next speaker is Jim March, second vice chair Pima
19	County Libertarians.
20	JIM MARCH: Sorry about the long trek there.
21	Folks, thank you. My name is Jim March. Last
22	name spelled same as the month.
23	I would like to talk to you I'd like to help
24	you, if I can, understand that you've been threatened with
25	lawsuits a lot.

And I've spoken before that I'm concerned that those lawsuits are creating undue pressure on this Commission.

2.2

You need to remember something that I learned a while ago from observing police misconduct cases. There's a strong presumption that what a public official does is legal unless it's proven very strongly otherwise.

So your job is to come up with a set of maps.

As long as you don't really seriously screw it up, the presumption is going to be that you did the job that you were given.

You are also created as an independent Commission.

That is supposed to mean independent of the normal state's political power structure.

And if you are now currently upsetting the political power structure, guess what, it means you're doing your job right.

We now finally have some e-mails floating around, and they're available on Steve Muratore's website among other places, linking finally officially, if you want to call it that, Fair Trust and David Cantelme who pays the bills and those guys, to the state's power structure.

There's no question.

Russell Pearce, and that whole crowd, behind him from day one, and we, you know, we've finally done that once

1 and for all. 2. And I understand that they're upset with you. Excellent. Means you're doing it right. 3 Cool. 4 You can't get sued for doing your job. And if you do your job -- and one last thing is I 5 6 really do hope that you make the DOJ clearance the first 7 time. 8 Try hard, guys. Because if you do that, it will 9 put a quash on a lot of the legal pressures you're facing. 10 So really push that one hard. 11 Last thing I want to say is I think the idea of 12 taking District 1, the congressional map, and linking it to 13 the border in a tiny little chunk out in right field is a 14 good idea. 15 Because when people elect a politician to that 16 district, if they want to elect somebody who pays attention to border issues, he'll have that extra little bit of clout 17 18 he'll want -- he might want for that issue in congress to 19 say, yeah, I'm a border congressman. He'll have that 20 option. 21 And that's really all that matters, because border 22 issues affect all of Arizona, at least all the way up 23 through Maricopa County. 24 Because the largest land based drug smuggling 25 corridor in America right now is in Nogales, Tucson, Phoenix

1 corridor. 2. From there drugs go all over the place. But that's the big area mostly affected by border 3 4 areas, and all of those politicians should care if they 5 serve the people of those areas. 6 But by producing at least on paper a third 7 district without completely rejiggling the whole south end 8 of the state, I think you've done a pretty good compromise 9 in that area. 10 So, you know, chin up. Don't worry so much about 11 the pressure, you know. 12 Get her done. 13 Thank you. 14 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you. 15 Our next speaker is Susan Leeper, representing 16 self, from Scottsdale. 17 SUSAN LEEPER: I'd like to start with a quote that 18 was in today's newspaper, Arizona Republic. 19 This is from Stuart Rothenberg, a national 20 political analyst who publishes the nonpartisan Washington, 21 D.C., based Rothenberg political report. 2.2 It is a map with significant partisan He says: 23 implications. It really helps Democrats and screws 24 This was just a wholesale redrawing of the Republicans. 25 state, and I think the Democrats have to feel really good

1 about that.

2.

2.2

That's the way I feel too.

I'm here to discuss the division of Scottsdale into several legislative districts. But before I do that, I first wanted to mention something I heard, that there was another, quote, off-the-record exercise between two commissioners recently to redraw the congressional district maps, again, in violation of the open meetings rule.

I don't know why this is allowed to continue to happen.

Scottsdale is basically a rectangular city situated on a north-south plain and is a well-functioning, cohesive, suburban city with a total population of less than 203,000.

The city council runs as six at large districts because the citizenry favors good governance for all, not catering to special interests who might choose to carve out a district.

I have concerns especially about the strategy to cut Scottsdale in such a way that this carve out cuts into Russell Pearce's current district so that Randy Parraz can run against him in the next cycle.

The population of Scottsdale is made up of almost half transplants from Chicago, New York, Minnesota, and Wisconsin.

1 These are hardly Republican areas, but people 2 repeatedly testify about Democrats being a minority. For state legislative purposes, a few streets have 3 4 been shaved off the southern part and a few off the northwestern part. These areas adjoin areas with 5 6 commonality of topography and do not snake and ramble. 7 If population growth is such that a new more 8 streets should be shaved off, keep things limited and 9 compact and keep the core of Scottsdale whole by running the 10 LD border to border from east to west. 11 Keep the north-south core intact. The current maps slices and dices Scottsdale with 12 13 scalpel-like precision, snaking it east-west to include 14 commercial and light industrial areas, different school 15 districts, different police, fire, and sanitation districts. 16 To date the Commission has held few, if any, hearings in Scottsdale, yet our small city is being 17 18 butchered. 19 What are you doing to us? 20 I feel like Scottsdale is the bad boy in the 21 neighborhood. 2.2 One can only conclude that this is gerrymandering 23 to disfranchise the city -- citizens of Scottsdale and 24 stifle our voices. 25 It's a sad commentary indeed.

1	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Our next speaker is Joshua
2	Offenhartz, maybe.
3	JOSHUA OFFENHARTZ: You got it right.
4	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Oh, yay, thank you.
5	Representing self, from Scottsdale.
6	JOSHUA OFFENHARTZ: Good afternoon,
7	Madam Commissioner, fellow commissioners. Thank you for
8	letting me speak.
9	I had a map to present. I didn't know if now
10	would be last name is O-F-F-E-N-H-A-R-T-Z.
11	I had a map to present. I gave it to Mr. Desmond.
12	And I've got hard copies. Is that something that I can
13	present to you now?
14	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: How long is the presentation?
15	JOSHUA OFFENHARTZ: Very quick.
16	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Sure. Go ahead.
17	JOSHUA OFFENHARTZ: So, as I said, my name is Josh
18	Offenhartz. And I'm a resident of Scottsdale and a native
19	Arizonan.
20	I come before you today to present a legislative
21	map which I believe reflects the stated interests of this
22	Commission and residents of the metro portions of the
23	northeast valley.
24	This maps works with either option one or
25	option two.

Our role is to get accurate and constitutional requirements for the northeast valley.

2.2

Before I begin, and in the spirit of transparency and openness, I would like to tell you a little bit about myself.

I was born downtown in Phoenix at Good Samaritan
Hospital and grew up in north Phoenix before moving to
Tucson where I attended Sabino High School and the
University of Arizona. Bear down, go Wildcats.

Upon graduation I made my way back to Phoenix to begin a master's degree in public administration at Arizona State University, which I completed this summer. It was during my final semester at ASU when I became aware of the Independent Redistricting Commission and the redistricting efforts.

I began attending meetings to gain a firsthand perspective for a paper that I happened to be writing about the unique nature of Arizona's redistricting process nationwide.

As you have noticed, I liked what I saw, and I continue to attend many of the Valley's meetings and watched others via live stream.

As a young professional interested in Arizona's future, politics, and government, I have no problems to you admitting that I have volunteered, interned, and worked for

1 | both political parties in the state of Arizona.

2.

2.2

My goal for public service has always been to make Arizona a better place to live.

I am a product of our state's education system, I have struggled to start a career, and one day I love and hope to begin my family and raise it right here in Arizona.

I don't have a political agenda, just a genuine concern for the state's future and my community's representation for the next ten years.

So now I'll get to my map.

This map is specifically designed for the northeast metro region, and it was based on the six criteria in Prop 106, testimony from the commissioners as yourself, and comments and wishes from the general public which I've observed and heard during my time at the meetings.

I spent considerable time reaching out to anyone and everybody, and I do mean anyone and everyone, that wanted to give input.

This includes but is no way limited to ordinary residents, homeowners, business owners, local school board officials, locally elected officials, and really anyone else that got a hold of my cellphone number and wanted to chat.

As you can see on the map, our main goal here was to keep Scottsdale as whole as possible.

Mayor Lane has come out and spoken about the

north-south access and how that is critical, and likewise keeping the community of interest.

2.

2.2

I think that the maps that have been presented so far, I agree with some of the comments, really do disenfranchise Scottsdale voters. It separates them into at least two but up to four different regions. And like everyone has said before, this is a community that has its own interests.

I agree with making two districts that are cut east-west as opposed to north-south, and I would argue that districts that I have as 23 and 24 represent communities of interest.

In the sake of this map, I'd say that it works well with some of the other presentations that you've been given. And, like I said, it does work with options one and options two that you yourselves have worked on.

Considering the fact that neither of these four districts, but specifically 24 and 23, are majority-minority districts, we can kind of disregard, but we didn't have to weigh in the Hispanic population as a factor, although it is nearly 20 percent in both districts.

And on top of all of these lines, which really do keep Scottsdale whole, they are relatively competitive.

I admit that I have the public version of Strategic Telemetry, the mapping software, which doesn't

give me as good of analytics as you have. But based on the 2 2008 and 2010 numbers for the legislative races, they were 3 within seven points both years.

Since this Commission has not voted on a definition, I felt, well, that wouldn't be as competitive as I'd like to see, it has been in the range that you've accepted as possible.

What we wanted to do is also go into the northeast Valley. And the reasons for that were several of the maps that you have, especially in the Cave Creek, Carefree, north Phoenix area, break into different school districts. They separate different communities, specifically -- I'm nervous, I'm sorry. It's intimidating here.

But there are school districts splits in the north Phoenix and the Scottsdale areas. In Scottsdale proper, as the legislative map shows, you would be breaking up that Scottsdale school district.

You know, those are places where I went to school, where I've got siblings going to school, and it really -- it's a community.

So I won't take up too much more of your time, but I hope that if you do, as you move ahead with the legislative process, that I will give serious to these ideas and really work to keep Scottsdale and the northeast valley whole and also with a metro focus.

Rural communities to the north, as has been testified, have different interests, immediately, and then also as we look ahead ten years. And I think that those are important differences that need representation.

2.2

Last thought I'll leave you with is something that I've seen and I've observed here. As we move into legislative process and as we think ten years ago, I think it's critically import to disregard Ds and Rs and, you know, really focus on Arizona.

Republicans and Democrats in Scottsdale and in Tucson and in south Tucson and in Yuma and Bisbee, they have different interests.

And if we focus on the letters D and if we focus on the letters R, we're not going to hear the voices of the different interests, whether it's business or border or farming or cattle ranching or metro or rural. So I really hope that you'll keep that in mind as we specifically focus on the legislative maps. Just because it's a D-R split does not mean the Ds and Rs have the same agenda and have the same interests.

And with that, thank you for your time. And I look forward to today's meeting.

CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you for your input.

The next speaker is Brandon Gavino, representing self, from Phoenix.

1 BRANDON GAVINO: Thank you. Good afternoon. 2. G-A-V-I-N-O. Sorry. I'm not that great of a public speaker. 3 4 Basically first I want to just say thank you to 5 the Commission. You guys are doing a real good job. 6 I know you guys are getting a lot of criticism for 7 doing a lot of different things. You're getting lawsuits 8 from all these people. You know, press releases are flying 9 out saying that, you know, you guys are all partisan, you're not doing what's best for Arizona. 10 11 I mean, even though the votes that are coming down 12 look like they're partisan, I'm sure that, you know, you all 13 have some basic agreement there, and it's not, you know, 14 like some people are saying where, you know, Republicans are 15 being completely disfranchised by the Democrats on the 16 Commission or vice versa. 17 Anyways, I just wanted to come here today because 18 I was following the Monday meeting online, and basically, 19 you know, we started out with a map Monday morning, and it 20 very quickly moved to a very different map, from my

On Monday a gentleman commented that the Anthem community had more in common with the Cave Creek than being placed in a district that included the northwest valley

community, which is north Phoenix, and I think there's an

issue that I'd like to comment to the Commission for.

21

2.2

23

24

25

1 | communities of Surprise and Sun City.

2.

But I believe the same holds true for my neighborhood, which is the Sonoran Foothills development in north Phoenix.

In the new Monday map, Anthem was moved into

District 6, and the Sonoran Foothills neighborhood east of

I-17 and south of the Carefree Highway were added to

District 8.

I have more interest with the District 6 folks of Tramonto and actually my -- the rest of my neighborhood, which is 1,000 yards south with my community association pool and tennis courts and stuff like that, and it's a completely separate district, District 6. And I'm in District 8.

Basically I think our community is more closely aligned with District 6 because the majority of our association resources and basically the entire rest of our community is in District 6.

We are cut off as a little slice coming out of there.

Our issues in our neighborhood are the same that are a quarter mile north in Tramonto. They're the same as a quarter mile south in, you know, the rest of the Sonoran Foothills neighborhood.

And even the maps, we're in the same city council

1	district. We're in, you know, we're in the same state
2	legislative district. In the current map you proposed for
3	the state legislature we're also in the same district there.
4	And I just think that our community, our
5	neighborhood, is better served by being placed in
6	District 6.
7	I mean, I-17 has been a divider between the
8	northwest valley and the northeast valley since I can
9	remember.
10	I mean, when you say west valley, usually you're
11	talking about something west of the 17.
12	But, you know, we're kind of thrown in there with
13	them.
14	Anyways, I just wanted to thank the Commission for
15	its time in hearing my comments.
16	I'm sorry if I was fast and it's a little
17	informal, but thank you.
18	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you.
19	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Madam Chair.
20	Mr. Gavino, you mentioned Tramonto.
21	BRANDON GAVINO: Yes.
22	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Could you spell that?
23	BRANDON GAVINO: T-R-A-M-O-N-T-O, I believe.
24	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: And is that a neighborhood?
25	BRANDON GAVINO: Yeah, it's a community that's

1	just north of Carefree Highway right off the I-17.
2	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Okay. Thank you.
3	BRANDON GAVINO: Thank you.
4	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you.
5	Our next speaker is Jesus Rene Lopez, Jr.,
6	representing self, from Chandler.
7	JESUS RENE LOPEZ, JR.: Hello.
8	I just wanted to express my interest in that I
9	would like the priority to be community of interest over a
10	lot of the other requirements. I know there are a myriad of
11	them.
12	However, being from Chandler and seeing some of
13	the previous proposed maps, I really do appreciate the
14	Commission's flexibility in retaining most of Chandler with
15	Maricopa and some of the other historical legislative
16	districts.
17	I have reviewed the maps recently but not knowing
18	where precinct boundaries lie, I cannot comment on them yet,
19	but I can do want to express that communities of interest
20	being my priority.
21	Thank you.
22	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you.
23	Our next speaker is Kenneth Dowlin, from Maricopa.
24	KENNETH DOWLIN: Good afternoon. I appreciate the
25	opportunity to address you.

1 I only have three simple things to say.

2.2

Number one is, I appreciate a lot of the work that you have done. But in the previous meeting we're headed in the paper and you're working really hard and I appreciate that on our behalf.

Secondly, I appreciate the opportunity to take the little online instruction on the map drawing. And I will say I got lost right away.

And so I will leave that up to the experts.

But I would like to tell you my experience though as a person coming to Arizona six years ago. We were not involved at all in what was going on in politics until about three years ago, and we met a candidate that we thought we could respect and we could support and get energized. And we worked really hard on the campaign, only to find out that we live in a noncompetitive district.

I do feel that it's very important for us to have competitive districts, because two things happen that I think are behind the scenes.

One of them, after working very hard for the candidate and never having an opportunity to see the other two opponents, because there were two seats, there were three candidates, two from one party and one from the other, and obviously mine was from the other party. I was astonished that I had no opportunity because the two

1 candidates from the major party didn't bother to come to community meetings and forums, didn't bother to come to the 2. debate, the televised debate. 3 4 Didn't bother to tell us that they weren't going 5 to come to the debate. 6 And it was from very frustrating to find out that 7 I -- unless you're in the inner group, running these 8 noncompetitive districts, there's virtually no way to have 9 any influence to meet them even. I went to the capital 10 building a couple of times hoping I could meet them. But I 11 did not have that opportunity. 12 I found that very devastating because my entire 13 adult life after voting age has been involved in 14 understanding and communicating and believing that 15 involvement is critical. 16 And so I was just really -- and our friends and the people, we're just like, wait a minute, this isn't 17 18 right. 19 So I appreciate this opportunity and the hard work 20 you're doing to try to make this a better state. 21 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Oh, sorry, sir, do you mind 22 spelling your name for the record? 23 I put that down as first thing to KENNETH DOWLIN: 24 Kenneth, K-E-N-N-E-T-H, Dowlin, D-O-W-L-I-N. 25 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you.

