ARIZONA INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

Tuesday, December 20, 2011 1:09 p.m.

Location

Fiesta Resort - Fiesta I Ballroom 2100 South Priest Drive Tempe, Arizona 85282

Attending

Colleen C. Mathis, Chair Jose M. Herrera, Vice Chair Scott Day Freeman, Vice Chair Linda C. McNulty, Commissioner Richard P. Stertz, Commissioner

Ray Bladine, Executive Director
Buck Forst, Information Technology Specialist
Kristina Gomez, Deputy Executive Director
Stu Robinson, Public Information Officer

Mary O'Grady, Legal Counsel

Reported By:
Marty Herder, CCR
Certified Court Reporter #50162

1	Tempe, Arizona
2	December 20, 2011
	1:09 p.m.
3	
4	
5	PROCEEDINGS
6	
7	(Whereupon, the public session commences.)
8	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Good afternoon.
9	This meeting of the Arizona Independent
10	Redistricting Commission will now come to order.
11	Today is Tuesday, December 20th, and the time is
12	1:09 p.m.
13	Let's begin with the Pledge of Allegiance.
14	(Whereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance was recited.)
15	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. We'll start with roll
16	call.
17	Vice-Chair Freeman.
18	VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: Here.
19	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Vice-Chair Herrera.
20	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Here.
21	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Commissioner McNulty.
22	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Here.
23	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Commissioner Stertz.
24	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Here.
25	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: We have a quorum.

1 Other folks at the table include our legal counsel 2 Mary O'Grady, our mapping consultant Willie Desmond. Staff around the room include our executive 3 4 director Ray Bladine, our deputy executive director Kristina 5 Gomez, our public information officer Stu Robinson, our 6 chief technology officer Buck Forst. 7 And our court reporter Marty Herder, who's taking 8 an accurate transcript of today's proceedings. 9 So with that, we can get right on into the agenda. 10 Which over the past few weeks now we've been kind 11 of discussing adjustments to these both legislative and 12 congressional draft maps. And since we didn't get to the 13 congressional yesterday, I thought it would be good to start 14 there, if that's okay with everybody else and our mapping 15 consultant. 16 I'm not sure that he had anything he was supposed 17 to do for us for today on that. We just need to pick up 18 from where we were on Friday. 19 WILLIE DESMOND: There are no congressional change 20 reports for you to look at today. 21 And the congressional map remains relatively close 22 to the draft map. There's been some small charges in 23 Districts 3 and 7 to improve their voting rights performance 24 a little bit.

I'm happy to proceed however you like.

25

1 We could go back and look at the proposed changes 2. that Commissioner Stertz and Commissioner McNulty have 3 proposed. I believe those are the two main changes still 4 out there. 5 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: And then there was my combo 6 map. 7 And your combo. WILLIE DESMOND: 8 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: And that's something we 9 didn't really talk about with Mr. Stertz present. And so it 10 would be good, I think, to do that, and then also have 11 him --12 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair. 13 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Yes, Mr. Herrera. 14 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Yeah, thank you, I'd like to see if we can address the -- I guess it's called the Mathis 15 16 combo map. I have had a chance to review it and I would 17 like to give my thoughts. 18 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okav. Great. 19 And essentially just to bring everybody up to the 20 same page, what this was, this combo map, was taking a few 21 of the districts from McNulty's proposed changes to the 2.2 draft map, keeping the same lines and boundaries for the 23 two voting rights districts, three and seven, and then 24 taking the map -- essentially the Maricopa County area that 25 Mr. Stertz had proposed for Districts 5, 6, 8, and 4 too, I

1 think. 2. Yeah. And I know, Mr. Stertz, you were on the phone for 3 part of that meeting Friday, and I'm not sure how much you 4 5 heard or saw of this particular map, so. . . 6 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair, if you can just 7 give me a moment, I'm going to be loading the legislation --8 legislative map. I thought we were going to go there first, 9 so it will take me a second to pull up the congressional. 10 Maybe you could go through the overview of the, of 11 the, of the changes. 12 Most specifically the thing that we really want to focus on is the, is the substantive difference that I saw 13 14 was the exclusion of the Oro Valley, Marana, Saddlebrooke, 15 and the Pinal County connection in lieu, in lieu of the 16 connection of Cochise County into the greater Tucson metro 17 area on the southern side. 18 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Yes, and --19 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: In the northern map, what 20 southern -- what salient changes were made there that maybe 21 you could point me too so that we could expeditiously go 2.2 through it. 23 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Sure. 24 So just to recap. 25 Districts 1 and 2 came from the McNulty proposed

```
changes map. And I believe her efforts were centered around
 1
 2
     trying to address the number one piece of public comment, at
     least in my opinion, that we received from the public
 3
 4
     comment during that 30-day period, and that was that CD 1
 5
     was just way too big for one person to represent.
 6
               It's still a big district, as we know. It's a big
 7
     district currently.
 8
               But at least in this version we do cut off the
 9
     bottom of the state so it doesn't go all the way to the
10
    border.
11
               So Cochise County is kept whole.
               And so that section is at least removed.
12
13
               We did sacrifice the third border district by
14
     doing that, which is something that a few of the
15
     commissioners at least, I know, were interested in,
16
     including me.
               But there just wasn't a good way to do it
17
18
     unfortunately that worked with the rest of the map.
19
               So, as I mentioned, two, three, and seven are
20
     identical. They're the same voting rights district maps.
21
               And then four, five, six, eight, nine are from
22
     what Mr. Stertz proposed last week.
23
               And we really didn't talk about the area, you
24
    know, the intricacies of these particular districts on
25
     Friday. Friday was really just an opportunity to see if
```

1 this could even be done and put these two concepts onto the 2. same map. So I offer the floor to anybody else who wants to 3 4 talk about anything they --5 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair. 6 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera. 7 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: A couple of things I like 8 about the combo map, the Mathis combo map, first of all, the 9 majority-minority districts weren't touched. They were left 10 as is. 11 Again, respecting that we are -- have sent this 12 information for both three and seven for analysis. 13 that that wasn't touched. 14 But also that this map that you put together 15 incorporates both Commissioner McNulty and Stertz's maps, 16 their individual maps. 17 So in particular I think there was, excuse me, 18 District 6, 8, and 4 from Commissioner Stertz's map, and I 19 think one and two from Commissioner McNulty's map. 20 I like a couple things that I think they were able 21 to figure out. 2.2 In Commissioner Stertz's version, he was able to 23 remove Fountain Hills from four, add it to six, while not 24 moving Anthem and New River to District 4. 25 So basically keeping Anthem and New River in

1 District 8. 2. Commissioner Stertz was also able to make six and 3 eight more compact. 4 There's a couple of things that I like to see in this combo map, based on Commissioner Stertz's 5 6 recommendations. And what I like to see is the Pinal County 7 area of -- there's a small portion in CD 5 that has Pinal County. And I'd like to see if we can take it out. 8 9 I think there's -- it is not that much, but 10 it's -- again, kind of remove some of the splits of Pinal 11 County. I'd like taking it out of five. 12 And also, let's see, taking Mesa out of CD 6, so 13 it would be Mesa would be have one less split, keeping it 14 into only in CD 5 and 9. And then also part of Peoria is in District 4. 15 16 And I think that small piece that is in District 4, that 17 Peoria piece, should be in eight. 18 So those are the changes that I would recommend in 19 the area as that we've -- that you've adopted from 20 Commissioner Stertz's map. Again, the six, eight, and four 21 which I do like. 2.2 And there's a couple of things I do like also 23 about the changes that Commissioner McNulty has proposed. 24 These are one and two. 25 You're looking at -- in I think both of the maps

```
1
     that they -- both Commissioner Stertz and McNulty, both of
 2.
     them keep Cochise County whole.
               But what I like about Commissioner McNulty's is
 3
 4
     she keeps Cochise County whole in CD 2.
 5
               Now, with this change that she proposed, it keeps
 6
     CD 1 and CD 2 competitive and remains their competitiveness
 7
     about 50/50 in performance.
 8
               So that there's hardly any change to the
 9
     competitiveness level using index two in
10
     Commissioner McNulty.
11
               Also what it does it keeps Marana and Oro Valley
12
     together, keeps them together in CD 1, and always gives
13
     southern Arizona three congressional districts. So a
14
     stronger voice in the -- in congress because of those
15
     three districts that are touching southern Arizona.
16
               Also she adds the Village of Oak Creek with CD 1,
17
     put together with Sedona. Again, this is based on public
18
     testimony.
19
               So those are kind of the things that I like about
20
     these -- the map, this combo map.
21
               I'm excited to hear what other people have to say.
2.2
               Thank you.
23
                                    Do other commissioners?
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
24
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair.
25
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                    Mr. Stertz.
```

COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Yesterday we received and -we received a letter from the town of Oro Valley from the
Oro Valley Town Council.

2.2

I just wanted to read a couple of excerpts out of it. The entire document is put into the record, but it says: On behalf of Oro Valley Town Council, I wish to express our concern with the draft congressional district map adopted by the Arizona Independent Redistricting Commission.

Specifically we're concerned about that our -that our urban incorporated community of more than
41,000 people would not receive adequate congressional
representation if included in the proposed rural -- rural,
excuse me -- proposed rural District 1.

Clearly the Tucson metropolitan area comprises a significant community of interest.

They go on to say that they're -- the community as they see it is a community of interest that ties together with their residents with the nearly one million residents of, of the greater Tucson area, in regards to orientation, economics, politics, and culture, all as being more substantively connected to the greater Tucson urban area.

It goes on to conclude by saying that we fully understand that the difficulty of the task that you -- that we as a Commission have undertaken and that we as the, as

the council and the mayor of Oro Valley also understand that respecting communities of interest is but one of the many important considerations that we must make in developing our revised congressional districts for the state.

That is one piece of, of a large amount of testimony.

2.2

And I would, I would -- in doing, in doing our online searches for size of the CD 1 versus keeping Marana, Oro Valley, and Saddlebrooke with the city of Tucson, keeping those areas whole, they were, they were fighting neck and neck in how much information that we were receiving from the public.

You live in the northwest part of Tucson. These are, these are connected communities to Tucson. They are certainly less connected to and less a part of CD 1.

Is there a way that you can see, Madam Chair, in the way that you've modified this and included the -- sort of a marrying of these maps a way to be able to, to meet both of our -- both the objectives of keeping Cochise County whole, as Commissioner McNulty in both -- she and I have both agreed that it makes most sense.

We've heard a lot of testimony from Cochise County regarding keeping it whole.

We also know that Cochise County is, other than the Sierra -- the urban Sierra Vista area and Fort Huachuca,

1 is essentially a rural county. 2. In my -- the iteration of the map that I provided a week ago Monday, I actually went into 3 4 Congressional District 3 to try to pick up population by 5 virtue of this knowing that we were going to try to keep 6 both three and seven outside of our redesign, sort of 7 parameters in this next go around, because both of those are 8 majority-minority districts. 9 I chose to include the area of Saddlebrooke, 10 Marana, Oro Valley, northwest Tucson, going up into 11 Pinal County as that -- those are truly communities that are 12 tied together with the urban Tucson area, and certainly 13 are -- is a population that has been -- in my opinion will 14 be disenfranchised by putting them as part of the rural 15 Congressional District 1. 16 In looking at this, the only way to achieve that goal would be to have modifications to Congressional 17 18 District 3. 19 And that would be to do some -- or to move 20 Cochise County into Congressional District 1. 21 Those are the only two, those are the only two 22 options that are available to us. 23 And I'm looking at a large, a large group of 24 people that gave a large volume of testimony. And I'm 25 trying to -- again, this goes back to my conversation

yesterday. I -- we've heard from these folks over and over and over again about why they want to be connected, not only together, but to urban Tucson.

2.

2.2

And it appears as though we are pulling them out for, for a particular reason. I cannot get my arms around what that reason is.

So if we can -- that's, that's where I am.

I'm still very comfortable with the, with the

Cochise County is -- for the majority of Cochise County is a

rural district -- is a rural county. And it fits with the

rural district.

It is clear that Marana, Saddlebrooke, and
Oro Valley, they've all given us resolutions from your
councils that say that they want to stay with urban Tucson.
They've given us a tremendous amount of testimony. They're
connected to us on a variety of different ways.

The only way to be able to solve that problem is to put Cochise County in CD 1, which does make it a little bit larger land mass. But according to your own analysis, the land mass itself would now be about the same as the current district that that resides.

Currently under the draft map, it's slightly smaller than the current draft map. But by adding all of Cochise County, it would become about the same as the size of the district that it currently is now.

1 So, my feeling is that Cochise County is a, is a 2 predominantly rural district, can move into CD 1. Saddlebrooke, Oro Valley, Marana are all urban 3 4 communities and are truly connected to urban Tucson area, 5 and should be connected into, into the urban Tucson 6 district. 7 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you. 8 Comments from other commissioners? 9 VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: Madam Chair. 10 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Freeman. 11 VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: The concerns 12 Commissioner Stertz outlined are one of, I quess, 13 three principal concerns I have on the congressional map. 14 The -- when I hear that Tucson will have another 15 voice in congress through this map, what -- the concern that 16 comes to mind is how would that resonate with rural eastern Arizona and even with Flagstaff, knowing that Tucson now has 17 a voice. 18 19 I don't think -- I think there's a strong desire 20 that the rural communities remain rural, and this is, this 21 is breaking with that. 2.2 There was a huge turnout from this area of the 23 Really I think it was the first group that came, 24 came before the Commission to raise their concerns sort of 25 en masse at our first hearing down in Oro Valley back in

1	June.
2	You know, commissioners have invoked the volume of
3	testimony and that the people have spoken loudly and
4	clearly.
5	And I've, you know, done some surveying of not
6	even the complete written record of what the Commission has.
7	And it's, it's easily scores and scores of comments, I
8	believe, even hundreds of comments, with very few
9	redundancies, talking about the nature of these communities,
10	how they're tied, how they're a community of interest with
11	Tucson.
12	They even have Tucson mailing addresses there.
13	And now they're being placed with the northern
14	parts of the state.
15	So that I don't think it needs to be that way.
16	That's, that's one concern I have.
17	And then, Mr. Desmond, maybe if you could pan out.
18	Maybe just center on Phoenix.
19	Another major concern, and it's you know,
20	Commissioner Stertz talked about this a little yesterday.
21	You know, how do we explain it to the public.
22	And CD 4 wraps almost entirely around Phoenix
23	metro area, crosses the Superstitions, and then adds parts
24	of suburbia, Pinal County to that river district.
25	Apache Junction, Gold Canyon, San Tan Valley, and

1 | even Florence going into the river district.

2.

2.2

I don't think that, that arm on that district needs to be there. It certainly destroys the compactness of that district.

And then my third major concern is something that I talked about a lot yesterday is with CD 9 in that it destroys a community of interest on the legislative side.

Since those are smaller districts, my concern was that Paradise Valley, the Biltmore area, Arcadia area, the greater Arcadia area, and perhaps the greater Biltmore area. In the past I've talked about that part of the Madison School District in north central Phoenix is a community of interest.

And there's will a lot of testimony as I look through the record on that as well. Some of which I talked about yesterday.

I talked about the churches that line Lincoln and the congregants who come from that area of the Valley.

There's also a person who spoke a couple times,

Ken Miller, who came before the Commission, who talked about
their family has chosen to live in that community. And
whether it's fallen in the Biltmore, Arcadia, or Paradise
Valley area, it's one community. They've lived in homes in
each of those areas maps.

And certainly that was another comment that really

resonated with me because that's been my own personal experience of 46 years living -- my family essentially living in that part of the state.

Paradise Valley has strong ties, and that area has strong ties to the north valley. We've had lots of comment on that.

Paradise Valley is joined with Phoenix in many respects in that fire services, used to be Rural Metro in Paradise Valley, but now it's Phoenix Fire. It's Phoenix water.

They're connected.

And the connection, having lived probably the majority of my life in Paradise Valley, is with parts to the north, directly to the north, along Tatum and the 51, and in the Arcadia-Biltmore area. That's where -- that's the community.

And, you know, again, talking, speaking of the volume of comment and people talking about their communities, the Commission has heard of lot of that, and I want to make sure those people are heard.

And, I mean, there are ways -- and obviously that district has -- I know it was designed with competitiveness in mind, but it destroys -- it does not respect communities of interest.

And in that part of Phoenix, that's a part of that

1 district, I think should go to the north. That's where it 2. belongs. And the rest of nine could take most or all of 3 4 Scottsdale in to compensate for that. If that wasn't palatable, perhaps there are other 5 6 ways to at least keep the Biltmore, Arcadia, and Paradise Valley area, is my home, together and with its 7 8 north valley neighbors. 9 But that would be, I guess, my third major concern 10 with the congressional map that we're working on. 11 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you. 12 Ms. McNulty, did you have something? I thought all the commissioners could at least 13 14 give initial thoughts on this combo map. 15 And then we actually have Mayor Jay Schlum from 16 the town of Fountain Hills, who wants to talk about congressional districts. So I thought it would be good to 17 18 have him come up. 19 And if there is anybody else who wants to address 20 the Commission on congressional, they're welcome to do so at 21 this time too. 2.2 But we'll first hear from Ms. McNulty. 23 Thank you. COMMISSIONER McNULTY: 24 The combination map keeps the voting rights 25 districts intact, which I think is important.

I think Congressional District 7, the voting rights district, east of CD 9 is compact. And we worked very hard to make that an effective district.

The district to the east of CD 9,

Congressional District 5 on Mr. Stertz's proposal that you've incorporated, I think makes a lot of sense.

We spent a lot of time looking at that east valley area. And, again, this is a compact district that makes a lot of sense in terms of those communities. I think it does a good job of keeping communities whole.

There are -- there is that split in San Tan Valley that I see on the southeast portion there, and another split on the north side of Mesa.

And I agree we should clean those up.

Congressional District 6, which is on the north side of Congressional District 9, I also think is an improvement over the draft map in the sense that it addresses what I had tried to address in my map, the concern that Fountain Hills had been separated from Scottsdale, and the kind of irregular shape that folks made fun of.

And this combines the Fort McDowell and Salt River reservations with Scottsdale and with Fountain Hills, and that's comment that we received.

Congressional District 8 on this map is more compact than on the map that I had proposed, and includes

Anthem and New River in an urban district, which I think is a good thing.

2.2

Again, the split of Peoria, I think was probably inadvertent. And I would suggest that we bring that up to the county line there, include that area of Peoria.

CD 9, which is in the middle of all of those districts, in my view, the districts around it are well constructed and they make a lot of sense. And CD 9 is a district that's comprised of much of the urban core, and has a lot in common for all the reasons I talked about last week and that I won't, I won't reiterate here.

On the issues of Marana and Oro Valley and Saddlebrooke, and so on, versus Cochise County, I think that -- we've heard a lot of comment from both. There, there -- this is a balance, again, as we've said so many times.

I think we have worked hard to keep Marana and Oro Valley and Saddlebrooke together.

And that was -- we've heard a tremendous amount of comment that that was what they wanted, or at least what the people in Saddlebrooke and Oro Valley wanted.

I think we've heard less comment from individuals in Marana than we have from Saddlebrooke and Oro Valley.

As I've said before, I don't think the definition of communities of interest is making sure that congressional

1 districts are homogenous. I think it's quite the opposite. 2. I think we want them to be diverse, actually, and well 3 balanced. 4 And I think that CD 1 as it's drawn on this map is 5 well balanced. There is a population hub in southern Arizona. 6 7 There is kind of a population hub in eastern Arizona. There 8 is a population hub in north Arizona. 9 But they're all, you know, modest in size, 10 although they, they would each represent anchors in a 11 largely rural district. 12 You know, I don't hear Flagstaff complain about 13 Tucson being in a district with them, or how they don't have 14 anything in common with Tucson. 15 And I just don't think that's the analysis that we 16 need to do. 17 I do have some concerns about having a rural district that is half the size of the state. 18 19 I think it makes sense to have Cochise County with 20 Pima County. It is now. It's worked well. 21 Davis-Monthan and Fort Huachuca have been 22 represented together, and that's worked well, and I think 23 makes sense. 24 As I said when you presented this map last week, I 25 think we made a very substantial compromise in the

1 congressional map, or I feel that I did, when I 2. relinquished the possibility of pursuing a second competitive district in the west central part of the 3 4 Maricopa County metro area. And what we have now is a congressional map that 5 6 has four solidly Republican districts, two Democratic voting 7 rights districts, and three districts that are very, very 8 competitive, based on the definition that I've used, which 9 is that in an average year, given average candidates, either 10 of the major political parties would have opportunity to win 11 the seat. And I think all the metrics that we've done, and 12 13 we've done a huge number of them, indicate that these 14 three districts, one, two, and nine, are very well balanced. 15 And for that reason I do not want to degrade the 16 competitiveness of Congressional District 2. 17 So I feel that the draft map created a good 18 balance. 19 I don't think we want to draw congressional 20 districts or make decisions based on political orientation. 21 I think a lot of what we heard from Saddlebrooke 22 and Oro Valley was based at least in part on a feeling of 23 community of interest based on political orientation. 24 And that's fine. 25 I mean, everyone has -- we all express our own

1 opinions, and I understand that. 2. But I don't think inclusion in a competitive district of this nature will result in them not being 3 4 represented. I think quite to the contrary. It will result in 5 6 everyone's voice in that district being heard, because 7 whoever, you know, has the fortune or misfortune to 8 represent that district is, is going to be all over it and 9 is going to have to pay attention to everybody in it, 10 including the folks in that area. 11 So those are my, those are my main reasons for 12 supporting what you put together. 13 I also do like the idea of the third, the third 14 congressional representative in or around Tucson. I think 15 from a southern Arizona perspective that's, that's a good 16 thing. The other things I described are the main reasons 17 that I would like to retain the configuration we've got here 18 of CD 1, CD 2, and certainly CD 9. 19 20 Thanks. 21 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. 2.2 I'd like to ask Mayor Jay Schlum to come up. 23 from the town of Fountain Hills and wanted to address the 24 Commission on congressional districts. 25 MAYOR JAY SCHLUM: Thank you for coming here

1 today. I've been watching online. 2. Thanks for having here me today. Mayor Schlum, town of Fountain Hills. 3 4 Thank you. Chair and commissioners, thanks for your service. 5 6 I think I have an easier job, at least today. 7 I come understanding some of your constraints, but 8 also come quite grounded in my community, and that I think 9 as mayors we try to also use a great deal of common sense, 10 so I know the -- some of the things I'll be sharing will 11 come from that perspective. The constraints you have I'll address as well, and 12 13 talk about obviously the original draft map, I'll be 14 alluding to. And then perhaps if there's a chance we could 15 pull up what you guys are considering today so I could be 16 more aware of what you're looking at today. 17 But, previously was here before you, and brought a resolution from our town council, from our town of 18 19 Fountain Hills. And those items are still relevant today in 20 relation to the draft map. 21 We certainly appreciate the western cities along 2.2 the Colorado River and enjoy them tremendously, but we 23 are -- certainly have little in common with those 24 communities. 25 And would like to be at least included with some

1 of our neighbors in our congressional district.

2.

2.2

Currently we are not with any of our neighbors and are with very few communities within even our own county.

So we'd love to remain with our communities of interest in Scottsdale, Fort McDowell, Salt River, and of course the unincorporated areas, Rio Verde, and perhaps even Goldfield Ranch. All neighbors of ours today. They will be neighbors tomorrow. It would be great to have the same congressional representation there.

We also share resources, memorandums of understanding, cooperation with resources used. And also our kids from different areas all come to Fountain Hills from Fort McDowell, from Goldfield Ranch.

Rio Verde shops in our towns.

Fountain Hills is, in essence, their home town as well. They just reside in Rio Verde, just to the north of the park from us.

And then of course there's Scottsdale, who we share a great deal in common with. Not just our borders, but also a lot of resources and cooperative efforts related to transportation.

I mentioned Fort McDowell. We do visitor's bureau with them. We cooperate on them with tourism. And on a bunch of different other areas we cooperate with one another.

1 Their school children go to our schools as well. 2. So related to your constraints, the geographic territory I think speaks for itself from the draft map. 3 4 It certainly is a great distance from the western 5 cities along the river. 6 So I'm not certain that that constraint has been 7 adhered to. 8 I've spoken about our communities of common 9 interest and our strong ties to one another in many 10 The Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation just to note is 11 a very near neighbor of ours, right across the fence. There 12 are homes on each side. 13 And as I mention, our councils are very close and 14 also we share resources to promote our communities in a 15 joint effort. 16 The children of Fort McDowell also largely come to the school district in Fountain Hills. 17 18 And our communities surrounding us also share 19 in great deal a major infrastructure as well in projects 20 that will require regional and federal efforts to work with 21 our representation -- representatives for common causes 2.2 there. 23 The current boundaries in the draft map from a 24 month ago don't put us in with our neighbors, and we would

like to respectfully request that that be changed.

25

1 The shape and the extreme diverse and remote 2 geographic locations of major metropolitan centers of the proposed district originally number four create substantial 3 4 difficulties for a representative elected from one portion 5 to be familiar with or even physically reach our area as 6 well. 7 We have great relationship with our surrounding 8 communities, particularly with respect to their 9 interconnected open and recreational spaces, and we 10 respectfully ask that the Commission continue to consider 11 the appropriate changes that would allow us to be with our neighboring communities, Fountain Hills with Scottsdale, 12 13 Fort McDowell, Rio Verde, and Salt River Pima Maricopa 14 Indian community as well. 15 So, thank you for your hard work, and hopefully we 16 can get somewhere that makes sense for Fountain Hills as 17 well. 18 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you, mayor. 19 MAYOR JAY SCHLUM: Is there any chance to look at 20 the map, or do you want to --21 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Yes. If you don't mind 22 staying there. 23 And, Mr. Desmond, if you could just zoom in on where Fountain Hills is currently. 24 25 This isn't -- these are just adjustments we're

1	considering making to the draft map that was approved and
2	try to address that concern here with Fountain Hills.
3	WILLIE DESMOND: So Fountain Hills is this area
4	right here.
5	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: So it's currently in six as
6	we've got it.
7	WILLIE DESMOND: In the combo map it's in six.
8	In the McNulty map it would also be in six.
9	In the Stertz map it's also in six.
10	MAYOR JAY SCHLUM: I'm trying to see the
11	differences in those. I don't see a great deal of
12	difference in those.
13	How far south does that travel down to south
14	Scottsdale?
15	WILLIE DESMOND: It's on Thomas Road.
16	MAYOR JAY SCHLUM: So most of Scottsdale.
17	And it looks like it captures Rio Verde.
18	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Yes.
19	MAYOR JAY SCHLUM: And both of Fort McDowell and
20	the Pima Maricopa Indian communities.
21	That's the first time I've seen it.
22	So, it looks it's certainly improved from the
23	draft map, which of course didn't include anyone except for
24	perhaps Rio Verde to our north.
25	WILLIE DESMOND: The draft map was this green

1	line.
2	MAYOR JAY SCHLUM: Okay. There we go.
3	Certainly an improvement from that, from my
4	perspective, given the number of items we've talked about.
5	And how far west does that travel? Is it towards
6	the 51 or Interstate 17?
7	Just west of
8	WILLIE DESMOND: 43rd Avenue.
9	And a portion of 35th and then a portion of 17.
10	MAYOR JAY SCHLUM: You do have some difficult
11	constraints obviously going that far west brings up probably
12	some different communities of interest, rather than
13	continuing further south, but perhaps further.
14	And to the north that's further tip of Scottsdale
15	I'm assuming there.
16	Well, any feedback from myself specifically you're
17	looking for other than what I shared with you? And I
18	appreciate the efforts here to improve it.
19	I'm just not sure, given the short amount of time
20	to review this, how much further to the south we might
21	consider the most appropriate map, and less towards the
22	west, but had you have discussions related to that
23	previously? I suspect you've had discussions related to any
24	boundary that may be possible in the past.
25	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: We try to follow geographic

```
1
     boundaries and city and municipal lines when possible,
 2.
     county lines. Those are part of our constitutional
 3
     criteria.
 4
               We're not always able to do that.
 5
               We also follow major transportation corridors.
 6
               The I-17 is used as a divider, I know, in the
 7
     legislative map.
 8
               And you can see we kind of tried to follow the
 9
     Salt River boundary down there, but ended up having to dip
10
               And I can't recall the reason for that, if it's
     in Mesa.
11
     strictly population or what.
12
               If anybody has any thoughts on that, or better
13
     memory.
14
               WILLIE DESMOND: The dip into Mesa comes from
15
     Commissioner Stertz's District 6.
16
               So, that's not in the draft map obviously.
17
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz might have. . .
18
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair, Mr. Desmond, it
19
     might be, it might be helpful if you turned on the streets
20
     layer, at least the major streets layer, so you can see
21
     highways.
2.2
               Commissioner Herrera suggested pull that corner of
23
     Mesa out and take it from six to go to five.
24
               As a population offset, it would be practical to
25
     go to below Thomas Road and pick up -- and make Scottsdale
```

1	whole, into that district.
2	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: So you're saying to swap this
3	for making Scottsdale whole?
4	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Yeah, there's a small
5	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Right.
6	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: just a small piece of
7	Scottsdale that's remaining.
8	My recollection is that the they were fairly
9	close on that gets our school districts back in back
10	contained. Mesa stays whole.
11	Scottsdale would become whole.
12	MAYOR JAY SCHLUM: Chair, the current district
13	continues quite a ways to the south, as I recall; correct?
14	Into Tempe and through Tempe perhaps.
15	And I know it's not a constraint for you to
16	consider going forward, but as far as communities of
17	interest, I'm just not sure how far west I know you're
18	grabbing population as you go and some other constraints you
19	have, I just hadn't known if there had been considerations
20	to continue the district further to the south.
21	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Madam Chair.
22	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Ms. McNulty.
23	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Yes, we talked about that
24	at excruciating length with regard to that district. And we
25	heard a lot of input that the area of south Scottsdale

1	that's south of Thomas Road was different from the area
2	north of Thomas Road and was a good fit with the District 9
3	that we put together.
4	So we did spend a lot of time on that, that
5	endeavor.
6	MAYOR JAY SCHLUM: My alma mater is south of
7	Thomas Road. We were bused there from Fountain Hills.
8	I can understand. It's certainly a bit older
9	area, where Scottsdale began further to the south.
10	Just you're also going quite a bit to the west.
11	So there's obviously an offset there.
12	I appreciate this effort. I'll review this
13	further, and if this is where you end up today, and look for
14	some more dialogue.
15	Any questions for me?
16	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Any questions for the mayor?
17	COMMISSIONER MCNULTY: No.
18	MAYOR JAY SCHLUM: Again, thank you. Thank you
19	very much.
20	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you.
21	Was there anyone else who wanted to talk to us
22	about congressional district maps?
23	Oh, I do have somebody.
24	Linda Kavanagh, representing self
25	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair.

1 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: I'm sorry, Mr. Herrera. I mean, I think if we take 2 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: public testimony, it's probably a good idea -- I don't know 3 4 if we have any elected officials in the audience -- at least one elected official -- but it's a good reminder for 5 6 everyone speaking in front of the Commission not to release 7 any addresses, whether you're an elected official or not. 8 It's just in our best interest if you avoid doing that. 9 Thank you. 10 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: If you can just tell us the 11 city or county in which you reside, that would be great. I remember that from last time. 12 LINDA KAVANAGH: 13 I'm Linda Kavanagh. Fountain Hills. 14 The current placement of Fountain Hills in the river district was criticized by many, including the Arizona 15 16 Republic. It violated the criteria of compactness and 17 18 respect for communities of interest. 19 As you know, I have spoken here before about 20 undoing this. 21 I'm -- just wanted to say that I'm glad that the 22 new proposal you're considering now restores Fountain Hills 23 to the congressional district with our neighbor Scottsdale. 24 I hope that whatever final version that you choose 25 keeps Fountain Hills in a Maricopa congressional district.

1	Thank you.
2	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you.
3	Our next speaker is Gary Bohnee, representing
4	Salt River Pima Maricopa Indian community.
5	GARY BOHNEE: Good morning or good afternoon,
6	Madam Chair and members of the Commission. It's a pleasure
7	to be here today.
8	And I really just wanted to reiterate the comments
9	of Mayor Schlum with regard to the inclusion of the town of
10	Fountain Hills into proposed District No. 6 and
11	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: I'm sorry to interrupt you.
12	Do you just mind spelling your name for the record.
13	GARY BOHNEE: Oh, Gary, G-A-R-Y, Bohnee, B, as in
14	boy, O-H-N-E-E.
15	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you.
16	GARY BOHNEE: Again, just wanted to reiterate the
17	comments from Mayor Schlum of the inclusion of the town of
18	Fountain Hills into District 6 and then also just to point
19	of reference a letter that was provided to the Commission on
20	November 7th from the three metro tribe tribal leaders
21	from the Salt River Indian community, Fort McDowell Yavapai
22	Nation, and the Gila River Indian community, enunciating a
23	number of different items, but in particular on the
24	congressional action to support that effort.
25	And I would just say I would hope that the

1 Commission would in their final mapping leave the district I 2. guess as it is proposed today. 3 Thank you. 4 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you. Was there anyone else in the public who wanted to 5 6 talk to the Commission about congressional districts? 7 (No oral response.) 8 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okav. 9 Mr. Freeman, did you have something? 10 VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: Well, there was discussion of 11 the split of Scottsdale. And as I recall, the original discussion along 12 those lines concerned a split a little bit north of that. 13 14 It was either Camelback or Chaparral was the suggested 15 split. 16 And if the time and thought had been put into arriving at that boundary would hold today, I mean, it would 17 18 easily accommodate at least keeping the Paradise Valley, 19 Arcadia, Biltmore community of interest together with the 20 north valley, and that would be, I think, an easy population 21 swap. 2.2 And although it would not completely satisfy me, 23 because I think that all of that Phoenix area belongs 24 with Phoenix and not with Scottsdale and Tempe, they have 25 diametrically different interests. We've heard from

1 the mayor of Tempe even who has illustrated those very distinct differences and interests that those two 2. 3 communities have. 4 So, I mean, that would be my first choice, which would be to swap Tempe for the Phoenix component of CD 9. 5 But short of that, at least moving that line north 6 7 so that the community of interest where I hail from can be 8 kept together would be my preference. 9 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Comments from other 10 commissioners? 11 COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Madam Chair, I think we've 12 discussed CD 9 at great length. I believe we've drawn the 13 line in Scottsdale in a place that makes sense. 14 The mayor of Tempe talked about a discrete issue 15 concerning airports, and suggested that the two towns would 16 have different perspectives on that, but that happens with 17 congressional representatives multiple times every day. 18 As I've talked about previously, three of the 19 mayors in that congressional district work together to 20 publish a piece about the lightrail and the future of urban 21 development and how they're cooperating together on that in 2.2 the urban core. 23 So I -- we can point to a number of areas in which 24 they're collaborating also. 25 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair.