1 Our next speaker is Brad Lundahl, from the city of 2. Scottsdale. 3 BRAD LUNDAHL: Good afternoon, Madam Chair, 4 members of the Commission. 5 I even made notes to spell my name this time too 6 because I forgot last time. Last name is Lundahl, 7 L-U-N-D-A-H-L. And I'm representing the city of Scottsdale 8 today. 9 We took a look at the maps, the current 10 legislative district maps, and we noticed that, at least in 11 one district, Legislative District 23, that there was 12 essentially a urban-rural split of this district. 13 And while we appreciate that Scottsdale was kept 14 to, I think, three districts, I think to satisfy the 15 communities of interest requirement that it would probably 16 be better to drop off some of those rural areas. One of the areas, I think, even goes into a north 17 18 piece of Mesa. 19 Again, that's what we feel might not be a 20 community of interest to Scottsdale residents. 21 And we feel that for at least two districts there 2.2 should be core Scottsdale districts. 23 And we would suggest that instead of being out 24 west of Scottsdale, out in the rural areas, that you instead 25 move the boundaries towards -- or, I'm sorry, out to the

```
east, I've got everybody lost now, that you move the
 1
     boundaries more towards the west and in towards
 2.
     Paradise Valley, in towards Phoenix, and more closer to the
 3
     51 corridor.
 4
               The Scottsdale communities have much more in
 5
 6
     common with these areas.
                               They look very similar.
 7
     demographics are similar. These are some of the few areas
 8
     where you're driving and can't really tell you're in one
 9
     community or the other, but you know you're in a community
10
     that is similar.
11
               So with that, again, we would ask that, that these
     be looked at. And if changes could be made, that's what I
12
13
     would request would be, and I'd be happy to answer any
14
     questions.
15
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                    Any questions?
16
               (No oral response.)
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
17
                                    Thank you very much.
18
               BRAD LUNDAHL: I did note that the map that was up
19
     earlier was more towards what we were thinking.
20
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                   Okay. Do we know which -- I
21
     don't know which map that was.
2.2
               BRAD LUNDAHL: The map that was just up a minute
23
     ago.
24
                                    Oh, that the gentleman just
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
25
     presented?
```

1	BRAD LUNDAHL: Yeah.
2	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Great.
3	BRAD LUNDAHL: As far as I could tell, that was
4	much closer to what we were thinking.
5	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Perfect.
6	BRAD LUNDAHL: Thank you.
7	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you.
8	Our next speaker is Emily Verdugo, representing
9	self, from Pinal County.
10	EMILY VERDUGO: Good afternoon, Madam Chair,
11	commissioners. My name is Emily Verdugo, V, as in Victor,
12	E-R-D-U-G-O.
13	And I have been following the process. I've been
14	attending meetings. And watching via live stream when I
15	can.
16	But lately I've noticed something disturbing to
17	me.
18	Some hired attorneys have stood here almost at
19	every meeting to list their objections. And they usually
20	begin with comments like the greatest good for the greatest
21	number of people.
22	I am not a hired attorney.
23	I am a citizen of this great state who wants to go
24	on the record to highlight that by creating truly
25	competitive districts, this Commission achieves the greatest

1 good for the greatest number of people. 2. The voters adopted this process to empower me, an engaged citizen, to have a voice. Not hired guns 3 4 representing entrenched special interests. 5 This attorney represents the Fair Trust. And his 6 entire reason to be before this body is to be a bully, to 7 call out objections, and to disrupt their work so his 8 statements can be used for legal challenges. 9 The motivation behind this group is to maintain 10 the status quo. 11 Fair Trust attorneys want to derail your work of 12 balancing multiple interests. 13 Please understand there is a natural, cultural, 14 economic, and historical link that runs from the rocks of 15 the Grand Canyon to the four corners of this state. 16 The counties of Apache, Navajo, Coconino, and Yavapai make up roughly 334,000 of the voting age of this 17 18 district. 19 This is balanced with the 375,000 voting age 20 population from Pinal, Pima, Graham, Greenlee, Gila, and 21 Cochise. 2.2 Pinal County, under this scenario, has the largest 23 concentration of vote age population, the proposed 24 Congressional District 1. 25 This district is truly competitive.

1 Voter registration figures need to be evaluated because as the Commission is fixed on competition, this 2 3 district is at 50/50. 4 In 2008, President Obama lost this district. This map is not perfect, but it does achieve the 5 6 greatest good for the greatest amount of people. 7 Again, thank you for allowing me to speak. 8 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you. 9 Our next speaker is Lynne Breyer, representing 10 self, from Scottsdale. 11 LYNNE BREYER: Thank you. 12 My last name is spelled B-R-E-Y-E-R. 13 It's been my experience, and I've lived way too 14 long already, that in these kind of situations a Commission 15 such as your own can do one of three things. You can either 16 make everybody equally miserable with your decisions, which means you're probably doing your job. Or you can make 17 18 everybody equally happy, which means you're doing your job 19 and also creating a miracle. Or you can make one group 20 extremely happy and another group extremely unhappy, in 21 which case you're not doing your job. 2.2 And that's what I see happening here. 23 That you are making one group extremely happy and 24 another group extremely unhappy. 25 And I was interested in the early comments about

the Rothenberg report because that seems obvious to people outside of the state.

2.

2.2

So I think that if you really are interested in doing your job the way the voters intended you to do in Prop 106, that you will reconsider some of these decisions that you've made along the lines of the map.

I'd like to just comment quickly on the congressional map. And I live in both Scottsdale and Pinetop up in the White Mountains.

And the congressional district map one, you have created a map is truly ridiculous.

We have a ridiculous map now that doesn't work.

And now you're going to give us another ridiculous map that doesn't work.

And I do not understand why the new map includes urban areas and rural areas, which have absolutely no common interest. And I'd also like to know why this map wraps around and pick ups the casino area of Pinal County, which is -- definitely has no community of interest with the rural areas that make up most of this map.

And you've cut Cochise County in half. And my friends in Cochise County are very annoyed about this, because they have a community of interest that's being split up.

So I would like to ask you to please reconsider

1 this map. 2. I understand -- I was here on Monday. I saw it as a ramming this map through without allowing at least all of 3 4 the commissioners to review this map in detail. And I do not think that is the way the citizens of Arizona expected 5 6 this to happen. 7 I'd also like to comment on the legislative map as 8 regards Scottsdale. 9 We have a community of interest as a city. 10 have, we have now three different districts which has 11 created all kinds of havoc with residents who don't know 12 what district they're even in because their neighbor one 13 street over is in a completely different district. 14 We have a community of interest. We have a common 15 We have common shopping. We have common government. 16 everything. And so to divide the city of Scottsdale up, which 17 18 is not exactly a huge metropolitan area, into three or more 19 sections makes absolutely no sense from a community of 20 interest standpoint. 21

We also have the strong community interest with the city of Fountain Hills.

We are in that district now. That has been a competitive district in the past.

2.2

23

24

25

My neighborhood, my precinct where I live, is

```
1
     almost equally one third, one third, one third.
 2.
     Registration.
               So I think that's pretty competitive.
 3
 4
               So to break that up into pieces to satisfy some
 5
     weird unexplained objective makes absolutely no sense to me.
 6
               So I would respectfully ask that you keep the city
 7
     of Scottsdale in one whole piece, and also include
 8
     Fountain Hills, which the two cities are practically one.
 9
     They are -- have grown together, and they share everything
10
     together.
11
               So thank you very much.
12
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                    Thank you.
13
               Our next speaker is Michael Liburdi from
14
     Fair Trust.
15
               MICHAEL LIBURDI:
                                 Madam Chair, members of the
16
     Commission, good afternoon.
17
               That's Michael Liburdi, L-I-B-U-R-D-I,
18
     representing Fair Trust.
19
               And I'm here to give a few comments.
                                                      I've heard
20
     some today about legal challenges and whatnot.
21
               You know, I agree with them. I think -- I agree
2.2
     with those comments. I think it would be nice if we could
23
     come up with consensus maps. I think it would be nice if
24
     all stakeholders could get together and come up with
25
     compromise maps.
```

1 I think it would be nice if Mr. Freeman and 2 Mrs. McNulty could come up with compromise maps that make 3 everybody happy. 4 You're not going to please everybody 100 percent 5 of the time, but if you could please everybody a little bit, 6 that's something that we could all go home with and be proud 7 of. 8 But unfortunately, based on what came out of this 9 Commission on Monday, that doesn't seem to be the case. 10 Fortunately, that is, there's time to correct some 11 of the problems. 12 I'm not going to go into those. 13 You've heard some today. You're sure to be 14 hearing about them in the future. 15 So, again, I strain to see how that congressional 16 district map is anything other than a gerrymander. There are few good points with Mrs. McNulty's 17 18 option too. 19 I do agree with much of what's in here. 20 with much of what's in Mr. Freeman's map. 21 Something that I don't -- that I fail to 22 comprehend in option two version 8A is how the Commission 23 could justify breaking apart LD 11, which is probably one of 24 the most historically competitive districts that the former 25 IRC drafted.

1 That district has had split representation for 2. most of the past ten years. And what it does is it slices and dices LD 11. 3 4 bringing its southern boundary -- or dividing it into two, 5 bringing its southern border down to Van Buren Street. 6 it's very difficult to see how, how there could be any 7 community of interest there by dividing it north and south 8 like that, when the -- when that district should be kept 9 very much intact, similar to the way it was. 10 Of course, changes need to be made, but why tinker 11 with something that hasn't been broken. 12 Again, another example, proposed LD 15. 13 Why are we putting Cave Creek, Carefree, Anthem, 14 New River, in with the rural district. 15 These are growth areas in north Phoenix. They've 16 traditionally been in with Phoenix area legislative districts. They've had good representation. 17 And that should continue. 18 19 It does not make sense to gerrymander them out of 20 Maricopa County. 21 One final example, proposed LD 11. We've heard a 2.2 lot of testimony over the past several months that residents 23 of Oro Valley, residents of Saddlebrooke, and residents of a 24 portion of Marana would like to stay in Tucson. 25 Yet this map proposes putting them with

1	Casa Grande.
2	They specifically asked this Commission to keep
3	them out of Pinal County to the to as much to the
4	extent possible.
5	So, let's see, let's see if we can come up with a
6	compromise.
7	There needs to be time to study these maps.
8	The commissioners have put a lot of time into
9	these maps.
10	The public needs a chance to digest them. To see
11	what better could be done. Let's come up with something
12	where we could go to the comment period and everybody's
13	happy with and that we can hit the ground running for the
14	next legislative elections.
15	Because I will, you know, I will say this, and
16	some people aren't going to be happy, but, but there you
17	know, legal challenges are not off the table.
18	We saw it last go-around.
19	There's a good chance that we could avoid it this
20	go-around.
21	But if not, so be it.
22	Thank you very much.
23	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you.
24	Our next speaker is Jeanie McGill, representing
25	self, from Maricopa.

```
1
               (No oral response.)
                                    I don't see -- okay.
 2.
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                                           Thank
 3
     you.
 4
               So that was my last request to speak form.
               The time is 3:47.
 5
 6
               Do we want to take a quick break and then start up
 7
     on the legislative?
 8
               So it's 3:47. We'll take a ten minute recess.
 9
               (Brief recess taken.)
10
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Recess is over.
                                                      We'll enter
11
     back into public session.
12
               The time is 4:17 p.m.
13
               And thank you all, public, for commenting and
14
     giving us your feedback and input.
15
               We appreciate that.
               The next item on the agenda is number two.
16
17
               Review, discussion and direction of mapping
18
     consultant regarding the development of a legislative
19
     district draft map based on constitutional criteria.
20
               And when we last met on Monday, we had started to
21
     go through two different versions of a legislative district
2.2
     map, one that Commissioner Freeman presented and one that
23
     Commissioner McNulty presented. And they kind of walked us
24
     through how they created each district, which was very
25
    helpful.
```

1	And I believe there's been additional
2	discussion when we last left on Monday that we all agreed
3	we our, you know, number one criteria is to comply with
4	the Voting Rights Act, and so we want to ensure that the
5	minority-majority districts are built into the map from the
6	beginning and that those benchmark levels are maintained
7	or let's just say maintained.
8	So we wanted to make sure that we did that on both
9	maps.
10	And then we also talked about a process for how do
11	we bring these two maps together.
12	Is there a way to find common ground between the
13	two versions, and start to put that into a single map that
14	we'll all work from.
15	So that's kind of a recap of where we were, at
16	least as of Monday afternoon.
17	Have there been any new developments or anything
18	that I missed from Monday?
19	WILLIE DESMOND: I don't think so.
20	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay.
21	MARY O'GRADY: Madam Chair, if it's helpful, we
22	can talk more about some of the voting rights issues, and
23	maybe kind of go around the state and do that.
24	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: That would be helpful.
25	MARY O'GRADY: And in terms of what you look at on

1 the screen when we have the conversation, you kind of have three choices. You can look at the benchmark districts if 2. you'd like to. You can look at either of the options we 3 4 discussed the other day. But I'll just go through and talk about the 5 6 benchmark -- about some of the benchmark analysis that we've 7 been discussing with the mapping consultants. 8 Starting in the northern part of the state, we 9 have the Native American district that was a majority 10 Native American voting age population. 11 Right now the benchmark is at 58.99 12 Native American voting age population. 13 At the time of preclearance it was 62 percent. 14 Also just to comparing in terms of the status of 15 the benchmark district, it was 35,000 some people 16 underpopulated. 17 So the challenge up there is maintaining that 18 benchmark Native American voting age population of 19 58.9 percent while making sure that it has enough 20 population. 21 And it's not that it's lost population. 2.2 hasn't grown at the rate of some others in the state. 23 And the two alternatives that we looked at Monday 24 took some different approaches, and we've also seen some 25 proposals from the Navajo Nation in terms of how to maintain

1 that benchmark. 2. My thought on that frankly other than kind of alert the issue that that should be the target to try to 3 4 maintain that benchmark, subject to we are, as we've always 5 said, doing, you know, more detailed analysis, but the sense 6 is that we should maintain that benchmark level. 7 And it sounds like Flagstaff and the Navajo might 8 be talking some more about some of that corner of the state, 9 and we might get some more information at least from their 10 perspectives tomorrow. 11 So we can talk more or just move on to a different 12 part of the state. The next part of the state might highlight in 13 14 terms of the voting rights issues, southern Arizona. And in terms of the benchmarks in southern 15 16 Arizona -- and that's Tucson, and southeastern, southwestern 17 We'll talk about them all together. Arizona. There were two benchmark districts in the Tucson 18 Districts 27 and 29 under the old map. 19 20 Oh, and if it's helpful, in terms of perspective 21 from the Native American district, again it's old District 2, new District 7. 2.2 23 In the Tucson area, there were two urban districts 24 that were benchmarks, 27 and 29. 25 Those are right now at the edge of being --

1 they're like 49.89 Hispanic voting age population, 2. 49.81 Hispanic voting age population. So they have -- at the time of preclearance last 3 4 time they were both less Hispanic, 53 -- 43 percent and 5 45 percent voting age Hispanic. 6 And that's what, when we've looked at these 7 demographics over the decade, we see a lot of just 8 increasing -- the Hispanic districts becoming kind of more 9 Hispanic over the course of the decade. And that's 10 certainly what happened in 27 and 29. 11 Also we had two areas that we looked at as a 12 potential opportunity to elect under the benchmark in 13 southeastern Arizona. 14 The district that included parts of Cochise 15 I believe that was 25. And 24 in southwestern 16 Arizona was parts of Yuma. Right now, those districts, Yuma is now -- the 17 District 24 is at 51 percent HVAP, although at the time of 18 19 preclearance it was 41 percent HVAP. So it has had 20 significant Hispanic growth. 21 And in the southeastern district, 25, remains 2.2 under majority Hispanic voting age population. 23 As far as the -- so we had two urban districts and 24 then two rural districts where there was some sort of 25 opportunity to elect.

1 Under the alternatives that we -- that were 2 discussed Monday, it took different approaches to southern The option two had three majority southern Arizona 3 Arizona. 4 majority voting age Hispanic southern Arizona districts, and 5 those were, in that map, Districts 2, 3, and 4. 6 Two -- and this, when I say option two, that was 7 the one that Commissioner McNulty presented. 8 Two included parts of Tucson and then Santa Cruz 9 County and the border communities in Cochise. 10 Four included the Tohono O'odham over to parts of 11 Yuma County. And then three was in the urban area. 12 13 And those were -- the Hispanic voting age 14 population in those districts, District 2 was at 61 percent, 15 District 3, 51 percent, and District 4 at 53 percent HVAP. 16 And then Commissioner Freeman's map had also had 17 two majority or close to it Hispanic voting age populations in southern Arizona, District 2 and District 3, at 18 19 54 percent and 49.7 percent. 20 District 3 is the one that includes Yuma, and 21 District 2 was Tucson proper district. 2.2 So our recommendation when we were talking and 23 looking at the alternatives and the populations was perhaps 24 to look at trying to maintain three -- or create three 25 Hispanic voting age population districts in southern

Arizona, which seemed to be based on the options that we were looking at Monday.

2.

2.2

Moving up to Maricopa County -- oh, I guess stopping on the way through Pima County -- Pinal County.

Pinal County, District 23 was an opportunity to elect district under the benchmark, but it was not and has never been a majority-minority district.

At the time of preclearance, it had a Hispanic voting age percentage of 30 percent, and now it's at 28 percent. And that's a district that has had the explosive growth, almost doubled in size or more, in Pinal County.

And so we're frankly not sure when we look at the map as to whether we're going to be able to preserve the opportunity to elect in that area, but it's worth at least looking at.

We also caution that in looking at Pinal County minority districts, that that's an area where the prison population can have an effect when you're building these legislative districts at these sites, because they do have significant prison population in that area.

And the mapping consultant does have a chart with some populations that can help with that analysis. So we'll be watching for that.

www.CourtReportersAz.com

And then moving up to Maricopa County, under

I'11

1 the benchmark, district, the -- 13, 14, and 16 were the 2 majority Hispanic districts in Maricopa County under the benchmark. 3 And I also note that District 16 has a substantial 4 African American population and a substantial -- being about 5 6 15 percent, more substantial than the other areas. 7 And there's been an opportunity to elect 8 African Americans in that district as well. 9 So 13, 14, and 16 are the majority Hispanic voting 10 age population districts under the benchmark. Those are the 11 districts that when the Minority Coalition presented a map, those are essentially the districts that they focused, 12 13 because they presented us with three districts. 14 Under the benchmarks, the Hispanic voting age population in 13 is 68 percent, in 14, 64.9 percent, and in 15 16 16 it's 56.7 percent. 17 But when you look at the combined minority, 18 they're all around the same when you add everything, 19 everything up. 20 In terms of other areas where there's an 21 opportunity to elect under the benchmark, 15 was one that is right now a sort of plurality, has 38.46 under the 22 23 benchmark, and for Hispanic voting age population, and the

minority -- majority-minority total is, I believe, about --

I believe it's just over 50 percent majority-minority.

24

25

1	have to check that number.
2	But that's an area in terms of the benchmark to
3	look at as well.
4	In terms of what the alternatives did that were
5	presented Monday, Commissioner Freeman's alternative, which
6	was option one, created four majority Hispanic districts in
7	the Maricopa County area. And those were let me see if I
8	can get the numbers right. Nineteen, 27, 28, and 29.
9	And in terms of how they compared to the
10	benchmarks, 19 is sort of old 13, 27 is essentially old 16,
11	29 let's say is old 14.
12	And some of this is hard to compare.
13	We're trying to look at sort of the heart of the
14	district, and Willie may correct that.
15	And then 28 is old 15, farther to the east.
16	And let me see.
17	Represent or Commissioner McNulty had three
18	majority Hispanic districts.
19	And those were district old District 14, which
20	was District 20 in the McNulty map.
21	There was some difference in numbering on that
22	point.
23	And then basically hers corresponded with the
24	Minority Coalition map, primarily, for the most part there.
25	There are also areas where the people were maybe

close to or within striking distance, it looked like, of an opportunity to elect in western Maricopa County. And the McNulty map in the Tempe area, in west Mesa area, and so those are all areas to look at.

2.2

Our suggestion when we were pooling things and looking at both alternatives was to look at four majority Hispanic districts in the Maricopa County area to see if that's a possible -- possibility.

With that, if you had four in Maricopa County, three in southern Arizona, and then preserve the Native American, that's eight benchmark -- eight districts with the opportunity to elect.

We've been talking about nine or ten benchmark districts.

So, again, as long as there's an opportunity to elect, it doesn't have to get to that majority HVAP number.

So just look for substantial minority and Hispanic population, and we'll -- we're doing sort of election analysis we've used. For example, that mine inspector race with the Hispanic candidate from 2010 as maybe a shorthand look and take other shorthand looks to figure out if there's an opportunity to elect while we look at -- kind of drill down on it for more detailed analysis.

In terms of the current -- under the current benchmarks overall, they have six minority-majority HVAP

1 districts. And both the proposals that we looked at had six 2. majority HVAP districts. They just were in different places. 3 4 One option had one additional one in southern Arizona, and the other had an additional one in 5 6 Maricopa County. 7 So there are different ways to put these together 8 to preserve the opportunity to elect in nine and perhaps ten 9 legislative districts. 10 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: I hate to ask you this, but 11 can you repeat on the McNulty map which three were the 12 majority-minority and then what the old district is? 13 I have number wo which was the old 14. 14 MARY O'GRADY: For Pima County or Maricopa? 15 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Just in general, I thought. 16 MARY O'GRADY: Pima County, two, three, and four 17 were the majority -- southern Arizona majority voting age 18 Hispanic districts in the McNulty option two version 8A 19 proposal. 20 And frankly I haven't matched them up to -- or tried to match them up, because -- to what they're -- what 21 2.2 they might correspond to in the previous maps, I think for 23 Pima County. 24 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. Then Maricopa. 25 MARY O'GRADY: Maricopa --

1 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: You started with 20 and said 2. it's the old 14. MARY O'GRADY: Twenty, and then I think the other 3 4 numbers are the same as -- in Commissioner Freeman's 5 alternative. Nineteen is the old --6 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Go ahead. 7 COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Nineteen and 27. 8 MARY O'GRADY: Yeah. And nineteen is the old 13, 9 and 27 is the old 16. 10 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you. 11 WILLIE DESMOND: Sort of, you know, if we look at 12 just 20 though, I'd like to -- so you can see it's parts 13 of -- so, the red line is Commissioner McNulty's, so you see 14 there's parts of 12, parts of 13, and parts of 14, and a 15 little part of 15 all included in that. 16 That's, again, because we didn't -- you know, the 17 previous legislative map is not a thing that we've considered at all. 18 19 You know, the constitution required that we start 20 with the grid and work from there, so we haven't looked at 21 the old map at all up until this point. 2.2 MARY O'GRADY: And, Madam Chair, yeah, on that point, it's clear. Even though that's now how we draw the 23 24 maps, so we don't start with the old districts. When we do 25 the voting rights analysis, we start with the old districts.

So we thought to kick off that discussion it would be helpful to look at that.

2.

And in talking to Bruce Adelson, also makes it very clear that -- and if you read the old objection letter, that's what they're comparing it to. They're comparing it to the old districts and how you shifted population to create the new districts.

The other thing we thought while looking at this sometimes it is helpful to sort of look at where the Hispanic population is. You know, you can color code that, and you can see where there might be some additional contiguous high Hispanic areas that aren't included in the majority-minority district.

Or you might see some high White non-Hispanic areas that might be removed from some of the minority districts, again, to try to create the opportunities to elect.

CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Any questions or comments on the voting rights analysis so far?

VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: Madam Chair.

CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Freeman.

VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: Counsel, with respect to the Native American voting rights district, we have -- the Commission has a letter from the chairman of the White Mountain Apache tribe asking that his district maintain the

status quo, and I think expression of the wishes of that tribe, which I would interpret to be to not be included in a district with the Navajo Nation and the Hopi Nation.

What -- how is that stated, to factor in our analysis?

MARY O'GRADY: Yes, Madam Chair,

2.

2.2

Commissioner Freeman -- Commissioner Freeman's referencing a memo, a letter from Ronnie Lupe of the White Mountain -- the chair of the White Mountain Apache tribe, that's dated July 27th, 2007. And that says, as he indicated, that they go on record to maintain the status quo to the extent -- to the maximum extent possible.

The reservation currently falls within three separate counties, yet we are one people devoted to the well-being of our tribal members. The present districting plan has served the tribe well. We have had both Democrats and Republicans elected to represent us and all have served us well.

And so that's what they have put in the record.

In terms of how that affects the analysis, we still have an obligation not to retrogress in terms of Native American representation. And so if we -- if that means we need to include the White Mountain Apache, I think we need to include the White Mountain Apache, but there might be room for more, you know, input along the way on

1 that issue. 2. That's, I think, our view is that we need to maintain the current Native American population in that 3 northern Arizona district. 4 And, counsel, to follow up on 5 VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: 6 that, with respect to the growth of native population in the 7 state versus the growth of non-native segment of the 8 population of the state, does that factor -- I mean, does 9 native growth, I believe it was about two percent, while 10 everyone else grew about -- the rest of the state grew about 11 five percent. Is that -- how does that factor into the 12 analysis? 13 MARY O'GRADY: I invite Joe to chime in if he'd

like.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If we -- if because of the population growth, you really just can't get there, you really can't create the majority Native American district, then you're not obligated to.

And there's some -- and you just have to -- that's part of your burden of proof at the Department of Justice.

The issue here is -- would be, however, that we have proposals like the nomination plan that does not retrogress, that does establish -- that does keep that benchmark level.

So I'm not convinced we could meet our burden of

1 proof on that point, because we -- because of those 2. alternative plans. 3 JOSEPH KANEFIELD: Madam Chair, 4 Commissioner Freeman, in the Department of Justice guide to 5 redistricting that they publish before every cycle update, 6 they talk about situations where retrogression may be 7 unavoidable due to population decreases such as you're 8 suggesting. 9 All we note the guidance from the Department of 10 Justice is that in those circumstances, the submitting 11 jurisdiction seeking preclearance of such plan would bear 12 the burden of demonstrating that a less retrogressive plan 13 cannot reasonably be drawn. 14 So it's -- it certainly is possible, but of course the burden would be on the Commission to establish that a 15 16 less retrogressive plan could not have been done. 17 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair. 18 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera. 19 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: In addition to that 20 particular district, I would like to focus on the one in the 21 Yuma area. I think Commissioner McNulty's map has it as 2.2 4 and 14, broken up into two. 23 One of the reasons -- I think this particular 24 version of the legislative map follows very closely the 25 congressional version for that area, and one of the reasons

why we ended up splitting it that way not, only based on public comments, but also that particular area, the northern part of Yuma that's currently broken up into 14 has I'm going to say 63,000 in northern county.

2.2

And we broke it up in the congressional district because even out of 710,000 or so, we feel that that particular area creates a racially polarized voting for the south. I mean, there's a distinction between the southern part of Yuma and the northern part of Yuma that I think that splitting them in half makes sense.

The people at southern part of Yuma, Somerton,

Gadsden, San Luis, have the ability to elect someone of
their choosing. And including the northern population,
which is around 63,000, would really prevent them from doing
that.

So I think I want to be careful when you start lumping them together. And like Commissioner -Chairwoman Mathis, I want to pass preclearance on the first try.

And I think Commissioner McNulty is correct in the way she has them out, split them in half. And I think we need to look at that and take that into consideration.

Again, if you want to pass preclearance, I mean, that's a -- to me that's one of the areas that I do want to look at in making sure that we do not combine.

1	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Any other comments?
2	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: I do think those are some
3	fairly substantial conceptual differences between the two
4	approaches.
5	I don't know if we want to go around and talk
6	about those individually or talk about them generally.
7	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Well, it gets to that whole
8	process question of how what's the best way to build a
9	map that brings in elements of both of these, and what's
10	you know, and I think the only way to do it is to grind it
11	out in a meeting and talk about it, each one.
12	But it sounds like we don't have all the input yet
13	for the northeast corner for the Navajo Nation, so I am
14	wondering though if we could, you know, start to address
15	some other parts of the state.
16	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair.
17	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera.
18	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Are we planning to focusing
19	on majority-minority districts first?
20	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: I think that would make some
21	sense, given it's kind of the number one thing that we need
22	to comply with with federal requirements, so
23	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: And, Madam Chair, although
24	there are the information, there still is information
25	that they'd be able to be providing to us, this is the

Navajo Nation and the city of Flag, I still think that their
comments are pretty clear, that they did not want to be
included in the same district in the legislative map.

So that, to me, I think we need to honor that and keep them in separate legislative districts, as Commissioner McNulty's map does.

CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: You mean Flagstaff and the Navajo Nation.

VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: That is correct.

And then putting the tribes together that share -- have a shared interest, combining them, and trying to raise that voting strength to as close as 60 percent as possible.

I think we can do that. I think -- I understand that the population didn't grow much in that area, but I still think we can include as many of the Native American populations in that one district to increase the -- that particular district to the population requirements and make up the voting, the rest of the population, with areas that won't prevent the Native American people from electing someone of their choice.

COMMISSIONER McNULTY: My perspective on that is that, as I understand it, the Navajo Nation and Flagstaff are talking about particulars of how the boundary would work perhaps between the legislative districts that would encompass Flagstaff and the Navajo Nation. So let's set

that aside.

2.

2.2

But I do think it's important not to retrogress and to honor the request to put the tribes together in one district.

They have -- Mr. Gorman talked about that a little bit when he was here last time, and we heard quite a bit about it at the Heard Museum.

The individual tribes have particular concerns, but they also have huge overriding issues that they share in common, things like maintaining their cultural identity, protecting their religious beliefs and practices, dealing with health issues, dealing with educating their children, and maybe more than anything else relevant to the whole issue of state legislative districts protecting their sovereignty.

And I think that the whole issue of retrogressing below the benchmark is critical to all those things to ensuring that they maintain the ability to elect the representative of their choice who can represent the tribe -- be a strong voice for them at the legislature.

So it would be my -- it's my perspective that we should follow the framework that has the Navajo, the Hopi, the San Carlos, and the White Mountain Apache together in a legislative district.

VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair.

1 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera. 2. VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: I do believe that option two does a better job of reflecting the current interests of the 3 4 Navajo Nation and the American Indian tribes in that area. So at least, I think, we can build around that 5 6 with option two and see how we can come to an agreement 7 that, that we need to respect the Voting Rights Act and 8 respect the wishes of the Native American tribes by 9 building -- constructing a district that will meet the 10 constitutional requirements. 11 I think there's really no way around it. 12 I mean, we can make -- I understand the population 13 didn't grow as much, but, again, I think our attorney Joe 14 Kanefield said it clearly, so did Mary O'Grady, that we have 15 the burden to prove that we're not retrogressing that area. 16 So I think it would be easier and wiser if we 17 build a district that meets that requirement. 18 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Freeman, do you have any 19 thoughts on if we. . . 20 VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: Sorry. 21 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Go ahead. The district reflected in 22 VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: 23 option one reflected my understanding and the wishes of the 24 White Mountain Apache Tribe, to keep their district 25 relatively intact, to also include the Yavapai Apaches

1 living in Camp Verde, so that district includes.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

It also reflects the public comment that I took in from our public comment hearing at the Hon Dah resort outside of Pinetop where we had a lot of members of the public discuss their peak communities of interest, their desire to stay separate from Flagstaff and distinct from them.

Their desire to state linked with the White Mountain Apache and San Carlos Apache tribes.

That was the basis for the formulation of the district in option one.

12 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair.

13 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera.

14 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: I would agree with

Mr. Freeman's comments if Flagstaff is included with the Navajo Nation.

But if Flagstaff is included in its own district,

I think the wishes of those tribes, the White Mountain

Apache, the San Carlo Apache, that those interests would be met if Flagstaff is not included in that same district but they are included in with the Navajos and Hopis.

So their interest would be met if we do what Commissioner McNulty was proposing, by not including the city of Flagstaff, which would dilute the voting power of the Native Americans if they are included, so. . .

1	I think that that particular option two version
2	four of that district, I think it comes closest to meeting
3	those requirements.
4	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Maybe we should start down in
5	the southeast corner instead of the northeast corner.
6	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: I'd be happy to talk about
7	southern Arizona a little bit also.
8	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: It might make some sense
9	until we get we receive these formal proposals. I know
10	we did get the White Mountain Apache letter. And it would
11	be good to also get the Navajo input before carving that
12	corner of the state up.
13	So, yeah, let's jump down to number one, at least,
14	on these draft maps.
15	I mean, sorry, they're really what-if scenarios.
16	They're not even a draft map.
17	We've got Cochise whole in Mr. Freeman's map.
18	Mostly whole in Ms. McNulty's.
19	And it looks like they both kind of split
20	Santa Cruz in a similar manner, at least along the border.
21	Or, no, they don't.
22	Yours, this is the county line, I guess.
23	So the McNulty map it's whole; is that right?
24	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Santa Cruz County would be
25	whole, and it would be in District 2.

```
1
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: And it goes into Cochise
 2.
     along the border.
 3
               WILLIE DESMOND:
                                Is there a map you want me to put
 4
     up, either option one or option two, to show you --
 5
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                    Maybe you should have both.
 6
               WILLIE DESMOND:
                                I mean, I can --
 7
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Or between, or is that even
 8
     possible?
 9
               WILLIE DESMOND: Well, it is, like right now we
10
     have the current district up, and then the -- I guess the
11
     red line would be option two, Commissioner McNulty's map,
12
     and the blue line would be option -- option one,
13
     Commissioner Freeman's map.
14
               It might be easier though if we get rid of the
15
     current and just put one of those at the bottom and one at
16
               I don't know how you want to decide, if you want
17
     to flip a coin, how you want to do it, which one should be
18
     the base.
19
               COMMISSIONER McNULTY: I think it's easier to go
20
     back and forth.
21
               I found it really tough to --
22
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair, option one as
23
     the base and we can go back and forth that way.
24
               WILLIE DESMOND: Yeah. I'll put option one as the
25
     base.
```

1 And I'll add option two as a layer to it, so we'll 2. have the outline at least. And let me know if there's a color that works 3 4 particularly well for you. I think red seems to stand out 5 pretty well. 6 Blue sometimes. It's all going to get washed up. 7 So going to southern Arizona, if you want to start 8 in Pima and Cochise and some of those areas? 9 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Yeah, along one. 10 WILLIE DESMOND: I know this is tough. 11 So the black line is Commissioner Freeman's 12 option one map. 13 District 1, you can see is Cochise, Santa Cruz 14 County, and part of Pima. And that's District No. 1 is --15 this red line is option two, Commissioner McNulty's map. 16 And there's a red two, so you can see two is the southern part of Cochise County, Santa Cruz, and some other parts of 17 18 Pima County. 19 I can turn them off individually if that helps or 20 shave them or something. 21 COMMISSIONER McNULTY: You can't just put one map 2.2 and then the other map? 23 WILLIE DESMOND: Sure. 24 This is, this is option one. 25 Commissioner Freeman's.