1	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera.
2	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: I think it was on today
3	is I think it was was it Friday? Where
4	Commissioner McNulty went to great lengths to describe or to
5	put together a nice composition of why she had created
6	District 9 the way it was.
7	And I think I asked our IT person, Buck Forst, to
8	be able to enter that information on the record, put it
9	online.
10	I think that was well written, gave it a nice
11	description of why she ended up doing it that way. And I
12	think it was compelling. I think it was an extremely
13	compelling reason why nine looks the way it does.
14	And I would encourage any members of the public
15	that weren't there to listen to Commissioner McNulty explain
16	the reasons behind the look of CD 9 to be able to read that
17	information, because I think it's if anybody is not
18	convinced, I think that information probably would sway
19	them, that CD 9 is good the way she put it together.
20	I think it's again, she gave compelling
21	reasons, and, again, I would encourage people to read that
22	information.
23	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Madam Chair, I would also
24	ask them to look at the map.
25	T mean we have compact cohesive districts that

1	surround it also.
2	VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: Madam Chair.
3	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Freeman.
4	VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: I just for the record, I
5	disagree with the characterization of the mayor of Tempe's
6	statements.
7	It was a little bit broader than simply it was
8	a lot broader than simply the airport issue.
9	And with respect to the justification for CD 9, I
10	think it should I don't think that is a compact district.
11	I do believe it rends asunder communities of
12	interest. And I think there should be no need for elaborate
13	circumlocutions as to the justification,
14	after-the-fact justification for the construction of this
15	pre-designed district. It should be self-evident to the
16	people of the valley, and I just don't agree with the
17	construction of that district.
18	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Freeman, was that a
19	question for Ms. O'Grady?
20	VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: No, that was me my
21	disagreement with, first of all, the mayor, Hugh Hallman,
22	did not just focus simply on the airport issue.
23	I know the acoustics in here are horrible.
24	But, and with respect to CD 9, I don't think I
25	think the district should stand on their own. They should

```
1
     not require some sort of post-talk elaborate justification
 2.
     for your construction.
 3
               They should make sense and make sense to the
 4
     people of this state.
               And that district does not make sense to me.
 5
 6
     it destroys that community of interest I've talked a lot
 7
     about.
 8
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                    Thank you.
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA:
                                    Madam Chair.
 9
10
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                    Mr. Herrera.
11
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: You know, the -- I think the
12
     only reason Commissioner McNulty came up with the -- that
13
     length explanation for CD 9 was because of the criticism of
14
     Commissioner Freeman.
               It wasn't -- she didn't create it before that.
15
16
     She created it after the fact to make sure that he -- I
     mean, she, Commissioner McNulty, is able to address any of
17
18
     Commissioner Freeman's concerns.
19
               And I think she did a, a job that was, again,
20
     thorough, using all of the state-mandated criteria.
21
     explained how she did it.
2.2
               And, again, I would really remind the
23
     commissioners that it is a balancing act, and it's not going
24
     to be easy.
25
               Sometimes I think that these -- the four
```

```
1
     state-mandated criteria, they -- they end up conflicting
 2.
     with each other.
               You're not going to get a perfect looking
 3
 4
     district, but you're going to get one that
 5
     Commissioner McNulty created that balances all four.
 6
     she balanced them all.
 7
               And, again, it was a great explanation of how she
 8
     came up with it.
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair.
 9
10
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz.
11
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ: When I put together my map
12
     and presented last Thursday, as you recall, I chose to not
13
     touch obviously because we as a Commission sort of loosely
14
     have said let's not touch the edges of majority-minority
15
     districts, which are three and seven.
16
               I also chose not to touch District 9, because that
     has been sort of the -- it's, it's been debated so long, and
17
18
     it's known that I've got a disagreement with the way it was
19
     crafted, and I don't need to repeat that any longer.
20
               It's -- Commissioner McNulty has laid her case on.
21
     We've disagreed. These are opinions that we have a
2.2
     difference of.
23
               But what I want to -- what I'm looking at right
24
     now is that at the same time while I was doing that, I'm
25
     going back and saying, listen, folks, I've got, I've got
```

1 Oro Valley and Marana and Saddlebrooke that are part of the 2. city of Tucson, and they deserve to be part of the city of 3 Tucson. 4 And I presented a map it was a -- it was creating 5 a mechanism to be able to do that. 6 So I'm taking this in a way of -- frankly it's 7 like, it's like we've heard so much testimony saying let's 8 keep this with the city of Tucson. I can't -- I've not 9 heard one thing from the Commission at all that can say, 10 yeah, it really makes sense to be able to pull these 11 communities out. 12 I haven't heard anybody of -- any of the residents saying that they wanted to be pulled out, except for one 13 14 tiny piece of testimony that took place from one person that 15 has been offset by resolutions from both -- from Oro Valley, 16 from Marana, from Saddlebrooke, from people within the 17 community, from community groups wanting to connect with the 18 city of Tucson. 19 They want representation that is within urban 20 Tucson. 21 Okay? 2.2 They are part of the urban fabric of Tucson. 23 And when I put that proposal together, I left nine 24 untouched. 25 And I did that because -- out of purely out of

respect for what has been worked hard and has really been argued, you know, by the Commissioners McNulty and Herrera when they placed and designed that designer district, District 9.

I said I'll leave that.

2.2

But I can't go back to the folks back in

Oro Valley and Marana and say that this makes any sense that
they're in a community that is connected that wraps around
the other side of the state.

I can't comprehensively get my arms around that.

I can get my arms around that Cochise County is a predominantly rural district and it fits in a predominantly rural district. I can get my arms around that.

But the rest of it, but the rest it, I can't.

So I am -- if, if the path that we're going down is that, that Oro Valley and Marana are extracted out of Tucson by creating this divot in Pima County, and those communities are fractured, which they are by having representation that will not be from their -- the general area -- I love the idea that you've got an urban Tucson area that connects 710,224 people of like mind, of like energy, that go all the way down to pick up -- pick up the base, pick up urban Tucson, go all the way out up through the suburbs of Tucson. And that makes a very nice, practical, organized district for representation.

1 That I can support. 2. Like I said, I was, I was willing to instead of continuing to go down the argument of whether or not CD 9 3 4 was designed in a particular way, that horse is beaten and 5 buried right now as far as I'm concerned. 6 You guys have -- the three of you have felt 7 comfortable with that as being an untouchable district. 8 It's been put on the record by the chair that once 9 CD 9 is put into place in the draft map that we weren't 10 going to touch anything around it. 11 I've come up with a very -- series of practical 12 solutions, which I appreciate Commissioners McNulty and 13 Herrera applauding those, where I have -- through District 6 14

solutions, which I appreciate Commissioners McNulty and Herrera applauding those, where I have -- through District 6 have now put Fountain Hills back with Scottsdale where it should be, and District 8, made the -- made it into a more compact district, and took -- and removed out the rural aspect of, of, of that district, and placed that rural side back in the rest of District 4.

Do I still disagree that four shouldn't be wrapping around the entire Maricopa County and picking up the San Tan Valley?

Yeah.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

That -- logically that's something that is very -- is much more difficult to get my arms around.

But, of all of these that I can't get my arms

1 around at all, that's bifurcating these three cities from 2. urban Tucson. And I am going to suggest, and I will wrap this 3 4 right here and say, if we're going down that path I can't 5 support it. 6 We've got, we've got balanced districts right now. 7 I would like to see us move forward on the congressional map 8 with the Congressional District 2 as I had designed, which 9 includes Oro Valley and Marana, and puts Cochise County, a 10 predominantly rural county, in the rural district. 11 Yes, it does make it a large district, but it is 12 about the same size as it currently exists, and it has 13 operated that way for the last ten years. 14 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair. 15 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera. 16 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: You know, I did -- as I 17 stated, the areas in the Mathis combo map that I liked, there was three areas, Commissioner Stertz, that you have 18 19 implemented, again, six, eight, and four, that I did like. 20 And, and one and two that I -- that I really admired from 21 Commissioner McNulty's map. 2.2 And that, to me, I think you saw that as a compromise. And I don't disagree. 23 24 What I want to remind the Commission, when we had 25 created the -- when we had adopted the draft map -- and if,

```
1
     Mr. Desmond, can you bring up the working draft map for the
 2.
     congressional map?
 3
               WILLIE DESMOND:
                                Yeah.
 4
                                    Is that the one?
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA:
 5
               In particular can you focus on CD 1?
               The -- I'm certain that I've heard, I think, all
 6
 7
     the commissioners, including Stertz and Freeman, talk about
 8
     how just a large mass of area that District 1 was covering,
 9
     including touching the Mexican border.
10
               And I -- actually I didn't disagree with them.
11
     thought it was a large district. And I, you know, I'm -- I
12
     was okay with keeping it the way the -- this, this version
13
     looks like. But I'm, I'm looking at a compromise, which the
14
     compromise is making CD 1 more compact.
15
               And it's something that I know Commissioner Stertz
16
     and Freeman wanted to do.
               And what Commissioner McNulty ended up doing, she
17
     ended up listening to that -- to those -- to that
18
19
     explanation of keeping CD 1 a little more compact,
20
     definitely more compact than it is now. And that's what she
21
     ended up doing.
2.2
               And, as I said, Commissioner Stertz and Freeman
23
     did want to make it more compact.
24
               So I would be opposed to the -- changing the way
25
     that CD 2 -- the way CD 1 -- the way Commissioner McNulty
```

1 has drafted it. 2. And also that would mean, I think Commissioner Stertz had mentioned, if, if, if we adopted 3 the -- Commissioner Stertz's map, we would have to mess with 4 5 three, and keep CD 1 the way he has it in his map. 6 would be opposed to doing either one. 7 So I, again, I would support 8 Commissioner McNulty's one and two in the Mathis combo map, 9 and I would support six, eight, four from Stertz's map. 10 Thank you. 11 VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: Madam Chair. 12 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Freeman. 13 VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: Just to correct one thing, 14 you did not hear me talk about the compactness. 15 If you want to go ahead and run a search on the 16 record, you won't find it. 17 Indeed, the whole counties map that I had prepared back in August and September, which was based on very simple 18 19 instructions given to the mapping consultant during public 20 hearing, to adjust the grid map based on constitutional 21 criteria that I called out, and the first one I called out 2.2 was adjust the grid lines so that they sort of maximize 23 respect for county lines. 24 I didn't know how that map would end up looking. 25 And in some respects it was how the map ended up perhaps

would be dependent upon the grid map that the Commission
developed.

2.2

And the grid map, just so everyone knows, the old CDs goes away and this Commission starts with a grid map, which is nine districts constructed simply based on compactness and equal population.

And then the Constitution says we're to take those lines, the lines of the grid map, and adjust them, adjust the grid lines based on the application of six constitutional criteria.

So that was the first one I called out, which was, you know, respect county lines. Then that map ended up being called the whole counties map.

But I didn't know how it would turn out looking.

But, indeed, as the map developed, and it was developed, again, based on objective, neutral criteria found in the constitution.

Cochise County was kept whole, but it was kept with eastern Arizona -- rural eastern Arizona.

So that district, that included the, the -- at least where that map, the whole counties map, where it ended -- it was never completed -- ended with the reservation, the Navajo reservation portion of Coconino County, all of Navajo County, all of Apache County, I believe it included all of Gila County, Graham, Greenlee,

1 all of Cochise County, and the non-reservation portions of 2. Pinal County, were all kept whole and together. So that is, that's a district that looks -- well, 3 4 there are significant differences to how 5 Commissioner Stertz's compromises map looked. But, the one 6 similarity is it included Cochise County with our eastern 7 district. 8 So, I agree, compactness is a constitutional 9 criteria. It needs to be considered. But that's not 10 something that I was talking about with respect to this 11 eastern Arizona district. 12 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you. It doesn't sound like there's going to be a lot of 13 14 agreement down in the southeast corner of the map. 15 I'm wondering if we can go back, Mr. Desmond, to 16 the combo map, and just look at that for the whole Maricopa area and four just to -- Mr. Stertz, do you have something? 17 18 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Before you say we've 19 exhausted talking about the southeast corner of the state --20 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Well, I'm not saying we're 21 done talking about it. I just, I just thought maybe we 2.2 could work on some areas where there's a little bit more --23 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: I'm trying to get my arms 24 around what -- again, I'm trying to get my arms around what 25 the, what the, what the predominant disagreement is.

1 The -- when we look at registration, when we look 2 at -- there is a very minor adjustment between the McNulty southeast corner and the Stertz southeast corner for 3 4 Congressional District 2. It is, it is favored every so slightly depending 5 6 upon the indices to a Republican, but it would stay, for 7 all, for all purposes, it would stay an incredibly 8 competitive district. 9 Other than the -- other than Cochise County, which 10 is, again, a predominantly rural county, being pulled in, 11 why would we pull away these cities that are tightly aligned 12 with the city of Tucson, urban Tucson, away from urban 13 Tucson for their congressional representation? 14 There's -- I can't -- if it was -- if my design took Congressional District 2 and it turned into a hard R 15 district where it was only going to be a Republican that was 16 going to be elected there, I could see an argument. 17 18 I cannot, I cannot get my head around why this is 19 such a, a -- there's such a high level of disagreement 20 about, and there continues to be, to pull out these cities 21 away from urban Tucson. 22 What in the world could possibly be the reason why 23 to pull these cities out. 24 And I can't find one, so I'd like somebody to try 25 to explain that to me, about why these cities want to be

1	pulled away from where, from where the core of their
2	community, where they grew from.
3	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Madam Chair.
4	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Ms. McNulty.
5	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: The first I begin by
6	saying that the semantics aren't exactly correct.
7	We aren't pulling anyone from anywhere. Everyone
8	will stay in the same place. All we're doing is providing a
9	district which is balanced in which a representative will
LO	need to pay very close attention to the concerns of the
L1	constituents because it's truly a competitive district.
L2	The Tucson metropolitan area is too big to be in
L3	one district.
L4	It is already in two districts. And as Mr. Stertz
L5	knows, he and I live three blocks from the boundary of a
L6	district.
L7	And we aren't pulled anywhere.
L8	We have two representatives in Tucson who do, you
L9	know, depending on who you talk to, a pretty good job of
20	representing the interest of Tucson.
21	All we're talking about is having three
22	representatives who share the job of representing over a
23	million people. And that number is going to grow in the
24	next ten years, I'm sure.
25	There are three main, I guess, population hubs in

1	District 1. One is this Pinal County, northern Arizona I
2	mean, northern metropolitan Tucson area.
3	Another is eastern Arizona.
4	And the third is northern Arizona.
5	I think that's I think it's well balanced, and
6	I think it makes sense.
7	It needs to be either because of the population
8	balancing Cochise County or northwestern Arizona.
9	Cochise County is currently in CD 2.
10	It's worked well there. The military reservations
11	are both there. They share common interests. They've taken
12	advantage of that, I think, in their representation.
13	As I said earlier, we're not talking our job is
14	not to create homogenous districts. It's to create
15	districts that have balance and diversity.
16	And I don't think we are pulling them away from
17	anywhere.
18	I think what we are doing is giving them a voice.
19	As I said earlier, no one in northern Arizona is
20	complaining about being with, being with us or being pulled
21	away to us.
22	I just don't think that's the correct analysis.
23	I also think it makes a lot of sense not to add
24	another 6600 square miles to Congressional District 1.
25	There are a lot of ties between Tucson and

1 Cochise County. 2. So you could look at this either way. could -- you can look at it from two perspectives, and 3 4 that's my perspective. 5 In terms of the competitiveness issue in CD 2, 6 I'll say once again that I feel that a significant 7 compromise was made when we relinquished the possibility of 8 a fourth competitive district in Maricopa County. 9 We have three very balanced districts right now, 10 and I do not support the notion of degrading the competition 11 in Congressional District 1, even if it's one point. 12 I don't, I don't support that. 13 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair. 14 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera. 15 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Both Commissioner McNulty 16 and myself have been talking about the importance of 17 competitive districts and what it means to those people 18 living there. 19 I think nobody would disagree when you have 20 competitive districts, you are -- your voice is more likely 21 to be heard. 2.2 Whether they elect someone that is from the 23 opposite party, that individual that represents that 24 particular district that is competitive needs to listen to

all voices in order to get reelected.

25

Because, as you know, that's what competition means. Competition means that you as a Democrat, as a Republican can lose your seat at the next election. Because it allows anyone from whatever party to be able to compete in an election.

2.2

So that individual that is elected from two,
District 2, the way it is right now, as we have it in the
Mathis combo map, District 9 -- District 1 is as close to
50/50 as we can get it.

And it's -- that means that those people in those districts will have their voices heard.

I don't think anyone in those districts will not have somebody representing them. Because, again, I think competition, that's what competition means. And I think that that was a point of when we created those districts.

And, again, I think Commissioner McNulty has stated we as Democrats and those that care about competition did compromise.

From the beginning we were talking about the fourth competitive districts in Maricopa County, and we didn't get that.

And we ended up getting, even though based on the Mathis combo map, four strongly Republican districts. Two districts that are majority minority, that lean Democratic because, you know, they're minority districts, and then

1 | three districts that are competitive.

2.

2.2

And that's -- to me it's a huge compromise. It's a huge compromise from what people wanted in the beginning.

I think we were hearing from the beginning that we could easily get four competitive districts, and we tried to do that. But, again, we ended up compromising, which is -- I don't think there was anybody in this Commission that compromised more than we did by giving up that fourth competitive district.

COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Madam Chair, one more point.

As important I think is that when we were in Sierra Vista, we heard folks from Cochise County making the same comment, that they were concerned that they weren't going to be represented because CD 2 was so huge, and they didn't want to be with Flagstaff, and when would the representative make it all the way down to the border, and how would a representative of Flagstaff care about border issues.

So, you know, we have this, I think, the same concerns being expressed from both groups of people.

And in the, in the end, I come down where I come down, that it makes more sense to make CD 1 a little smaller, keep Cochise County with a southern Arizona district to give Tucson the benefit of having the third

1 | congressional representative.

2.

2.2

You know, we, we did hear a lot of comment from Saddlebrooke particularly and also Oro Valley. And we got two form letters from those folks. Two different forms of form letters. And we got dozens of them, maybe, maybe a couple hundred of them.

And one said -- I left them in my file. I should go get them.

That it was extremely rare that they came to Tucson.

And the other one said, you know, something more or less along those lines.

Which isn't to say that they don't have a connection with Tucson, but which is to say that of the two issues that they expressed, one being that the three communities stay together and the other being that the district — that they were concerned about the district they were in, there was far more concern on the part of the folks that wrote from Saddlebrooke and Oro Valley that they be together, and be together with Marana, than there was that they be in a particular congressional district.

And so for that reason too I feel that this is a good compromise, and that Congressional District 1 makes sense.

So you asked, you know, how anyone could view it

1 that way. 2. And so that's the way I have set this all out 3 again, because that is how I view it. 4 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair. 5 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz. 6 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: And, Commissioner McNulty, I 7 think that your -- we're both making -- I think we're both 8 making compelling arguments. 9 But there's one argument that we, that we haven't 10 really made, and one of the things you just brought up, that 11 nobody up in Flagstaff is, is complaining. 12 One of the things they might want to really think about is that in that little area right there, there are, 13 14 there are 300,000 people living now in that little corner of 15 that extraordinarily large district. 16 So one of the things they might want to consider is that -- that that district right now, which right now has 17 18 been -- for a long time has been predominantly the political 19 hub of that rural district, is probably going to move, 20 whereas the potential to move all the way down into that 21 little part of Tucson right there, which is at McGee and 2.2 Oracle. 23 There is quite a -- with 300 population in that 24 little zone right there, that is going to become quite a 25 political force.

So, hasten to say that this area up here might be a little surprised as time goes on about where the -- where their representation is going to be.

2.2

So, by having this large compression of population now in a very small geographic area, which is one of the -- one of the other reasons why I tried to break this up, not only to be able to keep this community intact, but also to try to give some representation up into this area where the possibility to elect may come from the Navajo Nation, might come from the city of Flagstaff, might come from, from a part of Pinal.

Now it really has got a greater opportunity about coming from 100,000 population there and the 200,000 population there.

So, we've talked this, we've talked this thing around.

It's, Madam Chair, it's going to be your decision to make about where these are going to end up.

And from my perspective is that we have, we have -- unless we, unless we start looking at breaking up the CD 9 and start relooking at that, looking at other areas within the greater Maricopa County area, which is going to end up just being a -- an ongoing and perpetual dispute between, between the sides -- because we are looking at this philosophically the way that CD 9 was

1 crafted. 2. I read -- I went through and I listened to Commissioner McNulty's testimony. I understood it clearly. 3 4 That was something that was crafted around the 5 design of CD 9. 6 You can't disagree with her opinion on that. 7 her opinion. So I'm -- that's her opinion. It is what it is. 8 9 So, those are, those are my salient points. 10 salient points are that I believe from a representation 11 point of view we might end up with a large representative here and we might end up -- all the folks up here might end 12 13 up getting much less travel in their, in their -- from their 14 representative than they currently have. And, and that 15 would be a shame. 16 So if you're going to move forward on the map as it currently is done, let's, let's go. 17 18 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair. 19 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera. 20 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: You know, I -- that's what I 21 like about competition, that you -- no one knows where that representative will be -- in that district, District 1, the 22 23 way it is, where that representative will come from. 24 And I think Mr. Stertz -- Commissioner Stertz made 25 my point that, you know, when you have a competitive

district, that forces the individual running for office, whether they're a Democrat, Republican, Green Party, that they're going to have to campaign. They're going have to campaign, and that's what they should do. They're going to campaign in that area of Pinal. They're going to have to campaign in the middle of that district and also north of that district.

2.

2.2

And that's what we wanted when we created that district.

I didn't want to create a district that will allow Flagstaff to have -- guarantee them a representative. We never said that.

And I think the city of Flagstaff people and throughout that district in District 1 understand that, that, that they're not assured a representative from their particular area. But what they are assured is a -- hopefully a, a representative that will represent the entire district.

Because it is a competitive district.

And it might switch from, from the Flagstaff area to south of that every two years. And that's exactly what we wanted. We didn't want a safe district from someone to -- for a particular party. We wanted a district that will force people to campaign.

And I'm happy for that. And that's exactly what

1 we wanted. And another point. You know, when we were in 2. Marana and I would hear people talk about them not having 3 4 anything in common with Tucson, that they don't shop there, I even jokingly -- I forgot who I told. I go, you know 5 6 what, I don't believe that they don't go to Tucson. 7 I even said I'm going to follow one of these 8 people one of these weekends, and I'm going to guarantee 9 that I'm going to see them in Tucson, and I'm going to 10 surprise them, do you remember me, you said you don't go to 11 Tucson, and here you are. 12 So I remember those comments, and I remember 13 saying that. 14 I mean, I didn't say that to them, but I'm like, 15 really, they don't shop in Tucson. 16 So that's, I mean, and I heard that testimony, and 17 I thought it was overwhelming. 18 So, again, I just wanted to state that I think 19 we've made a lot of progress in the congressional map 20 compromising, compromising a lot in my opinion. 21 So I'm looking forward to hopefully reaching consensus or at least reaching a conclusion that I like 22 23 certain areas of Stertz's map, as I mentioned, six, eight, 24 and four, as he created them, and I like the way

Commissioner McNulty created one and two.

25

1 And, again, I'm hoping that we can reach consensus 2 and it's a 5-0 vote. But if not, then I think all of us 3 made a compelling argument of why we like certain areas or 4 why we don't. But, again, if we don't agree, that's -- we have 5 6 our own different opinions. And it would be nice to be able 7 to reach consensus. But if we don't, I'm willing to vote 8 for what I think is the right thing for the state of 9 Arizona. 10 VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: Madam Chair. 11 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Freeman. 12 VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: A couple things. 13 The Arizona Supreme Court in analyzing Prop 106 14 says that it means -- the proposition means what it says, 15 and there are six mandatory criteria we are to apply in 16 adjusting the grid map. The six criteria being the competitiveness 17 18 criteria, which it referred to as mandatory and conditional, 19 the only criteria being conditional. 20 The six criteria don't talk about balanced 21 districts or diverse districts. 2.2 It means what it says. 23 And in supplying the six criteria, you might end 24 up with a district that someone might regard as diverse, 25 someone might regard as balanced, and in some sense however

1 that's defined. 2. But you might not. I don't think it's our prerogative to sort of 3 create new criteria out of thin air. 4 As for CD 1, it is not a competitive district. 5 6 And just to illustrate what's going on here, is 7 that the congressman that currently represents the majority 8 of that area that is contained within the new CD 1 is in a 9 competitive district that it changed political hands a 10 couple times in the last decade. 11 I believe he won the last election with less than 12 50 percent of the vote. 13 That tells you how razor thin it is in that 14 district. 15 Well, now in constructing the new district over and around that area, a lot more Democrats have been piled 16 17 in. In fact, the registration advantage is close to 18 19 10 percent in favor of the Democrats in that district, and 20 that's deemed competitive. 21 However, if anything is done to touch CD 9 in 22 urban Maricopa County where the registration is closer than 23 10 percent, that is forbidden. 24 I know the Democrats will say, well, a lot of our 25 voters, registered voters don't turn out and vote in that

1 part of state.

2.

2.2

Well, I think another aspect of competition is rewarding success, not punishing success.

That's what is going on here.

The scales are being manipulated to get a desired political result.

I think this Commission's job isn't to decide how many Republican districts there are, how many Democratic districts there are.

It's just to apply the six constitutional criteria.

But I have serious concerns that we haven't followed those criteria, and what the people of this state are going to wake up is a state where there is roughly 30 percent registered Democrats, roughly 35 percent registered Republicans, it's not one third, one third, one third, there is a material gap there of about roughly five percent, and in a state that is subject to Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act, which means we have to on the congressional side create two voting rights districts, and in creating those districts you end up as a collateral effect putting a lot of Democrats into those two districts, which means in the other seven districts, the registration difference between the two parties is much greater, on the order of plus 10 percent, maybe even as much

1 as 15 percent. 2. You really have to manipulate the map to come up with the scenario that we have now, which I'm afraid is a 3 4 map that creates five Democratic seats and four very solid 5 Republican seats. 6 So the state will have been flipped by virtue of 7 this map. 8 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herder, how are you? 9 THE REPORTER: Whatever you need. 10 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. Why don't we take just 11 a ten-minute break. 12 Amazingly, an hour and a half has already gone by. 13 It's 2:34 p.m. We'll be back in ten minutes. 14 (Brief recess taken.) 15 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. We'll enter back into 16 public session. 17 The time is 3:01 p.m. 18 I've gotten a number of request to speak forms. 19 And some of the folks need to leave early and yet they want 20 to provide input on the legislative maps, so I thought it would be good to just cover them, get everybody while they 21 2.2 can still be here. They've taken the time to come, so let's 23 hear what they have to say. 24 And if I could remind everybody when they come up 25 to the microphone to please spell your last name for our

```
1
     court reporter.
 2.
               Our next speaker is Diane Landis, representing
 3
     self, from Litchfield Park.
 4
               DIANE LANDIS: Thank you. Diane Landis,
 5
     L-A-N-D-I-S.
 6
               I'm a council member with the city of Litchfield
 7
     Park. And I would like to see the map for Litchfield Park,
 8
     please.
 9
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Are you able to pull that up,
10
     Mr. Desmond, the legislative?
11
               DIANE LANDIS: I can tell you what the issue is,
12
     if you cannot.
13
               There are two -- it looks like it may come up.
14
               There are two pieces in Litchfield Park that are
     not in the same district, but there's no population in those
15
16
     two pieces, and so I'm hoping that you can put them
17
     together.
18
               Those two pieces have no population.
19
               Thank you.
20
               Litchfield Park is in 13. And these two pieces
21
     are in 29, but they have no population, so I'm asking, and
2.2
     we sent a resolution, that you combine those into 13,
23
     please.
24
               And, again, it should be a very simple fix,
25
     because there's no population.
```

1	And I would like to see the rest of 13, if I may.
2	What I'm curious is do we still go to the
3	California border?
4	WILLIE DESMOND: Yes.
5	DIANE LANDIS: We do.
6	And I'd like to ask why, because our communities
7	of interest are our surrounding cities. We have Goodyear
8	providing our fire. We are very close to Avondale.
9	This is Diane Landis citizen talking.
10	We're, we're very close to Avondale, Goodyear, all
11	of these other cities, and we have nothing in common with
12	the California border or even parts of Yuma that you've got
13	us in. So I'd be curious why you've done that.
14	But, again, the immediate the one huge fix if
15	you could would be to combine all of Litchfield Park into
16	one district.
17	Thank you.
18	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you.
19	Any questions, comments?
20	Okay. Our next speaker is
21	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair.
22	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Sorry. Mr. Stertz.
23	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: I have a quick comment. I
24	would the what you're experiencing is what I just went
25	through for the last hour and a half in discussing

```
1
     Saddlebrooke, Oro Valley, and Marana, that you are part of a
 2.
     district legislatively that you have no affiliation with and
 3
     no tie to.
 4
               So you -- that, that is the argument you just
 5
     heard me making on the congressional point of view.
 6
               I as a commissioner cannot give you an answer
 7
     about why you're connected to the California border, as I
 8
     cannot give the same answer to the constituents and the
 9
     folks that live in Marana about why they're connected to
10
     Window Rock.
11
               DIANE LANDIS:
                              I think it's the legislative map,
12
     maybe the congressional map, I'm not sure, there's a claw
13
     that comes around.
14
               Is that the legislative map?
15
               WILLIE DESMOND:
                                It's the congressional.
16
               DIANE LANDIS: That's the congressional.
17
     That's another issue. Thank you.
18
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                    Thank you.
19
               I would just say that I think the problem is that
20
     we have this equal population requirement in all the
21
     districts, and that's federal law.
2.2
               And in order to comply with that, we've been
23
     challenged, as Mr. -- Mayor Schlum said earlier,
24
     constrained, and that's a perfect word. There are only so
25
     many things we can do.
```

And we've tried as a Commission to keep rural areas rural and urban areas urban.

2.

2.2

And I remember being at one of the hearings, and I think it was Eagar, where somebody suggested why don't you just have a hub and spoke design on the map, because then it's just like a big pie, and each, each area comes into the urban area to get the population it needs to meet the equal population requirement.

And there was a lot of booing when that happened. And people don't like that.

And yet it's super hard to get enough people in those rural areas without having to also compromise the other criteria of compactness. And it's just something that we faced all along.

One of the constraints is our state borders.

We're not -- we can't really go outside of those, because it would be great to go across the Colorado River and get those rural communities, but that's not really an option either, but -- so it's just kind of a matter of balance.

And you're also up against -- 13 is right next to four, which is a voting rights district, I think, and that also -- provides another big constraint in terms of what we can do with the lines.

But I appreciate your input on the Litchfield Park
matter.

1 Our next speaker is Representative Richard 2 Miranda, Arizona -- representing Arizona Minority Coalition, 3 from Tolleson. 4 REPRESENTATIVE RICHARD MIRANDA: Thank you. 5 Richard Miranda, M-I-R-A-N-D-A, Maricopa County. 6 And thank you, I appreciate, because I was here 7 before you started at 1:00, and I really need to get going. 8 I think the only concern that we would have is the 9 kind of developments that have happened over the last 10 seven days as far as 27, with the idea out there that 11 somehow -- I'm glad that we still have Guadalupe in 27, but 12 the idea that moving parts of that Phoenix area, I think, 13 48th Street and 32nd, into Tempe, and extending south 14 Phoenix area all the way out to Scottsdale Road and 15 Roosevelt would somehow make DOJ much more satisfied that 16 somehow or other you would think that 26 is now a 17 minority-majority district. 18 I think those are -- those assumptions are off 19 base. 20 I think that DOJ would not really consider a 21 two percent increase, if I understand, because each map 2.2 is -- and the data that just went online this morning, I 23 don't think that the two percent really makes an impact. 24 And I don't think that the DOJ would, would 25 consider that as a minority-majority district, even if you

1 take old 14, and has a minority-majority district that's even voting Arizona at minority population candidates on 2. 3 their own. 4 But, anyway, getting back to 27, contacting some of the folks that live in south Phoenix, some of them are 5 6 working so they just cannot get here. 7 I encourage them to e-mail, to get their, their 8 ideas, thoughts, on what extending out to Scottsdale Road 9 and Roosevelt would make them feel. 10 I don't know why that, why that somehow or another 11 DOJ is going to, again, make, make them happy with 26. I think it's not a big impact with the -- it's a 12 very small minute total voting age population, rather than 13 14 the voting performance of 26. 15 What the reason to make those moves for the last 16 seven days, I don't know. 17 I wasn't here to hear the arguments on why we're moving those populations now at the end of this whole 18 19 process rather than, you know, why wasn't this brought up 20 before. 21 So with that, I think we, we -- we're not really happy, but we could live, as I stated before, I think, with 22

the October 30th draft map, that is basically Phoenix,

Guadalupe in south Phoenix area, because that's where it

Arizona. And so I'm glad that we still are keeping

23

24

25

1	belongs.
2	And these last couple of tweaks as someone
3	suggested to make DOJ happy, they're off base.
4	So, that's all I have to say.
5	And although we're not happy with the October 30
6	draft map, it is what it is. But these two tweaks someone
7	suggested would cause us some concern.
8	And thank you.
9	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you.
10	Any questions for Representative Miranda?
11	(No oral response.)
12	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you.
13	Our next speaker is Shirley Dye, representing
14	northern Arizona.
15	SHIRLEY DYE: Shirley Dye, D, as in dog, Y-E.
16	As I see it, there's been a couple of problems
17	right from the get-go about having trying to get two
18	rural congressional districts.
19	There is not apparently enough persons, if you
20	need 750,000 people per a district isn't that right? Or
21	750,000 per district?
22	Okay.
23	So when you're, when you're looking at this, the
24	second one is trying to have a whole Native American
25	district.