1 That's the, that's the base. And I can also again 2. turn this on like the other one. 3 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera. 4 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Can we have one of the 5 commissioners just talk about their -- if it's option one 6 we're looking at first, if Commissioner Freeman can talk 7 about how he came up with this decision and just talk a 8 little bit about that particular area. And then we can have 9 Commissioner McNulty talk about that same area in her map. 10 If that makes sense. 11 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: I think it does. 12 Would you guys be open to that? 13 VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: Sure. 14 The district that I put together, which was 15 option -- District 1 and option one, includes all of Cochise 16 County, keeping that county whole. That is a constitutional 17 criteria, respect for county lines. It keeps all of Santa Cruz County whole. Again constitutional criteria, 18 19 respect for county lines. 20 It also respects public comment that the 21 Commission took, which I recall hearing public comment and 2.2 reading public comment about the ties between Santa Cruz 23 County and Cochise County, and they're both rural counties 24 and have some commonality. 25 Putting those two counties together does not make

1 a whole legislative district however. So a logical place to 2. obtain more population in my view was to travel up the transportation corridor, I-19, and include those communities 3 4 that run along I-19 up to, up to the Tohono O'odham Nation that sits a little short that. And that gave me the 5 6 necessary population. 7 The little spur that goes up I-19, to the west of 8 it it's relatively lightly population or unpopulated areas, I believe. And so I was able to take some territory over 9 10 there to make that spur have a little element of compactness 11 to it. Overall the district is fairly compact except for 12 13 that part that extends up the I-19 corridor. 14 And I think, you know, in addition to compactness 15 criteria, I think there's also -- I think those communities 16 along the I-19, you know, share a common community of interest, they're related to each other, they fit together, 17 18 which was another rationale for supporting grabbing 19 population there. 20 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: I was looking at just the 21 compactness measures, because we have both that and 2.2 competitiveness on the two different versions. 23 Go ahead, Ms. McNulty, do you want to talk 24 about your one. 25 COMMISSIONER McNULTY: The one is constructed here

1 it includes most of Cochise County and the Rincon Valley, 2. Vail, Corona De Tucson, the very far southeast part of Tucson, which is increasingly connected to the Benson area 3 4 on the Sierra Vista area. 5 It includes southern portions of Graham County, 6 which have historic and economic ties with Cochise County. 7 Those valleys run north-south. 8 There's a lot of shared ranching activity, 9 agricultural activity. 10 You know, kind of extension, county extension sort 11 of activity that ties those areas together. The border communities of Bisbee and Douglas are 12 13 with District 2. And the reason that I did that was for --14 really for majority-minority purposes. 15 So the big differences between the option one 16 approach and the option two approach, with regard to 17 Cochise County, is that it includes what I think are some 18 closely related communities in the non-reservation portions 19 of Graham County. 20 It includes the far eastern, very far eastern 21 parts of the Tucson metro area that are increasingly tied to 2.2 some of the communities in Cochise County, the growing areas 23 of Benson, St. David, and Sierra Vista. 24 And it -- and we heard a lot of public comment 25 about that recently.

1 And it also excludes, and I think from my 2 perspective this might be the most important part, the Hispanic community in Santa Cruz County and Nogales. 3 4 That's a border town. It has a much larger Hispanic -- you know, Mexican sister city right across the 5 6 border with it. 7 And if Santa Cruz County is joined with Cochise 8 County in one district, the HVAP of that district is 9 34.78 percent. 10 So the effect of doing that, I think, is -- the 11 result of that is that the Hispanic community in Nogales in those southern areas of the Santa Cruz Valley will not be 12 13 able to elect a candidate of their choice. 14 So I handled it a little differently. 15 District 2 on the option two map keeps Santa Cruz County 16 whole, and then follows the Santa Cruz County River -- I mean the Santa Cruz River, north into south Tucson. 17 18 And that does a couple things. 19 It is similar to the way the district was drawn 20 20 years ago. 21 It creates a majority-minority district that is grounded in Tucson in kind of an economic corridor that runs 22 south of there that shares -- there's a lot of our tourism, 23 24 a lot of artistic kinds of endeavors there. 25 A lot of environmental issues and shared common

concerns. And that, as I said, the minority-majority component of it.

2.2

A couple, couple other points. Green Valley, which is fairly different from Sahuarita -- what's similar but different, the composition of Green Valley from a voting perspective is different. Sahuarita is a much more mixed community. It's much more Hispanic.

Green Valley is -- really it should be up with Saddlebrooke, if it could be, but it can't. It's where it is.

So, so on two, in part because Ms. O'Grady when she first looked at -- when I first, you know, kind of showed her the outline of this was concerned about whether it would work as a voting rights district, I put Green Valley with some of the more similar communities in Cochise County to kind of bulk up the majority-minority -- the strength of this as a majority-minority district.

And the last thing I'll say is that the Bisbee,
Douglas, the -- you know, the really awful looking thing
along the border, the idea there, even though it looks very
terrible, is that those communities do have a fair bit in
common.

And I thought it might -- if we did take this approach, it might be worth getting public comment from

1 folks about, you know, what they thought about that, whether they would like to be in the district with Nogales or 2. whether they would prefer to be in the district with 3 4 Cochise County. CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Yeah, that's -- that Cochise 5 6 area is interesting. Because on our congressional district 7 map, we had public comment, people coming in and saying they 8 like the river district version of the congressional 9 district map better than the everything bagel version one. 10 Because in that one Cochise was whole. 11 And then we ultimately ended up, in order to deal with the voting rights issue in CD District 2, we had to go 12 13 into Cochise County to get population there. So it ended up 14 splitting Cochise. 15 So it's a conundrum, and it's -- I'm sure going 16 there and going down to Sierra Vista for a hearing, we'll 17 get a lot better sense of what to do. 18 And I guess in the two versions, the only thing 19 for sure is Santa Cruz is whole in both of your versions. 20 So I guess we agree on that. 21 But in order to figure out what -- which way to 2.2 go, whether we break up Cochise or keep it whole. . . 23 VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: Madam Chair. 24 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Freeman. 25 VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: I think obviously a part of

the analysis is how many benchmark districts we need in southern Arizona.

2.2

And that's what this one is trying to achieve. I mean, and I understand that.

There are some concerns I have about the look of the district, whether we've gone too far. But I think in creating these benchmark districts, at least it's my understanding, and counsel will disabuse me of this understanding if I'm incorrect, but we can't take a blind eye to the other constitutional criteria trying to put these together.

And then the other factor is on my version I did not split Graham County. This one does. And I understand the rationale for it, to grab more population. And I just wanted to mention that, that I wanted to try to keep Graham, Greenlee, and Gila County together. We heard a lot about those, the three Gs, eastern Arizona counties, and how they worked together.

And so option one in those three counties are kept whole and together.

COMMISSIONER McNULTY: I understand it. I think the HVAP in this district two is, as it's configured, would be 61.41 percent.

So, you know, I don't -- I'd have to kind of redo it and look at the numbers, but I don't think having Douglas

and Bisbee in that district are necessarily essential to making it an effective majority-minority district.

So, you know, if the consensus was that we'd rather have a clean line than give the folks in Bisbee and Douglas the opportunity to comment on it, looking as it does, there may be a way to do that.

CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: And then in terms of creating sort of a merged map, so to speak, should we just start with a new version, a new template, that puts our ideas together into one? Or what's the best way to do that?

WILLIE DESMOND: I would think that it makes sense for the first -- to merge the maps, it would make sense to start drawing these voting rights districts first and kind of work around there. So if we can come to agreement on these nine districts or eight districts that are benchmark, and then kind of grow it from there.

But I'll leave it to Mary to suggest.

One thing I -- I think it would -- our, you know, at least preliminary analysis has indicated that at least part of Santa Cruz probably needs to go into a voting rights district, because there is a sizable Hispanic population there.

So that's maybe one parameter to kind of look at.

I don't know if Mary or Joe have others.

But we've been trying to really break down these

2.2

1 numbers the last couple days, so they might have something 2. to offer also. 3 I need to plug in my computer. Excuse me. 4 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: We're open to any 5 suggestions. 6 JOSEPH KANEFIELD: Madam Chair, the only thing I 7 want to offer at this time is just to follow up on something 8 that Commissioner Freeman mentioned about -- we do have to 9 create these ability to elect districts, but I agree with 10 Commissioner Freeman that we mustn't disregard the other 11 constitutional criteria. Obviously we have to create the districts so as to 12 13 obtain voting rights preclearance, but we don't also want to 14 inadvertently create gerrymander districts that exposes the 15 Commission to a Section 2 violation of the Voting Rights 16 Act. 17 So I would urge the commissioners to keep that in 18 mind. 19 I'm not suggesting that the district as presented 20 would do that. 21 But we do -- there is something to be said about 2.2 the look of these districts. And if there's a way to create 23 these majority-minority districts without -- and also 24 respect the other criteria, then I would urge the Commission

to consider that so that we don't inadvertently expose the

25

1 | Commission to a Section 2 violation.

2.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: So given that, it would seem that, for southern Arizona, Mr. Freeman's one is probably better, although maybe some of Santa Cruz has to come out.

I don't know. But Ms. McNulty's Yuma, the way Yuma is dealt with, may be better from a minority -- from a Voting Rights Act.

It's not?

MARY O'GRADY: Well, Madam Chair, as we started in our opening comments, we all agreed that there -- you should have three majority-minority -- attempts to do three majority-minority districts in southern Arizona.

And so that would be one concern.

The District 1 -- if you use Cochise County as it is in -- well, so along those lines, the District 2 from Commissioner McNulty's, the problem with that district is whether the Cochise County arm is too ugly, I guess. If it could be more compact.

It certainly has community of interest issues, because you're linking border towns. So I don't think that -- I don't think the -- there -- I think it can -- I think it's defensible, but I do think it can be -- this just is working at census tracts as a first cut. It can either be smoothed off or cut off.

And it's at 61 percent now.

1 If we went with the Commissioner Freeman approach, 2 the challenge would be creating that -- how do you create that third majority-minority district in southern Arizona. 3 4 So that's the challenge if you go with the. . . Yeah, but can't, on the 5 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: 6 Freeman map, the Yuma split be incorporated the way it is on 7 the McNulty map to get that third district in some way? 8 no. 9 WILLIE DESMOND: That's already one of them. 10 So they both have the Yuma district --11 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: And it's one. 12 WILLIE DESMOND: That's one of the 13 majority-minority districts. 14 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: So even though --They both have a Tucson district. 15 WILLIE DESMOND: 16 And then the third one we think probably has to 17 come from Santa Cruz and some other Hispanic area, whether 18 it goes east into Cochise and grabs some of the border town 19 or farther up into Pima to get some of the Hispanic 20 population in Tucson. 21 But it seems, again, we haven't explored every 22 possibility. And we haven't been drawing maps. We've just 23 been kind of analyzing population tables for this, and 24 looking at the different drafts that we've already gone 25 through on the last map, that those are probably the three

1 places that are open to be majority-minority districts. 2. So both of them share, share the Yuma district and the Tucson district, but that's probably -- and I quess 3 4 Commissioner McNulty was able to get the third one with how she treats Santa Cruz here. So that that would -- in her 5 6 map, that's the third down here. 7 So. . . 8 MARY O'GRADY: Yeah, it is at 61 percent, so 9 it could probably be lower just based on what the 10 opportunity to elect numbers have been in the past in 11 southern Arizona. 12 COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Mr. Desmond, can you look 13 at what happens if we remove Bisbee and Douglas to the --14 and we'd need to make up the population. 15 WILLIE DESMOND: Sure. 16 COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Think about how to do that. WILLIE DESMOND: 17 I'm going to create a new plan, 18 so I'm going to leave this one just where it is. 19 It will be one second while I get this. 20 COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Mr. Kanefield, I don't --21 just to be clear, I don't think you were saying that a 2.2 compactness problem necessarily causes a Section 2 lawsuit, 23 were you? 24 I mean, I think this is just a -- you know, it was 25 a draft, and it's a rough looking line. But in every other

1	respect it meets the constitutional criteria.
2	JOSEPH KANEFIELD: Madam Chair,
3	Commissioner McNulty, yes, I wasn't suggesting that the map
4	violated any of the other provisions.
5	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Thank you.
6	JOSEPH KANEFIELD: I should clarify, Ms. O'Grady
7	pointed out that the Shaw v. Reno issue I raised with the
8	look of the map was an actually equal protection violation,
9	not necessarily a Section 2.
10	But it is still a claim, a viable claim that the
11	Commission has to consider. It's an actionable claim
12	against the map that the district is drawn in such a way
13	that it would present a claim under Shaw v. Reno.
14	WILLIE DESMOND: So if you were to remove all of
15	district all of Cochise County from District 2, the
16	current number is 61.41.
17	Changes the HVAP number drops to 60.8.
18	Although the district is 30,000 people
19	underpopulated.
20	So when you go to add more people, you're probably
21	going to get more Anglo, and that will probably drop a
22	little bit further.
23	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: So we'd have to work on
24	that, but it looks like it will work either way in terms of
25	being a performing Voting Rights Act district.

1 WILLIE DESMOND: If it's -- yeah, if it's a 2 priority to not split that part of Cochise County, there's probably ways of working it, but we have to look into that 3 4 more. 5 That's something thing we can do, however you guys 6 want to do. 7 You can -- I don't know if you want to start 8 working -- it would be good to start, I guess, theorizing 9 how we are going to work on a merged map. 10 Maybe it's something where we start -- we go back 11 to the original grid map, overlay the two layers, and kind of work district by district, starting with the voting 12 13 rights districts, something like that. 14 COMMISSIONER McNULTY: You know, I just don't see 15 that working here. I really don't. Because every single 16 thing that you change changes everything else. I think, you know, we have to, we have to make 17 18 some decisions about what we want to accomplish. 19 And I don't think we can do that by putting lines 20 on top of other lines and trying to split the baby. 21 I just think we need to, you know, decide what is 22 in the best -- what is in the best interest of the state, 23 and try and put that together based that way. 24 I just don't think we can do it by taking two 25 completely conceptually different districts and put them on

1 top of one another and trying to divide them in half. 2. VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair. 3 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera. 4 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: I completely understand 5 trying to keep counties whole and not creating areas that 6 look a little odd like number two does, District 2 in the 7 option two version 8A, Commissioner McNulty's. 8 But I think she explained it well, the reason why 9 she did that. I think communities of interest and the 10 Voting Rights Act, which is, I think, one of the first 11 things we need to meet, is a great rationale for creating a 12 majority-minority district that looks like number two. 13 So I would be in favor of keeping it the way it 14 is, and, and allowing the people in that area an opportunity 15 to comment once we -- once we've put a draft map out there 16 on the legislative side to see what they think about this 17 particular area. Again, the Voting Rights Act is crucial. 18 19 think creating that particular district the way it does, the 20 way it is, I think Commissioner McNulty laid it out pretty 21 well why she did what she did. 2.2 COMMISSIONER McNULTY: One of the things I'm concerned about is, you know, the whole southern Arizona 23 24 One of the big differences between Mr. Freeman's map 25 and mine -- and the way I did this map is that there are

1 only four districts in Tucson that are really centered in 2. Tucson. 3 Mr. Freeman's map. 4 And it moves the center of gravity up a couple of 5 the districts to Maricopa County. 6 And I don't think that's a good thing for southern 7 Arizona. 8 So I guess to the extent that everything ties into 9 everything else, I think there are -- there is a way to make 10 up the population for this district in Tucson. But once we 11 start doing that, you know, then we start moving the central 12 Tucson district up into the other central Tucson district, 13 and then we start either, you know, jeopardizing the second 14 majority-minority district or then we have to start moving 15 9 up into 11. 16 And I am sure there are ways to work that all out. 17 But, but it also may make some sense before we 18 embark on that, you know, to think about whether this could 19 be made to look better and whether, you know, people should 20 be given an opportunity to comment on it. 21 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair. 2.2 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera. 23 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Can Mr. Desmond bring back 24 the original way that Mr. Commissioner McNulty had the 25 District 2?

1 Because I think it follows the natural boundary, 2. which is the Santa Cruz River. 3 Is that correct? Is that the --4 COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Not over, not over here. 5 This is really just -- those are census tracts 6 around -- trying to take in Bisbee and Douglas. 7 And I know it's not very well done. I just kind 8 of made a rough cut at it. 9 I'm sure there's a way to do it more neatly. 10 Well, I'm not sure, but there might be. 11 VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: Madam Chair. 12 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Freeman. 13 VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: I know there's a -- in fact 14 there's a big mountain there separating sort of Santa Cruz 15 County from Sierra Vista in those parts. 16 And as to the -- Commission McNulty's comments about how I made out Tucson, I did it -- I don't think the 17 Constitution empowers us to make policy decisions as to 18 19 where the center of gravity of political power is going to 20 be in the state. It doesn't speak to that. I did not consider that 21 2.2 when I was laying out my districts. 23 I simply tried to apply the six constitutional 24 criteria and fit the districts together the way I thought 25 were sensible for Tucson.

1 I'm sorry that Commissioner Stertz isn't here with 2 us today. I know this hasn't been mentioned yet today. He's from Tucson. And I would value his input as well. 3 4 I know that he had a family emergency involving 5 his mother, who is hospitalized. And I know he wishes he 6 could be here with us today, but he's unable to. 7 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: I was just thinking along 8 those lines. We have two commissioners from Maricopa 9 County, and I know you're both natives. We have two from 10 southern Arizona. 11 And I am wondering if one way to tackle this might 12 be to have you two work together in the Maricopa County area 13 and figure out what points you agree on. And same for 14 Commissioner McNulty and Commissioner Stertz. And they can 15 talk about the areas that they think about for southern 16 Arizona, and maybe we figure out where the common ground is 17 there. 18 We're waiting on the northeast corner to hear some 19 more input from some communities of interest up there. 20 What do you all think of that idea, that we have a 21 Republican and a Democrat each working on two different 2.2 parts. 23 COMMISSIONER McNULTY: I think we need to work 24 on the maps as a whole. And I -- you know, I'd like to 25 think we're going to have common ground on some of these

1 things. 2. But I -- we've come at them pretty differently in 3 these two different maps. 4 I don't think we're going to get there on many of 5 the issues. 6 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair. 7 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera. 8 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: I agree with Commissioner McNulty. 9 10 In with the Voting Rights Act and then the 11 majority-minority districts, with that I'm not willing to 12 compromise. 13 We need to meet the requirements in order to pass 14 preclearance. And there's not a lot of compromise on that. 15 I mean, you don't have a lot of choices. I really do see that there -- there is a difference, but I think the -- we 16 17 need to approach this with the intent of passing 18 preclearance on the first try. 19 And I don't see a lot of compromise on this. 20 There has to be one way, and that's meeting the Voting 21 Rights Act. 2.2 Madam Chair, and to the extent that MARY O'GRADY: 23 you were trying to create subcommittees, those would be 24 subcommittees would be subject to the open meeting law and 25 all the notice required --

1	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Sure. No, I meant that.
2	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: I would like to hang out with
3	Commissioner Freeman.
4	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Well, can we work on the
5	majority-minority districts then?
6	I'm I don't know where else to go from here.
7	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Well, maybe we could I'd
8	be happy to talk about the other two majority-minority
9	districts in southern Arizona.
10	So one of them is two. And then the other the
11	second one is three.
12	And this includes downtown Tucson, the University
13	of Arizona, and then these neighborhoods in southwest
14	Tucson, Drexel Heights, Valencia West, Tucson Estates, and
15	the Pascua Yaqui reservation.
16	I think the east boundary is Campbell.
17	And the HVAP improves from the current LD 27 from
18	49.9 percent to about 51.16 percent.
19	I think it would be a performing majority-minority
20	district, and I think it makes sense in terms of the
21	communities that it includes.
22	MARY O'GRADY: And then Commissioner Freeman had a
23	parallel I mean, not parallel, but he also had an urban
24	Tucson majority-minority district in his map.
25	It was District 2.

1 It makes sense to see the different areas that 2 they take in. WILLIE DESMOND: This is District 2 from 3 4 Commissioner Freeman's map, which doesn't have much overlap 5 with Commissioner McNulty's District 3, but does have a fair 6 amount of overlap with her District 2. 7 COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Could you put HVAP on, 8 please? 9 WILLIE DESMOND: Sure. 10 So the darker green areas are obviously higher 11 Hispanic voting age population percentage. 12 Let me just confirm that. 13 Yes. 14 COMMISSIONER McNULTY: One thing that concerns 15 about me about this configuration is that we have two 16 completely different kinds of areas within this district. We have Hispanic -- you know, we're kind of 17 18 dividing a Hispanic community on the west side, and then 19 we've got the base, and then we've got much less Hispanic 20 areas on the east side that probably have higher turnout 21 than these very different Hispanic areas in south Tucson. 2.2 I think we're also splitting downtown. 23 WILLIE DESMOND: I think at least initially it 24 does look like this would be a -- the Democratic number here 25 It seems like some of those areas are is pretty strong.

1 fairly Democratic in this one. 2. I think we were talking with Bruce that's something that we need to maybe consider a little bit, 3 4 because if they live with like-minded individuals. 5 Is that correct, Mary and Joe? 6 COMMISSIONER McNULTY: I think the question is 7 whether they can elect the candidate of their choice. 8 And I think right now that area is represented by 9 Danielle Patterson and Matt Heinz. The senator is Linda 10 Lopez. 11 MARY O'GRADY: And we're not getting into specific 12 incumbents but in terms of --13 COMMISSIONER McNULTY: I'm not talking about where 14 they live. I'm talking about the fact that the two state 15 legislators are not Hispanic. 16 So the question is, you know, are -- is DOJ going 17 to be concerned with the high Hispanic but low turnout on 18 the side of the district and the very low Hispanic but 19 higher turnout on the other side of the district and the 20 base in the middle? Is this really an opportunity to elect 21 a candidate of choice. So that was my concern when I looked at that 2.2 23 district. 24 Plus the fact that it looks like it splits 25 downtown Tucson.

1	So that the other southern Arizona
2	majority-minority district I think would be four.
3	WILLIE DESMOND: Your four.
4	Commissioner Freeman's three. Yes.
5	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Okay.
6	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair.
7	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera.
8	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: When is when would we be
9	able to do the polarized voting analysis?
10	WILLIE DESMOND: I believe it's happening kind of
11	on an ongoing basis, but it's something you do once you have
12	a map almost.
13	I believe counsel wants to expand on that.
14	I believe he's going on to give us, you know, the
15	numbers that we think we're going to need to meet, but it's
16	kind of something you do once the map has been completed
17	almost.
18	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Okay. The reason
19	Madam Chair, the reason I ask is I'd love to see that
20	particular analysis on option one, the way that
21	Commissioner Freeman drew out the District 3.
22	As I said, that is a concern of mine, and that's
23	why I agree with the split between north and south Yuma.
24	Because we from my interest I want to make, I
25	want to make clear, I want to get approved in preclearance.

1	And that's why I'm urging that we do the split.
2	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Mr. Desmond, can you do the
3	previous analysis on north Yuma versus south Yuma County.
4	WILLIE DESMOND: The what analysis?
5	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: The state mine inspector
6	analysis.
7	Just take everything north of Yuma, in north Yuma
8	County.
9	Or looked at another way, everything that isn't
10	WILLIE DESMOND: I can shape the map by mine
11	inspector results. Would that help?
12	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: It would be easier if you
13	could just run that.
14	WILLIE DESMOND: Um, okay.
15	All right. So I guess the best way to probably do
16	that would be to so using your the split from the
17	Hispanic Coalition
18	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Using that split, yes.
19	WILLIE DESMOND: All right.
20	So I would probably do then is make two new
21	districts. And then just give you the percentage for each.
22	That's what I'll do to try to, try to
23	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: I have a question for legal
24	counsel. Put you guys on the spot.
25	From a voting rights analysis perspective, was one

1	version of the map of the two of the two versions we have
2	in front of us better in terms of meeting those requirements
3	than the other, or are they the same?
4	MARY O'GRADY: Well, as the southern Arizona,
5	again, our recommendation is that they have three majority
6	Hispanic VAP. And the McNulty version has that.
7	The current version of the Freeman option does not
8	have that.
9	So I would say right now the McNulty structure is
10	preferred from a voting rights standpoint.
11	I don't say the same in Maricopa County, but in
12	terms of southern Arizona, I would say the McNulty approach
13	gets us to the three that we were looking at.
14	WILLIE DESMOND: So, looking at this, then the
15	northern part of Yuma County had a vote of 26.96 percent
16	Democratic in that mine inspector's race.
17	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Can you do the same thing
18	southern 26 point
19	WILLIE DESMOND: .96.
20	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Do we have the HVAP in that
21	northern?
22	WILLIE DESMOND: I just did.
23	26.96.
24	HVAP of 25.48.
25	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: .48.

1	WILLIE DESMOND: .48.
2	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Thank you.
3	WILLIE DESMOND: The southern part has an HVAP of
4	67.61.
5	And in mine inspector's race, a Democrat received
6	54.34 percent of the vote.
7	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair.
8	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera.
9	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Would it be possible if
10	Willie if it doesn't if we could for that
11	particular race, so the way District 14 is laid out, what
12	would the average be of that particular race statewide?
13	Meaning, does District 4 have less than, than
14	so, if you if he in the end, that the percentage was
15	the Republican ended up getting 60 percent of the vote, the
16	Democrat, you know, 39, 40 percent of the vote, is this
17	particular district 14 below the average? Does that make
18	sense?
19	Because if he lost I guess what I'm saying is
20	if he would have lost worse in that particular district the
21	way it's set out than the average, then to me that's a
22	concern.
23	If you're able to do that.
24	WILLIE DESMOND: I guess I'm a little confused as
25	to what you're asking.

```
1
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: You know what, never mind.
 2.
               I don't even know what I'm asking.
 3
               COMMISSIONER McNULTY:
                                      I think he did.
                                                        26.94.
 4
                                    I have a question.
               VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN:
 5
               How many people live in that northern part that
 6
     you just carved out?
 7
               WILLIE DESMOND: In the southern part is
 8
     132,900 -- 493.
 9
               In the northern part, it's 63,258.
10
               So two thirds in the southern part, one third in
11
     the northern part.
12
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA:
                                   Madam Chair.
13
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                   Mr. Herrera.
14
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: But I think that the, that
15
     the -- the way that that northern part of Yuma votes is
16
    probably a stronger voting population. They go to the polls
17
    more often.
                  They're a consistent vote. So even though
18
     they're a lesser population, they tend to be what we call
19
    pretty good voters.
20
               MARY O'GRADY: And just in terms of the HVAP
21
     comparison, and although these districts include a lot of
2.2
     different pieces of current districts, the current
     southeastern Arizona -- or excuse me, southwestern Arizona
23
24
     district, LD 24 has a HVAP of 51.37.
                                           That's where Yuma is
25
           And then under these proposals, District 3, in the
    now.
```

1	Freeman option, is at 49.97 HVAP. So it's a little lower.
2	Although, again, they include pieces of different, different
3	old districts.
4	And in the McNulty option, District 4 is at 53.65.
5	So it's a little higher if you just look at the
6	compare it to 24 in terms of southwestern Arizona.
7	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Well, given that we need
8	three majority-minority districts in southern Arizona, and
9	the McNulty proposal has that, Mr. Freeman, do you is
10	there another way you see of getting a third one into your
11	map that we should try? Or do you are you do you like
12	any aspects of what Ms. McNulty has done that we could
13	incorporate and all agree to utilize?
14	VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: There might be, but I don't
15	think I'm going to be able to sit here right now on the fly
16	and draw a new district.
17	If the goal is to vote on the LDs by Friday, I
18	don't know if I'm going to be able to do that.
19	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair.
20	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera.
21	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: And I understand
22	Commissioner Freeman's response.
23	But I want to remind everyone that this is going
24	to be a draft map.
25	And we do, we do have to come up with a draft map

1 before we start the second round of hearings.

2.

2.2

So what I would like to move as quickly as possible.

As I said, there's really not compromising on these voting rights districts. We need to respect that constitutional requirement.

And I think, I think our attorneys are pretty clear that, that we need to have three in the southern part of Arizona, and I think Commissioner McNulty's map did that, so I think we should move forward and adopt them as part of a draft and then move forward with the ones in Maricopa County.

CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Well, maybe what we can do is create a new map that we're starting to build together, and for now we'll include the version that Ms. McNulty has, because it does have the three majority-minority districts in southern Arizona that we need.

And then if Mr. Freeman has -- when -- that's some homework that, I guess, he has where if he wants to draw a third one into his, then we can then look at how those break out later.

But I think for now, since this one has the three, that we need to move forward and try it out.

MARY O'GRADY: And, again, for clarification, it's a statewide goal, but we considered the three in southern

1 Arizona, again, looking at the options in terms of how to get to that statewide goal. And if the people can have two 2. and get there another way, but we just weren't seeing it. 3 4 When we were looking at the options, it looked like we would 5 need to have three in southern Arizona. 6 VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: Madam Chair. 7 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Freeman. 8 VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: And just for the record, I 9 have a different interpretation of what a draft map means. 10 And I'm not going to belabor the point. I expressed my 11 opinion on Monday. And I just -- if anyone is curious, they 12 can look at that transcript. 13 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okav. 14 So would that work for people, to build a new map 15 that we'll try out as, you know, something that we've all 16 worked on together to try to achieve? 17 And it doesn't mean anyone has agreed to this 18 being final or anything. It's strictly trying to figure out 19 a way to move forward. 20 And we have one version that has three 21 majority-minority districts in southern Arizona and one that 2.2 doesn't. 23 And since we need three, we, for now, just to 24 try it out, I think it's worth pursuing what Ms. McNulty has 25 in the bottom in southern Arizona, which on her map --

1	numbers?
2	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: One, two, three.
3	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Yeah. Oh, yours are one,
4	two, three. Okay.
5	It's four
6	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: One, two, four. Sorry.
7	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Two, three, four.
8	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Oh, that's right two,
9	three, four.
10	One is Cochise County.
11	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Oh, okay, up in Pima.
12	So those are the three majority-minority districts
13	in southern Arizona that we'll try out.
14	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair.
15	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera.
16	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: I like your idea of creating
17	a clean map with incorporating those three, two, three, and
18	four, on the option two, and a clean map and starting with
19	those three.
20	And start working then we work to the Maricopa
21	County area and see what we can come up with agreement on
22	those, on the majority-minority districts there.
23	And I am assuming we'll have to wait until we hear
24	back from the Native American tribes and the City of
25	Flagstaff on the eastern rural majority-minority districts.