And I think the reason we've got 300,000 people down there in Pinal County is because the Gila River Indian reservation tribe wanted to be with the Navajos and the Apaches.

2.2

And that's why this is gerrymandered over into that area.

It seems to me that the Gila River Native American district might have more in common with the Pima Native American district down in the Yuma district, number three.

If you switched out and put northern Gila County back in District 1, and maybe even Apache Junction, because it's not so urbanized, you could get your numbers that you needed in District 1 and make it more compact.

And then somehow looking over at La Paz County, and that area between Highway 8 and 10 in Maricopa, work something out that shifts all those 300,000 people over toward the west.

Now, I realize this is for, at this late hour, is rather a ridiculous request.

But with a 9.7 percent Democratic advantage in District 1, and with the communities of interest being the high forest lands of the traditional rural area up there, those 300,000 people in Pinal County, which is almost half of what they need for a district, is not going to have a clue about all of the rural issues.

1 And I think that, you know, it's just rather 2 ridiculous to have that concentration of urban people in what is supposed to be a rural district. 3 4 Thank you. 5 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you. 6 Our next speaker is Representative Saldate, 7 representing self, from District 27. 8 REPRESENTATIVE MACARIO SALDATE: Thank you very 9 much. My last name is S-A-L-D-A-T-E. 10 And I want to speak about an area that is in the 11 area of District 27, as it's made up now, but primarily in 12 an area --13 Sir, could you speak up, please? THE REPORTER: 14 REPRESENTATIVE MACARIO SALDATE: I'm sorry. Ι 15 want to speak up -- I should speak up anyway. 16 In terms of the area that is in District 27, as 17 it's currently formed. 18 And then with a change to District 2, there's been 19 small pieces of that district moved. 20 And that -- in not doing that, it has gone against 21 in some ways traditional notions out of the barrio. 2.2 barrio there's a whole new interpretation that is not 23 necessarily political and possibly more cultural, but there 24 is areas, for example, in south Tucson that are major 25 streets, those are South 4th, South 6th, and South 12th.

1 Now, that has new interpretation for people that have not either been in that area for a long time or have 2 already moved out and have not seen the various kinds of 3 4 activities, both cultural, educational, and political to 5 some extent, that have impacted. 6 But I would like to say that that can create 7 confusion. And it is going to be more difficult, I think, 8 to continue to develop the potential of our voters in that 9 So that inconsistency I think is something that could 10 be looked at and to try to honor possibly the compactness of 11 that area. 12 That is basically my presentation. 13 Thank you. 14 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you. 15 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair. 16 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera. 17 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Representative Saldate, is 18 there a way you can show me in that -- using -- refer to 19 that map and point to that area that you're referring to? 20 That would be extremely helpful for me. 21 Representative Saldate, if you don't mind, if you 22 will speak -- if you plan on speaking again, do you mind 23 using the pointer, if we have one, and make sure you speak 24 using the microphone. 25 REPRESENTATIVE MACARIO SALDATE: My eyesight is

```
1
    not -- is this South Broadway?
 2.
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Representative Saldate, can
 3
     you speak into the mic?
 4
               REPRESENTATIVE MACARIO SALDATE: Is this South
 5
     Broadway? I'm not sure.
 6
               Is this South Broadway, this area here?
 7
               I'm trying to find -- this is I-10, I think;
 8
     right?
 9
               I would have go and check.
10
               Can I approach the map to see what --
11
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                    Sure, of course.
12
               REPRESENTATIVE MACARIO SALDATE: I'm looking for
13
     South 12th, looking for Ajo.
14
               WILLIE DESMOND: It's right here.
15
               REPRESENTATIVE MACARIO SALDATE: So it would
16
     be. . .
               This is South 12th here.
17
18
               Actually need to get further, I guess, to see more
19
     or less where Ajo Way would be.
20
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Can you go up north?
21
               There's Ajo.
22
               REPRESENTATIVE MACARIO SALDATE: This is
23
     South 12th here.
24
               Can we go further up?
25
               WILLIE DESMOND: Ajo is right here, this white
```

1	one.
2	REPRESENTATIVE MACARIO SALDATE: If we go down
3	this way, this is the demarcation line right here, of
4	west the west of 12th, that is in the district, east is
5	not.
6	So when you get in this whole area here, there's a
7	little chunk.
8	There are a number of them, but I think there's
9	one that will be pulled out. It's a four-by-four-by-four
10	block.
11	And that is very traditionally been, you know, the
12	western part of that area.
13	East would be different.
14	So, that would be right here.
15	Right here.
16	That's an example of what I would think would
17	be I think there's another one someplace in that area,
18	where that could create some confusion.
19	But that that particular area I know well.
20	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Willie, could you put the
21	census tract map on it?
22	I think the way that the reason that jut is
23	there is a census issue that happened when you were cleaning
24	some stuff up that we were looking at a long time ago.
25	WILLIE DESMOND: So you have a census tract that

1	takes that four-by-four.
2	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: So, Madam Chair.
3	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera.
4	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Moving that area would break
5	up this would split a census tract?
6	WILLIE DESMOND: It would split a census tract,
7	yes. But, I mean, the reason that is there is because of
8	the census tract also. We were selecting at the tract
9	level.
10	That wasn't an intentional block necessarily.
11	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Mr. Desmond, can you do me a
12	favor and highlight the entire census tract for that area,
13	including that area, the four-by-four area that Mr. Saldate
14	referred to.
15	Representative Saldate, you're asking for that
16	four-by-four area to be taken away from two?
17	REPRESENTATIVE MACARIO SALDATE: Yes.
18	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: And put into where again?
19	REPRESENTATIVE MACARIO SALDATE: The new three,
20	new Legislative District 3.
21	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: And only that piece; correct?
22	The four-by-four piece, into from two to three.
23	REPRESENTATIVE MACARIO SALDATE: Yes.
24	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Okay.
25	And, Mr. Desmond, how many people is that? How

1	many people in that area?
2	WILLIE DESMOND: 519.
3	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Say again.
4	WILLIE DESMOND: 519.
5	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair, I wouldn't mind
6	looking at that and cleaning it up. If it is an area that
7	has traditionally been in the new, excuse me, new three,
8	then I wouldn't be opposed to looking at the idea of putting
9	it back in.
10	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: What is the boundary, that
11	brown line going south currently that you know.
12	Mr. Desmond, can you tell me that?
13	WILLIE DESMOND: It is South 12th.
14	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: It is 12th.
15	WILLIE DESMOND: Primarily to the north. Then it
16	goes down South 7th.
17	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: So it would mean making
18	following South 12th all the down is what he's saying.
19	Okay.
20	Thank you.
21	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair.
22	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz.
23	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Pondering this, there's a,
24	there's a series of notches and pop-outs in all of these,
25	all of these districts.

Is there a reason why we're concentrating just on Because I know there's a lot of other parts of south Tucson where there are neighborhoods where we've got side 4 streets that are causing demarcations. And 12th is a major north-south. 12th, 6th, and 4th are major north-souths. And it makes perfect sense to have that as a demarcation. But is there a reason -- are other areas we want 8 to concentrate on --REPRESENTATIVE MACARIO SALDATE: Culturally it's 10 always been. When -- if you went to junior high there, if 11 you went to Wakefield, if you went to the -- it is 12 tradition. By tradition. I'm not saying that this is in any matter legal, 13 14 but traditionally there's a sense of whether it's west of 15 12th or east of 12th, and communities define themselves 16 traditionally that way. 17 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: East or west of 12th? 18 REPRESENTATIVE MACARIO SALDATE: Right. Now only 19 would someone that would be taking these issues at a 20 university, studying, for example, the culture of the 21 Mexican American would you hear this type of discussion. 22 It has nothing to do, again, formally. But there is within the neighborhoods after people have lived over the 23 24 years, those that maintain, remain there, and continue to

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

25

hold this definition, this milestones, in terms of how to

1 describe, you know, their geographic area. 2. That's why I think it would be somewhat difficult because of the confusion that it might create, particularly 3 4 This is right in the center of that in this area. 5 traditional barrio situation. 6 And, of course, it has nothing to do with any 7 other aspect of it. It's strictly a traditional aspect of 8 t.hat.. 9 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you. 10 Other questions or comments? 11 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair, is there --12 should we be looking at -- because there are other areas, 13 other parts of south Tucson, the south side of Tucson, that 14 actually adjust back and forth that we -- as you can see it 15 jogs back to the east over 7th Avenue -- and 7th Avenue is 16 by no means one of your major north-souths. You've got 4th, 17 6th, and 12th. 18 REPRESENTATIVE MACARIO SALDATE: My colleague is 19 suggesting an area. . . 20 SENATOR OLIVIA CAJERO BEDFORD: I know what you're 21 talking about, Commissioner Stertz. Yes, there are large 2.2 areas, but there are large areas, neighborhoods. 23 I would suggest that instead of that little 24 neighborhood, that you go behind Government Heights School. 25 Continue the line in a larger block, leave South 12th

```
1
     together.
 2.
               Again, I live -- also grew up on the south side of
 3
     Tucson, so I know the neighborhoods too.
 4
               So that would be my recommendation.
 5
               If you could put the map up, I would be glad to
 6
     make that suggestion.
 7
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                    Sure.
 8
               And actually this is Senator Cajero Bedford, and
 9
     state senator LD 27.
10
               If -- for the record, if you could spell your last
11
     name.
12
               SENATOR OLIVIA CAJERO BEDFORD:
                                               I'd be glad to.
13
     My last name is Cajero Bedford. And that's C-A-J-E-R-O, and
14
     then Bedford, B-E-D-F-O-R-D.
15
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA:
                                   Madam Chair.
16
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                    Mr. Herrera.
17
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA:
                                    These are -- in comments I
18
     want to make sure that they don't mention at all where they
19
     live, not even close. Not that you have --
20
               OLIVIA CAJERO BEDFORD: I grew up in that area.
21
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Oh, I misunderstood.
22
               SENATOR OLIVIA CAJERO BEDFORD: I know -- I knew
23
     the area. And I know that the area goes down South 7th
24
     because my mother's house, who was also a legislator, was
25
     right at that corner. So I know the boundary.
```

1	But I'm suggesting at Ajo you take in a few more
2	of that neighborhood, go straight down, instead of curving
3	back, and instead of curving across Ajo, make a bigger
4	square my hand's not big enough.
5	Where's the thing?
6	What I'm suggesting what I'm suggesting is that
7	this line, right there, go up. And that way you've taken
8	the South 12th boundary.
9	Do you need if you need to put in take in
10	more, here, up across. That's straight.
11	So this would wind up over here.
12	That would be my recommendation.
13	Thank you.
14	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you.
15	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair.
16	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz.
17	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Mr. Desmond, would you go up
18	a little bit on the screen, just for a second.
19	That might be a nice way to offset population by
20	doing that 22nd Street continuation.
21	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Just following 22nd across.
22	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: 22nd.
23	If you can capture that and drop that into three,
24	then we'll be able to pick up the continuation of 12th.
25	WILLIE DESMOND: That would probably end up being

```
1
     a deviation of 2,000 more people in the District 2.
 2.
               Do you want me to just go ahead and show you what
     that would look like and make all three of those changes
 3
 4
     real quick?
 5
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Yes, please.
 6
               WILLIE DESMOND: So three would take that area,
 7
    but also this area.
 8
               District 2 would take that area.
 9
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair.
10
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: 12th is such a -- 12th and
11
     22nd are really strong corridors. They're also main -- goes
12
     back to the discussion we had yesterday about major
13
     arterials and collectors being, being the dotted lines on
14
     the edges of legislative borders, so. . .
15
               WILLIE DESMOND: East and west side of
16
     12th Avenue, the only thing I would say is that District 3
17
     at this point would be underpopulated by 10,603 people,
18
    negative 4.9 percent. That's getting close to the edge of
     what we feel comfortable with in terms of deviation.
19
20
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Is that District 2?
21
               WILLIE DESMOND: District 2 is underpopulated by
2.2
     6,303.
23
               Prior to this change, both of those were
24
     underpopulated, but they were underpopulated by 7900 and
25
     8900, respectively.
```

```
1
               So what you've done here is you've taken, in
     three, which was at 8900, it has lost some additional
 2
 3
     2,000 people net.
 4
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair.
 5
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz.
 6
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ: We heard this testimony down
 7
     in Tucson about picking this up and cleaning up some of
 8
     these lines.
                   I think it would be terrific to be able to
 9
     send this change up, making the note of the change, and
10
     sending that up for -- into our -- for our analysis to make
11
     sure that -- because both of these are voting rights
12
     districts.
13
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. We'll -- is there any
14
     other input or questions for. . .
15
               (No oral response.)
16
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                   Okay.
                                           We're going to talk
17
     about legislative district map in a little bit. So we'll
18
    hold that thought and move to that later.
19
               We're just moving through some public comment
20
     right now.
21
               Thank you both for your input.
22
               And once we get through that, we'll go back to the
23
     congressional district map and then we'll talk about
24
     legislative too.
25
               So our next speaker is Scott LeMarr, mayor, from
```

1	Paradise Valley.
2	MAYOR SCOTT LEMARR: Thank you, Madam Chair, and
3	members of the Commission.
4	I'm appearing along with Vice Mayor Mary Hamway.
5	First to commend the Commission for its efforts in
6	a really complicated statewide task. I can appreciate how
7	difficult this may be.
8	The original draft map kept communities of like
9	interest together in our opinion and was supported by
10	Paradise Valley.
11	Our community has shared interests with both the
12	Biltmore and the Arcadia areas of Phoenix, and I'll get into
13	that in just a minute.
14	In particular, those two communities that I just
15	mentioned have a shared fire department.
16	We have an intergovernmental agreement with the
17	Arcadia portion of the city of Phoenix that covers that
18	area, a little bit of the Phoenix Mountain Preserve, and all
19	of the town of Paradise Valley.
20	We share the financial commitments.
21	We have city of Phoenix firefighters man the town
22	of Paradise Valley firefighting equipment.
23	And this is just the first of a couple items I
24	want to go through.
25	We also share a transportation corridor which goes

1 through our community. Tatum, Lincoln Drives. 2. of MAG, and we're also part of the main transportation 3 system. 4 We also have two shared trailheads for 5 Camelback Mountain, which requires a lot of parking 6 management, because each of the trailheads directly abut the 7 town of Paradise Valley. So the management of parking, 8 access, access for maintenance, is another shared 9 commonality we have. 10 Also 50 percent of the residents in our community 11 are on the city of Phoenix water system. 12 The town of Paradise Valley does not have its own 13 water company, so we have shared with the city of Phoenix to 14 buy the water company. 15 And also most of the residents of our community 16 attend schools either in the Arcadia area or my own children 17 are in Creighton School District, which is basically Biltmore and south. 18 19 So that's just a highlight of the commonalities 20 that we have with our sister communities. 21 I really hope that we don't have a bifurcation of 2.2 this relationship. 23 I think it's vital to the continued providing of 24 cost effective services for our small community that does 25 not have its own water or own fire department.

1 And lastly, I might add, because we have no retail 2 in the town of Paradise Valley other than a resort community, we depend on the Biltmore area quite a bit for 3 4 our shopping and entertainment expenses. 5 So I ask you to please reconsider the original 6 draft map fits the needs and commonality we have with the 7 Biltmore and Arcadia area, and so we would like you to 8 consider continuing to keep the town of Paradise Valley and 9 its 13,000 residents in District No. 28 and not in the 10 redistrict 15. 11 Thank you. 12 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you. 13 Our next speaker is Joshua Offenhartz, 14 representing self, from Scottsdale. 15 JOSHUA OFFENHARTZ: Good afternoon. Last name is 16 O-F-F-E-N-H-A-R-T-Z. Thank you, Madam Chair, fellow commissioners. 17 I'll be brief. 18 19 I just want to say thank you for taking the time 20 to listen to our presentation on south Scottsdale yesterday, 21 allowing time for our recommendation to be analyzed, and 2.2 really showing a willingness to compromise and accept that 23 change if the lawyers come back and tell us if it was a 24 negligible voting rights change. 25 Just to reiterate, we're asking that you do accept the change we had come up with at yesterday's meeting.

2.

2.2

We do believe that an attempt to strengthen the voting rights district in LD 26 at the same time adding population to Scottsdale in LD 23, that grabbing redistrict areas, neighborhoods around Coronado High School, the health park, is a smarter way to go to create a more whole district, to keep that Scottsdale Unified School District as whole as possible. And also, like I said, it kind of helps both districts.

And so thank you for that.

We strongly encourage you to accept that change when the analysis comes back, and we look forward to seeing that in the final map.

Just as one note, as always I'd like to say that I agree with the mayor of Paradise Valley that something along closer to the lines of the draft map with tweaks or competitiveness is what I'd like to see in LD 28. I think it can be accomplished.

I think that it is also a close to competitive district as it stands, if you look at the current representation, and there are ways to make it more competitive as some commissioners would like without really tearing apart that community.

So I thank you for your time as always, and again we hope that you will accept that final change in south

1 Scottsdale, and I appreciate your time. 2. CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you. Our next speaker is Virginia Simpson, former vice 3 4 mayor from Paradise Valley. Thank you for hearing us. 5 VIRGINIA SIMPSON: 6 Our mayor, you've heard, has described most of the 7 reasons, but just to reiterate, one of the things is a big 8 misnomer in our town is that many people hear the Paradise 9 School District and think the Paradise Valley School 10 District is in Paradise Valley. 11 In fact, it's not. 12 It's quite north of Phoenix. 13 We are in the Scottsdale School District, which is 14 Arcadia, Scottsdale, and Paradise Valley. 15 Also we host a lot of religious institutions. We 16 have two synagogues, and we have a large variety of Christian churches, which are populated by not only our 17 residents, we are a small town, but a lot of people come 18 from Scottsdale and from the Biltmore area. 19 20 Those institutions are not just open for their 21 religious services. They become community active 2.2 institutions. 23 They're community centers where our people get 24 together virtually every night of the week. Go by one of 25 those religious institutions and see the parking lot full.

1 That's where our people gather. 2. So saying that they are just a place where people 3 worship, it's really a community. 4 We're very tightly bound to the Arcadia area, to 5 the Biltmore area. And probably that's why we are such a 6 tightknit area, which is why you'll notice also in our 7 representation people are so tightknit that you'll see 8 representatives where we tend to vote for the best 9 candidate, which is probably why you'll see both parties 10 represented in our district. 11 I would hate to see us go back, lose that kind of 12 continuity and relationships that have been built up both as 13 our mayor has referred to through intergovernmental 14 agreements and through just social ties. 15 And so I'll hope you'll really reconsider going 16 back to what has been more of a traditional boundaries where we are bound with the Biltmore and Arcadia district. 17 18 Thank you very much for listening. 19 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you. 20 Our next speaker is Ann Dutton Ewbank, from 21 Phoenix, Maricopa, representing self. 2.2 ANN DUTTON EWBANK: Good afternoon. My name is 23 Ann Dutton Ewbank, spelled D-U-T-T-O-N, E-W-B-A-N-K. 24 I'm a resident of the Sunnyslope community in 25 north central Phoenix.

And I'd like to first thank you and the Commission for your hard work. This is a very difficult and complex process, and I appreciate your service to Arizona.

And like you I'm interested in making our legislative district maps better for the next ten years.

2.2

I'd like to speak particularly to the legislative district map. The -- particularly the north Phoenix area, and the area demarcated by Districts 15 and 28 on the October 10th approved map.

I reviewed both the October 10th approved map and also the map proposed by Mr. Herrera. And I've come to the conclusion that I prefer the October 10th map for the following reasons.

First of all, the October 10th map recognizes our Sunnyslope community very well and supports that, that community bond that we have, not only within Sunnyslope, but also with our neighbors to the east and to the west.

I appreciated the mayor of the town of Paradise

Valley's comments and also the former vice mayor of the town

of Paradise Valley's comments about our community being very

tightknit. And I do see a lot of commonalities between

Paradise Valley, Arcadia, the Biltmore area, and of course

Sunnyslope.

Having more in common than -- together than going to, for example, up to New River, halfway to Flagstaff, so I

1 | really think that this is a preferable map for that reason.

2.

2.2

The Herrera map changes the districting question to span all the way from New River down to Scottsdale. And these are really two separate communities in my mind.

The other thing that I'd like you to take into consideration is the school district configuration. Living in Sunnyslope, I live in the Washington Elementary School District and the Glendale Union High School District, and I've reviewed both maps.

And while it's extremely difficult, I think, to overlay the legislative district map with such large union high school districts and elementary school districts, I notice that both of these maps, those school districts span between four and six legislative districts. And so I'd like you to take that into consideration if you are looking into making any changes.

And finally I'd like to reiterate that the

October 10th approved map is the best map that meets all of
the requirements of competitive based on Strategic

Telemetry's analysis, the Commission's definition of
competitiveness.

I've had the privilege of living in a competitive district for the last ten years. I really appreciate the opportunity to vote for and to elect very diverse candidates, especially as an independent voter.

1	And I'd like to continue to have that opportunity
2	by the Commission approving the October 10th draft map.
3	Thank you.
4	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you.
5	Our next speaker is James Hoxworth, from
6	Paradise Valley.
7	JAMES HOXWORTH: Good afternoon.
8	How are you?
9	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: I'm sorry, if you could spell
10	your last name for the record, that would be great.
11	JAMES HOXWORTH: H-O-X-W-O-R-T-H.
12	And I had the privilege of being at the Peoria
13	meeting with Commissioner Herrera, I believe, back in the
14	day.
15	So it's good to be back.
16	It's interesting hearing from Ms. Simpson earlier,
17	since I do represent Camelback Bible Church located about
18	40th Street and Stanford, which is right at the kind of
19	the break point of one of the congressional district lines.
20	Can I give you this? Is that all right?
21	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Sure.
22	JAMES HOXWORTH: And, so, it's we found
23	that interesting as we're looking at that as a church, it
24	does kind of divide up our community of interest a little
25	bit.

1	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: And this is on the
2	congressional map? I'm sorry.
3	JAMES HOXWORTH: Congressional map, yes.
4	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Maybe we can pull it up just
5	so everyone can see.
6	JAMES HOXWORTH: I think it's between six and
7	eight, maybe, or six and nine.
8	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: I can't really read on the
9	map.
10	JAMES HOXWORTH: That was kind of right at the
11	nook of where the line was.
12	That blue part towards
13	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: You also have a pointer, if
14	you want to use that.
15	JAMES HOXWORTH: Oh, all right.
16	Okay, this is, this is is this 40th Street
17	right here? Or is this 40th? Okay.
18	And is this Stanford right here, or is this
19	Stanford?
20	I think this might be Stanford.
21	Because Stanford runs east-west.
22	Okay. There we go.
23	We are literally at the corner of 40th Street and
24	Stanford right here.
25	Maybe this is 36th?

1 Because 44th is where Stanford dead ends. This is Stanford. 2. WILLIE DESMOND: I don't know why it says Missouri. 3 4 This is still --JAMES HOXWORTH: So if this is 40th Street, we're 5 6 right here on the corner of that in the heart of PV. 7 Kind of on the border as well. 8 But basically what we're, what we're asking for is 9 to see if you guys would be willing to move the line down, 10 because it does divide up the community of interest, being 11 PV, the Biltmore, Arcadia. And to move it to include also south to Camelback Road and west to 24th Street, which is 12 13 basically the border of the Biltmore. 14 Because, again, that's where many of our 15 contingency of our church goes from Biltmore, Arcadia, and 16 also the north and northeast valley. And it seems like -- yeah, exactly, right there. 17 Because what it does is it literally kind of 18 19 divides up our congregation where we have some parishioners 20 who walk to church, could walk it from one congressional 21 district to another, on their way even to church. 2.2 And so basically, yeah, if you could keep the 23 areas around 40th Street and Stanford in the same congressional district, that would be awesome. 24 25 keep contiguous lines and communities of interest together.

```
1
               That's about all.
 2.
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                   Okay. Thanks.
 3
               Any questions?
 4
               (No oral response.)
 5
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                    All right.
                                                Thank you.
 6
               JAMES HOXWORTH:
                                All right.
                                            Thank you very much.
 7
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                    I apologize, but State
 8
     Senator Cajero Bedford, she did fill out a request to speak
 9
     form and wanted to speak on some other matter.
10
               SENATOR OLIVIA CAJERO BEDFORD: Well, I should
11
     apologize because I butted in.
12
               Olivia Cajero Bedford, O-L-I-V-I-A, C-A-J-E-R-O,
13
     Bedford, B-E-D-F-O-R-D.
14
               Thank you members of the Commission -- can't hear
15
    me?
16
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                    If you could raise it.
17
               SENATOR OLIVIA CAJERO BEDFORD: How's that?
18
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                    Great.
19
               SENATOR OLIVIA CAJERO BEDFORD: I'm here because
20
     of the new 11 that the Tucson Mountain residents saw in the
21
     last couple of days.
2.2
               The Tucson Mountains are on the western boundary
23
                 Those of you that are from Tucson would know the
     of Tucson.
24
     area probably. It's a very active area. But in the latest
25
    map that just came out a few days ago, it was included with
```

1 Eloy, Casa Grande, to the south boundary of Chandler. 2. Now I'm here because the Tucson Mountain 3 organizations and Tucson Mountain residents are communities 4 of interest. 5 They have been a very activist group, fighting 6 overzealous zoning. They have fought different issues on 7 the environment. A very strong group. 8 The Tucson Mountains are only five miles to 9 start -- about five miles west of downtown. 10 There is no commonality with what goes north. 11 So I would ask that you go back to the October map 12 that you have had, which put the Tucson Mountains in 13 District 3. 14 I sent out e-mails to different residents, because 15 people are out shopping, doing their Christmas stuff, and 16 not really -- nobody was paying attention, including me. 17 But, when I -- my response is, after I sent this 18 e-mail out, and it told some of the residents what was now 19 where the Tucson Mountains was going to be, they were very 20 upset. Because the last information that we had, and 21 22 appears what we rely on, a lot of us, was this article that 23 talked about the residents of Oro Valley and Saddlebrooke. 24 And so there was a feeling, and I've talked with 25 members today, and they say this is not true, but this is

```
1
     the feeling of the residents of the Tucson Mountains, is
 2.
     that Oro Valley and Saddlebrooke are getting their way, and
     so the people on the west side are getting -- the Tucson
 3
 4
     Mountains are getting the shaft. So, and I talked to
 5
     members, and I've been told that is not true.
 6
               But that's the way it seemed because of what had
 7
     been in the paper and the latest map.
 8
               That takes the Tucson Mountains, which is so close
 9
     to downtown Tucson, and puts it in with the farmers and
10
     ranchers, farmers in Eloy, Casa Grande.
11
               So I'm asking that you go back to the map in
12
     October, which was very, very good.
13
               And let me -- glad to answer any questions.
14
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                    Thank you.
15
               Any questions?
16
               (No oral response.)
               SENATOR OLIVIA CAJERO BEDFORD: Of course I was
17
18
     going to comment about that four block by four block also,
19
     but I got that started early.
20
               It seems like 500 people in that four block area
21
     is not accurate. That's just my impression, as I said,
2.2
     knowing the area.
23
               Anyway, but I would ask that you please put the
24
     Tucson Mountains back in with Tucson.
25
               Don't put it in to -- you know, raise that
```

1	boundary, please.
2	Thank you very much. Appreciate it.
3	I admire you.
4	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you.
5	Is there anyone else who wanted to address the
6	Commission that I missed?
7	Please.
8	VICE MAYOR MARY HAMWAY: I have a form. I'm not
9	sure exactly what happened to it.
10	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Tell us your name and
11	VICE MAYOR MARY HAMWAY: Mary Hamway, and I'm the
12	current vice mayor of the town of Paradise Valley.
13	H-A-M-W-A-Y.
14	See it? Okay.
15	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: I must somehow I
16	apologize. I don't know what happened there.
17	Vice mayor, town of Paradise Valley.
18	VICE MAYOR MARY HAMWAY: My comments will likely
19	repeat a lot that's already been said, but I did want you to
20	know that we were here and that I strongly support the
21	comments by Mayor LeMarr and also former Vice Mayor Ginny
22	Simpson.
23	But I just wanted to say that I'm here to speak
24	out against the Herrera map number known as version two.
25	This puts Paradise Valley in a legislative

1	district with the growth areas of Anthem and New River.
2	Our community has really very little in common
3	with these two communities.
4	We are our community just celebrated its
5	50th anniversary, and our issues are much different.
6	We're completely built out. We're surrounded by
7	Scottsdale and Phoenix. And we our issues are very
8	different from a new new communities that are growing and
9	have to, to concern themselves about sprawl.
LO	We're about redevelopment and protecting existing
L1	communities.
L2	So I would say that a representative would have
L3	trouble or wouldn't really understand our issues if they
L4	came from the Anthem and New River area.
L5	And also as has been stated previously, our
L6	students go to Scottsdale school districts. Our tax base
L7	supports those schools.
L8	And we have nothing to do with the Paradise Valley
L9	School District. The only thing we share is a common name.
20	The transportation corridors align the communities
21	of Paradise Valley, Arcadia, Biltmore, Moon Valley, and
22	central Phoenix.
23	Paradise Valley is a mature, residential, resort
24	community.
25	We have no retail

1	We shop in Arcadia, Biltmore, and the Scottsdale
2	areas.
3	And our residents enjoy an urban lifestyle.
4	They choose to live near businesses and churches,
5	school, entertainment areas, health care institutions, and
6	the airport.
7	And, the reason why we support staying in
8	District 28 or because it really kind of keeps the
9	current District 11 intact, and that district has yielded
10	for the past three election cycles competitive
11	representation.
12	So we question why you would take that and tear
13	that apart and put Paradise Valley in a district that
14	with communities it has little in common with.
15	So we hope that you respect the original map of in
16	October, and keep the district competitive, because it
17	already exists, and it already is competitive.
18	Thanks so much.
19	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you.
20	Did I miss anyone else?
21	(No oral response.)
22	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. Let's check the time.
23	VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: Madam Chair.
24	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Freeman.
25	It's 3:56.

VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: There was one thing that the mayor of the town of Paradise Valley mentioned that I wanted to sort of discuss. I did talk about it back in October when we were working on the maps, and but he mentioned something in particular about the Camelback Mountain parking issue.

And that really, really strikes home, close to home. And it's something I deal with every weekend. And it's only on weekends, because my wife has to deal with it during the week, but I've been -- you know, there's these recreation areas within -- that straddle Paradise Valley and Phoenix. It's basically Camelback Mountain.

You can climb Mummy Mountain, but not really.

I've done it.

But then there's Squaw Peak and the Phoenix

Mountain Preserve and Quartz Ridge in Phoenix that abut

Paradise Valley, and it's a common recreation area. It's area I've frequented since the '70s.

And, you know, that parking issue, I can't believe I didn't think of it earlier, it's gone from a dusty, winding trail up Camelback Mountain to long lines of idling cars and lots of huge parking burden on Paradise Valley and on the adjoining areas in greater Arcadia where I live.

And just as a warning, if you -- if you're going to climb Echo Canyon and park in front of my house, my wife

```
1
     has been known to have you people towed. So don't do it.
 2.
               I'm a little more forgiving. I'll just right you
 3
     a note.
 4
               So I just wanted to mention that, because it was
 5
     something that really sort of ties those areas, Biltmore,
 6
     Arcadia, PV area together. And it's something I wanted to
 7
     comment upon.
 8
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                    Thank you.
 9
               Any comments from others?
10
               (No oral response.)
11
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                    Okay. Well, we appreciate
12
     all the public comment today and for you all taking time to
13
     come tell us.
14
               It's about 4:00 p.m.
15
               Does anyone need a break right now, or do we --
16
            I'm already seeing one nod.
               Okay. There's bipartisan agreement that we need a
17
18
     break.
19
               So we will come back just in ten minutes.
20
               Thank you.
21
               (Brief recess taken.)
22
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. We'll enter back into
23
     public session. The time is 4:15 p.m.
24
               We just finished public comment. And if we could,
25
     I'd like to go back to where we were, which was the
```

1	congressional map.
2	(Brief pause.)
3	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: And if we could, I would like
4	to look at Maricopa County some more, just because those
5	districts we haven't really talked about in a lot of detail,
6	and I just want to zero in on some of that and see if people
7	have changes.
8	I know there's some people have issues with four
9	coming reaching around.
10	I don't know if there's a way to address that.
11	It's, it's been a challenge from the beginning with this
12	two rural district concept in terms of trying to keep it as
13	rural as possible, both those districts.
14	I'd be interested in any commissioner's thoughts
15	on the Maricopa County area in terms improvements to that.
16	It sounded like people from Fountain Hills were
17	pleased to be in six.
18	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair.
19	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz.
20	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: In regarding the scoop
21	around the north side of Maricopa to pick up population and
22	include San Tan, et cetera, these are what you're what
23	you are talking about would be fairly demonstrative changes
24	at this point.
25	We've had we've had recommendations that I but

1 forward in a map a week and a half, two weeks ago that showed the cleaning up of that, of that hook around, and 2. that was fairly summarily dismissed. Which brought forth 3 4 the map that I brought forth last week Thursday regarding 5 five, six, four, and eight adjustments. 6 If we're going to go back -- listen, I'd love to, 7 I'd love to revisit all of that. 8 I would love to see us revisit it. 9 But we're, we're in a place right now that I think 10 that the weight of the decisions that are taking place --11 because I think it's accomplishable. We've been able to 12 accomplish it in various iterations of the map. 13 else would have to give, and some of the areas that would 14 have to give would be -- are really centered around the city 15 of Flagstaff. 16 And that was from the two Democrat commissioners, 17 that was a non-starter. 18 So if that is a non-starter, the decision for 19 fixing the District 4 hook would lie on your shoulders. 20 We want to look at it. The only way to be able to 21 accomplish it would be to be for removing the greater 2.2 Flagstaff area from District 1 into District 4. 23 That allows us to be able to accomplish some of 24 these areas where we're hooking around communities to be

25

able to capture others.

1	But unless that's something that you'd be willing
2	to entertain, all we're going to do is to start going down a
3	path that we've already been down before.
4	So, my view now is that unless I hear something
5	different from you saying, yes, let's go ahead and move
6	Flagstaff into from four from one into four, which I
7	haven't heard you say if you'd like to say that, I would
8	be happy to hear it right now.
9	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: No, I'm not willing to say
10	that.
11	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Okay.
12	So, so to do that, that's the, that's the way to
13	accomplish that problem.
14	So, so if we're if we know that that's the only
15	solution based on without changing keep in mind that we
16	are fixed with District 3 and we're fixed with District 7.
17	So you've got a north border of three that is
18	fixed.
19	You've got a you've got an area around seven
20	that is fixed.
21	We've got a District 9 that has become as
22	untouchable from the Democrats and I believe the chair's
23	position as are the majority-minority districts.
24	We can then do what we can to fix the others.
25	Now my recommendation during break to Mr Desmond

107

```
1
     regarding five, six, eight, and I think four, which cleaned
 2
     up some splits that were recommended by
     Commissioner Herrera, I believe that all of those were able
 3
 4
     to be successfully executed giving balance to those
 5
     districts.
 6
               And, again, unless we want to go back in and move
 7
     Flagstaff from one to four, there's -- I don't see a way of
 8
     fixing it.
 9
               If that's a non-starter, it's a non-starter.
10
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                    Thoughts from other
11
     commissioners?
12
               VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN:
                                    Madam Chair.
13
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                   Mr. Freeman.
14
               VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN:
                                    Yeah, I agree with what
15
     Commissioner Stertz said.
16
               Just in terms of suggestions on Maricopa County
17
     area, I know even in this area numerous different versions
18
     of these districts have been proposed.
19
               You know, my suggestion would be, as I stated
20
     earlier, to move the Phoenix portion of nine into six and
21
     swap that out and create a Scottsdale, Tempe, Ahwatukee,
2.2
     Chandler district.
23
               But I think it was along the lines of a version of
24
     a map Commissioner Stertz introduced or wanted to introduce
25
     back in October.
                       It would still be a competitive district
```

1 and would keep those communities together and keep Phoenix 2. together. At the very least, my suggestion would be at least 3 4 accommodate the people who live in Arcadia or even the 5 traditional Arcadia area, which perhaps -- and the Biltmore 6 area, with Paradise Valley and the north valley. 7 And to accommodate that change by perhaps moving 8 the line slightly up in Scottsdale, as had been suggested 9 earlier. 10 The other thing would be, I guess, the New River, 11 Anthem area being separated from Cave Creek and Carefree 12 area. I thought we had -- someone had looked at that 13 14 adjustment or it had been discussed. And that would be a 15 tradeoff between six and eight. 16 I don't know if that divider line between six and 17 eight follows I-17. I don't think it does exactly, but you 18 might be able to swap population -- yeah. 19 In the southern area to accommodate keeping 20 Anthem, New River, and Cave Creek and Carefree together, in 21 that part of the map. 2.2 These, these districts were CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: 23 taken from the -- Mr. Stertz's proposal? 24 I -- so, Mr. Freeman, what you're saying is 25 New River, Anthem, would be ideally connected with

1	Cave Creek?
2	VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: One of the suggestions would
3	be to move that line over so that those communities are kept
4	together.
5	It would have to balance it by shedding population
6	elsewhere.
7	And I don't know what the population is between
8	I-17 and the boundary between six and eight further south on
9	the map, whether that would be a fair even swap or not.
LO	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Do we want to take a look and
L1	see what that looks like, Mr. Desmond? Maybe that's what
L2	you're doing.
L3	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Madam Chair.
L4	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Ms. McNulty.
L5	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: I would suggest if we do
L6	that that we also think about the split that was created in
L7	Peoria.
L8	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Yeah, I was wondering about
L9	that too.
20	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Bringing that up to the
21	county line.
22	I'm not sure how many people are there, but I
23	suggest that we factor that in.
24	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair.
25	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz.

1	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Population in the greater
2	Cave Creek and Carefree area is approximately 8865.
3	So it would be where we would find that population
4	if we were going to bring that into into the district
5	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Into eight.
6	WILLIE DESMOND: There are two two things you
7	can do, as Commissioner Stertz mentioned.
8	I'll show you the New River, Anthem thing in a
9	second.
10	But what you could do initially to clean up some
11	of the Peoria split would be this area down here in
12	District 5, that is the split of San Tan, is 6600 people.
13	If you move that back into District 4, so that
14	District 5 just goes to the county border, that would
15	move 6600 people out of District 5, so that 5 would need to
16	grow.
17	I could do that here, by kind of going back just
18	to the reservation boundary.
19	This would move 6700 people, but we'd have to go
20	to the reservation.
21	So then five would be ideally populated.
22	Six would now be under, and four would be over.
23	Six would then take a little bit more, probably
24	somewhere in Phoenix, from eight.
25	So then six would be ideally populated

1	Eight would be under.
2	Four would be over.
3	So then eight would then grow into Peoria and
4	take there's about, I think, 9800 people in that portion
5	of Peoria that's in four. So you couldn't necessarily get
6	the whole thing, but you could get the vast majority of it.
7	That would be one set of changes that would remove
8	some splits, both to counties and census places.
9	The other change that Commissioner Freeman just
10	mentioned, I think would be to move you would move
11	New River and Anthem into District 6. It's up to you if you
12	want to take some of this area to the west I heard about
13	yesterday also.
14	So roughly 37,000 people.
15	That would go into six.
16	So that six would be overpopulated by
17	37,000 people. And it could shed population to District 8,
18	somewhere in Phoenix.
19	Perhaps in the area District 8 could come
20	closer to I-17, somewhere in here.
21	Does that make sense?
22	Those are the two, two sets of changes. We could
23	do those all at once, if you like both of those, or you
24	could split those out separately. Whatever makes the most
25	sense to you.

1	Or we could just not do anything.
2	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: There's always that option.
3	VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: Madam Chair.
4	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Freeman.
5	VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: You know, it was just it
6	was just a suggestion. You know, I've gone through the
7	public comment the Commission has received, and there's
8	quite a bit of comment from folks that live up there talking
9	about the community of interest, which talked about as
10	Anthem, New River, Carefree, and Cave Creek, and its ties
11	through the I-17, Cave Creek Road, SR 51, Tatum, to the
12	north valley. They defined it repeatedly in the record as
13	something distinct from Scottsdale.
14	So that I guess that problem remains, unless
15	there is a unless the change to nine is made that keeps
16	Phoenix together and all of Scottsdale together. And that
17	could be accomplished that way.
18	So, that's where that thought sprang from, just
19	those public comments from those folks that live there.
20	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Madam Chair.
21	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Ms. McNulty.
22	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: I think the first change
23	that Mr. Desmond suggested makes a lot of sense. I would
24	like to see that.
25	The second change, I would defer to Mr. Freeman

1	and Mr. Stertz.
2	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: What?
3	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: The second change I
4	would I'd like your input.
5	I couldn't actually hear everything that
6	Mr. Freeman just said, when you said you liked that second
7	change or you didn't like it, so I would defer, you know, to
8	what do you think.
9	I'd like to hear whether you think his second
10	proposed change is a good idea or not.
11	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair, the, the
12	there's no question that the Cave Creek, Carefree, Anthem,
13	New River is a block. It's divided by there's a mountain
14	range that divides the communities, but there is, there is a
15	connection going east-west on Carefree Highway that connects
16	them, so I'm, I'm okay with them split and I'm okay with
17	them put together.
18	I was thinking about the easier of the two, which
19	was actually to move the Cave Creek, Carefree into the
20	Anthem side. To move about 8800, I think, is an easier move
21	than the other direction.
22	I like the I like the idea that we're flanking
23	the city of Phoenix on one side and going up the I-17
24	corridor with Anthem, New River, on the other.
25	That is the development corridor that's taking

```
1
     place. And it -- that there's a lot of growth that's taking
 2
     place up that corridor, and it makes sense to keep those,
 3
     keep those areas flying.
 4
               If we pull New River, Anthem, over into the
 5
     Cave Creek area, and Carefree area, it also makes sense, so,
 6
     from those communities being related to each other.
 7
               So I'm -- I quess if I was going to pull
 8
     something, if I would make a recommendation, it would be to
 9
     pull Carefree and Cave Creek over.
10
               But then their main connection is going to --
11
     Cave Creek Road, which is connected through by Tatum, I
12
     mean, that intersection right there, Tatum and Cave Creek,
13
     is a big connector that takes you up into Cave Creek and
14
     Carefree.
15
               Which is right there.
16
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: How do commissioners feel
17
     about the first set of changes that Ms. McNulty said she
18
     just liked that Mr. Desmond said would -- might be one
19
     alternative?
20
               Do you want to walk through what those are again,
21
     Mr. Desmond?
2.2
               WILLIE DESMOND:
                                Sure.
               So it starts down here in District 4 and 5.
23
24
               So, what happened is District 4 would again come
25
     up to the Maricopa County, Pinal County border.
```

1	This would remove a split of San Tan Valley and a
2	split of Pinal County. And would also make District 4
3	overpopulated by 6,610 people additional people.
4	To compensate for that in District 5, District 5
5	would again go up to the Salt River reservation, which would
6	remove by and large a split of Mesa.
7	There is still this small portion of Mesa that's
8	in the reservation, so that would stay in District 6.
9	But that, that moves 6,700 people.
LO	And I think when you go back to the actual
L1	reservation boundary, it might be a little bit closer.
L2	So at this point District 5 is perfectly
L3	populated, four is too big, and six is too small, because
L4	six gave up that section of Mesa.
L5	So six would then, in an area that you think makes
L6	sense, take an extra 6700 people or 6600 people from
L7	District 8, you know, somewhere along the border between six
L8	and eight.
L9	So that eight would then shed a little population;
20	six would be ideally populated.
21	What that would allow you to do is that eight
22	could grow into four, which is overpopulated.
23	And, you know, I don't let me just show you,
24	you know, ways we can do this.
25	That's too much

1	That's about 9700. So there would have to be
2	something a little less than that.
3	But in essence what you would do is, is just
4	Peoria would remained split.
5	That split would then be there would only be
6	about 3,000 people in this section of Peoria that's in
7	Congressional District 4.
8	But you were able to remove another split from
9	Mesa, another split from the San Tan Valley, and extract
LO	more from Peoria.
L1	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: How many people is that all
L2	together, the Maricopa County portion of Peoria?
L3	WILLIE DESMOND: I think it's about 9800 9,089.
L4	You'd also, as it's drawn, you'd have to pick up
L5	this area, and that would be 9,813.
L6	There's this portion of Yavapai. I can take that
L7	out. It's only seven people.
L8	So we can fix that seven.
L9	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: If we pulled those into
20	six, couldn't we just adjust the boundary between six and
21	eight a little more?
22	WILLIE DESMOND: But then you four would need
23	to grow at some point though, because that's taking from
24	four.
25	So four would then be underpopulated by about

1	3,200 people.
2	And we would have to find someplace where four
3	could come in and take more.
4	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: What would we be taking
5	from four?
6	WILLIE DESMOND: Excuse me?
7	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: What would what are we
8	be taking from four?
9	WILLIE DESMOND: Oh, well, if you take all of
10	Peoria, that would be from four.
11	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: But what if you only take
12	the portion that's in
13	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: The county line?
14	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Yeah.
15	WILLIE DESMOND: This portion up here is only
16	six people.
17	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Six.
18	WILLIE DESMOND: Six or seven.
19	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Wow. Okay.
20	WILLIE DESMOND: I can tell you.
21	It's like, yeah, it's like seven people.
22	So they would be split off from the rest of their
23	city.
24	But they would be with the rest of their county.
25	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Well, I like the idea of

```
1
     removing the split, the San Tan, and the Pinal split.
 2.
               I mean, that seems like a positive thing if we can
     correct those at a minimum.
 3
 4
               And. . .
 5
               (Brief pause.)
 6
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz, was there any
 7
     particular reason on the split, on the dividing line between
     six and eight in terms of where that's drawn?
 8
 9
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Which dividing line?
10
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: The north-south dividing
11
     line.
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ:
12
                                     If you were suggesting that
13
     if you go below, the area -- the intentional area of the
14
     design was the New River, Phoenix split.
15
               We wanted to pick up going down to Deer Valley
16
     Road, Deer Valley is the big east-west connector, and pick
17
     that up. Or go down to -- going down -- if -- there's a
18
     large -- I don't have a pointer -- there's a large part of
19
     development that takes place at the, at the confluence of
20
     the 101 and the 17.
21
               So there's a lot of action that's taking place
22
             There's real estate development that's taking place
23
     there.
24
               I like the idea that we've got one representative
25
     that's representing both sides of that -- of that, that
```

```
1
     interchange.
 2.
               So. . .
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: But in terms of moving that
 3
 4
     boundary between six and eight to the west, can that
 5
     happen or --
 6
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Well, picked it up to the
 7
     west, it would be unnatural. If you needed to continue it
 8
     up --
 9
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: We needed population.
10
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ: We needed population you
11
     needed to pick up. You know, to the west, yes. To the
12
     east, no.
13
               Better than going to the west.
14
               That's a combination of large residential and
15
     commercial right there. Union Hills.
16
               WILLIE DESMOND:
                                I mean, there, there is enough --
17
     there are areas that you could take 6700 from here.
18
     it going, you know, further to the west.
19
               The other option would be to take it from -- yeah,
20
     so this, so this line on 44th would just continue north a
21
     little bit.
2.2
               This is a very large census block right here, so
23
     it would come a little bit further to the west.
24
               Is this something -- do you want to make these
25
     changes?
               Or. . .
```

```
1
               COMMISSIONER McNULTY: What does that compensate
 2.
     for?
 3
               WILLIE DESMOND: What that does is -- we're
 4
    basically --
 5
               COMMISSIONER McNULTY:
                                      Is that the 6,000 people?
 6
               WILLIE DESMOND: Yeah, we would need to find
 7
     6,000 people to shuffle them down here from Peoria, it goes
 8
     five, six, the eight pap.
 9
               COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Because we've put -- we're
10
     moved part of five into four; right? The San Tan Valley
11
     split.
12
               WILLIE DESMOND: We haven't -- I haven't actually
13
     done that. I was just showing --
14
               COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Right, that's what we're
15
     telling you about.
16
               WILLIE DESMOND:
                               Yes.
               COMMISSIONER McNULTY: So we've got 6600 too many
17
18
    people in four.
19
               WILLIE DESMOND: Well, if you do the San Tan and
20
     you do the Mesa, then you have 6600 people too many in six.
21
               Or, too few in six.
2.2
               And four has too many.
23
               COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Right. So you have 6600
24
     too many in four, and 60 some odd hundred too few in six.
25
               WILLIE DESMOND:
                                Yes.
```

1	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair.
2	I think, Mr. Desmond, if I'm correct, the path
3	that you're taking in that area, and that there's, like I
4	said, a combination of residential and commercial in that
5	block that you're picking up right there. Then you're going
б	to decrease then you're going to have a need in eight.
7	We've got an overpopulation in four, and you're
8	going to be able to pick that up by, by capturing some of
9	the of Peoria.
10	WILLIE DESMOND: Correct.
11	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Okay.
12	WILLIE DESMOND: If this is a change that you want
13	to, like, actually execute, I'll, I'll do that on a separate
14	map so we still have this map the way it is.
15	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: All of those successfully
16	achieve not only population goals, but also communities of
17	interest.
18	Keep in mind that there is, there is park and
19	hillside there that you don't want to go around, pick up
20	the go to the, go to the street level when you're talking
21	about the area of Union Hills and the 101, so that you're
22	picking up areas that are neighborhoods staying together.
23	Be cognizant of that is what I'm saying.
24	WILLIE DESMOND: Okay.
25	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: We have a tendency to just

```
grab voter -- you know, chunks of blocks, and, and we need
 1
 2.
     to be intentional.
 3
               (Brief pause.)
 4
                                Sorry. I copied the wrong file.
               WILLIE DESMOND:
 5
               Okay.
 6
               So now District 5 is underpopulated.
 7
               District 4 is overpopulated.
 8
               District 5 is going to take the north part of
 9
     Mesa.
10
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair.
11
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                    Mr. Stertz.
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ:
12
                                     Sure you wouldn't want to
13
     keep Scottsdale whole?
14
               Just asking.
15
               WILLIE DESMOND: All right. Hearing nothing, I'm
16
     going to -- so now District 6 needs to take population from
     District 8. I'll do this at the street level so we can
17
18
     really figure out the best place to do that from.
19
               To start, would it make sense to go further up
20
     43rd Avenue?
21
               So, so just continue up 43rd right here.
2.2
               Remove 2,044.
23
               This starts on Union Hills and goes up pretty much
24
     to the 101.
25
               So now District 6 needs to take in another
```

```
1
     4,580 people.
 2.
               I would say that this area out to Deer Valley
 3
     would be a good start. That would move 3,255.
 4
               Is there any -- if I turn the block level on, we
 5
     can pick these areas too.
 6
               I'd move 3,617.
               Is that okay?
 7
 8
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ:
                                     Yeah.
 9
               WILLIE DESMOND:
                                 Okay.
10
               Now for the final 963 people, we could continue,
11
     but 40 -- let me just pick up one additional chunk right
12
     here.
               This is a good example of sometimes the long, the
13
14
     long blocks make for a weird border.
15
               So it's probably good to have a little notch, not
16
     the big one.
17
               So we need 963 people.
               If we want to continue north, even selecting at
18
19
     the block level, it's going to take quite a bit and go
20
     pretty far, pretty far west.
               That would be fine. Or else we can take from up
21
22
     here, to see how many.
23
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                    Mr. Stertz.
24
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair.
                                                    Mr. Desmond,
25
     you're going in the right direction.
```

1	WILLIE DESMOND: Right here?
2	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Yeah, continue up.
3	WILLIE DESMOND: Okay. This would continue up, I
4	believe, 35th, until Happy Valley Road.
5	Now, this has gone a little bit further up to
6	Straight Arrow Lane.
7	This would move 1,041 people, which would make
8	District 6 overpopulated by 78.
9	There is going to be some population balancing,
10	and that happens in all these districts during technical
11	changes.
12	We can try to get this a little closer right away.
13	Or else this district can grow to the west. Whatever makes
14	the most sense.
15	I'll zoom out a little bit so you can see how that
16	works.
17	Does this make sense?
18	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair, the style of
19	that growth makes sense.
20	WILLIE DESMOND: Okay.
21	At this point, what we can do is we can take and
22	add back into eight. This would move 33 people.
23	This would move 40.
24	73. So that District 6 would only be
25	overpopulated by five people.

1	I can do that now. Or I can look later on, you
2	know, looking at Maricopa County's proposed VPs and see if
3	there are other places where we can develop population.
4	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair, I've actually
5	driven that neighborhood. That's across the street from
6	there are houses on both side of that street.
7	So I prefer not to do that.
8	I think you can find certain edges around the
9	corners that aren't going to break neighborhoods.
LO	WILLIE DESMOND: Okay. And for right now, I mean,
L1	District 6 is only off by 78 people, so it's pretty close.
L2	It's also worth noting that District 5 is
L3	233 people over. Or District 9 is 268. Those two border
L4	each other, so I'll look for a place to balance those that
L5	makes sense also.
L6	All right. So, the last thing to do is for the
L7	for District 8 to make up some population from District 4.
L8	At this point District 8 is underpopulated by 6,694.
L9	District 4 is overpopulated by 6,633.
20	Just starting just to show you roughly, that
21	right there moves 4,288.
22	It's obviously not contiguous. Let me turn on the
23	streets.
24	So, so you have two options right away. You can,
25	we can just start with this area right here, and see what

126

```
1
     that leaves us with. I think that's probably the best way
 2.
     to do it, would be. . .
 3
               That would move 1263 people.
 4
               Okay. And it looks like there's, you know, a
 5
     neighborhood here. So we can leave this unincorporated area
 6
     in District 4, as long as we don't cut it off from
 7
     District 4.
 8
               So you can also start. . .
 9
               I'll turn on the blocks again, so you can kind of
10
     see where the population is.
11
               The shading reflects -- I'm not even sure.
12
     Something.
13
               But if it's white, it means nobody lives there.
14
               So at this point, district -- this would add
15
     2300 people.
16
               Perhaps grab some of this area up here also.
17
               We've have to accept that and move that right
18
     away.
19
               Just to clean this up, and then we'll. . .
20
               So now District 4 needs to make up a little
21
     population.
2.2
               Straighten up the line a little bit to start.
23
               Some of these areas, the way the neighborhood is
24
     built, it's kind of difficult to take a block. You see that
25
     one block moves 679 people. When you include the areas that
```

```
1
     it surrounds, it's obviously probably close to 1,000.
 2.
               So, something like this moves 107, 174,
 3
     201 people.
 4
               If you did this, at this point District 4 is
 5
     underpopulated by 12 people.
 6
               District 8 is underpopulated by 49 people.
 7
               So we're very, very close.
 8
               I'll turn off the blocks and streets and just let
 9
     you see what the map looks like for Maricopa County.
10
               So the split in San Tan is gone.
11
               The split of Mesa is gone.
12
               The border between six and eight has been changed
13
     here in Phoenix.
14
               And about 6700 people that live in Peoria have
15
     been added to District 8 with the bulk of the city.
16
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                    That's good.
17
               Thank you.
18
               WILLIE DESMOND:
                                Sure.
19
               Any thoughts from other commissioners on this?
20
               COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Looks like an improvement
21
     to me.
22
               WILLIE DESMOND: Would you like to discuss moving
     New River and Anthem or moving Cave Creek and Carefree?
23
24
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Sure, we can look at that, if
25
     that's -- Mr. Freeman, are you interested in seeing --
```

1 VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: I'd prefer to see my 2 community of interest addressed where I live, which is the Paradise Valley, Biltmore, Arcadia area. 3 4 As I said earlier, it -- to me it makes more sense following the criteria to put Phoenix with Phoenix and 5 6 Scottsdale with Scottsdale. 7 But, if that's not going to happen, at least if 8 the community I've lived in all my life cannot be split, I 9 think that would be an improvement. 10 And it's not just me. I mean, there's been lots 11 of people, you know, thumbing through the public comment, 12 going all the way back to hearings in July and around the -people showed up around the Valley to talk about -- from 13 14 Anthem, from New River, from the northeast valley, a lot 15 from Paradise Valley, you know, various government officials 16 from the town of Paradise Valley, some of whom showed up 17 today, the Arcadia business community representative, folks in the Biltmore. 18 19 I mean, I don't want to see that community of 20 interest disrespected by that line. 21 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair. 2.2 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz. 23 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Commissioner Freeman, in the 24 real word, streets and intersections, what do you want to 25 see happen?

1	VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: Well, I guess there's a
2	number of different scenarios. And one of course the one
3	that I would prefer is that you follow the either
4	Scottsdale Road or the Phoenix Scottsdale border and I
5	mean, that's a significant change to this map.
6	Otherwise, I guess the bare minimum change that I
7	think would make sense would perhaps follow the Arizona
8	Canal from where it exits Scottsdale to over to where it
9	crosses Camelback at 40th Street, and then over to 24th and
10	up, which captures the Biltmore area.
11	That gets, I guess, the heart of the traditional
12	Arcadia area. It uses the canal, which is a divider of the
13	communities.
14	There aren't as many streets that cross the canal
15	because there's the canal there.
16	And it captures the Biltmore community.
17	I guess perhaps more logical would take it over to
18	the 51, because that ties it. Then you have Tatum and the
19	51, tying those communities in terms of transportation
20	corridors, which I know you love, with the north valley.
21	But that would, I think, involve a bigger the
22	bigger switch.
23	And perhaps I note 24th Street intersects Lincoln,
24	which becomes Glendale right there. There's a little clump
25	of homes on the slopes of Squaw Peak Piestewa Peak there

```
1
     that I would think belong in with Paradise Valley, Biltmore
 2.
     area.
 3
               So I guess what I'm saying there is take it on
 4
     Glendale over to the 51 and on up.
 5
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair.
 6
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                    Mr. Stertz.
 7
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Mr. Desmond, could you turn
 8
     the major streets off, please?
 9
               Madam Chair.
10
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                   Mr. Stertz.
11
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ: So, Commissioner Freeman, if
12
     I'm understanding you correctly, the Camelback corridor as
13
     it connects from, from Scottsdale going from the east to the
14
     west to 24th Street, going to the south, to Indian School,
15
     if you were to describe the Arcadia district, and I've,
16
     I've, I've -- I know the Arcadia district well. I didn't
17
     grow up there and I don't own a home in the Arcadia
18
     district, but I, but I know the area well and I know its
19
     history well.
20
               Your concern right now is that the, that the
21
     Camelback corridor has been -- is being, is being split into
     two different districts.
2.2
23
               The Camelback corridor now is being represented in
24
     District 6 and District 9, and that the -- and that the
25
     24th Street corridor and the -- the Emerald City of
```

1	Camelback and 24th Street and all of the development in
2	there, including Biltmore Fashion Square, is really
3	connected heavily into Scottsdale and to Arcadia,
4	organizationally, collectively, and transportation-wise, but
5	has been now bifurcated by the districts.
6	Is that I mean, other than the fact that we've
7	got crossover of multiple communities and transportation and
8	lifestyle, what are the other issues that you want to, that
9	you want to make sure you see addressed here?
10	Because, again, this is going in to what you're
11	asking right now is you're asking for a breach of the of
12	Congressional District 9.
13	And Congressional District 9, as we've heard, I've
14	even asked the chair if she would willing to even make
15	Scottsdale whole. And I couldn't get even an affirmative.
16	And I'm assuming that by absence of an answer that
17	meant no.
18	I just want to know, how many people how
19	many what sort of area is really being affected here in
20	your opinion of by the splitting of these districts, and
21	whether or not it would make sense actually to move that
22	line of Thomas up, and it goes into nine, rather than
23	would you rather, would you rather see Arcadia in District 9
24	than in District 6?
25	VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: Well, right now it is in

1 District 9. 2. And it's split from Paradise Valley --3 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Split. 4 VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: -- and --5 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: It's split in half right now 6 in the Arcadia district. 7 Well, I mean, there's Arcadia VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: 8 and there's Arcadia. There's lots of neighborhoods that --9 around that neighborhood that want to be called Arcadia, but 10 there's one neighborhood that calls itself Arcadia Light. 11 As I tried to explain yesterday, the original 12 Arcadia neighborhood is fairly tightly confined between 13 Lafayette -- or Camelback on the north or Lafayette on the 14 south. Lafayette kind of follows the canal. I mean, if you were to look for Lafayette, I wouldn't regard it as a major 15 16 But, so if you were to go south a little bit and follow the Arizona Canal, it kind of tracks Lafayette. 17 18 So if you were to take -- use the Arizona Canal as 19 the divider, which as it comes out of Scottsdale follows 20 Indian School for a, for a, for a short jog, it starts to 21 turn north. It crosses Camelback at roughly -- well, right 2.2 at 40th Street. 23 And then to capture the -- just the core of the 24 Biltmore area -- again, there's the Biltmore area, there's

25

the Biltmore area.

There's the original Biltmore area, which would be if you took a line over to 24th Street, and just try to get the minimal change to keep those communities together, you would take it over to Camelback and 24th, and up 24th to Lincoln, and then, and then Lincoln/Glendale over to the 51, and on up, and on up.

2.2

And then you've got sort of that mountain preserve area together with Camelback and the north preserve area and Mummy Mountain.

That would be sort of the minimalistic approach to keep that community of interest together.

But, again, if, if -- I don't want to waste my time, because I've talked about it a lot.

And I know there's, there's lots of people who have shown up today and lots of prior hearings before, and perhaps they were wasting their time as well. But I feel it is incumbent upon me to speak up for my community of interest, since I live there and I am from there and lived virtually my whole life right in those three communities, which I view as one area.

Which is why when we -- when my family has moved, we've sort of selected residences in those areas.

And I've never lived in the actual historic

Arcadia neighborhood, but I've lived in a lot of the

adjoining areas, which, which want to be called Arcadia, and

1	which I am perfectly fine with them calling themselves
2	Arcadia.
3	Likewise I've lived very close to the Biltmore
4	area, but not exactly in the Biltmore area. I've lived just
5	right near Camelback and 32nd Street.
6	But, again, I view that as one community.
7	I've lived in Paradise Valley, just up the road on
8	Tatum a little ways.
9	Again, I view that all sort of one in the same
LO	community, which now is being split between
L1	two congressional districts.
L2	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Freeman, did you have
L3	thoughts on the other area too though, in terms of
L4	Cave Creek and Anthem?
L5	VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: No.
L6	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: No.
L7	Are there thoughts from other commissioners?
L8	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair.
L9	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz.
20	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: It's ten after 5:00. We've
21	got we've still got to go we've still got to hit
22	legislative. Is it your goal right now to move this, move
23	this map down the field? And if so, we know that, we know
24	that there's going to be some minor adjustments to get equal
25	population on the edges that Mr. Desmond will be cleaning

1 up. 2. We know that he's been given a direction regarding major arterials and collectors as devising lines, unless 3 4 there's something -- some very specific reason not to. 5 And we know that we're still waiting for the, the 6 data being collected by our consultants, which will be ready 7 sometime early next week. 8 To move this ball down the field and to sort of 9 set this map, is, is it your goal tonight to get a vote on 10 this map and move this down the field? 11 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: I think we are in week four 12 now of adjustments to the draft map, and I think we've made 13 significant improvement to the draft map, and that what is 14 before us -- and actually if you put the whole thing up, 15 Mr. Desmond, that would help, I think it just esthetically 16 looks better, even though that's not a constitutional 17 It just fits together much better than the -- our 18 attempt at first draft. 19 And that draft map was a draft, as we said from 20 the very beginning when we approved it. 21 And we knew we were going to hear a lot of public 2.2 comment. 23 We did. 24 We tried to be as responsive as we could to as 25 many people as possible.