1 MARY O'GRADY: And, Madam Chair, in terms of 2 things to look at in terms of improving that map, I think we talked about we need -- dealing with the arm in Cochise 3 4 County. 5 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Right. 6 MARY O'GRADY: The 61 percent may be higher than 7 is necessary in southern Arizona. In terms of an 8 opportunity to elect district. 9 And so those are some of the things that we were 10 looking at. 11 Just on voting rights criteria. There are 12 certainly other things on other criteria. 13 I'm curious. Well, it may be CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: 14 too hard to figure this out right now, but on McNulty's 15 number two, what happens to HVAP when you remove that arm 16 and just leave -- I know you have to get population from 17 somewhere, but taking out that -- those border communities 18 in Cochise. 19 COMMISSIONER McNULTY: I think it just went down a 20 point; is that right? Sixty -- went down to 60.8. 21 WILLIE DESMOND: It went doubt down a point, and 22 then there's always a potential that when we balance 23 population it will drop another, another -- maybe another 24 point or two. 25 COMMISSIONER McNULTY: So we had what, about

1 30,000 people that we need to make up somewhere else in a 2. way that doesn't reduce the HVAP low. 3 WILLIE DESMOND: That gets where -- where the HVAP 4 needs to be is something that we have to look at further. 5 I believe the current benchmark down there are 6 right around 49.8 percent. 7 So 60 percent is probably too high, so we're 8 probably safe bringing it down a little bit, a little bit 9 more. 10 But, again, I think it's going to be helpful going 11 forward -- for the congressional we've been looking at HVAP 12 solely, but with these we're going to need to also look at 13 ability to elect. 14 So we are going to have to look at some other numbers in concert with the HVAP also. 15 16 So, there's places where the HVAP might be, you 17 know, 55, 60 percent, and the ability to elect is less in 18 districts with, you know, 48 percent, just depending on, I 19 quess, the citizenship numbers, and also the -- just the 20 turnout patterns of those areas. 21 So, there's more moving pieces going forward using 22 legislative map, and it can be even more complicated. 23 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair. 24 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera. 25 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: I think what concerns me

1	about the messing with the current look of District 2, we
2	start taking out those areas that are part of Cochise and
3	you're going to have to start grabbing, what, 30,000 people
4	somewhere else.
5	And, again, that may lower the HVAP or may not.
6	They may lower the voting strength of that particular
7	majority-minority district.
8	So I'm a little let's be cautious when we start
9	doing that.
10	MARY O'GRADY: And the other option is smoothing
11	that area out again.
12	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. Should we look at the
13	majority-minority districts in Maricopa County, and both
14	maps, we talked about that again, the two versions that we
15	have, how many are each created in Maricopa County.
16	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair.
17	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera.
18	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Just for clarification, is
19	Mr. Desmond currently creating a map that uses the three
20	majority-minority districts on option two in southern
21	Arizona border?
22	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Yes, that's my take.
23	Does that work, Mr. Desmond, or no?
24	He's wiping his forehead, which
25	WILLIE DESMOND: I'm just trying to think, like,

1	how it's going to work.
2	So you have a couple of options.
3	It's easy for me to put those into a new map,
4	those districts.
5	The question then becomes is the districts that
6	fill in around there, what do I use? You have options of
7	using lines that are from one of the two maps or using the
8	grid lines.
9	The second question then becomes are those lines
10	then adjusted by me to meet equal population or are they
11	left out of balance and that's something that we do as a
12	group in session.
13	I would prefer to leave them out of balance so
14	that that can be something that you guys all work through.
15	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Right.
16	WILLIE DESMOND: Maybe we do go back to the grid
17	lines, except give me the discretion to adjust those not to
18	split tribal lands or something along those lines.
19	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: I'm wondering can you
20	populate a new map with just the nine majority-minority
21	districts based on what we're telling you now, and so there
22	will be islands essentially in the map.
23	And you're not building the whole map around it
24	yet. You're just putting in the majority-minority districts
25	as we're discussing them now?

1	Is that possible? Or
2	MARY O'GRADY: It's my recommendation we do, at
3	least as a first cut, do what Mr. Desmond recommended in
4	terms of the adjusting the grid map for the voting rights
5	districts, and then leaving the remainder of the grid, the
6	original grid, going back to the original map for the
7	remainder, and then the Commission as a whole taking it from
8	there.
9	Then we can discuss it more but that would be my
10	recommendation.
11	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: But, Ms. O'Grady, he
12	wouldn't have to go to the trouble of adjusting population
13	in the other grids. He would just build them in from the
14	grid.
15	Okay.
16	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Everybody good with that?
17	VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: I'm not exactly sure what's
18	going on, but carry on.
19	WILLIE DESMOND: Just to make sure that I get it
20	then, so it's clear.
21	What we'll do is we're talking just for the
22	purposes of southern Arizona, I will take the three
23	majority-minority districts from Commissioner McNulty's map,
24	overlay those onto, I guess, the initial grid map.
25	T won't I won't adjust the result vou know

1 the districts that are cut off there. I won't change their 2. population. So there will be some that are probably 3 dramatically underpopulated and there will probably be some 4 unassigned areas also. 5 And then as a group we can adjust those later. 6 I think we're going to go through the same process 7 in Maricopa. 8 Either taking districts wholly, all the districts 9 out of one of the two maps, or maybe a combination of the 10 two, the two maps. If we like some districts in Maricopa 11 from one map and some districts from another map, putting 12 those in there too. 13 So at least then we have -- I guess the way we 14 talked about it, the way we think it might make sense to 15 proceed, is if you have the majority-minority districts 16 figured out. Any changes to the map would have to not affect the complexion of those districts. 17 So you can change 18 those lines going forward, but you would need to keep the HVAP ability to elect numbers where they are. 19 20 So that's kind of the next -- that's how we merge 21 the maps and go to a single point going forward. 2.2 Is that clear? 23 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair. 24 WILLIE DESMOND: Is that correct? 25 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera.

1	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: That's how my
2	understanding.
۷	understanding.
3	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Is it good for you,
4	Mr. Freeman?
5	VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: Yes.
6	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: And, Madam Chair, just to
7	clarify, we're not creating a draft map. We're creating
8	essentially a third map.
9	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Right.
10	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: So we have still Freeman's,
11	McNulty's, and then this third map that has no name.
12	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Right. It's the merged map,
13	so to speak.
14	WILLIE DESMOND: Is there a food you want to pick
15	to name it after?
16	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Oh, no.
17	WILLIE DESMOND: A breakfast treat?
18	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Depends on what it looks
19	like.
20	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Madam Chair, just for the
21	record, that's how I worked on this map. You remember we
22	sat here in the session using the grid, and that's how I
23	made these districts.
24	I moved the lines from the grid.
25	So I don't have a problem with doing it again, but

1	that is what I did to create the districts in the first
2	place.
3	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Well, and I assume that
4	Mr. Freeman did the same thing and worked from a grid map
5	initially.
6	So I'm curious, if they both generated two
7	versions from the grid map, does that mean the third version
8	is from the grid map or not?
9	WILLIE DESMOND: We'd like to make well, those
10	districts are from the grid map and we'd like to make sure,
11	I guess, that the other lines are all from the grid map too
12	so that when we adjust, we're adjusting the grid.
13	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay.
14	WILLIE DESMOND: Should we go to Maricopa then, if
15	that's all clear?
16	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Yeah. Yeah, everyone's
17	clear.
18	WILLIE DESMOND: Okay.
19	Mr. Herrera.
20	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Will you be able to add those
21	three districts on the southern part of Arizona now, or do
22	you need time to do that?
23	WILLIE DESMOND: I could do it now.
24	I would kind of say we maybe should figure out the
25	next part of Maricopa and we can do it all at once.

1	I could even do that then right now as we're going
2	forward, or do it tonight and see where we stand once we
3	look at the next set kind of.
4	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: I want to check on our court
5	reporter.
6	How are you doing?
7	THE REPORTER: Tired.
8	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Tired.
9	It's 5:51. So should we take a short break?
LO	Let's take a ten-minute break, and we'll come back into
L1	session shortly.
L2	(Brief recess taken.)
L3	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. We'll enter back into
L4	public session.
L5	Recess is over. The time is 6:19 p.m.
L6	And we are in the midst of discussing agenda item
L7	two, making adjustments to the legislative grid map going
L8	over the two different versions we have in front of us.
L9	We just talked about southern Arizona.
20	And we're working on the majority-minority
21	districts that need to be incorporated into the map and
22	looking at what the two versions we have in front of us have
23	in that regard.
24	And it looks like both the maps, moving to
25	Maricopa County now both have four majority-minority

1	districts, which I think is the number.
2	They don't. Okay.
3	WILLIE DESMOND: They don't.
4	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Tell us. Tell us what they
5	have.
6	MARY O'GRADY: Commissioner Freeman's has four.
7	Commissioner McNulty's has three.
8	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: So it's the opposite of
9	MARY O'GRADY: They have the same total but
10	different places.
11	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: What I would like to know,
12	which of the two versions has comes closest to the
13	Arizona Hispanic Coalition map.
14	WILLIE DESMOND: You mean the Minority Coalition?
15	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: I'm sorry, the Minority
16	Coalition.
17	WILLIE DESMOND: I will I think I can show you.
18	This is going to be a little difficult. I'm going
19	to zoom in, so just bear with me for one second.
20	All right. I guess the only way this is going to
21	work is looking at them one at a time.
22	So I will just start with the black line here is
23	Commissioner McNulty's district.
24	The green line is the Minority Coalition. It's
25	the same line.

1	And then Commissioner Freeman has, I think,
2	largely the same line, just a few little areas that are
3	slightly different down here.
4	So from District 27 is nearly universal agreement
5	between the three.
6	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Yay.
7	WILLIE DESMOND: Just these little areas.
8	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: That's great.
9	WILLIE DESMOND: Okay. Twenty-seven I think was
10	the easiest one.
11	It looks like the purpose of this,
12	Commissioner McNulty followed the Minority Coalition
13	exactly, so
14	And Commissioner Freeman in District 18 make
15	this a little
16	So district or District 19, I'm sorry, both of
17	them, there's some slight difference down here.
18	Up here in Avondale.
19	Maricopa.
20	And going over right here.
21	I don't want to speak totally for
22	Commissioner Freeman, but I believe he had me try to build a
23	Minority Coalition district lines at an earlier time before
24	they were submitted. I think they changed a little bit, and
25	I didn't have the actual file at that point, so

1	VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: Madam Chair, that that's
2	as I recall too.
3	And I think if the lines differ at this point
4	you know, I did over the weekend I was doing lots of
5	iterations on population balancing. And every time you move
6	a district to refine a population imbalance, you end up
7	changing all the other districts. So it's a process.
8	Perhaps where those lines end, where I ended with
9	those lines, might be a product of I think are a product
LO	of population balancing.
L1	But there was I saw an opportunity to create
L2	four minority-majority districts in the Phoenix area. So
L3	the next district is going to differ from the Hispanic
L4	Coalition's submission.
L5	WILLIE DESMOND: Yeah, so then District 20 is, I
L6	guess, different than commissioner
L7	Twenty-nine is right here. And that's slightly
L8	different than District 20, in that it takes this area out
L9	of Phoenix and goes up farther into Glendale here.
20	So that's and then Mary, what's the
21	UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: Do you have option
22	are one or two on the screen, please?
23	WILLIE DESMOND: All right. So I'll remove layers
24	to make it click.
25	So the black line is option two

1	The thick, thick red line is option one.
2	And this green line is the Minority Coalition.
3	So option one kind of gets washed out here because
4	it's behind the green line, by and large.
5	And make that a little take care of
6	everybody's. It's just kind of hard to see all these at
7	once.
8	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair.
9	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera.
10	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Quick question for
11	Mr. Desmond.
12	Mr. Desmond, how many currently, in the current
13	maps, how many majority Latino districts are there in
14	Maricopa County?
15	WILLIE DESMOND: Mary and Joe, I think, can answer
16	those.
17	MARY O'GRADY: Currently District 13, 14, and 16,
18	so currently there are three majority Latino districts in
19	Maricopa County.
20	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Thank you.
21	MARY O'GRADY: And then there's one other that's a
22	majority combined minority with a plurality, District 15.
23	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Thank you.
24	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Madam Chair.
25	Ms. O'Grady, after one of the things I looked

1	at was adjusting 29 with the other majority-minority
2	districts.
3	And I think there's a way to move the boundaries
4	south on the north boundaries south and the south
5	boundaries south without compromising the other three
6	majority-minority districts, but in a way that increases the
7	HVAP in 29 so that it also would be a fourth
8	majority-minority district.
9	MARY O'GRADY: And 29 is sort of an old 14, more
LO	or less, and some other, at 50 and it's at 64 percent in
L1	your plan.
L2	Right?
L3	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: I have to look at the
L4	MARY O'GRADY: Oh, I'm sorry, 32 percent, for 29.
L5	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: With those changes, I think
L6	it would bring it to over 50 percent.
L7	WILLIE DESMOND: I think that's very possible.
L8	I'm looking at Commissioner Freeman's. I think
L9	that's kind of what's happening there a little bit.
20	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Maybe if you could look at
21	that tonight, I'd appreciate it.
22	If you just move the north boundary south to about
23	Dunlap and move the south boundary to Van Buren, between
24	43rd Avenue and 19th Avenue.
25	WILLIE DESMOND: So the north boundary south to

1	Dunlap, and the south boundary.
2	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: South to Van Buren, between
3	43rd and 19th Avenue, it looked like.
4	WILLIE DESMOND: Between which?
5	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: 19th Avenue and
6	43rd Avenue.
7	WILLIE DESMOND: Okay.
8	That's something that I can look at, although we
9	might want to do that as a group tomorrow we have instead
10	of me showing you what would happen, you guys can all have
11	what happens tonight.
12	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: That will be fine.
13	Because I kind of had some ideas about how to make
14	the adjustments in the other districts that might work too,
15	but I didn't get a chance to finish them.
16	WILLIE DESMOND: All right.
17	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: So we could work through
18	that tomorrow.
19	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: How many minority-majority
20	districts did the Hispanic Coalition submit? What's the
21	correct
22	WILLIE DESMOND: They submitted three.
23	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Let's show those green lines
24	again.
25	WILLIE DESMOND: And those, again, we can give you

113

```
1
     the percentages there of the HVAP.
 2.
               So District 16 here is 54, and that's this -- let
     me label the districts.
 3
               We have this is basic black.
 4
               District 16 is the new 27.
 5
 6
               That is 54.79 percent HVAP, but also it's
 7
     15 percent non-Hispanic African American.
 8
               So the non-Hispanic White percentage is -- is
 9
     lower.
10
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA:
                                    23.09?
11
               WILLIE DESMOND: Yeah. And that's the same thing
12
     here.
               Then District 13, which is our 19 on their map, is
13
14
     67.1 percent Hispanic population and 6.7 percent
15
     non-Hispanic Blacks, so about 73 percent of those two.
16
               And then District 14, the top one, is 64.5 percent
17
     non-Hispanic -- or Hispanic. And about 6 percent
18
     non-Hispanic African American.
19
               COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Would you say that again?
20
               WILLIE DESMOND: So I'll just give you the
21
     Hispanic number and then I'll give you the average number --
2.2
               COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Just for the last district,
23
     the --
24
                                Oh, the last district is
               WILLIE DESMOND:
25
     64.4 percent -- 64.47 percent Hispanic, and 5.91 percent
```

1	African American.
2	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair.
3	WILLIE DESMOND: And that's voting age.
4	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair.
5	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera.
6	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Now, are you, Mr. Desmond,
7	giving us the HVAP for the Coalition maps, what they're
8	requesting, or is that the benchmark?
9	WILLIE DESMOND: That's, that's what they're
LO	requesting.
L1	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Can you, just for the record,
L2	can you tell me which of the two versions come closest to
L3	meeting those requirements?
L4	WILLIE DESMOND: Well, the
L5	Commissioner McNulty's map is those districts exactly.
L6	And the benchmark in those districts, Mary had
L7	looked at both what it was currently and what it was when it
L8	was precleared.
L9	Do you have those numbers?
20	MARY O'GRADY: If you'd like those numbers, for
21	13, currently it's 68.27 HVAP but it's become much more
22	Hispanic. When it was precleared, it was 55 percent.
23	From 14, now it's 64.9. And when it was
24	precleared, it was 58.
25	Sixteen has grown more than the other districts.

```
1
     It's a little less Hispanic, but still it has a lot of -- it
 2
    has a significant other minority population. It's currently
 3
     56.7. And it was, at the time of preclearance, 59.
 4
               WILLIE DESMOND: These numbers are fairly close to
 5
     the current benchmark, but --
 6
               MARY O'GRADY: They're close to the benchmark,
 7
    higher than what they were precleared at.
 8
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA:
                                   Madam Chair.
 9
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera.
10
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Ms. O'Grady, you're talking
11
     option two; correct?
12
               MARY O'GRADY: I'm just talking about the
13
     benchmark.
14
               Right?
15
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA:
                                    Right.
16
               WILLIE DESMOND: Do we want to look at
17
     Commissioner Freeman's for majority-minority districts.
18
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                    Yeah, we do.
19
               WILLIE DESMOND: Which is option number I quess --
20
               MARY O'GRADY: I believe it's 28.
21
               WILLIE DESMOND: So that is this district right
2.2
    here.
23
               District 28.
24
               And so, again, the red dotted line is
25
     Commissioner Freeman's line in the black.
                                                The heavier line
```

1	is Commissioner McNulty's.
2	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: And, Commissioner McNulty,
3	did you create a fourth in Maricopa County or no?
4	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair.
5	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: I just want to ask.
6	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Yes, I just haven't
7	finished it. I'll have to finish it tonight.
8	But in 29, moving the boundaries a little bit
9	south in both ways, I think that works that becomes a
10	an effective would become an effective majority-minority
11	district.
12	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera.
13	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Can I see the fourth
14	majority-minority district that Commissioner Freeman created
15	without that overlay? I'm having a difficult time
16	WILLIE DESMOND: This one.
17	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Okay.
18	Can you can either could you just tell me
19	what the areas are? Can you go into some detail?
20	WILLIE DESMOND: Sure.
21	So that's the census block that's being shaded by
22	population.
23	Going into, I guess, the streets.
24	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Please.
25	WILLIE DESMOND: So it runs it follows

1	District 27, and it goes right along highway looks like
2	60, 89, 10.
3	VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: Van Buren.
4	WILLIE DESMOND: Is that Van Buren?
5	I think it's Highway 60 right there.
6	Is that Van Buren?
7	VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: Yes.
8	WILLIE DESMOND: And then it goes that's pretty
9	much its southern border, east to west.
10	It goes north here, and it comes up against
11	District 19 over at that's 35th Avenue.
12	It runs north on 35th Avenue until it hits
13	Thomas Road.
14	Then it goes west until it hits 43rd Avenue.
15	It follows 43rd Avenue north until it hits
16	Camelback.
17	Follows Camelback east until it hits 35th Avenue
18	again.
19	Follows 35th Avenue north until it hits
20	Northern Avenue.
21	Follows that east until it hits 19th Avenue.
22	Follows that south down to
23	VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: I think it's a canal.
24	WILLIE DESMOND: I think so too.
25	VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: The Grand Canal.