1	And, as we all know, there's no way to make
2	everyone happy in this process.
3	But I do think this is a major improvement over
4	our previous draft.
5	So I would be comfortable with this map in terms
6	of moving it forward. Granted there's further analysis that
7	still has to get done to confirm the voting rights
8	districts, to do population balances, to do technical
9	cleanup, but otherwise I think it's a good map.
LO	Thoughts from other commissioners?
L1	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Would you entertain a
L2	motion?
L3	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: I would.
L4	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Mr. Desmond, what do we
L5	call this map that we're looking at?
L6	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Mathis combo.
L7	WILLIE DESMOND: Right now the map that we're
L8	looking at, I have it titled move splits 12-20, because I've
L9	been making changes in separate things, so we can go back.
20	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Have you incorporated the
21	splits that we just did into the Mathis combo map?
22	WILLIE DESMOND: I could. Then that will become
23	the new working map then.
24	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Okay.
25	WILLIE DESMOND: I could make the map combo map

1 version two. 2. COMMISSIONER McNULTY: I would move that 3 Mr. Desmond incorporate the splits -- the changes that we just made on the splits map into the Mathis combo map that 4 we looked at earlier this afternoon and that we adopt that 5 6 map as our tentative final map subject to -- final congressional map, subject to the possibility of future 7 8 changes based on recommendations of our mapping consultant 9 or our legal counsel to adjust technical or legal issues and 10 subject to our final approval of any of those changes. 11 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Is there a second? 12 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair, before I second 13 it, can I make just a quick comment? 14 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Of course. 15 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: As I mentioned before, that 16 I, that I felt like we made plenty of sacrifices in terms 17 of -- obviously, as I mentioned before, that fourth 18 competitive district in Maricopa County, we were able to 19 create one in district -- in District 9, and then one in 20 CD 1, and then one outside of Maricopa County that's in CD 1 21 and also southern Arizona. That's in CD 2. 2.2 So, again, I'm not, not -- I'm not exactly pleased 23 with all the results, but I think this is truly a 24 compromise. 25 As I mentioned before, this particular version has

1 four strongly Republican districts, two majority-minority 2. districts, and only three competitive districts. So there's some hesitation, but I think this is a 3 4 true compromise. And I will second Commissioner McNulty's 5 motion. 6 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Any discussion? COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Madam Chair, I'd like to 7 8 make a point that it was mentioned earlier that the 9 Republican registration in the state is 35 percent, the 10 Democratic registration is approximately 30 percent. And on 11 this map 44 percent of the congressional districts are solidly Republican, as compared to 35 percent of the 12 13 registration that Commissioner Freeman mentioned earlier. 14 Twenty-two percent of the districts are 15 Democratic, as compared to 30 percent registration mentioned 16 earlier. 17 And 33 percent of the districts are competitive, 18 which I think compares favorably with the independent 19 registration of the state. 20 So I do feel that this is a compromise map, and that it fairly reflects, subject to having said that, that 21 2.2 it's the best map we could put together to fairly reflect 23 the composition of this state. 24 I would also say just briefly in response to the 25 comments about Congressional District 8, that I'll

1	incorporate by reference all the other things I've said
2	about it, Congressional District 9, but also just add that,
3	you know, again, I live three blocks from a congressional
4	district boundary.
5	I could make all the same arguments that were just
6	made about a community of interest that includes historic
7	districts in central Tucson and where my daughter went to
8	school and where my friends live and a whole lot of things
9	like that, the parks I use.
10	But, and those things aren't being disrespected by
11	the fact that they're going to be represented by two
12	congressional representatives
13	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair.
14	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: in my view.
15	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera.
16	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Yeah, Commissioner McNulty
17	makes a good point in terms of the percentage of the
18	Republican registration, the percentage of the current draft
19	map that we'll be approving, many of those are strong
20	Republican.
21	But, you know, the there's also a strong
22	possibility with these three competitive districts that
23	Republicans can win all three.
24	So meaning that there could be a seven-two split.
25	That would be the worst-case scenario, or the best case

1 scenario, whichever way you're looking at it. 2. And you look at the -- for the Democrats, the worst-case scenario for the Republicans would be that they 3 4 win the three competitive ones. It would be, what, four-five. 5 6 So that, again, shows that, you know, Republicans 7 are doing pretty well with this map. 8 You know, they can, they can complain all think 9 want that this map isn't fair for them. But, you know, you 10 start looking at the numbers, and you think, this is a darn 11 good map. 12 I may change my Republican -- I mean, I may change my registration to be Republican so I can get representation 13 14 if that happens. 15 Because seven-two is a strong possibility when you 16 create three competitive districts. 17 So I guess I want to remind people that are in the 18 audience and here that that could happen. And, I mean, I don't want it to, because I, I -- there's some differences 19 20 between me and my Republican friends. But if it does, then so be it. 21 2.2 We did our best to create competitive districts. 23 And another point, you know, with district --24 CD 9, you know, I, I, I mentioned this before, and, you 25 know, the area that I live in, and Commissioner Freeman can

1 call it CD -- excuse me, Arcadia Light, Lower Arcadia. 2. doesn't matter to me what he wants to call it. 3 part of greater Arcadia. 4 And it's a community of interest that is well 5 represented in CD 9. And I'm, and I'm extremely happy at 6 the competitiveness of CD 9. And all the factors -- all the 7 criteria that we, that we considered when we put that 8 particular district in place -- actually all of them, 9 because I think we followed all that criteria, all six of 10 them. 11 And I think both of our attorneys, Republican and 12 Democratic attorney, would agree that we followed the 13 guidelines that we -- that were set before us. 14 And I am pretty happy with what we -- with the job 15 I think it was a true compromise. So I'm excited we did. 16 to be passing this, because, again, it's a true compromise 17 map. 18 Thank you. 19 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair. 20 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz. 21 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: The last, the last 22 Commission(sic), two years ago, or three years ago I guess, 23 as you might recall our -- we had five Democrat congressmen 24 representing and three Republican congressmen representing 25 the state of Arizona. In 2010 it flipped to five

Republican congressmen and three Democrat congressmen representing.

2.2

I've been told and we've heard testimony that the last Commission was really focused on -- was not focused at all on competition until after the fact.

And we found that during the course of the last ten years we've had districts that were Republican, then Democrat, then Republican, then Democrat.

So it would be very interesting, and, again, my crystal ball is broken. I don't now how this is all going to flush itself out as time goes on.

So it'll be a very interesting thing to revisit in ten years from now to see how this work product actually turns out.

Because, because, again, the last Commission didn't concentrate on competition as their number one criteria. They concentrated on the voters rights districts and the other criteria first, and then tested everything with competitiveness, based on the records that I was able to review.

So, and, Mr. Herrera, it was, it was terrific, your comment about switching over to Republican.

I remember at a vote of Ronald Reagan's that said he was being asked a question of whether or not he took -- he was blaming the congress. He said the congress, the

1	previous congress was a problem and the previous
2	administration was a problem.
3	And Sam Donaldson asked him a question. He said,
4	Mr. President, do you not take any responsibility for this?
5	He says, of course I do.
6	He said, that's why I used to be a Democrat and
7	now I'm a Republican.
8	So I'm looking forward to having you come across
9	and join the Republican party as soon as you wish.
10	VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: Madam Chair.
11	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Freeman.
12	VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: You know, my community has,
13	my community of Paradise Valley, Arcadia, Biltmore has a
14	line right down the middle of it. It is being disrespected.
15	And, and that's where I live and that's where I'm
16	from.
17	And nobody is going to tell me otherwise.
18	I don't think that there has been any compromise
19	here.
20	I don't really believe that the constitution
21	requires some form of compromise. It just requires us to
22	follow the six mandatory criteria. And however the
23	districts shake out, they shake out. Because that's the
24	process, it introduces an element of randomness.
25	We create a grid map, and then those lines are

1 adjusted.

2.

2.2

They're adjusted based on six constitutional criteria. And how they -- the districts are formed, they're formed.

I anticipated that we would be applying those criteria objectively, fairly, and that somewhere along the line the Commission would engage in deliberations, deliberations before the fact about communities of interest to identify where they are and whether they are real communities of interest such that we are required to respect them or not, and that we would formulate a map.

Not create districts and then back out the rationale for them later.

I, I thought if we followed the constitution and did the things that way and adjusted the grid map that way, that the voters of Arizona, they may find themselves in a district that they may scratch their heads about, but they can look to this Commission and say, well, you know what, they just followed the constitutional criteria and let the chips fall where they, where they may, and that's, that's the map we got.

That I don't think has been the process with this Commission.

You know, it's been said that going back to the retaining of the legal counsel that that was a product of

1	compromise and negotiation.
2	There was no compromise. There was no
3	negotiation.
4	It was a result-oriented process.
5	With respect to the retainage of the mapping
6	consultant, again, that was said that that was a product of
7	compromise and negotiation.
8	There was no compromise. There was no
9	negotiation.
LO	It was a result-oriented process.
L1	And now it's being said that this map is a product
L2	of compromise and negotiation.
L3	I don't see it.
L4	I think it, again, is a result of results-oriented
L5	process.
L6	And I am saddened by that.
L7	And as I look at this map and think about how it
L8	was developed, I could not tell you how those lines are
L9	related to changes to the grid map.
20	I also can't tell you whether CD 9 or any other
21	congressional district as viewed by one commissioner using
22	one set of criteria as competitive, whether or not that
23	causes a significant detriment to the attainment of the
24	other constitutional goals. Because a baseline map, which I
25	believe the last Commission did prepare, was never completed

1	with respect to the congressional map.
2	So for those reasons, and other reasons I've
3	stated today, and over the last couple weeks and even back
4	in October, I don't favor the adoption of this map.
5	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair.
6	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera.
7	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: You know, I
8	Commissioner Freeman is entitled to his opinion. And
9	although I disagree with him that we disrespected any
10	communities, I respect his opinion.
11	And but what I want to do, we have a very capable
12	attorney sitting on my left, that, that I would like to ask
13	her, Ms. O'Grady, do you think in your opinion, your legal
14	opinion, do you think we followed the six criteria that was
15	outlined?
16	And just I mean, I'd I think you've already
17	stated where you your opinion, but I want you to be
18	clear, and, and, respond, respond to Commissioner Freeman's
19	comments.
20	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair.
21	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz.
22	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Before Ms. O'Grady makes
23	that comment, I'm not sure whether or not the discussion
24	that is has a motion on the floor that is specific and
25	has been seconded in discussion unless there is a legal

1	question that the counselor would be asked to participate in
2	the discussion of the motion.
3	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Well, assuming it's legally
4	okay, I would love to hear from Ms. O'Grady on Mr. Herrera's
5	question.
6	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Madam Chair, if it is
7	legally okay, it certainly is a legal question, whether she
8	feels we've complied with the constitution.
9	MARY O'GRADY: Madam Chair, commissioners, as
10	stated when the draft map was approved, and the same is true
11	now, I believe that this map was developed following the
12	constitution, applying the constitutional criteria,
13	balancing the relevant factors. So I am comfortable that
14	the Commission has followed its constitutional
15	responsibility in developing the map.
16	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair, may I ask one
17	more questions of Ms. O'Grady?
18	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Please.
19	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Again, I understand that
20	Mr. Kanefield isn't here today, but I know that you have a
21	good working relationship with him and he's been you and
22	him have disagreed rarely.
23	And does he agree with your opinion?
24	MARY O'GRADY: I haven't talked to him today,
25	obviously pending the motion. But at the draft map phase

```
we both expressed our support for the -- that the maps were
 1
 2.
     satisfying the legal criteria.
 3
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA:
                                     Thank you.
 4
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                     Any other discussion?
 5
               (No oral response.)
 6
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                     All in favor?
 7
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA:
                                     Aye.
 8
               COMMISSIONER McNULTY:
                                       Aye.
 9
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                     Aye.
10
               Any opposed?
11
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ:
                                      Nay.
12
               VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN:
                                     Nay.
13
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                     Okav.
14
               So we -- the motion carries.
15
               We've approved this congressional map, which is
16
     this Mathis combo map as described currently. And I don't
17
     know that the official name that's on the website is up.
18
               But I want to say that I really appreciate the
19
     work of all the commissioners to try to make the draft map a
20
     more -- a map that fits together better, as I said earlier.
21
               It -- we listened to over 30 days of public
2.2
     comment, and took in the consideration of the legislature
23
     who provided their report, and all the other public
24
     testimony both in written and oral form.
25
               And you helped us make a better map, and we
```

1 appreciate you doing that. 2. And I know it took a lot of time for a lot of you to do that, so thank you. 3 4 I also appreciate the commissioners' help in creating some of these districts too, in terms of being 5 6 responsive to all of the criteria and to the public comment. 7 It is a balancing act. 8 I'm proud of the balance achieved in this map. 9 think it is well balanced and will serve the 6.4 million 10 Arizonans well in terms of representation. 11 So --12 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair. 13 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera. 14 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: The, the name of the map that 15 Mr. Desmond provided to us was a little complex, I think. 16 think we should go back to the -- either we call it revised 17 Mathis combo map -- I think it's easier to remember, and it 18 would be -- it would make sense for us to change the name, 19 just my opinion. 20 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: I'm fine with the name 21 It becomes the approved congressional map, I think 2.2 would be the -- probably the easiest. Approved 23 congressional map. 24 And this is pending these various legal and 25 technical questions that need to get addressed.

1	Ms. O'Grady, did you want to say something?
2	MARY O'GRADY: I want to make sure that that
3	second concept is captured, if we call it the approved
4	congressional map. But, again, the motion was that this is
5	also subject to technical changes.
6	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: I think Ms. McNulty used the
7	word tentative.
8	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Yes, tentative final
9	congressional map.
10	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: So that's what it will be
11	called on the website.
12	WILLIE DESMOND: Yes.
13	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay.
14	The time is 5:28 p.m.
15	And if we could, I'd like to talk about the
16	legislative map.
17	And I know that Mr. Desmond prepared a new working
18	map for us based on the discussion yesterday.
19	(Brief pause.)
20	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay.
21	Mr. Desmond, do you want to talk about what you
22	have in mind? What you did for us in this stack.
23	WILLIE DESMOND: Okay. We have three sets of
24	reports.
25	The first of which is the full set that has

1	competitiveness, data tables, data tables for population,
2	the splits report, and the plain components report.
3	You'll notice that there's been an update to the
4	competitiveness table, and now includes the index of six,
5	seven, eight, and nine, as well as two, three, four, and
6	five, and the registration data that had been supplied
7	before.
8	There's also two change reports that showed this
9	map compared to the previous working map, and also this map
10	compared to the original draft map.
11	And there have been a couple changes to that.
12	Those indexes are six, seven, eight, nine are
13	also included in here.
14	So
15	The changes that we made are the ones we went
16	through yesterday.
17	Districts 8 and 11, creating a voting rights
18	district from District 8.
19	The ones in western Arizona, District 13,
20	including La Paz. District 5 including parts of
21	Yavapai County.
22	There's also been some small changes down in
23	District 1, 9, and 10, in order to balance population a
24	little bit.
25	Camp Verde has been added to District 6 in order

1	to balance population there.
2	And then there's changes in Maricopa County to
3	remove splits of Glendale and a couple other places.
4	So at this point we can do a couple things.
5	I can walk you through the change reports,
6	although they are lengthy.
7	We can look at other possible changes you want to
8	have.
9	I don't know if Mary had any issues she wanted to
LO	flag right away regarding population deviation in some of
L1	these districts, or if there's a better place to the start.
L2	I think we might be able to, I'm not sure, offer
L3	some sort of update on 26.
L4	So I'll let Mary.
L5	MARY O'GRADY: In terms of population deviation,
L6	the things that I flagged when I was going through the
L7	working map for 12-20 is that there were a few that have
L8	increased that we might that the Commission may want to
L9	look at.
20	The overall deviation is within the 10 percent,
21	which is good.
22	And but it seems like Districts 12 and 16 and
23	18 are all over four percent.
24	So if there's a way of getting those decreased,
25	that might be something worth considering

1 The districts that are low in population are all 2 the voting rights -- are the voting rights districts, by and 3 large. 4 Some of the changes that the Commission considered 5 yesterday eliminated deviation in six, which was helpful. 6 So those were the districts that seemed, again, on 7 the high side. So this could be addressed or at least justify 8 9 keeping them where they are. 10 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair. 11 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz. 12 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Mr. Desmond, did you -- were 13 you able to get the registered voters logged anywhere onto 14 any of these sheets? 15 WILLIE DESMOND: I haven't. I can give it to you 16 as a separate report. I was not able to get it added in. 17 apologize for that. It's something that I can prepare relatively 18 19 quickly right now and print and distribute, if that would be 20 helpful. 21 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: And, Madam Chair, for the 22 purposes of the analysis and the potential vote on this map 23 tonight, it's not relevant to that. It's more to have a 24 better, more clear understanding of the voters as they 25 pertain to the voting districts.

1	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: You're wanting the
2	registration by district?
3	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: I'm wanting the registration
4	by district.
5	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Isn't that in there?
6	WILLIE DESMOND: The percentages are.
7	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: The percentages are, not the
8	numbers.
9	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Oh. Okay.
10	Got it.
11	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: And, Madam Chair.
12	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz.
13	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Mr. Desmond, that is
14	something that I would like to have as something that after
15	we get through this last stuff on the approval of the
16	legislative draft map for my, for my files.
17	I mean, I can certainly create it off of, off
18	of by accumulating it off of the data charts on
19	Maptitude, but if you're able to do that in a, in an
20	algorithm that extracts it into a working file, that would
21	be terrific.
22	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Do commissioners have any
23	comments on the legislative working map?
24	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Madam Chair, I'd like to
25	look at the changes that we made yesterday to District 26

1	and 27.
2	WILLIE DESMOND: This is District 26.
3	Changes yesterday related to removing Guadalupe,
4	adding parts of Phoenix, and also adding Salt River
5	reservation.
6	District 27 then takes parts of Tempe. It loses
7	parts to 24 up here, and then gains a little bit from
8	District 19 in this corner.
9	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: I think when we did
10	this yesterday we had three different versions of
11	three different possibilities to substitute for the move of
12	Guadalupe or the reinclusion of Guadalupe into 27.
13	And when we included this, I think it was the
14	third version in the working draft. I don't think we
15	realized that we have Tempe split, I think, it's six times.
16	So I would ask to look at what we had done earlier
17	in the day yesterday.
18	I think we had done a version one or a version A
19	that was very similar to this in terms of the metrics but
20	didn't result in splitting the city of Tempe multiple times.
21	WILLIE DESMOND: I'm not
22	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: It may not have been
23	yesterday. It may have been the day before. But I know
24	it's on the website.
25	One of them says version one.

1	There's the map, then there's version two, and
2	then there's version three, and then there are three
3	WILLIE DESMOND: I think I know what you're
4	referring to. Let me bring that up.
5	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: reports, A, B and C.
6	WILLIE DESMOND: You're referring to this one that
7	just, that just removes part of the Dobson Ranch area?
8	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Yes.
9	WILLIE DESMOND: This was the very first one you
LO	received last week, is this the one you mean? Or the one
L1	that also includes Guadalupe? Or
L2	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: No, it was one that we did
L3	as an alternative to including Guadalupe in 26.
L4	WILLIE DESMOND: Okay. I think I know which one
L5	you're talking about.
L6	So it was this one.
L7	And basically what this does is, district
L8	District 26 just takes a smaller portion of Phoenix down
L9	here.
20	It does not if I turn off the draft the
21	working map, you can see that District 27 does not come into
22	Tempe anyplace but this little area surrounding Guadalupe,
23	left over from the original draft map.
24	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: And in terms of the voting
25	rights analysis is that comparable to the third version? T

1	thought it was pretty close.
2	WILLIE DESMOND: It's not quite as strong.
3	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: But it was stronger than
4	the draft map.
5	WILLIE DESMOND: Still stronger than the draft
6	map, yes.
7	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Okay.
8	What is that little area of Tempe that's right
9	next to Guadalupe?
10	WILLIE DESMOND: That's from the original draft
11	map, the area that one in the draft map. If I turn on
12	the streets, I'll tell you can exactly what that is.
13	It's Guadalupe Road, can we over on Orion, and
14	then up on Hardy Drive. And the reason it's shaped the way
15	it does it is, is because I believe that's one census
16	block group, or two.
17	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: What's the next street
18	over? The next street to the east?
19	WILLIE DESMOND: The next street over is
20	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Kyrene?
21	WILLIE DESMOND: Yes, Kyrene.
22	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Could you turn on the HVAP
23	layer?
24	Are we in District 18 now? Is that what that is?
25	WILLIE DESMOND: That is in District 18.

1 This is the HVAP layer. This could go over -- District 27, District 27 2 3 could go over to Kyrene. That would be positive in terms of 4 our deviation. District 27 is a comfortable margin of HVAP. 5 6 The other thing we could do is District 18 could 7 absorb this area that's red, although District 18 is already 8 fairly large. It probably doesn't need any more population 9 to be added in. 10 COMMISSIONER McNULTY: But we can remove it from 11 it, right, because it's over? 12 I'd just like to see what the Hispanic makeup of 13 that area is. 14 WILLIE DESMOND: So I can give you the. . . 15 COMMISSIONER McNULTY: What I was thinking was if 16 it belongs in 27 with Guadalupe, then we should leave it there. But if it was just kind of inadvertent and it is --17 18 it would be helpful to 26, then we could take it out of 18, 19 which is overpopulated, and put it in 26, and it would 20 resolve one of the splits also of Tempe. 21 MARY O'GRADY: And, Madam Chair, just in terms of 22 the overall analysis, if it's helpful, the version that we 23 submitted for analysis yesterday, which had the multiple 24 splits of Tempe, looked -- ended up about the same as the

version with Guadalupe, not a material difference in

25

1 performance between those two options. 2. We didn't run this particular option that 3 Commissioner McNulty's looking at now. 4 So it seems to me we have the option of -- with --5 let me see. Including Guadalupe in 26, or moving Guadalupe 6 back to 26 and making some other adjustments like we did 7 yesterday and, like, still considering. 8 And perhaps a third is putting Guadalupe back in 9 26 -- or, excuse me, back in 27, and as it was in the draft 10 map, and then making the other enhancements that were made, 11 like in the Dobson Ranch area, but then not make additional 12 enhancements. That obviously would be lower performing, but I 13 don't know that we analyzed, you know, how different the 14 15 performance is. 16 COMMISSIONER McNULTY: I think that was -- wasn't 17 that this version with the Dobson Ranch enhancement? MARY O'GRADY: I think all of these versions now 18 include the Dobson Ranch, because that's part of the working 19 20 map. 21 WILLIE DESMOND: And that area is right here. 2.2 I'll grab the laser pointer. This area has all been removed -- this area has 23 24 all been removed in every version we've done, from 26, and 25 added into District 18.

1	These labels are Hispanic actual percentage.
2	The shading is the same thing, but it just makes
3	it a little easier to see, I guess.
4	So these two areas that are in 27, in the draft
5	map and in this version, could go either to District 26 or,
6	if you wanted to clean this, they could also go to 18,
7	although 18 is large already.
8	If we took the other thing to consider is that
9	District 27 is already underpopulated by 9,610 people. So
10	removing this 3600 people would then put District 27 at a
11	negative deviation of 6.2 percent.
12	(Brief pause.)
13	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Were you waiting for us?
14	WILLIE DESMOND: Yeah.
15	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: I'm sorry.
16	Okay. So, does that help anything or not? Does
17	moving that help anyone?
18	Does it help 26 or is it better just to leave it
19	in 27 because it's already underpopulated?
20	WILLIE DESMOND: The one district might help 26 a
21	little, and that would be
22	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: The narrower version that
23	you have in red now.
24	WILLIE DESMOND: It would be well, what you
25	could do is take something like, like just this one block.

```
1
     This would raise this 2,554 people and add those to 26.
 2.
               Again, District 27 would need to grow somewhere.
 3
     It's underpopulated.
 4
               But this would be -- it would be a net gain for
 5
     both districts, actually, I believe, because that's higher
 6
     than District 26 is on average and lower than District 27
 7
     is.
 8
               In terms of HVAP.
 9
               Let me just check the mine inspector index on this
10
     area and make sure it's not.
11
               COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Twenty-seven is only at
12
     4.5 percent underpopulated, and that's a voting rights
13
     district, isn't it?
14
               WILLIE DESMOND: Yes, but with this change, just
     this little change, that it would be 5.7 percent.
15
16
               COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Oh, okay. Let's not do
17
     that then.
                 I don't want to start reorganizing everything.
18
     I just thought if it was easy.
19
               But if we could -- I would really like to remove
20
     from the working draft that version that had all the splits
21
     in it, and substitute something that's comparable such as
2.2
     this map and have Dr. King look at it.
23
               WILLIE DESMOND:
                                Okay.
               COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Six splits in a little town
24
25
     like Tempe doesn't make any -- sense to me. I should finish
```

```
1
    my sentence.
 2.
               WILLIE DESMOND: Okay. That would be something
     like -- let me see.
 3
 4
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Commissioners, it's 5:51 p.m.
 5
     I'm just wondering if we could take a short break, a
 6
     ten-minute break.
 7
               Okay.
 8
               So we'll have a break.
                                       It's 5:51 p.m.
 9
               (Brief recess taken.)
10
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: We'll enter back into public
11
     session.
12
               The time is 6:11 p.m.
               Oh, we don't have our mapping consultant.
13
14
     going to need him.
15
               (Brief pause.)
16
               WILLIE DESMOND:
                                 Okay.
17
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                    Okay?
18
               WILLIE DESMOND:
                                There's a lot going on here. Let
19
     me take some stuff off.
20
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                     What did you say?
21
               WILLIE DESMOND: There's a lot going on.
22
     going to clean it up.
23
               Okay.
24
               Are there other changes that commissioners would
25
     like to see or other questions that they have?
```

1	One thing that we looked at during while we
2	were looking at is District 26, how it could look, going
3	back to we started out, just taking this one little portion,
4	but also keeping kind of the changes to the other districts
5	that we looked at yesterday.
6	The one thing this does is it underpopulates
7	District 27 by more than an acceptable margin.
8	One possible solution that we identified would be
9	for District 27 to take a little bit larger portion of Tempe
LO	since it does have a little portion.
L1	I guess the one other thing we haven't discussed
L2	but we can look at would be since the congressional line is
L3	going to go right down here, as I mentioned yesterday, on
L4	the southern border of South Mountain, I could take just
L5	like the tip of Ahwatukee or something.
L6	Does that, does that make sense?
L7	So it would be something like this.
L8	But
L9	So that District 27 would take more population
20	from District 18 here.
21	District 27 is a voting rights district, so we
22	would have to be careful what we're doing.
23	But this would be, this would be one other option.
24	The other thing we can do obviously was to have it
25	take a little bit more of Tempe, right here, from

1	District 18.
2	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Can we look at what we just
3	had there?
4	WILLIE DESMOND: This would essentially just take
5	this District 27. It needs to make up some population, make
6	up 3,085 people, and go over to Kyrene, in between Guadalupe
7	and Baseline.
8	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: So you're saying that would
9	go into 27.
10	WILLIE DESMOND: That would go into 27.
11	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: And it wouldn't dilute it.
12	It would help it.
13	WILLIE DESMOND: It would not be a positive
14	addition as far as voting rights things go, although it
15	would not be the worst area to add in.
16	And District 27 needs population somewhere. It
17	has to grow.
18	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: And then does that put 18
19	in an acceptable range of deviation?
20	WILLIE DESMOND: So, as it's currently
21	constituted, District 18 is overpopulated by 8,914 people.
22	This would remove 3,085, bringing it to 5,829. So it's a
23	deviation that's only 2.74 percent.
24	So it's still high, but better.
25	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Madam Chair, I like that

1	better than going into Ahwatukee.
2	WILLIE DESMOND: Okay.
3	So that is how District 26 looks now. Guadalupe
4	stays with 27.
5	District 27 is our most underpopulated district, I
6	believe. Or no, not quite. District 7 is our most
7	underpopulated district.
8	But District 27 is now underpopulated by 9,091, so
9	a negative deviation of 4.27 percent.
LO	Are there other things that you'd like to see
L1	right away or do?
L2	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Madam Chair, there are
L3	two other things I'd like to look at. One is the split in
L4	Yavapai County, where we added population to LD 5, combined
L5	it with Mohave County.
L6	WILLIE DESMOND: Okay.
L7	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: We heard a lot of public
L8	testimony about splitting Yavapai County, and I prefer not
L9	to split it there if we can avoid it.
20	WILLIE DESMOND: Okay.
21	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: So I was looking at the
22	possibility of moving the portions of Yavapai County that
23	are in LD 25 back into 14, moving La Paz County back into 5,
24	and then removing from LD 14 into 13 Wickenburg, Wittman,
25	Morristown, I think it's called, the little the towns in

1	that little triangle in the northeast corner of 13.
2	WILLIE DESMOND: Okay. We'll go ahead and just
3	show you how that worked, but do it a little different.
4	So if I understand, District 14 is going to absorb
5	population from District 15 in Yavapai County.
6	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Yes, District 5.
7	WILLIE DESMOND: Or five.
8	That would add 18,865 people back into District
9	5 or into District 14 from District 5.
10	At this point District 5 is underpopulated by
11	14,516.
12	So it would take La Paz. That would put it
13	overpopulated by 2.8 percent.
14	So at this point District 5 is pretty good.
15	District 14 is now overpopulated by 15,664. District 13 is
16	underpopulated by 13,653.
17	So that District 13 is going to take from
18	District 14 down here.
19	Go back to the county.
20	This would remove 12,262 people into District 13.
21	So at this point District 13 is underpopulated by
22	1391 people, a deviation of .65 percent.
23	District 14 is overpopulated by 3,384 people, a
24	deviation of 1.59 percent.
25	There is a split removed from Yavapai County, and

1	La Paz is back with Mohave.
2	District 13 now takes the entire kind of western
3	portion of Maricopa County.
4	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Which I think is more rural
5	portion of the county which is what we were shooting for,
6	so
7	The other area that I wanted to revisit was the
8	change we had made in between 23 and 24 yesterday.
9	WILLIE DESMOND: Okay. In Scottsdale?
10	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: In the school we had we
11	were talking about three schools.
12	WILLIE DESMOND: Yes, I believe there was the
13	high school was right here.
14	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: I'm concerned about that.
15	I know we're trying to do maps that are compact
16	and so I would prefer to use our original, the map of 24
17	that we had originally submitted for analysis.
18	If we were actually moving a district of some
19	kind, then I would feel differently about it.
20	But just to move a couple schools within a
21	district, I don't think it makes sense to be changing a
22	voting rights district and putting that kind of contorted
23	arm into it for that reason, so
24	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair.
25	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera.

1	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: The changes that were
2	proposed yesterday regarding those this swapping
3	population, I wasn't in favor of.
4	I don't think it was insignificant.
5	And I don't think we took any action in terms of
6	sending this change or proposed change to get it analyzed,
7	did we?
8	If we did, I would like to see if we can reverse
9	that and not if we end up sending it to get it analyzed,
LO	I would prefer that we not do that.
L1	Does anybody remember?
L2	WILLIE DESMOND: I don't believe this particular
L3	change of 24 was sent.
L4	The larger changes dealing with taking some
L5	population from 27 and losing the Salt River reservation
L6	were sent.
L7	I'm not sure if the analysis has been completed,
L8	but at least initially those seem to be a positive change to
L9	District 24.
20	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Sure.
21	WILLIE DESMOND: But this area though was not
22	included.
23	So the green line is the draft map. It did come
24	down, just or the working map.
25	The draft map went straight across, took this

```
1
     little portion out later, and then yesterday it was this --
 2.
     kind of like little arm.
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Yeah, I kind of view that as
 3
 4
     my fault a little bit, because I was trying to be responsive
 5
     to them.
 6
               Once we realized, we couldn't do the quadrant the
 7
     way they originally suggested, I think I said is there a way
 8
     that we can partially accomplish what they're seeking.
 9
               And the only way to do that was to add that
10
     appendage, which I wasn't excited about, because it is a
11
     compactness issue.
12
               But it looked better before for sure.
               Any thoughts from other commissioners on that?
13
14
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA:
                                    Madam Chair.
15
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                    Mr. Herrera.
16
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA:
                                    Just quickly the -- I think
17
     we had added some of the changes that Commissioner McNulty
18
     had proposed to the working draft map; is that correct?
19
                               Well, yes, I mean, there are many
               WILLIE DESMOND:
20
     changes that were added to the working draft map yesterday.
21
               Among them were some changes in western Arizona.
22
     One of them being the Yavapai, that we just looked at taking
23
     out.
24
               Other ones being District 22, District 15, 28, 20,
25
     21, 14.
```

```
1
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: And, Madam Chair, I had
     agreed with Commissioner McNulty's -- well, most of her
 2
 3
     changes.
 4
               The only change I would be -- that I'm still
     supportive of is the District 28 where we make it
 5
 6
     more competitive by moving the entire Paradise Valley into
 7
     15.
 8
               If we were to do that change, with all of the
 9
     other changes, all the other changes that have been -- that
10
     are on this map, how possible would it be without only
11
     messing with 28 and 15? Is it possible?
12
               WILLIE DESMOND: It would affect, I believe,
13
     Districts 20, 28, and 15.
14
               I assume since District 23, 24, 30 have not
15
     changed that it would be somewhat doable.
16
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: It would be doable with
17
     changing only you said those three districts?
18
               WILLIE DESMOND: I'm not positive.
19
               Let me add the layer in there, and I can tell you
20
     definitively.
21
               Marty's trying to eat my snacks, but if I talk he
22
     has to type.
23
               (Brief pause.)
24
               WILLIE DESMOND: I believe it would be possible.
25
               District 20 would take population here and lose
```

1 population here. 2. District 28, the population it gains right here would shed this area and then the Paradise Valley to 15. 3 4 There might be some other additional tweaks that would come up. I can't say definitively. But I think it 5 6 would be possible. 7 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: You say it's possible. 8 again, not definitively, but you can see a scenario where 9 only 28, 15, and 20 are affected by that change. 10 WILLIE DESMOND: I'm going to do that as a 11 separate one, so we can track these. 12 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Mr. Desmond, what I'm trying 13 to do is with -- have the -- with the changes that I'm 14 proposing to 28, 15, and obviously 20, mirror the current 15 working draft with the changes that have been proposed by 16 Commissioner McNulty. 17 WILLIE DESMOND: Okay. 18 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: So any changes that are made 19 going forward, I want it to be reflected in the map that 20 I've been proposing. 21 Thank you. 22 WILLIE DESMOND: So just -- should I try to draw 23 this right now? I just want to make sure. 24 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Mr. Desmond, how long would 25 it take you to do that?

1 WILLIE DESMOND: Maybe ten minutes. 2. VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Sure. 3 Thank you. 4 It might not take quite as long. WILLIE DESMOND: 5 (Brief pause.) 6 WILLIE DESMOND: As it's currently constituted, 7 District 20 is underpopulated by 28,466. District 15 is 8 overpopulated by 45,344. 9 So what would need to happen is District 20 needs 10 to pick up about 37,000 -- 37,000 people from District 15. 11 That will leave them both overpopulated, but probably within 12 our acceptable margin. 13 So let me -- do you have areas that you think make 14 sense for District 20 to grow into? 15 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Mr. Desmond, at this time I 16 don't, but what I would like to do is to see what other 17 changes are proposed to the legislative map and any -- I'm 18 assuming probably going to be more changes. And once those 19 changes are finalized or we start getting closer to what we 20 feel comfortable that we've made all the changes that we 21 want, then I'll propose the changes to those three districts 2.2 to equal out or to get the population as close to balanced 23 as possible, or at least under five percent, which I think 24 the ones you mentioned are all -- are they all under five? 25 A couple of them are a little slightly over?

1	WILLIE DESMOND: They're all going to have to be
2	over.
3	So right here, this would take 36,000 people from
4	District 15 and add them to District 20.
5	I'm not saying this is the best way.
6	Fifteen would have to 15 is still fairly large,
7	and would be overpopulated by 4.38 percent.
8	But I'll zoom out and let you just see what the
9	districts look like this.
10	You might also want to clean up this area.
11	This is 34.
12	So if you did that.
13	Something like this, a little bit cleaner looking.
14	District 20 is overpopulated 3.35 percent.
15	District 15 is overpopulated by 4.58 percent.
16	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Mr. Desmond, what I'd like to
17	do is leave it, if you don't mind saving those changes, and
18	let's go back to the working draft map.
19	WILLIE DESMOND: Okay.
20	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: And see what those changes
21	are proposed. And then based on any changes that are
22	proposed to the draft map, I'll incorporate them in into
23	my my version of the legislative draft map.
24	WILLIE DESMOND: Okay.
25	Just one other quick question.

1	Did we am I to undo the change between 23 and
2	24 in south Scottsdale that we did yesterday?
3	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair, I think that
4	that's what Commissioner McNulty had proposed. And I would
5	agree.
6	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: And I agreed too and asked if
7	there were any other comments on it and didn't receive any.
8	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair.
9	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera.
10	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: One of the reasons why I
11	disagree with the with that change is it does impact
12	the I think it's 24 that it impacts negatively. It does
13	change it a bit, does retrogress.
14	Is that correct, Mr. Desmond?
15	WILLIE DESMOND: I think it was a fairly
16	negligible difference in terms of the Voting Rights Act.
17	We haven't seen the analysis on it.
18	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Okay.
19	WILLIE DESMOND: It does obviously have a
20	compactness component.
21	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Thank you.
22	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair.
23	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz.
24	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: The question came up last
25	night, and legal counsel gave us their opinion, and the

opinion was was that it made no impact at all on the, on the district, and we were able to achieve something that benefited the Scottsdale Unified School District with having zero impact on the district.

2.

2.2

And if you look at the districts as a whole, there is nothing that the little switchback at the bottom of Scottsdale district of 23, there are those sort of details on every single one of the districts.

So it's not as if compactness was dramatically affected or if any of the other districts -- those little details are all over the place.

So we determined last night that there was no impact on the voters rights district, and we were able to benefit a school district by bringing their high school and some other schools into their community of interest.

So I want to make sure that if we are going to extract this, that we know that we are going in opposite direction without having any real reason to go there.

Compactness is a non-issue. The voters rights district are a non-issue. And we would be doing this purely to harm a request from the school district to be able to bring schools into that district. So, just -- if that's, if that's the decision that the majority of the Commission wants to make, feel free.

CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Any comments from other

1 commissioners? 2. COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Yes, Madam Chair, for myself, I'm not doing it to harm a school district. 3 4 I'm doing it because I don't think it's necessary 5 to voting rights district. We had already submitted that 6 for analysis. 7 I think the compactness is an issue. 8 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair. 9 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera. 10 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: I would agree. My decision 11 was not to -- was not done to harm any school district, but 12 what it was done -- because I think I've been pretty 13 consistent that I want us to avoid making changes to the 14 majority-minority districts, to avoid any changes if at all 15 possible. 16 And I think with this case, I think, you know, 17 we've put a lot of time and effort into designing 24 the way 18 it is now, so I feel comfortable with 24, and I am waiting 19 for the analysis to get back. 20 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair. 21 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz. 22 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: We spent a lot of time and 23 effort last night trying to accommodate the request on 23 24 and we heard back from the counsel that there was no effect 25 to the -- to District 24.

1 So, whether or not your intent is to bring harm or 2 that your intent is to have compactness, it's clear by the rest of the map that we don't have compactness in many, 3 4 many, many other places. So I don't think that this is 5 adding to anything. 6 But if that's the decision, just make it go. 7 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: I'd like to hear about the 8 totality, I think, of all the different changes we're 9 considering now tonight before we just decide on that. 10 So let's -- what else is out there that we want to 11 address as a Commission? As individual commissioners, I 12 should say. 13 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair. 14 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz. COMMISSIONER STERTZ: I think that the -- at the 15 16 end of the day yesterday, after a very long day, in 17 regarding the majority of the legislative districts that are 18 in -- that are contained in Maricopa County, I thought that 19 the recommendations that had been given and outlined by 20 Commissioner McNulty solved a lot of issues. 21 The reason why at the end of the day I had made a 22 suggestion to bring pause to draw together a analysis report 23 was based on really the core work that was done around in 24 the general Maricopa County area. 25 We had communities of interest that were being

1	compacted.
2	We cleaned up lines between districts.
3	We cleaned up splits.
4	I thought our work product in the general Maricopa
5	County area was quite strong.
6	And I think that we from this commissioner's
7	point of view, the general Maricopa County area that was
8	designed by Commissioner McNulty had great merit, and I was
9	extremely pleased where it all went. It solved a lot of
10	problems that had been hanging out there from the previous
11	maps.
12	I think the direction that we're currently going
13	in is going in the a direction that in this
14	commissioner's opinion is not moving that ball down the
15	field in a positive way.
16	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thoughts from other
17	commissioners?
18	What else is out there that we'd like to address?
19	I think we heard some public testimony today about
20	Litchfield Park and adding some two areas of zero
21	population that are part of that community to it.
22	I would be certainly for that.
23	Others have thoughts on that one?
24	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair.
25	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz.

1 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: And I think that that, just 2 like the motion that was made in the previous -- for the congressional maps, I think that that regards to the 3 4 technical issues, the cleaning up of the edges going to arterials and collectors where available, where they don't 5 6 have any negative effect, they -- that could be couched 7 together in a -- as a piece of a motion in a like way, 8 specifically addressing areas where there is zero population 9 but where we have community splits. Those could be added 10 in. 11 I'm sure that Litchfield Park -- that area in 12 Litchfield Park was specific just to that area, because we 13 had somebody today giving testimony, but I'm sure that as 14 the mapping consultants spend time looking at all the edges 15 all the way around the -- all -- the edges of all the 16 districts, that they're going to find not only there can be 17 some adjustments from one district to another to clean up some of those edges, it would be -- they're going to find 18 19 that. 20 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: I agree. 21 Nonetheless, I think that change could easily be 22 made today. 23 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: And I would suggest, I would 24 suggest that that change be made today. 25 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair.

1	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera.
2	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Yeah, I would agree that
3	change is I think if the the way it was presented, it
4	would be no impact to any of the surrounding districts.
5	So if that is the case, then, yes, I would support
6	that change.
7	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Did you want to pull that up,
8	Mr. Desmond, the Litchfield Park?
9	What else is out there, commissioners, in terms of
10	other adjustments to this map that people want to see?
11	WILLIE DESMOND: To the Litchfield Park changes,
12	just these two areas, zero population, taken from
13	District 29, into District 13.
14	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: I would support that change.
15	WILLIE DESMOND: There's a greater area of
16	Litchfield Park, but moving that within the area that it
17	encompasses, I think it moves like is a few thousand people,
18	so it's not so this small area is, yeah.
19	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair.
20	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz.
21	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: I think that and I'm not
22	speaking for the other commissioners, but from this
23	commissioner's perspective, that those type of changes where
24	they have a net zero effect other than to improve the
25	quality of the keep in mind that someone came up during

1 break and said don't forget about the counties and the 2. precinct maps, et cetera. I think that's going to be another technical 3 4 aspect that's going to come into play. 5 So when there's zero impact or, or the impact that 6 might be helped -- or might be had, you know, there's some 7 of this area where we're just going to have to allow for the 8 interface between the precinct design, the county design, 9 the community design, and the mapping consultants in the 10 outlines that we've created to be able to make these subtle 11 type of adjustments where it has no negative effect, or 12 positive affect, other than to the community itself. 13 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair. 14 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera. 15 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: I, I agree with 16 Commissioner Stertz. But in this case I think this is a change that we 17 can make now. We have it in front of us. I don't think 18 19 anybody has any disputes that, that this should be done. 20 I think we were told that it doesn't have any 21 impact at all. There's no population there. 2.2 But I agree with Commissioner Stertz that going 23 forward, I think, that information we should definitely 24 have -- we should direct Mr. Desmond to look around edges. 25 But for now I think this change I would approve putting it

1	into 13.
2	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Agreed.
3	VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: Madam Chair.
4	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Freeman.
5	VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: You know, one of the, one of
6	the glaring problems with the draft LD map was LD 13, which
7	was a one of the last two districts to be drawn, and it
8	was kind of a leftover district. And it was a true barbell
9	district with the population centers being the north part of
10	city of Yuma and part of Surprise, with coyotes and saguaros
11	basically and a few farmers in between.
12	And Commissioner McNulty then did propose a change
13	yesterday that was trying to address that, I think, and it
14	was, it was the right way to go, I think.
15	The problem with what happened yesterday that was
16	of great concern to me is that Yavapai County got sliced up
17	and the quad city area that we heard a lot about when we
18	were up in Prescott and Prescott Valley got divided up as
19	well.
20	I mean, what she's done today is sort of restore,
21	not all, but most of the Yavapai County, put it back
22	together.
23	I like that.
24	With respect to the change on LD 23, 24, I thought
25	that that minor tweak actually very incrementally improved

```
1
     LD 24, but I agree that zigzag looks funny.
 2.
     compactness, particularly in a urban metropolitan area, I
     think is something to be really mindful of.
 3
 4
               And then we try to use the major arterials that we
     looked at, and talked about before, I mean, if there's ways
 5
 6
     to make adjustments in that area to sort of keep the
 7
     districts compact and follow municipal lines or major
 8
     arterials, perhaps that's something to be looked at.
 9
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Do we want to look at that,
10
     on -- is this on 24 then? That area?
11
               Can we pan down?
12
               WILLIE DESMOND: So this switchback, this is --
13
     that's Scottsdale Road.
14
               I'm trying to. . .
               This is Osborn.
15
16
               Then the south is Oak.
               Then this, this part right here, is 84th Street.
17
18
               In the working map before yesterday, District 23
19
     just had -- had this area going south down to Oak, and then
20
     back up.
21
               And then this area was all 24.
2.2
               So. . .
23
                                     Okay. And it sounds like the
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
24
     Commission is split on that particular change.
25
               Are there other changes out there that you want to
```

1	direct Mr. Desmond to address?
2	We can explore them and then decide if we want to
3	do them.
4	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Madam Chair, I'm looking
5	through my notes from our public testimony today. I guess
6	the only other thing I see that I would ask Mr. Desmond to
7	look at is the four block by four block area near South 12th
8	Avenue in Tucson.
9	And I think there were two different proposals
10	there.
11	One had just 519 people and one had 3,000 people.
12	And I'd be willing to consider the 519 person change.
13	But, I if it didn't have any significant if
14	it didn't have any effect, but I would be concerned about
15	the larger change.
16	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair.
17	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz.
18	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Could you zoom out just a
19	touch, Mr. Desmond.
20	WILLIE DESMOND: Excuse me?
21	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Could you zoom out just a
22	touch, please?
23	WILLIE DESMOND: Yes.
24	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: One of the things that was
25	discussed was to bring that little notch that goes out and

1 crosses over Interstate 19, back over to 12th Avenue, 2. extending 12th Avenue down to -- straight. Pull that notch out, put that into three, and then continue down on 3 4 12th Avenue and connect over. 5 12th Avenue continues. It's -- what their 6 comments were was that they like the -- they like the idea 7 of 12 being the devising line between two and three. 8 And my suggestion was that also 22nd Street to the 9 north is a very strong north-south, and there could be an 10 equal exchange of population by capturing what is being 11 moved into -- from three to two would be moved from two to 12 three. 13 So it made a, made a very even swap. And both the 14 two representatives from -- that came and gave testimony 15 both agreed with that. 16 So I'm comfortable with that, with that move. I know the area well. And it makes perfect sense 17 from a community of interest point of view, from a traffic 18 19 point of view, and I believe that the communities that are 20 there you're going to find -- I don't think are going to 21 have a -- they're, they're all of like demographic, 2.2 so I believe that you're not going to see a -- any movement 23 as far as the CVAP is concerned. 24 So I would be comfortable with making that move. 25 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: And that got notch got

```
1
     created to begin with just because of a census tract; right?
 2.
     That was the --
 3
               WILLIE DESMOND:
                                Correct.
 4
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                    Yeah, so, okay.
 5
               WILLIE DESMOND:
                                The one thing I just need to
 6
     point out is that this would be a net loss of 1700 people
 7
     for District 3.
 8
               So that District 3 would then be underpopulated by
 9
     10,700 people roughly, which is very close to our acceptable
10
     margin.
11
               But I'll leave it to Mary.
12
               I can make that change and show you exactly.
13
               COMMISSIONER McNULTY: That's why I would just
14
     prefer to do the smaller change.
15
               I don't want to start moving all our voting rights
16
     districts really.
17
               That was their, their principal concern.
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: What was the initial block
18
19
     that you highlighted, Mr. Desmond?
20
               WILLIE DESMOND:
                                This right here?
21
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                    South of 86th, right.
               WILLIE DESMOND: That -- the number of people
22
23
     there?
24
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                    Yes.
25
                                Is 3,912.
               WILLIE DESMOND:
```

1	And that would be added to District 2.
2	So then District 2 would have a population
3	well, then the other area north of 22nd, the notch, is
4	2,275.
5	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Because one idea is to just
6	have 12 keep going down, I guess, to Irvington. That would
7	be another.
8	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair.
9	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz.
10	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: If 12 goes down to
11	Irvington, and that goes into two, and then the line, which
12	is currently a side street, a local street, on 18th Street,
13	which is that notch that goes above 22nd, comes down
14	four blocks, then we've got a nice straight line connecting
15	on 22nd Street to the north.
16	So 22nd, 22nd would go, from the east to the west,
17	to 12th, straight down 12th, and to the then turning to
18	the east on Irvington.
19	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: So you're suggesting making
20	both those changes.
21	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Well, both those changes
22	were discussed, and both of those were, were
23	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: The 22nd one and the one that
24	just goes straight down.
25	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Correct. They, they had

1	suggested that 12th Street continue and 22nd Street
2	continue.
3	I mean, it was that was their, their testimony,
4	so I agree with it, and it makes perfect sense.
5	WILLIE DESMOND: I can, I can just show you real
6	quickly what that would look like and then we can undo it.
7	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay.
8	Mr. Herrera, do you have something?
9	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: I am in agreement with the
10	smaller change that I think Representative Saldate was
11	recommending, that community of interest, I think that piece
12	that Mr. Desmond just highlighted, but I would not be in
13	favor of the bigger change that Commissioner Stertz is
14	proposing.
15	Not that Commissioner Stertz proposed, but I
16	understand what you're getting at. It was, it was the
17	public testimony from Olivia Cajero Bedford.
18	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Well, both the senator and
19	the representative both recommended these. This is a
20	community change and from a community point of view and from
21	a clean line point of view, it makes perfect sense.
22	WILLIE DESMOND: The one thing I should point out
23	though, I hadn't realized this earlier, is this change
24	brings the HVAP in District 3 to under 50 percent.
25	It goes from 50 2 to 49 66

1	It's 50 is a nice round number.
2	I don't know if there's a huge legal distinction,
3	but I just want to put that out.
4	And then the other thing is District 3 is
5	underpopulated by 10,600 people, which is 4.98 percent.
6	So, not to say this is something we can't do, just
7	pointing those two things out.
8	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: So if you extended if
9	you, if you put back the 12th Avenue extension and left the
10	22nd Street horizontal line.
11	WILLIE DESMOND: So that switches
12	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: No, leave the
13	WILLIE DESMOND: Do that change but undo this one.
14	Correct?
15	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Correct. And undo that one.
16	WILLIE DESMOND: So this would move 3,912 people
17	back.
18	At this point District 2 is probably a little too
19	underpopulated, 10,215.
20	I mean, there's
21	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: What does that do to the
22	HVAP of three?
23	WILLIE DESMOND: Then three is back up to 50.36.
24	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Well, it cleans up one of
25	two lines that they were looking for, and that's I would

1	like to make that recommendation to this.
2	WILLIE DESMOND: I mean, the thing you could also
3	do is I know Irvington is a good street to do it at. You
4	could meet maybe somewhere in the middle here maybe. I
5	mean, would any of these make sense to go down to?
6	Because District 2 is too small right now, so it
7	should grow a little bit.
8	Could just go from the north, the first block
9	group is, is District Street. That would put District 2 at
LO	a negative population of 9300, as opposed to 10,215.
L1	The next block group it's Pennsylvania. That puts
L2	both districts about equally sized, both underpopulated.
L3	But one is negative 3.97. One is 3.96.
L4	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Go to the next block. Take
L5	it down to Michigan.
L6	WILLIE DESMOND: I think no, the next one goes
L7	down to Ohio.
L8	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Okay.
L9	The interesting thing is when 19 was built, it
20	actually shows that all those streets are going through, but
21	they don't. They are stopped into cul-de-sacs and dead ends
22	on either side of 19.
23	So it's a that's why, that's why 12th Avenue is
24	such an important connection.
25	So whatever you can do to balance the population

```
1
     If you need to back if back up to Pennsylvania, that would
 2.
     be. . .
 3
               WILLIE DESMOND: Let me just make sure what this
 4
     does to the HVAP in three.
               With the change, the HVAP is now at 50.05.
 5
 6
     it's a, it's a slight loss from the 50.2, so, but it is
 7
     above 50.
 8
               I assume its other indicators would also be
 9
     strong, but I can't say for certain if you're in a change
10
     report district.
11
               I can tell you like the mine inspector in
12
     District 3 would be 57, or 56.21. As opposed to it's
13
     currently -- oh, I'm sorry, it would be 69.06.
14
               It's currently 69.07, so that's positive.
               District 2 would go from 56.8 to 56.7.
15
16
               Is that a change that you want me to make to the
17
     working map?
18
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair.
19
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz.
20
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ: From my perspective this is
21
     what we heard was the direction that they would like us to
2.2
     go in, and I would like this change to be made.
23
               COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Ms. O'Grady, do you have
24
     any comments or concerns?
25
               MARY O'GRADY: The effect on the voting rights
```

1	district doesn't seem significant, but we would have to get
2	back, you know, for analysis, like we are with everything
3	else.
4	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Do we need to if we put
5	this in the working draft, would we continue to have what's
6	in the working draft now analyzed on a separate track, or
7	are you comfortable that we could just substitute this?
8	MARY O'GRADY: I think we could substitute this,
9	but then they'd need to look at, look at this.
10	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Okay.
11	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thoughts from other
12	commissioners?
13	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair.
14	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera.
15	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: If it would be, if it would
16	be okay, can we take a quick break? I'm drinking plenty of
17	water. So, I don't want to miss any of this, so I'd like to
18	see if we can take a five-minute break.
19	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay.
20	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Stick to five minutes.
21	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: I think that we can do that.
22	Are you okay with that? Can we have a five-minute
23	break?
24	Okay.
25	As long as it's five minutes. We'll be timing

```
1
     you.
           It's 7:06 p.m.
 2.
               (Brief recess taken.)
 3
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: We'll enter back into public
 4
     session.
 5
               The time is 7:11 p.m.
               WILLIE DESMOND: So this change to Districts 2 and
 6
 7
     3, is this something that you want to put into the working
 8
     map?
 9
               Also outstanding is whether or not we change
10
     Districts 23 and 24, Commissioner Herrera's District 28, and
11
     also the changes to Yavapai, La Paz, and Maricopa County
12
     portion of 14 that Commissioner McNulty suggested too.
13
               The only change I have right now is just to that
14
     small portion of Litchfield Park.
15
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair.
16
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                    Mr. Herrera.
17
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA:
                                    I would propose that the -- I
18
     think that four-by-four piece in, let's see, in -- where is
19
     it. Going from two to three, that small piece be approved
20
     and put into the working draft map.
21
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair.
2.2
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz.
23
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ:
                                     I would propose that we
24
     listen to the folks that came here today looking for both of
25
     the changes that took place, not just moving the
```

```
1
     four-by-four, but the other, the other changes of cleaning
 2.
     up 22nd Street and the extension of 12th Avenue.
 3
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair.
 4
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera.
 5
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA:
                                    I would be in favor of that,
 6
     but the change -- if we were to adopt that change, that
 7
     would be a significant change, and would affect the
 8
     majority-minority districts, so I would not be in favor of
 9
     that.
10
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair.
11
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz.
12
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ: I think we just had heard
13
     from our legal counsel it wouldn't.
14
               But I -- if you knew about how Tucson works and
15
     how the areas work and how the streets work, I'm sure that
16
     if we had the opportunity to extend 12th Avenue without
     having a population deviation, it would be even better for
17
     us to extend it further south.
18
19
               South of 22nd at that area as completely a
20
     community.
21
               So, they talked about it, they recommended it,
22
     taking off the -- by having -- by saying that that little
23
     piece on one side or the other of 12 is just a piece of
24
     their recommendation.
25
               I happen to agree with the recommendation that
```

195

```
1
     they made, so I would like to, I would like to accept both
 2.
     of the pieces, not just the small one.
 3
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                    Thoughts from other
 4
     commissioners?
 5
               COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Could we go back to
 6
     Ms. O'Grady and just get clarification on her comment?
 7
               When you were talking about the fact that we could
 8
     substitute this, were you referring to the small change or
 9
     the larger change?
10
               WILLIE DESMOND:
                                The -- I believe -- I'm sorry.
11
               MARY O'GRADY: Go ahead.
12
               WILLIE DESMOND:
                                I just -- there's -- I think
13
     there's three changes that were proposed.
14
               The first one -- so the red line is how the
15
     district currently looks.
16
               I'll turn on the major roads so you can understand
17
     this.
18
               The first set of changes would be just to remove
19
     this little area, 590 people, and move it from District 2
20
     into District 3.
21
               The second set of changes was to straighten this
     off here at District -- at 22nd Street and continue this
2.2
23
     line down all the way until it hit Irvington.
24
               That change had the effect of making the HVAP in
25
     District 3 slightly under 50 percent.
```

1	A kind of compromise between the two, I guess, was
2	to remove this portion on 22nd Street, move this section,
3	and go down but just not as far as Irvington.
4	And what that did was it did lower the HVAP
5	slightly in three, although the ability to elect is still
6	very high there, but it left it above at 50, at 50.05.
7	I don't know if Mary has advice then.
8	MARY O'GRADY: Well, in response to
9	Commissioner McNulty's question, I think we could substitute
10	whatever the Commission approves chooses to do tonight
11	and refer that for analysis and then get Commission
12	information on whether it affects the viability of these as,
13	as voting rights districts.
14	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair.
15	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera.
16	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Thank you, Ms. O'Grady, for
17	explaining that again.
18	I think I would be okay with moving the
19	compromise. I think that is was the third option that
20	Mr. Desmond had mentioned. Moving it forward as part of the
21	working map and getting it sent for analysis.
22	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz, you were okay
23	with that one, that alternative?
24	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair.
25	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz.

1	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: As it's shown on the screen
2	now, that would be yes. Because I think that that meets
3	at least moves the ball down the field as far as what
4	their what the folks look for, and it makes perfect sense
5	to me.
6	WILLIE DESMOND: Okay. So that will be added.
7	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Hearing no objection.
8	WILLIE DESMOND: Okay. Going back to our other
9	changes, do you want to talk about the ones we've looked at
LO	or are there other things that we should explore if we're
L1	going back?
L2	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: So right now on our list
L3	there's the Herrera 28, the Scottsdale School District
L4	issue, and what else?
L5	WILLIE DESMOND: And the Yavapai County.
L6	The one other thing I'd like to flag, no one's
L7	brought up, but there's a there is fairly large
L8	population deviations in Districts 16 and 12.
L9	I don't know if Mary has anything she wants to say
20	on that.
21	MARY O'GRADY: Yeah, I would say if you could take
22	a look at those areas and see if those deviations can be
23	minimized can be reduced at all, I think it's worth at
24	least looking at.
25	We are within the 10 percent range but we do

1	we can make an effort to reduce those to the extent that we
2	can.
3	WILLIE DESMOND: I believe that is as a result of
4	Commissioner McNulty's changes to District 8, which made it
5	better than benchmark District 23 yesterday.
6	So adding it back into eight as less than ideal,
7	although to get those deviations slightly down that might be
8	necessary if we can find some areas that make sense.
9	The other thing I'd be if any commissioner has
10	a thought on how this could be done in a way that makes
11	sense would be District 23 is currently overpopulated by
12	5,540 people.
13	If there's any way for it to absorb population
14	from District 16 and 12, that would be great.
15	The one thing I know is that 12 does seem to run
16	right along two census place boundaries.
17	So that it would probably introduce a split to
18	Mesa here, or else it would have to come down, and perhaps,
19	perhaps 12 can take some of the portion of San Tan from 16.
20	Something like that.
21	And 16 can take something from 23.
22	Although I don't have, like, anything prepared as
23	to how this can be done.
24	I just wanted to flag that as an option.
25	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair.

1	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz.
2	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Mr. Desmond, all of these
3	adjustments that you need to make are, are a ripple effect
4	from the changes that Mr that Commissioner Herrera is
5	recommending?
6	WILLIE DESMOND: No, no, these two changes are
7	the fact that 12 and 16 are, are overpopulated is a result
8	of trying to improve District 8.
9	And, you know, I'm not trying to say that these
10	are things that have to happen.
11	Mary might want to say that.
12	I'm just pointing out that these are our
13	two biggest districts, I believe.
14	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair.
15	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz.
16	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: I've spent a bunch of
17	time looking at Commissioner McNulty's recommendations
18	for Maricopa County. And I thought that she had made a
19	extraordinary good representation and design for the
20	districts that were in Maricopa County. And I would
21	like to recommend going back to those districts and their
22	design.
23	As far as the Scottsdale school notch, I'm my,
24	my opinion is, is that we get these other areas cleaned up,
25	that notch is probably going to go away.

1	I'm just more I'm really comfortable with the
2	direction that Commissioner McNulty took in the greater
3	Maricopa County metro Phoenix area in her district design.
4	I'd like to go back to those districts.
5	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Is this because you want to
6	go home?
7	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: No.
8	As I said during break to someone, I said I can
9	we can go ahead and continue to argue about districts and
10	come up with all different kinds of ways that we can
11	continue to disagree.
12	It's been rare in the nine months that I've been
13	sitting in this Commission that I can specifically say,
14	yeah, I've looked at these and I like them.
15	Listen, Commissioner McNulty knows that yesterday
16	that she and I went toe to toe on Districts 8 and 11 for
17	two hours.
18	Okay.
19	There is a representative here today that said
20	that she's just she's extraordinarily disappointed by how
21	8 and 11 turned out.
22	We can start going down that path.
23	But what I'm suggesting is that the districts in
24	Maricopa County as she contemplated them in this area I
25	mean, I still do not agree with her at all in her, in her 11

```
1
     and 8.
             Okay. But in the cases of her Maricopa County
 2.
     splits, I believe that she made some very good and prudent
     decisions.
 3
 4
               I'm not, I'm not agreeing with her in how she
 5
     split up La Paz and Yavapai and Mohave. Okay.
 6
     needed to make a decision with Yavapai that needed to come
 7
     down and grab population in Yavapai.
 8
               The areas that surrounded the midtown area
     connected -- had good connection. There was a connection
 9
10
     between, between PV and its adjacent cities, between
11
     Scottsdale and its adjacent cities, between -- it cleaned up
12
     more splits that were taking place in Peoria.
13
               It created -- there was a lot of areas that made
14
     sense.
15
               So, on this particular occasion, I'm happy to
16
     agree with her.
17
               So. . .
18
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thoughts from other
19
     commissioners?
20
               VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN:
                                    Madam Chair.
21
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                    Mr. Freeman.
22
               VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN:
                                    Is what's displayed on the
23
     screen now our working --
24
               WILLIE DESMOND:
                                No.
                                     Sorry.
25
               This is still Commissioner Herrera's District 28
```

1	change.
2	Let me go back to
3	VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: It's not necessary. I just
4	wanted clarification on that.
5	WILLIE DESMOND: Oh.
6	VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: This I mean, we already
7	talked about this proposal yesterday. And, and as I said
8	yesterday, to me this proposal reads significant detriment
9	right out of the constitution.
10	In a district where you've got a legislator who
11	could represent the corner of McDonald and Scottsdale Road
12	and also, whatever it is, Happy Valley Highway, and the
13	border of Peoria and north Phoenix.
14	I don't know what avenue that is, but I'm sure
15	it's a big number.
16	That is that's quite a, that's quite a stretch.
17	You've got Paradise Valley connected with by a
18	narrow corridor to the north valley. Simply because of a
19	need to pack Republicans together.
20	You've also split, once again, my community by
21	splitting Paradise Valley off from the Arcadia, Biltmore
22	area.
23	So I think that's a significant detriment to that
24	community of interest.
25	It clearly sacrificed a lot in terms of

```
1
     compactness.
 2.
               WILLIE DESMOND:
                                Just -- I -- the red line is the
 3
     current working map that Commissioner McNulty proposed
 4
     yesterday.
               This one is that change, but just to reflect
 5
 6
     Commissioner Herrera's District 28.
 7
               So Commissioner McNulty's map does not split,
 8
     like, Paradise Valley.
 9
               I just wanted to --
10
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA:
                                    Madam Chair.
11
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                    Mr. Herrera.
12
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA:
                                    And just to reiterate that
13
     I'm not splitting Paradise Valley. What I'm doing is
14
     keeping it whole in a different district.
15
               And that's -- you know, I respect
16
     Commissioner Freeman but I disagree with him.
               I don't think anything I did really leads to any
17
18
     detriment to any of the other three state-mandated goals,
19
     criteria. I think I did -- what I ended up doing is
20
     balancing out all six criteria to the best of my ability
21
     while creating a competitive district that created no
2.2
     significant detriment to the other goals.
23
               But what I want to do and already directed
24
     Mr. Desmond is the -- any changes that are being proposed to
25
     the current working draft, I want to duplicated it in the
```

```
1
     other -- in my draft, with the exception of the changes to
 2.
     28, 15, and I think 20 were the three that were affected by
 3
     my changes.
 4
               WILLIE DESMOND:
                                Correct.
 5
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA:
                                    Thank you.
 6
               VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN:
                                    Madam Chair.
 7
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                    Mr. Freeman.
 8
               VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN:
                                    Well, once again the record
 9
     has been misrepresented.
10
               I did not say Paradise Valley had been split.
11
               I said that Paradise Valley, Biltmore, Arcadia
12
     community of interest, that I've spent my whole life in, has
13
     been divided up again in that map.
14
               COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Madam Chair.
15
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Commissioner McNulty.
16
               COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Can I ask about the changes
17
     that I proposed to make Yavapai -- to reduce that -- remove
18
     that split between Yavapai and Mohave County? Was there a
19
     problem with that from the perspective of any commissioner,
20
     or is that something we can incorporate in the working
21
     draft?
2.2
               VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN:
                                    Madam Chair.
23
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                    Mr. Freeman.
24
               VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN:
                                    That was one of the first
25
     things I wanted to comment about it is that the effort to
```

1 sort of repair LD 13 originally resulted in -- I think it 2. required another split of Yavapai. 3 But I agree that we've heard a lot from people up 4 there about keeping Yavapai County as whole as possible. essentially constitutes an LD. Now it's got a chunk of 5 6 about 51,000 people, I think, taken out of it to put in that 7 LD 6. 8 But, your effort -- your efforts to sort of repair 9 it, I think, are good efforts. 10 It keeps it as whole as possible. 11 It does have to dip into urban Maricopa County, 12 which I don't think folks up there would want, but I quess 13 if that's the price to be paid for salvaging 13, that's the 14 price to be paid. 15 COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Madam Chair, I think based 16 on that I would suggest that we incorporate that in the 17 working draft. It's one less thing that we need to -- one 18 thing we can check off. 19 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair. 20 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz. 21 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Commissioner McNulty, when you, when you -- let me ask a question about how you --22 23 you've got a -- the portion of Yavapai that you, that you

captured to bring into Mohave is identical in population to

24

25

La Paz County.

1	Could we give back La Paz to Mohave, and give the
2	21,191 out of northwest Yavapai back to Yavapai?
3	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Yes, that's actually
4	exactly what we did.
5	Mr. Desmond, could you walk through that again?
6	WILLIE DESMOND: Sure. So
7	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: That was something that I
8	proposed earlier tonight.
9	WILLIE DESMOND: Let me just add that other layer
10	back in, and I'll be able to show you.
11	So essentially what happened is that this portion
12	of Yavapai went back into District 14.
13	That meant that District 5 was too too small.
14	So then District 5 came down and took La Paz.
15	Five, five was the right size.
16	Thirteen was too small, and at this point 14 was
17	too big.
18	So to compensate for that, District 13 went in and
19	took, you know, Wickenburg and Morristown, and brought the
20	border of 14 and 13 out to the Maricopa County line.
21	Now, District 14 does still come into
22	Maricopa County now, but it does in the Cave Creek,
23	New River area.
24	So but it does you know, this does clean up
25	the western side of Maricopa County. There's one less split

1	of Goodyear and Buckeye. And District 13 is but does not
2	have La Paz and as still fairly rural.
3	So the map did look like
4	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: You don't need to go back to
5	it.
6	WILLIE DESMOND: Okay.
7	Well, the map looked like this, and now it looks
8	like that.
9	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Okay.
10	So, 13, 5, 14, in my opinion, all the districts as
11	were currently designed and put in place by
12	Commissioner McNulty's maps. And they could be anchored.
13	I'm very satisfied.
14	Again, my argument is right now between 8 and 11.
15	And that's not an argument that I'm going to win.
16	So I think that Commissioner McNulty has done a
17	nice job in creating districts. And you just solved the big
18	one with Yavapai, which is now not splintered up.
19	I wish Gila wasn't split into three.
20	We talked about that yesterday. Determined that
21	between seven and eight, it became, it became
22	non-negotiable.
23	Mohave and La Paz are kept whole.
24	Yavapai has now been broken.
25	Has less splints in it.