1	WILLIE DESMOND: Yeah, Grand Canal Road.
2	Follows Grand Canal over until it hits 51.
3	And goes south on 51 until it hits Thomas Road
4	again.
5	Follows Thomas Road to 48th I think that's
6	48th Street.
7	Yeah.
8	South just a little bit to Oak Street.
9	And then Oak Street over and it kind of runs
10	along the border of Scottsdale and Tempe then. Again, until
11	it hits 60, which is Van Buren.
12	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair.
13	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera.
14	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: What concerns me about this
15	particular district is I think it incorporates the historic
16	areas, some historic areas, if I'm correct. And if that's
17	the case, if you're combining highly Hispanic areas with
18	historic areas and trying to combine them into a
19	majority-minority district, you'll run into the problem
20	where you have an area within that district that votes
21	often. And that will be the historic area.
22	And you will have the majority-minority area that
23	is not as reliable. They don't vote as often.
24	So you may have a situation where the minority
25	group in that area isn't, isn't able to elect a candidate of

1 their choice. 2. So I think you may run into that problem with that district. 3 4 I think looking at this district, WILLIE DESMOND: 5 and looking at -- I don't have the data table loaded up 6 here. If we switch to Commissioner Freeman's, maybe, the 7 base, I think it does have a fairly strong Democratic number 8 in District No. 28, and that is based off of election 9 results. 10 So in District 28, the Republican in index two is 11 34 percent, so it is a strong Democratic district, which 12 probably means that it would have a majority -- or ability 13 to elect there. 14 COMMISSIONER McNULTY: I don't think that's the 15 issue though. 16 I think the issue is in the primary. The issue is whether the -- I don't know this area, but I do know if it's 17 18 anything like my own neighborhood, you know, the very high 19 turnout White historic areas can easily dominate the lower 20 turnout minority areas, and then you have a situation where 21 in the primary they, you know, they don't get -- they're unable to elect their candidate. 2.2 23 I have a question about where this is in relation to the existing LD 14. 24 25 It looks a little like the existing LD 14 that DOJ

1	had issues with last time.
2	Maybe it's just the configuration.
3	WILLIE DESMOND: I can, I can put on the existing
4	layer.
5	MARY O'GRADY: And as background there, existing
6	14 obviously was precleared.
7	But early on in the preclearance process last
8	time, District 14 and 13 were two of the districts that DOJ
9	initially expressed concern about.
10	They had gone from at that point they
11	District 14 was 50 percent and 13 was 51 percent.
12	And they were created by dividing, by splitting
13	a the benchmark, old benchmark, that had been a
14	65 percent minority.
15	And so the Commission went back and bumped it up
16	to it was ultimately precleared.
17	And 14, which was a level of 58 percent in 14, and
18	55 percent in 13.
19	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair.
20	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera.
21	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: And let me make it clear that
22	I that it was great that Commissioner Freeman was able to
23	create a fourth majority-minority district. So I think
24	that's something we should strive to do if at all possible.
25	But what I don't want to do is dilute that

1	majority-minority district and not give them the ability to
2	elect someone of their choice by combining it with a
3	population that may overwhelm the majority-minority district
4	in terms of voting strength, and not and basically
5	preventing the majority-minority district from electing a
6	candidate of their choice in the primary and also in the
7	general election.
8	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: So, okay, so 14 is
9	WILLIE DESMOND: Is this district.
10	It follows goes south here, and then the long
11	skinny part.
12	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Okay. And those are the
13	White neighborhoods that were at issue in the when
14	Justice looked at that.
15	WILLIE DESMOND: When I turn on the census block,
16	you can see the racial makeup of the district.
17	MARY O'GRADY: And I'm not sure exactly what the
18	district looked like when DOJ had the concerns. We know
19	what it looks like when it was precleared.
20	We would have to go back and look at what it
21	looked like when they objected.
22	But there's been lots of changes obviously in that
23	area too.
24	WILLIE DESMOND: And this shading, again, is the
25	current population.

1	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: So what is 28 now?
2	WILLIE DESMOND: So if I turn off 28 looks like
3	it's a combination of 14 and 15.
4	If I turn that off, you can see 28 is this area.
5	If I turn it back on maybe it'll be helpful if
6	I turn the label off here.
7	So District 28. Districts 14 and 15.
8	Is that
9	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Yeah, that's what I was
10	looking for.
11	Because that's kind of the issue, all the and
12	then the middle of the district is not Hispanic at all, it
13	looks like.
14	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair.
15	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera.
16	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Is there any way you can
17	bring up the current map, legislative map?
18	I think that particular that fourth
19	competitive, fourth majority-minority district that
20	Mr. Freeman was able to create, is very similar to 14.
21	WILLIE DESMOND: Yeah, this is the
22	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: I think that's what he just
23	showed.
24	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: And they're not able to
25	elect I don't think that and I could be wrong but I

1	don't think they have been able to elect a candidate of
2	their choice.
3	Is that correct?
4	MARY O'GRADY: They have elected minority
5	candidates from there.
6	Not all minority candidates.
7	And we haven't checked to see whether the
8	non-minority candidates were the candidate of choice of the
9	minority community, but they have elected one Hispanic
LO	candidate consistently.
L1	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair.
L2	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera.
L3	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Ms. O'Grady, when you say
L4	one, when you say one Hispanic candidate, do you know in a
L5	span of how long?
L6	MARY O'GRADY: I didn't bring my list of that
L7	list with me today. But I think fairly consistently there
L8	has been one Hispanic candidate elected from 14.
L9	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Well, so it looks like we
20	have agreement on three of these, at least.
21	I'd be a little concerned about I'd like to
22	understand what else is going on in Phoenix and what we're
23	going to be able to accomplish, and see what configuration
24	of the fourth majority-minority district would complement
25	other objectives.

1	WILLIE DESMOND: And we, again I want to emphasize
2	too, there is the fourth majority-minority, but we also
3	think there should be a fifth with an opportunity to elect
4	based off of the analysis.
5	Whether that's a plurality or a coalition, there
6	needs to be another one on top of that.
7	And maybe a sixth, depending.
8	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: There were a couple
9	possibilities in the map that I had prepared.
10	One is the Tempe, west Mesa area, and the other
11	is let's see. I can't remember what the grid number is.
12	MARY O'GRADY: I believe it was 13 in far western
13	Maricopa County, was an area where in both maps
14	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Around El Mirage and
15	Surprise.
16	MARY O'GRADY: Thirteen and 29.
17	WILLIE DESMOND: Or 14?
18	Surprise is in 14.
19	Thirteen is parts of Glendale.
20	At least oh, yours goes up into El Mirage.
21	So, I'm going to turn off so 13 in your map is
22	parts of Avondale
23	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: It's in the west valley,
24	yeah.
25	WILLIE DESMOND: Yeah.

1	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: It was 32.47 percent
2	Hispanic.
3	WILLIE DESMOND: At this point I guess the
4	question is how, how do we proceed from here.
5	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair.
6	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera.
7	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: As I said before, we really
8	can't compromise on the Voting Rights Act. So what I would
9	like to do is adopt the Minority Coalition, those three
LO	excuse me, I wasn't using the microphone.
L1	The three majority-minority districts in Maricopa
L2	County that was proposed by the Hispanic Coalition, and
L3	start working on a fourth, possibly fifth majority-minority
L4	district.
L5	And what I'd like to do, create the fourth
L6	majority district using population west of 19th Avenue. I
L7	think there's a strong Latino population in that area, in a
L8	nice concentration, and also possibly a fifth using Tempe
L9	and east Mesa, as we did in the congressional map.
20	We can create a fourth and fifth majority-minority
21	district using those two areas of population.
22	WILLIE DESMOND: And, that's fine.
23	It might be the case though that we're going to
24	have to take from some of the Minority Coalition districts
25	in order to get that fourth and fifth, because they are

1	awfully high.
2	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair.
3	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera.
4	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: I would I personally would
5	be okay with that as long as we try to keep the minority
6	coalition up as close to what they want as possible.
7	And if we can do that, maybe lower the HVAP
8	slightly on the ones that we need to, but, again, not
9	straying too far from the Minority Coalition map.
10	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Would you all be comfortable
11	doing that?
12	I know your lines match that, Ms. McNulty, and
13	essentially Mr. Freeman's, because we're very close to
14	those.
15	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Madam Chair, in order to
16	adjust 29 to be to work as an effective majority-minority
17	district, it would be necessary to take some population from
18	the other three. And I had worked on some ideas about how
19	to do that, but hadn't finished it, but I do think that
20	that's possible.
21	So they would also, they would all be
22	self-contained. The changes would be self-contained.
23	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Freeman.
24	VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: I think we demonstrated that
25	four can be created in this area of the Valley.

1	I mean, it may need some tweaking and fine tuning
2	to make sure that they would pass muster.
3	I think on, speaking of option one, on
4	District 19, the HVAP is which is, which is essentially
5	the district proposed by the Hispanic Coalition, the HVAP is
6	pretty high. I think it's 66 percent. And perhaps the
7	population can be borrowed from that and put into
8	District 13 on the map, which could form the basis of a
9	coalition district.
10	That district includes sort of western Maricopa
11	County, includes Buckeye and Goodyear and parts of Avondale.
12	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair.
13	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera.
14	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Again, I would be in favor of
15	the district that Mr. Freeman had created if it did not
16	dilute Latino voting strength by creating those historic
17	areas.
18	Again, if it did not do that, I would be in fair
19	favor of that particular again, when you add the that
20	White population of the historic areas, it does dilute the
21	voting strength, so and we need to be aware of that.
22	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Do we know that for a fact
23	that it's diluting the voting strength in that district?
24	MARY O'GRADY: No.
25	Not sitting here.

1	And I guess when I look at it, it seems that one
2	of our other sort of plurality opportunity to elect,
3	although they never elected all, they only elected one
4	Latino candidate, although they elected in multiple
5	elections, the opportunity to elect district was probably 15
6	in that eastern Phoenix area, where I think
7	Commissioner Freeman was attempting, in terms of rough
8	approximation, was attempting to build a minority district.
9	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: So I guess the other
10	MARY O'GRADY: In addition to the Anglo areas that
11	may be of concern to Commissioner Herrera, the other issue
12	is what happening to these Hispanic voters who were in old
13	25, and if they're not in a minority district where are
14	they.
15	When I look at the are they in a minority
16	district where their vote is where they do have an
17	opportunity to elect, or are they being taken out of a
18	district where they have an opportunity to elect.
19	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Yeah, well, since we're just
20	creating and trying things out, why don't we try what
21	Mr. Freeman suggested, which essentially follows the
22	Minority Coalition's lines, with an additional fourth
23	district added on.
24	And we'll see, you know, how we can possibly
25	create others, and, and also how this works.

1 We're -- we don't have perfect information, as we 2. know. Until more analysis gets done on how these are 3 4 drawn, we don't -- we're kind of going in the dark. 5 So we just have to try things and see how they fit 6 together. 7 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair. 8 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera. 9 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: I would be in agreement with 10 that, but I still want to, you know, state my grievance 11 orally for the issues that I have with that particular 12 district. 13 And I think I would also compare that particular 14 district, which I think is 28 in Mr. Freeman's map, to 15 the -- when the Navajo, Hopi were combined with the city of 16 Flagstaff, we had sort of the same dynamic. overwhelming population, by Native American, but you had a, 17 18 the city of Flagstaff that has a strong voting base, so they 19 would dilute the Native American population. 20 And I see the same dynamic possibly happening in 21 28. 22 So, again, I want to raise my -- some of the 23 concerns, but I would agree with you, again, that this is 24 something that we're working on. I would agree to put it in 25 with the map that Mr. Desmond is creating for us.

1 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Ms. McNulty. 2. COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Madam Chair, just the thought that in terms of another coalition district, it 3 4 might -- there might be an opportunity in rural Pinal County also, so I don't think any of us have the energy to do that 5 6 tonight, but when we move on from the four majority-minority 7 districts in Phoenix and think about coalition districts, 8 that might be another place that we want to at least 9 consider. 10 WILLIE DESMOND: We have looked at that. 11 Mr. Freeman is close to a coalition district with 12 his 11, I guess, which is the -- I think it's 51 percent 13 White. 14 So it's close. 15 The one thing we do want to make there is we did 16 the prison report on that area. It was 18,000 people were in prison. So almost a tenth of the district is in prison. 17 So that while there is a large minority population, it's 18 19 likely a sizable chunk of that is incarcerated. 20 COMMISSIONER McNULTY: That doesn't work. 21 WILLIE DESMOND: That's something to keep in mind, 22 whenever we're looking at the Pinal County districts. 23 Especially if we wanted to make one of them a 24 majority-minority, we have to be very careful about the 25 prison population.

1	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair.
2	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera.
3	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Yeah, I just want to thank
4	Mr. Desmond for keeping that in mind. I know that's an
5	issue that I think most of the commissioners are aware and
6	also the public I think is concerned about that, so I
7	appreciate you raising that issue.
8	WILLIE DESMOND: And that's something that Joe and
9	Mary initially flagged.
LO	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Well, thank you. Let me
L1	thank the our legal counsel as well.
L2	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. So did you you'll
L3	be adopting those three from well, actually four from
L4	Mr. Freeman, because the three are essentially the same as
L5	the submission from the Minority Coalition.
L6	WILLIE DESMOND: And I don't know how you how
L7	everybody wants to I don't know how you guys want to
L8	proceed right now. I think it would be doable if I were to
L9	have a little time, to like draw up a map that would have
20	those seven districts that we've kind of agreed to at least
21	use as a merging point, ready to go right now.
22	You know, in maybe 20 minutes, half an hour.
23	And then you guys could we could either
24	continue working tonight to try to find a fifth one in
25	Maricopa or I could hopefully send you on your way with

132

```
1
     that, or I could probably e-mail that to you guys later this
 2.
     evening.
 3
               But however, however you want to proceed.
 4
               Mary has something to say also.
 5
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA:
                                   Madam Chair.
 6
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                   Mr. Herrera.
 7
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: I would like to do that.
                                                               Τf
 8
    Mr. Desmond needs about 30 minutes -- you think 30 minutes
 9
    would be enough?
10
               WILLIE DESMOND:
                                I think so.
11
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Take a quick break -- I'm
12
     actually hungry. And I don't want to eat the paper in front
13
     of me. So we could take a 30-minute break and come back and
14
    have the map that Mr. Desmond is presenting to us. I would
15
    be in favor of that.
16
               COMMISSIONER McNULTY: I'm tired. I'd like to
17
     take a 12-hour break.
18
               VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: I would like to eat too, in
19
    my house.
20
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. Well, it's
21
     7:00 o'clock, so. . .
2.2
               COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Let's make that a 14 and a
23
    half hour break, to correct myself.
24
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair, just one quick
25
     thing.
```

1	I think and this is just my from my side. I
2	missed football practice because of this, and I want to make
3	sure that we are able to get as much done as possible
4	tonight.
5	And I understand that we're all tired and hungry
6	and we prefer to go home, but if we can get as much done
7	tonight and not have to meet Saturday and/or Sunday, that to
8	me that would be I think that would be ideal as
9	opposed to going home and with a possibility of having to
10	meet again further tomorrow and Friday and then Saturday and
11	Sunday.
12	But that's again, I understand we're all tired
13	and hungry, but I would be willing to do that.
14	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. Other thoughts on
15	that?
16	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Request to sleep.
17	Yeah, I don't think you know, what I can do
18	tonight, what I have left tonight is, is not enough to
19	assure you that we wouldn't have to meet Saturday or Sunday,
20	I don't think.
21	I'm beat.
22	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. Do we want to go I
23	definitely I don't think having Mr. Desmond work for a
24	half hour and us coming back is an option.
25	So it's either we do a little bit more now and

1	then adjourn for the evening, or we adjourn now. But I
2	don't want to do a break and come back.
3	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Do we have public comment?
4	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Yes, we have three.
5	Four, sorry. Four.
6	If they're here.
7	WILLIE DESMOND: I think there's an executive
8	director's report too.
9	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Yeah, is Ray here?
10	Oh, okay.
11	Mr. Freeman.
12	VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: I would just suggest maybe we
13	do the executive director report and take some public
14	comment and adjourn for the evening.
15	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Bladine.
16	RAY BLADINE: I just have a couple things I wanted
17	to bring to your attention.
18	We have made arrangements for backup locations for
19	Saturday, Sunday, Monday.
20	Saturday, Sunday would be at the Sheraton Phoenix
21	Airport Hotel, which is actually in Tempe, just down the
22	street.
23	And we had that as a tentative, and I guess I'm
24	asking a start time at 9:30 on Saturday, perhaps
25	1:00 o'clock on Sunday.