1	Keeps districts intact.
2	Thirteen is less of a arbitrary capturing of
3	population by creating a little skinny throat to get to the
4	body of it.
5	Four is a majority-minority district, which is
6	left intact.
7	As it was two with the slight adjustments that we
8	just made.
9	One, we've had no discussion or touching to.
10	Three is a minority-minority district majority
11	district.
12	Nine and ten are the greater Tucson districts, and
13	both of those are as close to being competitive as any
14	districts have been in the greater Tucson area in the last
15	20 years.
16	Now if we could just fix 8 and 11, we've you
17	could get me there.
18	Madam Chair, my kudos to Commissioner McNulty on
19	the 14, 5, 13 fix.
20	WILLIE DESMOND: Am I clear that this change to
21	Yavapai, Mohave, La Paz, District 13, is to be included in
22	the working map?
23	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair, are there any
24	other outstanding issues other than the
25	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Just answer Mr. Desmond

```
1
     though first.
 2.
               Yes, I would agree to that.
               Hearing no objection, I think that those can go
 3
 4
     into the working map.
 5
               Sorry, Mr. Stertz.
 6
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ: No, I'm going down the next
 7
     path right now. Is that not. . .
 8
               We are, we are, again, growing close to -- I'm not
 9
     trying to be -- I'm not trying to dismiss
10
     Commissioner Herrera's redesigns of the greater Phoenix
11
     metro area in those several districts, but there will be a
     lot of resistance and hard discussion about those.
12
13
               And I am not sure whether or not the -- well, I'll
14
     just close as saying that in the effort for a lot of, a lot
15
     of compromise that's taking place here, take a real look at
16
     Commissioner McNulty's decisions in these midtown districts,
     and I'd like to just leave that up to the commissioner to my
17
     right to tell me whether or not I'm off base and whether or
18
19
     not he likes these, he likes these midtown districts the way
20
     that Commissioner McNulty's presented them.
21
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA:
                                    Madam Chair.
2.2
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                    Mr. Herrera.
23
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA:
                                    I appreciate
24
     Commissioner Stertz's comments.
25
               You know, I didn't propose that 28 as I'm
```

```
1
     proposing it be part of the working map.
 2.
               What I proposing is that we create -- I'm creating
 3
     a map that's mirroring what we're doing with the working
 4
     map.
 5
               The only difference would be obviously 28, 20, and
 6
     19, those three districts that are affected, would be
 7
     slightly different than a working map.
 8
               And, it's -- if things -- if the working map -- if
 9
     you guys end up approving the working map, then I guess
10
     District 28, the way I'm proposing it, will be, will be a
11
     moot point. But if it doesn't get approved, then I will
12
     propose that the -- my version be considered, because I
13
     think it's a, it's a good map.
14
               We incorporated all the ideas that we're talking
     about to today with -- again, with the exception of those
15
16
     three districts.
17
               VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN:
                                   Madam Chair.
18
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                   Mr. Freeman.
19
               VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN:
                                   Well, if that's what it down
20
     comes down to, why don't we just vote on the -- whether the
21
     Commissioner Herrera's changes are accepted or not in the
2.2
     working map?
23
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                   What else is outstanding,
24
     commissioners, besides the 28 -- is that the right number,
25
     Mr. Herrera? -- and the Scottsdale change? Are there any
```

1	other adjustments?
2	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Do we want to look at
3	12 and 16 and see if there's any way to edge a little
4	population up into 23?
5	WILLIE DESMOND: Okay.
6	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mary is nodding yes.
7	WILLIE DESMOND: All right.
8	One thing we can do from 16 would be to take a
9	little bit more there is a split already between Mesa and
10	25, Mesa and 16.
11	Perhaps 25 could just take a little bit more
12	population.
13	Twenty-five is overpopulated by 3,935 people.
14	However, 16 is overpopulated by 10,128. Balancing those
15	both out probably would be a good thing to do.
16	As far as District 12 goes, District 17 doesn't
17	have much room to absorb any population. It is also
18	overpopulated.
19	So that's not an ideal situation.
20	Looking at some of the areas as far as HVAP go,
21	it's possible that District 8 could absorb a little bit from
22	District 12, without having a terribly large effect on its
23	ability to be better than District 22. Or 23.
24	I mean, let me just
25	Like, for instance, just taking this back to the

```
1
     border would move 2,314 people. That would make District 12
 2.
     still overpopulated, but only by 6,815 people.
               District 8 would be closer to ideal population
 3
 4
     also.
               So there's -- that's a less than ideal thing,
 5
 6
     because District 8 is a district that we're, we're going to
 7
    bring to the Department of Justice right now, but it might
 8
    be something worth exploring.
 9
               I leave it to Mary to see if the cost to
10
     District 8 as a voting rights district for Justice is
11
     outweighed by the fact that District 12 will be closer to
12
     ideal population size.
13
               MARY O'GRADY: Madam Chair, and I don't -- did you
14
     give all the numbers?
15
               WILLIE DESMOND: So this will take District 8 from
16
     an underpopulation -- or District 12, excuse me, from
     overpopulation of 9,129 people to an overpopulation of
17
     6,815, which would be a deviation that would go from
18
19
     4.28 percent down to 3.2 percent.
20
               MARY O'GRADY: And the minority impact?
21
               WILLIE DESMOND:
                                So currently District 8 has a
2.2
     voting age Hispanic percentage of 31.33.
23
               With this change, that percentage drops, 31.24.
24
               COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Can we look at that as the
25
     last resort rather than as the first choice?
```

1	WILLIE DESMOND: Okay.
2	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Can we go up to 23 and, you
3	know, start there and see what we might add to that from 16?
4	WILLIE DESMOND: Sure.
5	Twenty-three does currently end at the
6	Maricopa County line.
7	So it could go into Pinal County north of
8	Apache Junction, Gold Canyon. It could also come in and
9	take a portion of Mesa in District 16.
10	There's really no way for District 23 to, to grow
11	through Tempe and this part of Mesa and Scottsdale, because
12	those are all voting rights districts.
13	So
14	Is there a preference as to where to start, in
15	either Apache Junction or Mesa?
16	MARY O'GRADY: And, commissioner, just referring
17	back to some public comment in the Las Sendas area at the
18	Maryvale hearing, we did hear from a representative of that
19	community that some of that was in 16 and they wanted it to
20	be moved into 25, which is up on that north by moving the
21	line over to Power Road which is up in the northwest corner
22	of 16.
23	I don't know if that helps in addition to looking
24	at some other switches into 23.
25	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: It seems like that would

1	help a little bit.
2	WILLIE DESMOND: So, if, if we're just doing a
3	swap between 25 and 16, we could either do something like
4	this, move 3,000 people never mind.
5	So something like this would move 2,147 people
6	from District 16 into District 25.
7	District 16 would go from a positive deviation of
8	10,128, which is 4.75 percent, to a positive deviation of
9	7,981, which is 3.75 percent.
10	Additionally District 25 would grow from a
11	positive deviation of 1.85 to a positive deviation of 2.85.
12	Just so it's clear what area we're talking about
13	here, this would be continuing over on McKellips, until it
14	hits, it looks like, Usery Pass Road.
15	So
16	This is Usery Pass.
17	This is McKellips.
18	This doesn't address any of the issues in 12, but
19	it does help 16 quite a bit.
20	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: And it doesn't introduce
21	another split.
22	WILLIE DESMOND: It does not.
23	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Okay.
24	That seems like a good idea.
25	Should we look down at 12 and see if there's

1	anything there that could be
2	WILLIE DESMOND: Before we do, is this something
3	we should do or not?
4	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Commissioners, how do you
5	feel about that change? This is all for the population
6	balancing of 12 and 16?
7	WILLIE DESMOND: This would be a population
8	balance of 16 and 25.
9	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair, how do we, how
10	do we seven is the, is the most glaring district of
11	population deviation.
12	WILLIE DESMOND: That's right.
13	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: I understand we're trying to
14	get narrower between the ditches here, but seven is the one
15	we've got our biggest deviation on.
16	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Ms. O'Grady, do you want to
17	explain that or answer that?
18	MARY O'GRADY: Well, seven is one of the voting
19	rights districts, so all of our voting rights districts are
20	low, and we can certainly justify that deviation.
21	So we're looking at trying to focus on the
22	non-voting rights districts and to see if any of those
23	areas those deviations can be reduced.
24	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: So, in your opinion, seven,
25	as it currently exists, is we should let that stay

1	status quo?
2	MARY O'GRADY: Yeah. As a legal matter you don't
3	need to adjust the District 7 deviation.
4	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair.
5	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera.
6	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: That was also the opinion of
7	Bruce Adelson, and also that is the map that the, that the
8	native tribes in the district favor.
9	So I'm okay with keeping that deviation the way it
10	is.
11	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair.
12	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz.
13	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Part of, part of the reason
14	why I was asking for the population or registration of each
15	one of the districts was because voters rights districts
16	trend and tend to be a lower registration by district.
17	And I am okay with the reason I was asking
18	about seven is because seven actually has a higher
19	percentage of voter registration in that district than the
20	other voters rights district.
21	So even though we've got a lower population, we
22	actually have a higher percentage of voter registration,
23	which is by comparison to the other ten districts is
24	actually nominal.
25	So, so I'm comfortable with leaving that district

1 underpopulated, if that's the recommendation of counsel and 2. consultants. 3 COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Can we look at 12? 4 WILLIE DESMOND: Just still -- is this --5 COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Why don't we lock that. 6 WILLIE DESMOND: Okay. 7 Here's 12. It is overpopulated by 9,129 people, which is a 8 9 positive deviation of 4.28 percent. 10 COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Ms. O'Grady, can we justify 11 that? You know, we've done a lot of work in that corner of 12 the state. 13 We've kept Chandler in two districts. We've kept 14 Gilbert as whole as we can. We've kept Queen Creek as whole 15 as we can. 16 We have addressed splits in Mesa to try to reduce 17 those. All those things have been done with the result 18 19 that 12 is a little more over populated than it might 20 otherwise be, but I'm not seeing any logical way there to 21 address that, unless we do another split someplace. 2.2 MARY O'GRADY: Okay. And that's what -- and 23 that's the exercise we need you to do to look and see if 24 there are alternatives that could reduce the deviation. 25 if there's not, based on the other constitution criteria,

1	then we're fine as long as we're in within the
2	constitutional range. And we are.
3	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: I would also say that
4	we're you know, we've got District 27, which is a voting
5	rights district, just on the other side of 17, the Chandler
6	district.
7	We've got 26, which is a voting rights district
8	that we've done a lot of work on.
9	And we've worked on population sharing between
10	18 and 26 to bolster that voting rights district.
11	And all of those things, coupled with the things
12	the things I just described I'm sorry. We have a voting
13	rights district, 27, which is just west of 17 which is
14	adjacent to 12.
15	And we've done population sharing between 27 and
16	18 to improve the voting rights district.
17	We've done population and just north of that is
18	District 26, which is also a voting rights district.
19	We've done population sharing between 18 and 26 to
20	improve that voting rights district.
21	And all of those things coupled with the things I
22	just described about Chandler and Gilbert and Queen Creek
23	and Mesa, in keeping those municipalities from being further
24	divided, all contribute to the current population of 12.
25	So should we go back up to 16 and 23?

```
1
               WILLIE DESMOND:
                                So you want to look, again, at
 2
     the balance between 25 and 16, or do you want to see if
 3
     there's a way for 23 to come in and take some population
     from 16?
 4
 5
               COMMISSIONER McNULTY:
                                      What about those
 6
     unincorporated areas? Does that make any more sense,
 7
     or. . .
 8
               WILLIE DESMOND:
                                I'll take a look.
               COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Or does that make less
 9
10
     sense?
11
               WILLIE DESMOND: I don't think there's a lot of
12
     population there.
13
               If we do some small, small, small splits of Mesa
14
     here, some of these areas that are city islands and county
15
     islands.
16
               COMMISSIONER McNULTY: And that's all
17
     interspersed, so -- I like what you did -- I like what you
18
     did earlier better because it doesn't introduce another
19
     split into Mesa, but it does help a little bit to balance
20
     the population.
21
               WILLIE DESMOND: So, like, this area right here
22
     has about 1700, 1800 people.
               I would do a split of Mesa, but it would also
23
24
     help.
25
               Twenty-three certainly can grow, but. . .
```

```
1
               COMMISSIONER McNULTY:
                                      I'd rather not split Mesa
 2
     again, if we can avoid it. I'd rather pursue your first
 3
    proposal.
 4
               WILLIE DESMOND: Okay. Just to refresh you on
 5
     that one.
 6
               COMMISSIONER McNULTY: That would also be a county
 7
     split if we did it the other way; right?
 8
               WILLIE DESMOND: No, we would be able to stay
 9
     within Maricopa County here.
10
               The county line, let me go a little heavier.
11
               The county line runs right here.
12
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA:
                                   Madam Chair.
13
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                   Mr. Herrera.
14
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: The -- District 23 as it is
15
    now is -- it's not underpopulated. It's actually almost
16
     ideal.
17
               So what is the rationale of making those changes?
18
     Is it, is it because of 16 being a little overpopulated?
19
     can certainly -- if that's the case, it's still under the
20
     five percent threshold that we talked about.
21
               WILLIE DESMOND:
                                I guess the thinking being
2.2
     that since it's kind of been the policy of the Commission
23
     to underpopulate voting rights districts to the extent that
24
     it helps improve their performance, the rest of the
25
    districts that are not voting rights districts might have to
```

```
1
     share some of that burden and have kind of a pseudo-ideal
 2.
     population that's somewhat a little bit above the 213,067
 3
     that, that would be ideal if all districts were of equal
 4
     size.
 5
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair.
 6
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                    Mr. Stertz.
 7
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ:
                                     Could you bring up the
 8
     street layer on that as well? Because if you just -- I want
 9
     to make sure that we're not interrupting.
10
               WILLIE DESMOND:
                                So what this would do is
11
     District 25 will continue, continue east on McKellips --
12
    McKellips, sorry, will continue east on McKellips until it
13
    hits Usery Pass Road, and it will continue up Usery Pass
14
     Road to, to the border with 23 and Indian reservation.
15
               Currently District 25 runs up at 76th Street, over
16
     at Hermosa Vista, and then up at 84th Street.
17
               So move backward.
18
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair.
19
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz.
20
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ: You can actually go all the
21
     way up to the county line to the right, pick up that
2.2
     unincorporated area, that last little piece.
23
                               Well, the issue is that 16 does
               WILLIE DESMOND:
24
    not have much -- or 25, excuse me, does not have much
25
    population to grab.
```

```
1
               It could take a little bit more, yes, if we were
 2
     to do something like. . .
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Go to the block level.
 3
 4
     can follow.
 5
               WILLIE DESMOND:
                               Yeah.
               So if we went to the block level, District 25
 6
 7
     continued.
 8
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Grab that little notch
 9
     down. . .
10
               That's 31.
11
               WILLIE DESMOND: 3161. And then District 25 would
12
     be overpopulated by 7,096.
13
               District 16 would be overpopulated by 6967.
14
               Deviations of 3.33 and 3.27.
15
               So, yes, that would, that would balance those two
16
     very well.
17
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ:
                                     Great.
18
               WILLIE DESMOND: Just so I can pull out you can
19
     see how that looks.
20
               The area in red would be included with
21
     District 25.
2.2
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair.
23
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz.
24
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ: It seems like a reasonable
25
     swap to marry districts. The districts are demographically
```

```
1
    very consistent with one another.
 2.
               I've -- it's been probably a year since I've been
     up in those neighborhoods. They're -- those being split
 3
 4
     into two legislative districts, the legislators in that area
 5
    probably all know each other and probably represent 25 and
 6
     16 fairly consistently. So, I see no problem with a split
 7
     like this. And it balances population.
 8
               COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Does that get us where we
 9
    need to be, Ms. O'Grady, do you think?
10
               MARY O'GRADY: It does seem like this will help as
11
     well.
12
               3.3 and 3.27. So that, that does help.
13
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: The time is 8:00 p.m.
14
     we'll take a five-minute break.
15
               (Brief recess taken.)
16
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. We'll enter back into
17
    public session.
18
               The time is 8:07 p.m.
19
               And I think we just found a central solution for
20
    population balancing.
21
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair.
2.2
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz.
23
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Before we left and went to
24
    break, there was -- it was a -- left with sort of a dangling
25
                And, and we could ask counsel if, just to put on
    decision.
```

1 the record, that the concept of -- of the reason why we 2. would, even though we're overpopulated in districts, why we would want to make this modification now for the record of 3 4 balancing these two districts as we -- as we're 5 contemplating. 6 MARY O'GRADY: Madam Chair, commissioners, this is 7 just part of an effort to look at all the deviation -- the 8 deviations and reduce them to the extent we can. 9 And then so this does help reduce some of the 10 higher -- the deviation in 16. 11 And to the -- in other areas where we have not 12 been able to, as it was explained, there are issues with 13 running into voting rights districts and also trying not to 14 create additional splits with municipalities. So we're 15 doing -- the Commission has been doing the best it can to 16 reduce, but also recognizing and respecting the other constitutional criteria. 17 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: So, Madam Chair. 18 19 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz. 20 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: If I understood that 21 correctly, if even though we're just pushing puppies from 2.2 one box to another box and the puppies are the same, it's 23 still is a better record to have saying that we made the 24 attempt to try to balance population, even though both

district are still overpopulated.

1	MARY O'GRADY: Right. Because they are we are
2	reducing our high end districts, the deviation from the
3	ideal.
4	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Then based on the, on the
5	map that was showing on the screen, I happen to agree with
6	it in its entirety.
7	WILLIE DESMOND: I'm sorry about that.
8	I forgot that I was broadcasting.
9	This whole process would be so much easier.
10	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair, I'd recommend
11	the action of the movement of population as described.
12	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Any objections or thoughts on
13	that?
14	I shouldn't say other objections. There were no
15	objections, but
16	Okay. It seems like everybody's in agreement then
17	on that.
18	WILLIE DESMOND: I just want to also any
19	members of the public who might have just received a block
20	equivalency file, it could be changed if I find
21	noncontiguous areas. Just a fair word of warning.
22	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Actually, speaking of the
23	public, we have two request to speak forms, and I thought
24	we'd go ahead and take those, if that's okay with everybody.
25	Okay. Steve Muratore, publisher, Arizona

1	Eagletarian.
2	STEVE MURATORE: Thank you, Madam Chairman,
3	commissioners. My name is Steve Muratore, M-U-R-A-T-O-R-E.
4	Very briefly I wanted to mention
5	Commissioner Stertz had brought up that one of the changes
6	that was recommended yesterday was brought up by a
7	representative of Scottsdale schools, and I wanted to make
8	clear that the gentleman that he was referring to, a
9	Dr. Michael Rubinoff, is not a representative of Scottsdale
10	schools.
11	He is instead a film professor at ASU and a
12	resident of south Scottsdale, so
13	The other thing I wanted to say is, I'm wondering,
14	and nothing personal against anybody, but I'm just wondering
15	why Mr. Freeman's community of interest would be more
16	important than mine.
17	He mentioned that he's concerned about his
18	community of interest being torn apart. And I, of course,
19	have indicated my concern with the congressional map on that
20	regard too.
21	Mine isn't going to be addressed, I don't think.
22	And my point is that nobody on the panel's community of
23	interest is sacred any more than any other citizen.
24	I just wanted to make that point.
25	Thanks.

1	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you.
2	Our next speaker is Mike Flannery, from Yavapai
3	County.
4	MIKE FLANNERY: Madam Chair, Mike Flannery,
5	M-I-K-E, F-L-A-N-N-E-R-Y.
6	Madam Chair, commissioners, first of all, I want
7	to start by saying thank you all. I know that this has been
8	a very trying experience for each and every one of you.
9	And the majority of you have had to endure
10	personal issues as well, and hope you make well on those
11	issues as well.
12	I came down here today because of a map change
13	that had occurred.
14	And I am keenly aware that if you want to make
15	somebody happy with something they're not really happy with,
16	show them how bad it could be.
17	And you did that. And thank you,
18	Commissioner McNulty, for making that change to Yavapai
19	County.
20	I am certainly happy with the way it looks now.
21	So thank you very much.
22	I, as you know, started out with Yavapai County
23	being whole.
24	I'll give up on that now, but you certainly have
25	shown me that it could be a lot worse. So I appreciate

1	that. So thank you very much.
2	And with that, happy holidays, everyone. Thank
3	you.
4	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: We should have employed that
5	strategy earlier in the process.
6	Okay.
7	Our next speaker is Josh Offenhartz, representing
8	self, from Scottsdale.
9	JOSH OFFENHARTZ: Last name is Offenhartz,
10	O-F-F-E-N-H-A-R-T-Z.
11	I'd just like to start by wishing the Commission
12	and the audience a happy first night of Hanukkah.
13	Some of us are giving up our holiday time to be
14	here, because this is a very tense and important effort.
15	With that said, I would like to clarify Steve's
16	remarks on Mr Dr. Rubinoff. He is an active citizen in
17	south Scottsdale.
18	I don't want to put testimony in for him, but I
19	know that he is actively working with the superintendent of
20	the Scottsdale Unified School District as well as local
21	leaders to present his ideas, along with myself.
22	And so while we don't speak for the school
23	district, we have asked them to weigh in on the proposed
24	change.
25	I would like to advocate for the change in the

1	final map and remind you that the proposal that we had
2	initially submitted also had negligible effects on the
3	voting rights district and would have addressed the
4	compactness issues as they stand now.
5	So we, you know, strongly encourage you to accept
6	that change into the working map and final draft.
7	With that said, I'd like to echo the sentiments of
8	the previous gentleman that I do believe that the McNulty
9	compromise is a compromise. I think that in terms of LD 23
LO	it works very well. In terms of 28, especially the
L1	testimony that we heard from Paradise Valley today, that it
L2	is a very good compromise.
L3	I would urge all of the commissioners to focus
L4	their attention in that direction as we move forward,
L5	especially those regions as opposed to something that I
L6	think we've heard is a little bit more drastic with the
L7	Herrera proposal.
L8	With that said, Commissioner Herrera, we do
L9	appreciate competition, and so we would like to see that for
20	the area.
21	But with that said, I'd like to reiterate that
22	personally I find the McNulty compromise a great addition.
23	Thank you.
24	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair.
25	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz.

1	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Just as, just as a
2	clarification, Mr. Muratore was making comment on a piece of
3	testimony that I put into the record. I had given I was
4	given the impression by his testimony that he was
5	representing the Scottsdale School District. And Mr.
6	Muratore was just clarifying that he was not, and you've
7	just reaffirmed that, so I appreciate that.
8	JOSH OFFENHARTZ: Correct. I don't think he was
9	intending to speak for the school district.
10	I know that the superintendent had spoken with
11	Dr. Rubinoff, and was going to try and come out to speak on
12	his own behalf for the school district, but obviously that's
13	not the case.
14	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Thank you.
15	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay.
16	Any one else from the public who wanted to address
17	the Commission?
18	(No oral response.)
19	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. Thank you.
20	WILLIE DESMOND: I think the only other thing we
21	had besides the District 28 and the south Scottsdale was
22	trying to remove a little population from District 12.
23	We wanted to try to do that without affecting
24	District 8.
25	So, one thing we could possibly look at is

```
1
     District 17, though overpopulated by 7600 people, and
 2
     District 18, overpopulated by 5800 people, are our high.
     Maybe we could move just 1,000 or 2,000 people from
 3
     District 12 into District 17, and then 1,000 people from
 4
 5
     District 17 into District 18.
 6
               And that would perhaps bring District 12 down
 7
     below the four percent population deviation, and might do
 8
     something.
 9
               COMMISSIONER McNULTY: I think I had just
10
     explained why it was that we felt we had made all the
11
     adjustments to 12 that we needed to make, given that it's
12
     surrounded by voting rights districts and we have respected
13
     municipal lines and comments from the various municipalities
14
     there.
15
               WILLIE DESMOND:
                                Okay.
16
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA:
                                   Madam Chair.
17
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                   Mr. Herrera.
18
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA:
                                    I agree with
19
     Commissioner McNulty's explanation of why 12 is the way it
20
     is, and I would propose that we don't deviate from that.
21
               COMMISSIONER McNULTY: I would add that more --
22
     District 16 shares a boundary, a county, its northern
23
     boundary is the county line, and that any additional change
24
     we would make on the north side of that district would
25
     involve an additional split of a county, and extending the
```

1 district over into Pinal County. 2. And for that reason I wouldn't want to do that 3 either. 4 I think the change we made to 16 that Mr. Stertz 5 organized is a good change. It helps balance the population. But I wouldn't want to move the district line 6 7 over into Pinal County. 8 Madam Chair, would it make sense to leave it at 9 this and if, you know, Strategic Telemetry or legal counsel 10 come up with any other ideas that don't conflict with what 11 we just talked about, they could bring those to us when they 12 do the technical changes? 13 WILLIE DESMOND: Okav. 14 All right. Then the two outstanding issues I think are south Scottsdale and District 28, 15 16 Commissioner Herrera's map. 17 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair. 18 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera. 19 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: The -- my changes I that 20 mentioned before to 28 are trying to parallel the working 21 draft map, and the only difference would be in 28, 15, and 2.2 possibly 20. 23 What I don't want to do is -- I mean, because 24 right now what I have to do is be able to balance out the 25 population between those three districts.

1	I don't want to spend the time and waste anybody's
2	time here unless the draft map isn't approved. Then if
3	that's the case, then I will definitely make the changes
4	tonight.
5	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thoughts from other
6	commissioners?
7	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: I just reiterate my concern
8	about 23 and 24.
9	I prefer not to introduce something that's that
10	the opposite of compactness into that district. So my
11	earlier comments stand.
12	I would prefer to last night we had talked
13	about leaving the voting rights district as it was. And
14	then I think we ultimately substituted that version. But I
15	would really prefer to leave the voting rights district as
16	it was for the reasons I explained earlier.
17	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair.
18	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera.
19	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: The, the working draft map as
20	it is now, it does not incorporate those changes we were
21	talking about yesterday; correct?
22	WILLIE DESMOND: The working draft map does.
23	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Okay. I would be in favor of
24	reversing those changes.
25	WILLIE DESMOND: So the green line is the previous

1	working map, black line is the working map as of today.
2	Again, the high school, I think, was located right
3	here. That's the for the switchback it's been called.
4	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair.
5	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera.
6	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: I would be supportive of
7	moving back those lines as we had them before. I think
8	we those are the changes that we the map that it was
9	before, I guess, the going back to the working draft map,
10	the original one before the we proposed those changes.
11	And also I think we Mr. Desmond had said that
12	those changes were not sent for analysis.
13	WILLIE DESMOND: I don't think this switchback was
14	switch for analysis, no.
15	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Oh, okay.
16	But just in case if they were and we're somehow
17	missing it, then I would propose that we not have Mr. King
18	or Mr. Strasma look at those proposed changes.
19	WILLIE DESMOND: Well, we'll be sending continual
20	working maps until the Commission adopts something.
21	So the issue would be do you want the switchback
22	in a working map? Or would you like it to look like it did
23	in the previous iteration? Or, would you like to look at
24	something different, or new, another solution?
25	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair.

1	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz.
2	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: We're really however we
3	spin this on this little corner is however we spin it.
4	Honestly, it's, it's I'm looking at
5	Districts 15 and 28. Commissioner McNulty's looking at 23,
6	24.
7	If we're if we I'm looking at how they're
8	currently configured in the McNulty map right now.
9	This little piece, this little change from all
LO	aspects is not consequential.
L1	We make big sweeping changes to 28 by trying to
L2	carve up 15, and we start going down that path, this
L3	discussion on this little piece is really not real relevant
L4	by comparison to what happens if we start going down another
L5	path.
L6	So I'd like to talk so I'd like to jump this
L7	piece just for a second and talk about whether or not we can
L8	start looking at whether or not this Commission wants to
L9	look closely at Commissioner Herrera's current
20	recommendations for modifications of these central Phoenix
21	districts.
22	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair.
23	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: We're talking about a couple
24	of blocks being moved around here.
25	And in another area we're talking about cities and

```
1
     transportation corridors and large, large substantive
 2.
     changes.
 3
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                    Okav.
 4
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ:
                                     So.
 5
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                    Mr. Herrera.
 6
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: As I said before, the --
 7
     there's some tweaking that I still need to do to that
 8
     particular map to deal with balance out the population,
 9
     everything else being equal or being very similar to the
10
     working draft map that we're looking at now.
11
               And, again, if -- I don't want to waste anyone's
12
     time, if, if, if the working draft map ends up getting
13
     approved.
14
               I think you had asked Commissioner Freeman the
     same thing on District 9. District 9 was a, a --
15
16
     Congressional District 9, excuse me, was a area that
17
     Commissioner Freeman had concerns.
18
               And you had asked Commissioner Freeman if he
19
     wanted to tackle some of these issues that he had with
20
     District 9.
21
               And I think his -- and I'm not going to quote him,
2.2
     but I think he was -- basically said that if it's going to
23
     be approved, then I'm not going to waste my time.
24
               But, you know, I don't want to speak for him, but
25
     I think that's why he ended up not tackling that issue.
```

1	Again, I will tackle that issue if, if the map
2	doesn't get approved the way it is, yeah, I'll definitely
3	I'll make the changes that I've been recommending and spend
4	the time.
5	And I think I would be able to do it tonight.
6	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair, I'd like to,
7	I'd like to see us move forward with the map as we as
8	it's currently contemplated.
9	If Commissioners McNulty and Herrera feel adamant
10	about the 23, 24 change, then go back to the, go back to the
11	original design.
12	Scottsdale community Scottsdale School District
13	is, is broken up in other areas, if they feel that strongly
14	about it.
15	I feel very strongly about what
16	Commissioner McNulty has done to design in, in the
17	mid-Phoenix area, Paradise Valley area, its connection to
18	its surrounding area.
19	And I will argue at great lengths to retain the
20	work product that she's created.
21	And I think that I'll probably I'm not sure
22	whether or not I'll be able to argue successfully, but I
23	know that it would be I think that I can create enough
24	testimony that it would it makes sense.
25	And I think that we can take this down to the

1 street and block level, the transportation corridor level, communities of interest level, geographic feature level. 2. She's also overlaid districts that, that meet as 3 4 much criteria as we can possibly meet in these legislative 5 districts. And I'd like to, like to hold to the lines that 6 she's drawn. 7 And if one of those includes the little tail on 8 23, then back it off and go back to the way it was. 9 I'd like to see this map moved down the field the 10 way that it is. 11 Thoughts from other CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: 12 commissioners? 13 VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: Madam Chair. 14 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Freeman. 15 VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: Just to correct yet another 16 misrepresentation on the record. With respect to CD 9, I did propose various 17 18 alternative, alternative fixes to that district, even 19 calling out canals and specific streets, and how the changes 20 might be accommodated by moving the line up in through 21 Scottsdale. 2.2 So it is not the case that I proposed -- I did not 23 want to tackle it. 24 I did make numerous proposals trying to address 25 that issue and respect that community of interest.

1	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you.
2	Any thoughts from any other commissioners?
3	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: I would say I appreciate
4	Commissioner Stertz's comments.
5	And on 23 and 24, I'm just uncomfortable about
б	that where it's going to be there for ten years. I don't
7	think it's a good reflection on our map making skills, and I
8	would prefer to go back to where we started yesterday
9	morning on that district.
10	I know it's not a huge thing in the scheme of
11	things, but I do think the optics of it are problematic.
12	I think it compromises compactness in a voting
13	rights district. And I just prefer to keep the voting
14	rights district previously as we had submitted it.
15	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair.
16	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera.
17	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Can we direct Mr. Desmond to
18	move the lines back to the original they were there this
19	morning I mean yesterday morning?
20	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Again, Madam Chair.
21	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz.
22	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Can we, can we move forward
23	with the, with I'd like to get a, I'd like to get a feel
24	from the rest of the Commission about how they feel about
25	the mid-Maricopa districts.

1 And I am not disagreeing with you to -- this is a, this is a weird little notch here. And from a map -- from 2 just a map drawing point of view, it's a weird little notch 3 4 and you have to give a reason for it. 5 And you're going around a neighborhood to pick 6 another neighborhood because of a voters rights issue. And 7 typically you wouldn't -- you'd never see this if there was 8 a previously submitted map, you would have made some other 9 adjustment somewhere else to make it work. 10 So, and -- the one thing that I do know is 11 that once our voters rights analysis comes back, and if 12 the analysis does show that they'd like to make subtle 13 adjustments, this may be one of the adjustments that 14 we're going to go back to and say can we clean up this area 15 to be able to meet this, this district's represent --16 district's concept of pulling out their schools into that 17 district. 18 But, I would like to see where we are on the map. 19 Again, I'm -- there's been really positive 20 improvement. 21 Again, I hate 8 and 11. 22 I just want to state that. I wish we could fix 23 that. 24 But this is something that's, that's very 25 strong -- strongly put into place, and this is sort of like

CD 9. 1 2. I've got -- we've got three commissioners that wanted to lock CD 9. 3 4 We've got -- we also know that by the vote yesterday by a 3-2 vote that the 8, 11 is going to be that 5 6 That was a decision that was made yesterday by, by the 7 majority of the Commission. 8 I'd like to encourage this Commission to move 9 forward on this map and send this, again, with the same, 10 with the same contemplation as we -- as was just crafted by 11 Commissioner McNulty on the, on the congressional map that 12 there is -- that this takes it to the next level, which goes 13 to analysis by voters rights. This goes to, this goes to 14 consultant to clean up edges. Goes to looking at major 15 arterials and collectors, if that is -- that if it is a 16 sensible change to make off of a, off of a local street, 17 where there's no significant change to that district, where 18 there might be a pickup of zero population to clean up 19 areas, as we found in LD 13 with Litchfield Park, and move 20 this, move this map down the field. 21 COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Madam Chair. 2.2 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Ms. McNulty. 23 COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Would you entertain a 24 motion? 25 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: I would.

1	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: I would move that we drag
2	Mr. Desmond to let me, let me start over.
3	Mr let me ask Mr. Desmond a question.
4	Have we made all the changes that we've discussed
5	today to the working map with the exception of this 23, 24
6	change and the 28 change that Mr. Herrera has described?
7	WILLIE DESMOND: Just so I'm clear, the changes I
8	have to the working map are Litchfield Park, the zero
9	population area.
10	The changes between Legislative District 2, 3 on
11	22nd Street and 8th Avenue.
12	The Yavapai split has been removed.
13	Change to District 26 and 27 to improve those and
14	balance population.
15	And a population balance between Districts 15 and
16	16 or 25 and 16, that we just did.
17	Is there any other changes I'm missing?
18	(No oral response.)
19	WILLIE DESMOND: If not, those are the only
20	changes I have.
21	So I believe the only outstanding changes that we
22	have at this point are this, this difference between 23 and
23	24 in Scottsdale and Commissioner Herrera's District 28.
24	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Can you make the change in
25	23 and 24 to revert to

1	WILLIE DESMOND: Yes.
2	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: what we did can you
3	do that right now?
4	WILLIE DESMOND: Yes.
5	So, just to show what that would look like,
6	District 23 and 24, again, look like this.
7	So to the streets that affects, District 23 would
8	run along Osborn until it hits Hayden and then it would go
9	across, I believe, at Oak.
LO	As had been in the previous working map.
L1	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: So now it's configured as
L2	it was when we submitted it to Dr. King.
L3	WILLIE DESMOND: It's very, very close to how it
L4	was submitted to Dr. King.
L5	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: All right.
L6	Well, I would move that with this change and the
L7	other changes that Mr. Desmond has just described that we
L8	discussed and made today, that we adopt this map as our
L9	tentative final legislative map, subject to the possibility
20	of future changes based on recommendations of our mapping
21	consultant or legal counsel to address technical or legal
22	issues and subject to approval by this Commission of any
23	changes that they recommend.
24	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Is there a second?
25	VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: I'm sorry I couldn't hear

1 the very end of that. 2. CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Can you repeat? 3 COMMISSIONER McNULTY: I move that we adopt the 4 working draft map that we're looking at now with the changes 5 that we've discussed today and directed Mr. Desmond to make 6 as he just described them as our tentative final legislative 7 map subject to the possibility of future changes based on 8 recommendations of our mapping consultant or legal counsel 9 to address technical or legal changes and subject to 10 approval by this Commission of any changes that they do 11 recommend. 12 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Is there a second? COMMISSIONER STERTZ: I'll second the motion. 13 14 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Any discussion? Madam Chair. 15 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: 16 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera. 17 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Mr. Desmond, if you don't 18 mind just quickly going through using index two for this 19 particular version, using -- letting me know the 20 competitiveness, how many are under five, just -- I would 21 love to know. 2.2 And then if you can quickly go over how many are 23 under ten -- how many are ten and under. 24 WILLIE DESMOND: I can't do that quickly, because 25 there's been other changes to the map. I have to put it all

```
1
     together and make a report.
 2.
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA:
                                     Okay.
                                            Then --
 3
               WILLIE DESMOND:
                                 Sorry about that.
 4
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: No, no problem with that.
 5
                  Thank you though.
     Never mind.
 6
               WILLIE DESMOND:
                                I could -- I could go over which
 7
     districts have changed and we could look at the -- next to
 8
     the other one, but. . .
 9
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: No. That's fine.
10
               Thank you.
11
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                    Any other discussion?
12
               (No oral response.)
                                    All in favor?
13
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
14
               COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Aye.
15
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                     Aye.
16
               Any opposed?
17
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA:
                                    Madam Chair, I'm abstaining.
18
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                     Okay.
19
               VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN:
                                    Nay.
20
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Well, well, well.
21
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair, what I'd like to
22
     do if I -- if it's not going to pass, can we go back to my
23
           I'd like to -- I was serious about my map.
24
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Well, we're waiting for one
25
     other vote.
```

1	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Oh, I apologize. I thought
2	everybody voted.
3	Sorry about that.
4	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair, I will have to
5	vote no for the purposes of Districts 8 and 11.
6	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay.
7	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: In the absence of a nay vote
8	by my abstention, it would have the vote would have
9	succeeded two to one, but by our constitutional requirements
10	the vote needs to have three positive votes to pass through.
11	So
12	If we're going to visit Maricopa County and
13	revisits those districts, I'd like to revisit 8 and 11 in
14	their entirety.
15	And if we're going to visit that, then I'd start
16	to I think I'd like to start revisiting some of the other
17	areas of the state as well.
18	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair.
19	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera.
20	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: I you know, Mr. Stertz's
21	is entitled to do that, creating a completely different map
22	that the if he wants than the one I'll create.
23	Again, my map is mirroring the map we are doing
24	now that Mr. Stertz was praising quite a bit. And so my,
25	my changes won't be that different other than those three

```
1
     districts that will be affected.
 2.
               So what I would like to do, Mr. Desmond, if you
 3
     can go back to my map, and let's start making the changes
 4
           I don't -- I think it's not going to be a waste of my
 5
     time. And see what we can do.
 6
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Before we do that though,
 7
     Mr. Herrera, did any other commissioner want to explain
 8
     anything?
 9
               VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN:
                                   Madam Chair.
10
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                   Mr. Freeman.
11
               VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: I think this is all -- I
12
     think this is emblematic -- I lost my windscreen again -- of
13
     the theater that's going on here.
14
               I mean, either the map was good, it met the
     constitutional criteria, or it didn't.
15
16
               And instead what we got was a threat basically.
17
     Take this map or we'll make it much worse.
18
               So now we're seeing that, at least from my
19
     perspective, I'm seeing that play out.
20
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA:
                                   Madam Chair.
21
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                   Mr. Herrera.
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Yeah, I, I, I -- my proposal
22
23
     was never seemed -- I never thought of it as a threat.
24
               I really -- from the beginning I've been saying
25
     that I -- that we can create more competitive districts
```

```
1
     without any significant detriment to the other goals.
 2.
               So, I, I, you know, I'm sorry that
     Commissioner Freeman sees it that way.
 3
 4
               I was pretty clear, again, as I think he stated a
 5
     couple of meetings ago, that I don't have a poker face.
               And I don't. I don't gamble. I'm extremely
 6
 7
     honest.
 8
               I've said it all along that my intention is to
 9
     create as many competitive districts as possible, especially
10
     in the Maricopa County area. And, again, without any
11
     significant detriment to the other goals.
               So I don't see what -- where -- what threat.
12
13
               I was serious about this. And as I am now.
14
               I mean, I'm, I'm -- what I'd would like to do now
15
     is start looking at, at the map I've been proposing.
16
               And, again, this -- there should be no surprise.
     I brought this up over a week ago, I think. And I've been
17
     continuously talking about the, the benefits of having a
18
19
     competitive district in 28.
20
               So, and -- so this is no threat.
21
               It should have been no surprise to
2.2
     Commissioner Freeman, to anyone on this commissioner.
23
               I think not to McNulty, not to Mathis, not to
24
     Stertz.
25
               Again, I'm sorry that he sees it that way.
```

```
1
               This is -- and, and, again, this is, this is --
     we're all doing our best. And, and we've made significant
 2
     progress today. But I have a right to be able to recommend
 3
 4
     some of the changes that I want. And this is some of the
 5
     changes that I want.
 6
               So, if we can move forward, I would love to have
 7
     Mr. Desmond balance out the population between 28, 15, and
 8
     20.
 9
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair.
10
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz.
11
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ:
                                     Commissioner Herrera, the --
12
     one of the things I had asked about last Thursday was what
13
     of the districts that you are really -- that you really feel
14
     passionate about.
15
               You know, it's funny, the word passion in the
16
     Greek means to suffer.
17
               That might be sort of amusing right now as we're
18
     getting to this.
19
               And, again, my, my, my action on this is not --
20
     I'm trying not to be a contrarian here.
21
               Because the -- other than 8 and 11, I'm liking
     where the map has gone.
22
23
               Okay.
24
               And I'm hoping that we can get to -- still get to
25
     a vote tonight that can get to an affirmative.
```

```
1
               It's pretty, it's pretty clear that from my
 2
     perspective and Commissioner Freeman's perspective that 28,
     the way that Commissioner McNulty had crafted it, worked
 3
 4
    pretty well as a district.
               You're trying to get 15 -- which district are you
 5
 6
     trying to get competitive, 15?
 7
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA:
                                    Madam Chair.
 8
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                    Twenty-eight.
 9
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA:
                                   Madam Chair, as I stated
10
     before, 28 -- again, without any significant detriment to
11
     the other goals, I think we can, we can do that with 28.
12
               And I am fine with 8 and 11. As a matter of fact,
13
     I think there's, there's an opposite for -- the things that
14
     we have issues with, with the working draft map, is
15
     completely the opposite.
               I have an issue with 28, as I stated before.
16
17
     Again, this should be no surprise.
18
               I, I was serious about making 28 competitive,
19
    because I think we can. And I've proven that we can.
20
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ: So, Madam Chair, because we
21
     were talking about 11, because the Tucson Mountains are now
2.2
     included in 11, there was, there was some great testimony
23
     that obviously wasn't put on the record, that was some
24
     very -- some folks that were real upset that the
25
    Tucson Mountains were taken -- were taken away from the city
```

1	of Tucson and put up with Pinal County and the district
2	which stretches all the way up into Maricopa County almost.
3	They were very surprised by that, because that was something
4	that occurred in the last go-round of the shift.
5	Eight is now a district that, that again, the
6	8 and 11 I disagree with.
7	So, if we've got if we start looking at these,
8	which districts do you want to you're trying to get 28 to
9	become a competitive district by if we can go back to 28
10	in Commissioner Herrera's map.
11	If you're trying to get it so that because there's
12	a, there's a concentrated population of Republicans in
13	Paradise Valley and you are extracting those out of 20
14	out of the current 28 in an effort to make that more
15	competitive.
16	Even though that district that Maricopa or that
17	Paradise Valley is connected with up is up into 15 doesn't
18	have the natural connection, economically, geographically,
19	or with the other criterion as designed.
20	This seems to be just a this is just your
21	goal is really to get 28 to be competitive; correct?
22	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: My goal, as I said all along,
23	was to get as many competitive districts without creating
24	any detriment to the other goals.
25	And I believe and I disagree with

```
1
     Commissioner Freeman. I don't think this a detriment to
 2.
     that -- to any of goals.
               And, and, as I said, can we -- if we can, so in
 3
 4
     the interest of time, move forward with, with -- because I
 5
     want to do is I want to start working on changes to my map
 6
     and start seeing if we can tweak some of the -- or fix the
 7
    population imbalances between those three districts that are
 8
     affected, and we can start doing that now.
 9
               Because now, as I said, I stated before, I didn't
10
     want to waste my time. Now I feel like it wouldn't be a
11
     waste of my time.
12
               WILLIE DESMOND: Okay. So we had done some slight
13
    balancing before.
14
               As it was currently constituted, District 28 is
15
    positive by 4,217 people. District 20 is overpopulated by
16
     7,129. And District 15 is overpopulated.
17
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Can you repeat that again?
18
     I'm sorry.
19
               MARY O'GRADY: Just to clarify where we are, do we
20
     still have a motion on the table at this point?
21
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: The motion failed, is the way
2.2
     I read that, but it was --
23
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Two to two and one
24
     abstention.
25
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Yeah, two ayes, two nays, and
```

1	one abstention.
2	Before we start to go into looking at more
3	modifications to 28, I, I have to say I'm a little puzzled
4	by Mr. Freeman's vote, and I'm wondering if he would be
5	willing to explain that so that I can just understand.
6	VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: Explain my vote?
7	I mean, the districts by and large, at least in
8	Maricopa County, I don't have a significant problem with.
9	I think my main problem is the threat that was
10	carried out. That it was either take this, take this map or
11	I'm going to shove this other map down your throat.
12	And that to me is unacceptable.
13	And Commissioner Herrera should have been able to
14	vote yes or no on that proposed map, and he chose to play
15	this game.
16	And I don't agree with it.
17	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair.
18	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera.
19	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: How many times has
20	Commissioner Stertz or Freeman abstained from voting?
21	And I've never disagreed with them, because that
22	was their opinion.
23	And I never thought of it as a game. That's their
24	choice. They have that option.
25	I think I'm correct in saying that abstention is a

254

1 option. I didn't make it up. 2. I think Commissioner Freeman knows that, that it 3 is option, abstaining from a vote. And he's done that -- I think he's done that on 4 5 numerous times, whether it be abstaining or saying or voting 6 no. 7 And I, I -- again, this isn't a threat. 8 I had a strong feeling that they were going to 9 vote no -- no against this map. That's why I was proposing 10 the map that I'm proposing, because I didn't think it was 11 going to pass. 12 And because as I said it all along, I wanted 13 another competitive district in Maricopa County. 14 And I think we can, we can achieve that 15 now. 16 And, again, I don't know what is leading Mr. --Commissioner Freeman to think that this is a threat. 17 18 never mentioned this as a threat. 19 I'm extremely serious, but he -- like he is, 20 on proposing CD 9. I'm very serious in creating a 21 competitive district -- another competitive district in 2.2 Maricopa County. 23 So, again, let's -- if I can move forward, if no 24 one else has any comment, I'd love to move forward and start 25 working on my changes.

255

1 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair. 2. CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz. 3 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Commissioner Herrera, just as part of the point of clarification, the abstentions that 4 5 I have made were always the same. And they were abstentions 6 that came when we were asked to go into executive session, 7 as has been made clear even by the vote -- by the -- or by 8 the decision by the -- by Judge Fink. The open meeting law 9 doesn't apply to us. 10 And I have been making that statement over and 11 over again that I couldn't vote for something to go into 12 executive session, therefore I abstained from voting because 13 I was in agreement that, that we should be -- open 14 meeting -- that we should not be going into executive 15 session. 16 So those are my abstentions, and I just wanted to 17 make sure and clarify that. 18 Lastly, if there's -- is there any -- let's go 19 back to this discussion of -- because we're going to have, 20 we're going to have no movement from myself on 28 and 20 or 21 15. Is there any movement on 11 or 8 from three of the 2.2 23 commissioners? 24 VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair, those are two 25 districts that I do like.

```
1
               So, I -- no.
                             Those -- I have no movement from me
 2.
     in those two districts.
               So, again, I have a map that I have been proposing
 3
 4
     working on, and I'd like to go back to that map.
 5
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair.
 6
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz.
 7
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ:
                                     Obviously you can't force
 8
     the commissioner to have a vote, and for you to have -- it
 9
     would be disingenuous for me to be able to propose or to, or
10
     to accept this with knowing that 8 and 11 are nonnegotiable
11
     and for me 20, 28 and 15 are nonnegotiable.
12
               So, we, we may be -- it's going to come back to
13
     you, Madam Chair, as the decision maker on this.
14
               You voted in the affirmative that you like the
     mid-Phoenix maps. And I'd like to hear whether or not we're
15
16
     going to continue to go down the road tonight in trying to
     make adjustments to something that both you -- you, myself,
17
     and Commissioner McNulty like, because I -- and I believe --
18
19
     I can't speak for Commissioner Freeman because he -- his
20
     issue was more about sort of blockade of being able to move
21
     this forward.
               I'd like -- like I said, other than, other than
2.2
23
     8 and 11, I'm really liking how this map is working itself
24
     out.
25
               And --
```

1	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Mr. Stertz?
2	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Yes.
3	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Why don't you just vote for
4	it and then we can go home.
5	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair, the this
6	the question that Commissioner Stertz is proposing is really
7	irrelevant now. The map didn't pass as it.
8	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Remove it.
9	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: I think it's very relevant.
10	I
11	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: So, Madam Chair, what I would
12	like to do is to move to my map
13	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: We're talking over each
14	other.
15	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: I apologize.
16	As I said, the question that
17	Commissioner Stertz and, I mean, I know why he asked.
18	And I have no, no problem with that question.
19	The problem is that the map as is wasn't approved.
20	So whether you liked the way that
21	Commissioner McNulty created 28 is really irrelevant.
22	The question is that I'm proposing can I move
23	forward to my map now.
24	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair.
25	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz.

1	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: If Commissioner McNulty
2	wants to remove wants to remake the motion, I will vote
3	in the affirmative.
4	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: I move that we adopt the
5	working draft map that we have in front of us which includes
6	the changes that we've discussed tonight and have been made
7	by Mr. Desmond as our tentative final legislative map
8	subject to the possibility of changes recommended by our
9	mapping consultant or legal counsel to an address technical
10	or legal issues and subject to approval by this Commission
11	of any changes that they might recommend.
12	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Second.
13	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Did I leave something out,
14	Ms. O'Grady?
15	MARY O'GRADY: If I can have a moment in terms of
16	parliamentary process.
17	You need to be on the if this is a motion to
18	reconsider, essentially, the map that did not pass on the
19	previous motion, the motion has to be made by someone on the
20	prevailing side of the prior motion.
21	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: There was no prevailing
22	side.
23	MARY O'GRADY: The motion doesn't carry.
24	I'm checking my Roberts right now, but the motion
25	didn't carry.

1	If we want to take a five-minute recess, I will
2	check that. That's my concern, that if this is a motion to
3	reconsider, essentially then it might need to be made by
4	someone on the prevailing side, since the motion didn't
5	carry. So I'm double checking that right now.
6	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Are you saying Mr. Herrera
7	needs to make the motion?
8	MARY O'GRADY: I don't think he's on the
9	prevailing side either for this map.
10	And, again, I want to, I want to double check
11	this, but the prevailing side would be the people who voted
12	no.
13	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Why don't we take a
14	five-minute break then and just confirm all that.
15	So the time is 8:56 p.m.
16	(Brief recess taken.)
17	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. We'll enter back into
18	public session. The time is 9:04 p.m.
19	I believe our legal counsel was checking into
20	matters with regard to possible parliamentary procedure
21	surrounding this motion situation.
22	MARY O'GRADY: My recommendation would be that if
23	it's going to be a different motion, anyone can make such a
24	motion.
25	If it's going to be the precise same motion that

1 was made previously, it should be made by someone on the 2. prevailing side. The prevailing side, since the previous motion did 3 4 not carry, would be those who opposed the previous motion. 5 But a different motion may be made by any member 6 of the Commission. 7 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you. 8 COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Madam Chair, would you 9 entertain a different motion? 10 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: I would. 11 COMMISSIONER McNULTY: I would move that we adopt 12 the working draft map that we are currently looking at with 13 the changes that we have discussed today and directed 14 Mr. Desmond to make, and with the further direction that he 15 include on a parallel track analysis of the change in 16 District 24 to address the school district issue so that 17 that can be looked at on a parallel track by Dr. King also, 18 with the caveat that the map is our tentative final 19 legislative map and subject to the possibility of future 20 changes based on recommendations of our mapping consultant 21 or our legal counsel to adjust technical or legal issues and 2.2 subject to approval of any such recommendations by this 23 Commission. 24 COMMISSIONER STERTZ: I'll second that motion. 25 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Any discussion?

1	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair.
2	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Stertz.
3	COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Thank you for entertaining
4	and going through the process of the new motion.
5	I'm going to be voting in the affirmative
6	reluctantly, because of Districts 8 and 11, which I still
7	significantly disagree with the way that they were crafted
8	and introduced.
9	I'm and, however the work product that has been
10	put forth by the Commission as a whole includes a higher
11	level of, of positive adjustments that have been made than
12	the preponderance of the negative design of Districts 8 and
13	11.
14	So even though my opinion of those two districts
15	specifically has not changed, I will I have seconded this
16	motion and I will be voting in the affirmative for it.
17	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you.
18	Any other discussion?
19	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair.
20	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera.
21	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: And I as before I will be
22	abstaining from this motion, as I stated before.
23	I think we can we owe it to the citizens of
24	Arizona and those who voted for Prop 106 to create an
25	additional competitive district in Maricopa County.

```
1
               And I think that I was able to do that along with
 2
     the, the help obviously from Mr. Desmond.
 3
               So that's the reason why I'll be abstaining from
 4
     the vote.
 5
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                     Thank you.
 6
               Other discussion?
 7
               (No oral response.)
 8
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                     All in favor?
 9
               COMMISSIONER McNULTY:
                                       Aye.
10
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ:
                                      Aye.
11
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                     Aye.
12
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                     Any opposed?
13
               VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN:
                                     Nay.
14
                                    And Mr. Herrera is
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
15
     abstaining.
16
               VICE-CHAIR HERRERA:
                                     Actually, Madam Chair, I will
17
     be voting no as well.
18
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
19
               So we have three ayes and two nays, Freeman and
20
     Herrera.
21
                      That means the motion carries, and we have
22
     a tentative legislative map that will be doing further
23
     analysis on.
24
               And to quote Bruce Springsteen, I believe in the
25
     promised land.
```

1 I'm really glad we're here. 2. And I realize it's been a very difficult process going through this the last nine months, but I think we've 3 4 achieved really great maps. We couldn't do everything, and we knew that from 5 6 the beginning, but we did as much as we could. And I'm 7 proud of the outcome that we've accomplished. 8 Do other commissioners have any comments? 9 VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: Madam Chair. 10 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Freeman. 11 VICE-CHAIR FREEMAN: Unfortunately I have a little 12 different viewpoint. 13 I think over the summer I recall at one of our 14 first public comment hearings a member of the public coming 15 forward to this Commission raising concerns about the way it 16 was conducting itself, and referred to the Commission as kabuki theater. 17 18 I am not exactly sure whether that analogy 19 holds up, but I do know enough about kabuki theater to know 20 that it's a respected historic art form, and I think calling 21 us kabuki theater perhaps gives kabuki theater a bad name. 2.2 I think that this unfortunately has not played out 23 certainly the way I had anticipated when I came on this 24 Commission. 25 I did not see an effort to neutrally apply

1	adjustments to the grid map in a fair and evenhanded way and
2	in an objective way such that the public would have
3	confidence in how the maps were developed.
4	Unfortunately what I I just saw games like we
5	saw tonight.
6	I saw, you know, an effort to predesign districts
7	without regard to the constitutional criteria.
8	So I am disappointed in both the congressional
9	draft maps.
10	I did make every effort on this Commission to work
11	very hard. I spent a lot of time, my own time, trying to
12	work with other commissioners, trying to develop maps that I
13	thought made sense, and apply the criteria. And the end
14	result is perhaps, perhaps on the LD map, 28 kind of looks
15	like a district that I had some influence over, but, but
16	that's about it.
17	Everything else got erased.
18	So I'm really disappointed in where we've ended
19	up.
20	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you.
21	Other comments from other commissioners?
22	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: Madam Chair.
23	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Mr. Herrera.
24	VICE-CHAIR HERRERA: You know, I'm also a little
25	bit disappointed, but not for the reasons that

1	Commissioner Freeman is disappointed.
2	I felt that we although I didn't vote for the
3	legislative map, I felt that both maps from the beginning
4	were constitutionally sound maps.
5	We were able to, to the changes that we, that
6	we made were, were outlined in an open meeting.
7	There's no I don't remember the last time we
8	had an executive session. Which we could have, but we chose
9	to conduct everything in the open. All the changes that
10	were made to the draft map were, again, out in the open,
11	following the six constitutional criteria.
12	These maps are sound maps as Commissioner McNulty
13	has been saying since since the beginning, since we
14	approved the congressional and legislative draft maps.
15	And I am, you know, proud of the work we've done.
16	I think why all gave in a little.
17	I sure did.
18	As I stated, I think the reason why I'm
19	disappointed is because we should have created more
20	competitive districts, both in the congressional and
21	legislative map.
22	But, again, I'm, I'm very confident that these
23	maps were are sound. They follow the six constitutional
24	criteria.
25	And our attorneys are, are as I stated before,

266

```
1
     they, they -- I think Mary O'Grady has said that in numerous
 2.
     occasions, and commissioner -- excuse me, and Joe Kanefield
     has done the same thing.
 3
 4
               So, again, I'm disappointed for different reasons,
 5
     but I'm glad the process is over.
 6
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ: Madam Chair.
 7
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS:
                                    Mr. Stertz.
 8
               COMMISSIONER STERTZ: You can't believe how hard
 9
     it was to do what I just did.
10
               I've been fighting against the swimming upstream
11
     on this, on this Commission for a long time.
               And frankly I needed to -- I felt that I needed to
12
13
     bring this issue to a close in an effort to stop the maps
14
     from getting -- in certain areas I was seeing where
15
     Commissioner Herrera was going, and that they were going to
16
     be going down a path where it was actually going to be
17
     making things even worse.
18
               So instead of continuing down this path and adding
19
     more 8-7s to my world, I stopped the -- I wanted to stop
20
     the, stop the process.
21
               So we've stopped it. It's stopped at this time
22
     right now.
23
               We've got a -- we've got maps. And they, they --
24
     at least we've got them stopped at a place where, where
25
     they're not going to become any more contrived and we're not
```

1	going to have to hear any more stories from
2	Commissioner Herrera about how we negotiated and compromised
3	to get to an affirmative agreement.
4	And for that, I just wanted to wish all of the
5	commissioners, staff, and public, a very, very
6	Merry Christmas.
7	And tonight on this special night, a Happy
8	Hanukkah to all of our friends who are celebrating this
9	evening.
10	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you.
11	Any comments from anyone else?
12	COMMISSIONER McNULTY: Madam Chair, I guess I'm
13	the only one that hasn't spoken.
14	We've all worked very hard at this. I truly
15	believe that we've created good work product here, both on
16	the congressional map and on the legislative map.
17	I think everyone on this Commission has gone above
18	and beyond.
19	I'm sure once we all get a good night's sleep and
20	enjoy the holidays, we'll have more to say about the
21	experience.
22	But I want to thank you for your leadership and
23	your patience and your strength, Madam Chair, in the last
24	nine months.
25	And I want to thank all the commissioners for the

1	effort they've ' pot into this.
2	Commissioner Freeman and Commissioner Stertz and I
3	have disagreed on many, many things, but we don't disagree
4	on the fact that we've all put a great deal of effort into
5	this.
6	It's been my honor to serve on the Commission with
7	Commissioner Herrera. And I wish he had voted for the map,
8	but I understood the point that he made.
9	So I too would wish everyone here and watching a
10	Merry Christmas and Happy Hanukkah.
11	Take a break. Thanks for your interest and for
12	your work for the state.
13	And thank you all, Marty, Willie, Mary, our staff,
14	Kristina, Ray, Stu, and for everyone who's followed this so
15	closely.
16	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you.
17	I would also echo huge, huge thanks to just I
18	don't want to get emotional, but I am.
19	Huge thanks to our staff who have been totally
20	incredible through this.
21	We couldn't have hired nicer people to work on
22	this Commission.
23	And you've been amazing.
24	And same goes for our legal counsel and our
25	mapping consultant.

1 They are both -- and when I say both, there's others, of course, involved, other counsel, other mapping 2 consultant folks from Strategic Telemetry. 3 4 They have been extremely professional in every 5 step of this process. 6 And I don't think that any commissioner would have 7 a complaint with anyone's work in that regard. They were 8 fantastic. 9 And they all -- they too are very nice people. 10 think niceness is a really important quality. 11 You've got to be capable, competent, professional, 12 smart, all those things are important. But it's also good 13 to be nice. And I view that as a quality that all of these 14 people have. 15 So, I don't want to be more emotional than I 16 already am, but I will thank all the commissioners for their hard work and the sacrifices they've all made. 17 It's been very challenging, especially this past 18 19 month or so, with a lot of different things that have 20 happened to folks. And everybody pulled together and came 21 to meetings and we had quorums, which was, you know, a 2.2 requirement, and we were able to get through this. 23 So I'm very grateful. 24 With that, we've got a hardcore skeleton crew of 25 public here still tonight, and I appreciate you all being

```
1
     here. I don't know how many are watching online, but thank
 2.
     you too. And I thank all the public for their participation
 3
     in this process. You've been extremely helpful in making
 4
     these maps better.
 5
               And with that, I don't think there's anything more
 6
     to say on those two items.
 7
               We have, I think, some meetings scheduled for next
 8
           At least some dates. I shouldn't say meetings
 9
     scheduled yet.
10
               Mr. Bladine did get some information from
11
     commissioners and has at least ideas.
12
               And whether or not we want to do all those
13
     meetings, we'll see, but tell us what availability there
14
     was.
15
                             Again, I'm not sure given what
               RAY BLADINE:
16
     you've just done how that affects the legal and the mapping
17
     staff as to when they would be available to answer some of
18
     your questions.
19
               So, I guess that's the first question I'd ask, is
20
     taking a quick look, Tuesday, Thursday of next week looked
21
     like perhaps the best chance, but I'm not sure that you're
2.2
     going to have the information that you need.
23
               CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Yeah, that's a great
24
     question.
25
               I mean, we could wait until -- if Thursday makes
```

1	the most sense to start next week that's fine with me. We
2	are waiting on a lot of analysis from various folks.
3	WILLIE DESMOND: The way I see this, I hope that
4	the final adoption is not a drawn process to provide you
5	with technical changes. Hopefully those are very, very,
6	very minor impact on both population.
7	I'm not sure how I know the county folks were
8	working on voting precincts and things.
9	They'll be very eager to get these their hands
10	on these maps and start seeing if there are conflicts.
11	I'm not sure what time they'll need or we'll need
12	to work with those things.
13	I think Tuesday is probably too early.
14	Thursday may work, but I just I'm not sure how
15	available they'll be over the next week of things too.
16	As far as Dr. King's analysis goes and the rest of
17	the voting rights, I kind of defer to Mary to see what she
18	thinks that will take.
19	MARY O'GRADY: And, Madam Chair, I think we'll
20	have a better sense of that tomorrow.
21	And so I don't know if it makes sense I mean,
22	so I would think the earliest would be next Thursday, but we
23	won't have a real good sense of that for a little while.
24	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. Well
25	RAY BLADINE: Madam Chair I think we were going

1	on an agenda that would allow bipartisan participation.
2	On Thursday, however, you would not have
3	Commissioner Stertz available.
4	So it would be I'm not sure, you may want to
5	change what you're trying to do and try to move to where you
6	can get everybody to participate, but I should have pointed
7	that out to you that on Thursday he is not available.
8	And the rest of the week people would not be
9	available.
10	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: And we don't have to decide
11	the meeting tonight.
12	RAY BLADINE: Okay.
13	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: But it sounds like the
14	earliest would be Thursday, if we do meet even Thursday. So
15	we'll see how it goes once we get more of the analysis back
16	and have this information later this week.
17	RAY BLADINE: Okay. We can also, if you wish, ask
18	for availability the following week, which we have not done.
19	Some people have given that to us, but not
20	everyone.
21	CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Sure. That makes sense.
22	We can go out and ask. That would be great.
23	Okay. Is there anything else?
24	RAY BLADINE: No, other than I would like to say
25	on behalf of the staff it really has been a pleasure to work

1 with all of you. 2. We have been through some very tough and hard times, but all of you have been extremely nice and 3 4 thoughtful with us. And I know we all appreciate it. 5 we certainly learned a lot too. 6 There are lot of things here I never had learned 7 before, knew about, and maybe some I didn't want to, just 8 like all of you, but it was my pleasure to serve you, and 9 we'll continue to until we get this wrapped up. So thanks 10 very much. 11 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Thank you. 12 Yeah, will the maps be going up onto the website 13 hopefully soon, once they're -- these tentative. 14 WILLIE DESMOND: It's going to take me a few hours 15 to get all of the maps ready, both legislative and 16 congressional. I doubt very highly that Buck will be posting 17 18 those in the middle of the night, but hopefully by tomorrow 19 morning, early afternoon, sometime around then. 20 CHAIRPERSON MATHIS: Okay. Yeah, as soon as 21 possible, that would be great to get those up. 2.2 And I think the rest of the items, if anybody has future agenda items, please send them to Ray. 23 24 And then the rest, I don't think there's anything 25 new on the open meeting law, with litigation. Nothing.

```
And we've done public comment, so with that, the
 1
     time is 9:24, and this meeting is adjourned.
 2
               Thank you.
 3
 4
               (Whereupon, the meeting adjourned.)
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
     STATE OF ARIZONA
                            )
                                   ss.
 2
     COUNTY OF MARICOPA
 3
 4
               BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceeding was
 5
     taken before me, Marty Herder, a Certified Court Reporter,
 6
     CCR No. 50162, State of Arizona; that the foregoing
 7
     274 pages constitute a true and accurate transcript of all
 8
     proceedings had upon the taking of said meeting, all done to
 9
     the best of my skill and ability.
10
               DATED at Chandler, Arizona, this 4th day of
11
     January, 2012.
12
13
14
                                       C. Martin Herder, CCR
                                       Certified Court Reporter
15
                                       Certificate No. 50162
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```