1 And then Monday we would be in Tucson. 2 also have Four Points Sheraton University Park, 1900 East 3 Speedway, located for Tucson on Monday. 4 So if you're all -- unless there's something 5 different, we'll go ahead and tie those dates down. 6 And if you don't need them, we'll cancel them, but 7 at least we'll have them posted for action. I quess for 8 Saturday we have to post tomorrow before 9:30. 9 So I'll get a generic posting for discussion and 10 mapping. 11 The second thing is the second round of public 12 hearings, and I hate to bring these up because they make me 13 tired looking at them, so I've got to think they make you 14 tired too. Kristina I think late today sent you out a 15 revised second round. It's pretty much what she sent you I 16 think the day before yesterday, but we got more specific 17 facilities tied down. 18 The main thing that we wanted to ask you to do, if 19 you can, is to please let us know what meetings you can 20 cover so we make sure that we don't set meetings that we 21 won't have a commissioner able to attend. 2.2 And I think those are really the -- unless 23 Kristina wants to add something, I think that's really it. 24 She made good progress today with the staff to tie 25 down locations.

1	I think she maybe has, looking at her list, five
2	or six that aren't confirmed, so we're doing pretty well on
3	that.
4	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Good.
5	RAY BLADINE: Any questions?
6	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera.
7	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: I don't have any questions
8	other than thanking Ms. Gomez for all the work she's done on
9	securing those locations and getting as many of the second
10	round public hearings scheduled. So, I know it's a lot of
11	work, so thank you.
12	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: And on those future meeting
13	dates, they sound the times and places all sound good. I
14	think we need to schedule for Saturday, Sunday, Monday.
15	RAY BLADINE: We'll do.
16	Thank you very much.
17	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Any other questions or
18	comments from anyone? Okay.
19	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair.
20	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera.
21	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: I do have a question for
22	Mr. Desmond.
23	The those the three actually the four
24	majority-minority districts in Maricopa County that we're
25	basing it on Mr. Freeman's map, what I want to know is it

137

1	possible to use the Minority Coalition's exact maps and
2	still use that Legislative District 28 that Mr. Freeman
3	created?
4	So the exact same map that the Coalition provided
5	us with Legislative 28 that Mr. Freeman created. Is that,
6	is that a possibility?
7	WILLIE DESMOND: I don't, I don't think to use the
8	exact.
9	We were just discussing discussing one thing
10	that we so I believe it is District 28 on this map, 29.
11	Twenty-nine on Commissioner Freeman's map is
12	slightly different than the majority or the Minority
13	Coalition's.
14	And it has the other ones are also slightly
15	different, but more closely follow their numbers.
16	District 29 might need to be bolstered a little
17	bit, in Commissioner Freeman's, in order to get it a little
18	closer to the benchmark.
19	But I think part of that, that the conflict
20	between the reason that his is a little lower is because
21	part of it does go to 28 to make that another
22	majority-minority.
23	So there is a chance that we're going to have to
24	reshuffle these a little bit, but I don't think it's
25	possible to take the three majority the three Minority

1	Coalition districts and also have 28 be a minority or a
2	majority-minority district.
3	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair.
4	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera.
5	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: I would prefer to do that, to
6	be as to get a those three majority-minority districts
7	as close to the Minority Coalition's map as possible, and
8	then create using that in creating Commissioner Freeman's
9	Legislative 28 majority-minority district. I think that
10	would make more sense.
11	As I said, I want to honor the request. And,
12	again, there's really not much compromise on these
13	majority-minority districts.
14	I would like to see if it's a possibility.
15	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Freeman, would you be
16	open to that?
17	VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: No.
18	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. Then we'll go with
19	Mr. Freeman's as they're drawn for now and we'll see how
20	that works in the map.
21	WILLIE DESMOND: Okay.
22	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: So is there anything else on
23	the legislative that we need to talk about for Mr. Desmond?
24	Do you guys have any comments for us that you
25	think we need to be thinking about or considering.

1	WILLIE DESMOND: I guess my question would be,
2	assuming I have this map done are you likely to load this
3	map and start working with it tonight, or is it something
4	that needs to be done for tomorrow morning? Just that's
5	a selfish question. Because there's a baseball game that I
6	want
7	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: That's what I thought.
8	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair.
9	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera.
10	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: If we're leaving because
11	we're tired, then I suspect that we probably don't want to
12	look at maps tonight.
13	WILLIE DESMOND: Well, I have no problem looking
14	at maps tonight. I'm just wondering if this is something
15	that you guys need in the next hour or if it's something
16	that I can get you later tonight.
17	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: I don't need it in the next
18	hour.
19	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: I don't need it in the next
20	hour.
21	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: You don't?
22	Okay.
23	So we don't need it in the next hour.
24	WILLIE DESMOND: Okay. Well, then I'll have it,
25	I'll have it for tomorrow morning. We'll have a map that

1	will have the original grid lines, except for seven
2	districts that are majority-minority districts.
3	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Uh-hmm.
4	WILLIE DESMOND: And so there will be parts that
5	are unassigned and parts that are underpopulated. We can
6	work on adjusting those, the rest of that, tomorrow, and,
7	again, finding a fifth district in Maricopa that's either a
8	plurality coalition or a strong ability to elect.
9	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Sounds good.
10	WILLIE DESMOND: Probably the first priority.
11	And then from there, you can go over all the other
12	lines.
13	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay.
14	Ms. McNulty.
15	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Do you have tickets to the
16	baseball game?
17	WILLIE DESMOND: I have a ticket, yes.
18	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: We need to let Mr. Desmond
19	go.
20	WILLIE DESMOND: It's all right, it's all right.
21	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: No, it's not.
22	WILLIE DESMOND: It shouldn't be a consideration.
23	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: It's not all right. You
24	need to go.
25	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Anything from legal counsel

1	on that?
2	MARY O'GRADY: No.
3	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay.
4	Okay. Then, let's check the agenda
5	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Madam Chair, do we need
6	Mr. Desmond here for public comments?
7	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: I don't think so.
8	Although you know, I don't think anybody is
9	wanting to present a map.
10	Let me just
11	WILLIE DESMOND: All right. I'll just check.
12	Buck, are they are we allowed to leave our
13	stuff here for the night? Are we in the same room?
14	BUCK FORST: Yes.
15	WILLIE DESMOND: Okay. Have a good night. Thank
16	you.
17	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: So our next is there
18	anything on the attorney general inquiry?
19	(No oral response.)
20	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. So agenda item six we
21	have covered.
22	And that leaves us with just a few more public
23	comment, and then we'll adjourn.
24	So my first one is Richard Tracy from Mesa.
25	(No oral response.)

1	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: We think he left.
2	Shirley Dye, representing self, from northern
3	Arizona.
4	SHIRLEY DYE: Commissioners, Shirley Dye, D-Y-E.
5	From Payson.
6	And I am in obvious support of version option
7	number one of version 8A.
8	The reason being twofold.
9	Let me start out discussing option number two.
LO	Option number two splits Gila County into
L1	District 6, District 12, and District 7.
L2	And that's too reminiscent of the three
L3	supervisorial districts we have just completed where we
L4	were have been working very, very hard to tie the
L5	northern part of the county and the southern part of the
L6	county together as a unit. Over the years there's been some
L7	hurt feelings, and we finally worked through all that.
L8	And to see our county and Legislative District 5,
L9	as we have known it, split into three and even four
20	different districts, is really a horrifying thought.
21	We've built relationships.
22	The rural Arizona of the District 5 of option
23	number one. It does I know you were supposed to start
24	from scratch. However, this does the Graham, the
25	Greenlee, the Navajos, the Apaches, and Gila County, is

1 very, very well balanced with your ethnic minorities. 2. We have Hispanics. We have Native Americans. have Whites. We have some others. We even have some 3 4 Koreans and Chinese, especially up in the Gila County area 5 and in the White Mountains areas. 6 And we have very, very similar communities of 7 interest, very, very similar economic issues, tourist 8 issues, and all that. 9 As far as the rest of the map goes, going back to 10 option number two, it looks very, very gerrymandered to me. 11 I do agree, and I'm just really sorry we haven't 12 gotten some more of our San Carlos Apache to these meetings, 13 but because the Navajo says the White Mountain -- the 14 San Carlo Apaches want to be with them doesn't necessarily 15 make it so. 16 And just because Mr. Titla has come here, and to the Pinetop meeting, and said it is so does not make it 17 18 overall so. 19 So keep that in mind. 20 In working with the -- we just did our 21 redistricting. We've been working a lot with the San Carlos 2.2 Apache, and the Tonto Apache, which is right up by Payson, 23 in working with our redistricting, so we have been talking 24 to them. 25 The fact that -- I've been networking. I went to

1 the Flagstaff public meeting before, I went to the Pinetop 2. public meeting, and I went to the Prescott public meeting. I went to all three of them, and I listened really 3 4 well. And I have lots of people out there that I do network with, and I have heard and you had -- Friday you had a gal 5 6 down here, Joy, who was talking about that the majority of 7 Flagstaff people don't necessarily agree with what your 8 Flagstaff Forty people have been telling you. 9 And there are a lot of other people that do want 10 Flagstaff to be separated out from the Navajo Nation that 11 they have been with over the last ten years. 12 So, I agree that option number one, placing 13 Coconino and Yavapai in the same district, makes a very, 14 very balanced district. Politically, if you are looking at 15 competitiveness, more so than the item -- the option number 16 two, which really runs -- number six kind of gerrymandered 17 through there. 18

And so, so those are the issues that I am obviously most concerned with.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

On the other hand, the Voting Right Act -- Rights

Act does not guarantee that you can elect a more -
majority -- minority candidate. It just says you have the

right -- your right to elect cannot be diluted.

And so diluting memorial -- diluting minority rights in different areas I can see. But taking on and

trying to create new districts when there's not necessarily -- they don't already necessarily exist, or they're way spread out and you're trying to capture these people to make them a minority district, that to me doesn't seem altogether right.

So -- let's see, what else was I going to say.

I would like to see what would happen if you took the Yuma sector, the blue and the green there, and maybe had two vertical districts.

I know maybe geographically that wouldn't work.

But I would like to see the line up so that you can have more border representation with legislative districts.

So if you could try to do 14 and 4 vertically instead of horizontally, so that there is -- and see how you could -- I wish, I wish, I wish your gentleman had not, um, left, because I very much would have liked to see from option number two, you know, where you take their area here and keep this county area together, and this here area here and just see how you could do that so you would have more representation on border issues.

I also think this area of Cochise down here where you picked up Bisbee and Douglas, I think that's gerrymandering.

And trying to take away from the Cochise County as

1	a whole county, I really like the whole county counties
2	concept, and I think that that's why I prefer option one way
3	better than option two.
4	But if you're looking at the southwest corner of
5	the state there, I'd like to see the two vertical districts
6	instead of horizontal. See how that could look.
7	I think that's it.
8	I'll let you know tomorrow if I have something
9	different to say.
LO	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you.
L1	Our next speaker is Kelly Townsend, Greater
L2	Phoenix Tea Party, from Gilbert.
L3	KELLY TOWNSEND: You need me to spell my name?
L4	T-O-W-N-S-E-N-D. Kelly Townsend.
L5	And I am here to talk some more about Gilbert
L6	tonight. First I wanted to thank you guys. It looks
L7	like it's Congressional District 5 that's in this proposed
L8	map.
L9	Thank you very much for keeping Gilbert intact.
20	And with that, it looks like it went up Mesa Drive, like we
21	had talked about, so that's fantastic. Thank you.
22	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair, just to clarify.
23	Are you referring to which map? The
24	KELLY TOWNSEND: Well, unless I missed something,
25	because we've been kind of dealing with another issue but

1	the Commission approved congressional draft map.
2	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Thank you.
3	KELLY TOWNSEND: Okay. All right.
4	And then now concerning legislative districts,
5	right now apparently Legislative District 22, which has been
6	primarily all of Gilbert, Gold Canyon, some of
7	Apache Junction I don't think yeah, a portion of Mesa.
8	But as you guys know, Gilbert was one of the
9	fastest growing towns in the country, if not I think it
10	was the fastest growing town. And so now I think it's
11	actually the population is too large to be contained into
12	one legislative district, which my understanding is 213,000.
13	And so the town residents number approximately
14	208,000, which leaves a 5,000 difference, and I know we have
15	to have at least that in our county islands.
16	So we understand that Gilbert's going to have to
17	be split.
18	The question is where.
19	And just looking at a couple maps, one I can't
20	tell the streets, but it looks like Gilbert's split directly
21	in two, north and south.
22	Commissioner Freeman's map, however, keeps, keeps
23	Gilbert as a community of interest, but then it was brought
24	up that it's possible that there's three school districts in
25	there They split three school districts

1 So I just wanted to make some recommendations, if 2 you look at that. I know you're not to that area yet. But when you get to that area, to give you a 3 4 little geographic information about that, the 202 was 5 recently completed down there, and Power Ranch is south of 6 the 202, south of Germann if I'm correct. 7 So if you're going to look at the south border of 8 what this district would look like, Germann Road would be 9 one of the options. Geographically the 202 would be an 10 obvious, but we've had a lot of residents say we want it to 11 go down at least to Germann, which isn't much more south 12 than the 202. 13 And the other thing is where is, is the Higley 14 School District. And I can get that information to you guys 15 from the next meeting. But what was brought up was there's Gilbert Unified School District and then there's Higley 16 School District, which I do believe Higley is also south of 17 18 the 202 and from Higley east and south of the 202. 19 So I'll find that out and get that to you as well. 20 And then the natural boundaries are Power Road to 21 the east is dividing Mesa and Gilbert. 2.2 Baseline to the north, over to Gilbert Road, going 23 down on the west side. 24 And Gilbert Road kind of does its own little 25 gerrymandering for the town anyway.

1	So, but most what we need to look at the most
2	is that southern boundary, what would make the most sense.
3	And I am also I'm working really hard to get
4	the county islands. Do you guys have that with the county
5	islands population is?
6	You have that already?
7	Okay. So you don't need to have that.
8	So I'm sure that your mapping guy can figure that
9	out, but we would just what the residents are asking for
10	is somewhere Germann and south to be in another.
11	And the other point I wanted to make too about
12	that, is that community, the Power Ranch community, just
13	naturally goes into Queen Creek. They're very agricultural.
14	It's very hard to tell the difference between the two.
15	So, I think that's it for now.
16	But I just wanted to thank you again, and then to
17	try and ask you again to keep Gilbert as a community of
18	interest together in one legislative district.
19	Thank you.
20	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you.
21	Our next speaker is Linda Milhaven, vice mayor,
22	city of Scottsdale.
23	(No oral response.)
24	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: She left. Okay.
25	So that's the end of public comment.

1	Out of request to speak forms.
2	Thank you all for your, your for coming out
3	tonight and for your comment.
4	That leaves one item left on the agenda, and
5	that's adjournment. So I'll declare this item this
6	meeting adjourned.
7	The time is 7:20 p.m.
8	Thank you.
9	(Whereupon, the meeting adjourned.)
10	
11	
12	* * * *
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
1
     STATE OF ARIZONA
                            )
                                   ss.
 2
     COUNTY OF MARICOPA
 3
 4
               BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceeding was
 5
     taken before me, Marty Herder, a Certified Court Reporter,
 6
     CCR No. 50162, State of Arizona; that the foregoing
 7
     150 pages constitute a true and accurate transcript of all
 8
    proceedings had upon the taking of said meeting, all done to
 9
     the best of my skill and ability.
10
               DATED at Chandler, Arizona, this 11th day of
11
     October, 2011.
12
13
14
                                       C. Martin Herder, CCR
                                       Certified Court Reporter
15
                                       Certificate No. 50162
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```